

Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management (EEJAM)	ISSN: 2992-412X
©EEJAM Publications	Volume 7 Issue 1 2025

Professional Responsibility: Communicating Ethical Obligations

Kato Nabirye H.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Professional responsibility and ethics are foundational to clinical, forensic psychology, and other professions. The importance of communicating ethical obligations stems from the need for clarity, accountability, and stakeholder engagement. This paper examines the principles of professional ethics, the role of effective communication in embedding ethical obligations, methods for their dissemination, and challenges encountered in doing so. Strategies such as workshops, stakeholder collaboration, and case studies are highlighted as effective practices. These approaches underscore the dual role of ethical communication: fostering ethical behavior and managing organizational risk. The paper concludes with insights into building an ethical culture as a long-term competitive advantage.

Keywords: Professional ethics, ethical obligations, communication, stakeholder engagement, ethical culture, organizational accountability.

INTRODUCTION

Professional responsibility and ethics are central to the practice of clinical and forensic psychology, and they are critical in all professions. Any activity or practice that includes working with people involves the legal and ethical responsibility to maintain the highest professional standards. Professional conduct is governed by both the specific ethical requirements established by the organizations representing the profession and the laws of each state that govern how professionals are expected to conduct their activities [1, 2]. Ethics might be described in general as the rules or principles that guide behavior or decision-making. Ethical obligations take this concept further to suggest those behaviors, rules, principles, or guidelines that are expected and required of professionals or others through law, rules, or some system or body or by moral standards of society. Ethical guidelines, as presented by the organizations that establish them, may provide general principles with examples or specific guidelines for conduct. Ethics is about identifying the "right" thing to do, not the thing you can "get away with." From the guidelines of some specific organizations, responsibility is to society, the public, the field of psychology, the profession, students and supervisees, peers, and the self [3, 4]. Ethical decision-making usually, at some level, includes consideration of (1) what the law requires, (2) natural law which includes our various moral or religious codes, (3) utilitarianism - the cost-benefit analysis type that is usually ends-oriented, (4) deontology - duty/obligation or principle-based that is means-oriented, and (5) precepts - the rules that govern our conduct. Within the principles or approaches are variations that can be useful in guidance in ethical decision-making. There are rights- or justice-based, character-based, virtue-based, and relationship-based variants in the approaches [5, 6].

The Importance of Communicating Ethical Obligations

In professional environments, we often rely on codes of conduct and guidelines to inform our behavior and maintain the goodwill of our stakeholders. However, if you have failed to communicate these codes and guidelines with stakeholders, then the risk of misinterpretation or ignorance may leave you liable in the eyes of the law. Communication operates to reduce ambiguity and clarify what is expected from

others. Through transparency and accountability in corporate communications, professionals publicly commit themselves and their organizations to ethical behavior, reinforcing their long-term commitment to stakeholders. Those who openly communicate and act upon their ethical guidelines are generally robust and long-lived organizations. Decisions are made in conversation. Whether overtly or covertly, verbally or non-verbally, the decisions and values of an organization are communicated to stakeholders and employees through countless channels. To this extent, when guidelines articulating ethical decisions are communicated in professional conversations, this practice performs a dual role. Stakeholders and employees who understand and engage with guidelines create the possibility of developing active compliance, i.e., guidelines that are made meaningful by being applied within working practices. Ethical guidelines and the process by which they are formulated should, in practice, be decided upon through two-way communication between the primary stakeholders and the leaders of the professional body. Therefore, they are expected to have a broader function as an educative tool, informing the values and behaviors of leaders. Through leadership by example of this kind, organizations are seeking a commitment to ethical behavior on a long-term basis. This involvement with stakeholders, therefore, serves a dual role: leadership in ethical practice and the management of risk [7, 8].

Methods and Strategies for Effective Communication of Ethical Obligations

Ethical guidelines need to be appropriately embedded within an organization's information network. One way of doing this is through formal documents and practices that describe the ethical guidelines. These might include a code of ethics, practice standards, or guidelines. Special committees or other individuals or groups within a professional or regulatory organization may be responsible for the development and publication of such documents. Workshops to develop or explain the guidelines can be a second method for communicating about a new set of professional obligations. Workshops could serve to introduce the new guidelines, review a proposed set of guidelines in a discussion setting, or remind professionals of the competition policy implications of their work. In addition, the abstract and concrete nature of the guidelines prompts the use of experiential methods to build understanding [9, 10]. Practically, this commitment might be undertaken in a variety of ways, including at individual or induction training courses or workshops offered by organizations or professional bodies, or through the development of collective ethical practices as part of a team-building exercise. Other individuals who have a stake in and will be affected by the guidelines might need a specifically tailored message. Thus, workshops for business leaders' members of a profession, or the public at large could serve as another way to communicate professional guidelines. Of course, the various means of communicating guidelines to different publics will carry with them various costs including time and resources. Ongoing communications about the code of ethics are important for an organization committed to embedding an ethical dimension in its culture. An organization that wishes to bring a code of ethics to life must be prepared to invest not only in the resources required to develop a code of ethics but also in the resources necessary to communicate and uphold the values espoused in the code [11, 12].

Challenges and Solutions in Communicating Ethical Obligations

Ethical obligations are important and should be communicated effectively to members at all levels inside a professional organization. It is widely recognized that unambiguous and consistent communication of ethical obligations has a strong bearing on both employees' perceptions of the relevance and importance of these obligations and the importance of ethics in their organizations. This communication may lead to increased perceptions that ethical behavior, mutual respect, and inclusivity matter in their organizations. Conversely, if organizations do not address the preceding concerns related to effective communication, employees may regard their employers' ethical guidelines to be little more than mere 'paper tigers.' This lack of efficacy could easily generate organizational members who would not support their organization's ethical duties, leading to their culture, as well as their policy, collapsing into moral irrelevancy [13, 14]. However, successfully conveying ethical obligations to everyone is, unfortunately, no walk in the park. For some individuals, giving out ambiguous statements may be a tempting way to avoid scrutiny if it all goes wrong. People might also shy away from actively changing their corporate culture because it represents more work and takes them out of their comfort zone. Misunderstandings tend to emerge between individuals due to different cultural backgrounds, too. A further barrier to communicating ethical obligations is given by the variety of professions and employees that belong to a professional organization. Simply put, someone with the patience and skills to talk and train effectively might have an intolerance for bureaucracy and would not even last long enough in an HR department to be able to create an ethical training strategy. This paper acknowledges, among other things, the common pitfalls

and challenges that impede successful ethical communication, and shows how it is possible to overcome these problems [15, 16].

Case Studies and Best Practices

The following case studies provide examples of how ethical professionals in diverse fields are communicating the responsibilities of professional behavior and decision-making in their areas. Considered together, they also illustrate several best practices for such communication without ignoring the inherent complexity and unique aspects of professional ethics in various disciplines. They have been selected due to their focus on specific aspects of personal, organizational, and sector-wide practices and are examples of effective and ethical solutions to widespread problems within their sector [17, 18]. At a glance, several best practices are teased out from the following examples. Anything, possibly everything, has the potential to become an ethical issue. Ethical culture matters. As much as official or marketing materials provide resources to help with "stating and restating" expectations, the communications that matter most are those about organizational culture and limits. As such, it is essential to have organizational leaders, managers, and other key stakeholders communicating about professional obligations and setting clear guidelines for behavior. An "ethical culture" is seen as a competitive differentiator [19, 20]. Learning from a variety of professional contexts is encouraged, and case studies and creating essentially an "idea bank" is part of an effective process for generating best practices that, while field/context-specific, can be useful in other fields [21, 22]. Authoritative sources for several possible implications are not complete. In many cases, implications are both interpreted and apparent, informing the examples as they occur in large numbers. Finally, as much as these courses of action have been found successful in these contexts, some are suggested as a form of "best practice" but questions remain concerning their effectiveness or best mode of execution [23, 24].

CONCLUSION

Communicating ethical obligations is a cornerstone of professional responsibility that reinforces accountability, builds trust, and ensures compliance across professional environments. Effective communication methods, such as codes of ethics, workshops, and collaborative stakeholder engagement, not only clarify expectations but also inspire a culture of integrity. However, challenges such as ambiguity, cultural differences, and organizational inertia must be addressed proactively. Case studies illustrate the transformative impact of ethical communication, emphasizing leadership's role in modeling and sustaining ethical practices. By embedding ethical principles into organizational culture, professionals can align decision-making with societal and legal standards, thus contributing to the long-term success and integrity of their fields.

REFERENCES

1. Neal TM, Martire KA, Johan JL, Mathers EM, Otto RK. The law meets psychological expertise: Eight best practices to improve forensic psychological assessment. *Annual review of law and social science*. 2022 Oct 18;18(1):169-92. [annualreviews.org](https://www.annualreviews.org)
2. Young G, Goodman-Delahunty J. Revisiting Daubert: judicial gatekeeping and expert ethics in court. *Psychological injury and law*. 2021 Dec;14(4):304-15.
3. Mubako G, Bagchi K, Udo G, Marinovic M. Personal values and ethical behavior in accounting students. *Journal of business ethics*. 2021 Nov;174:161-76.
4. Huang C, Zhang Z, Mao B, Yao X. An overview of artificial intelligence ethics. *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*. 2022 Jul 28;4(4):799-819. ieeexplore.ieee.org
5. Al-kfairy M, Mustafa D, Kshetri N, Insiew M, Alfandi O. Ethical challenges and solutions of generative AI: An interdisciplinary perspective. In *Informatics 2024 Sep (Vol. 11, No. 3, p. 58)*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
6. Adaga EM, Egieya ZE, Ewuga SK, Abdul AA, Abrahams TO. Philosophy in business analytics: a review of sustainable and ethical approaches. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*. 2024 Jan 13;6(1):69-86. [fepbl.com](https://www.fepbl.com)
7. Heide M, Simonsson C. What was that all about? On internal crisis communication and communicative coworkership during a pandemic. *Journal of Communication Management*. 2021 Jul 20;25(3):256-75.
8. Liu F, Park C, Tham YJ, Tsai TY, Dabbish L, Kaufman G, Monroy-Hernández A. Significant otter: Understanding the role of biosignals in communication. In *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems 2021 May 6 (pp. 1-15)*. [acm.org](https://www.acm.org)

9. Huda M. Trust as a key element for quality communication and information management: insights into developing safe cyber-organisational sustainability. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*. 2024 Aug 15;32(8):1539-58.
10. Huda M. Towards an adaptive ethics on social networking sites (SNS): a critical reflection. *Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society*. 2022 Apr 18;20(2):273-90. [[HTML](#)]
11. Vitolla F, Raimo N, Rubino M, Garegnani GM. Do cultural differences impact ethical issues? Exploring the relationship between national culture and quality of code of ethics. *Journal of International Management*. 2021 Mar 1;27(1):100823. [[HTML](#)]
12. Zito M, Ingusci E, Cortese CG, Giancaspro ML, Manuti A, Molino M, Signore F, Russo V. Does the end justify the means? The role of organizational communication among work-from-home employees during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021 Apr 8;18(8):3933. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18083933)
13. Li P, Huang Z, Wang R, Wang S. How does perceived negative workplace gossip influence employee knowledge sharing behavior? An explanation from the perspective of social information processing. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*. 2023 Aug 1;113:103518. [[HTML](#)]
14. Benuyenah V. Rethinking recruitment ethically through the lens of corporate social responsibility (CSR). In *Evidence-based HRM: a Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship 2023 Aug 28* (Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 372-376). Emerald Publishing Limited. [[HTML](#)]
15. De Leersnyder J, Gündemir S, Ağırdag O. Diversity approaches matter in international classrooms: how a multicultural approach buffers against cultural misunderstandings and encourages inclusion and psychological safety. *Studies in Higher Education*. 2022 Sep 2;47(9):1903-20. [researchgate.net](https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2022.2111111)
16. Stahl GK, Maznevski ML. Unraveling the effects of cultural diversity in teams: A retrospective of research on multicultural work groups and an agenda for future research. *Journal of International Business Studies*. 2021;52(1):4. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41297-020-0040-4)
17. Mentzelopoulos SD, Couper K, Van de Voorde P, Druwé P, Blom M, Perkins GD, Lulic I, Djakow J, Raffay V, Lilja G, Bossaert L. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2021: Ethics of resuscitation and end of life decisions. *Resuscitation*. 2021 Apr 1;161:408-32. [resuscitationjournal.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.03.011)
18. Alivernini F, Manganelli S, Girelli L, Cozzolino M, Lucidi F, Cavicchiolo E. Physical distancing behavior: the role of emotions, personality, motivations, and moral decision-making. *Journal of pediatric psychology*. 2021 Jan 1;46(1):15-26. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1093/pedpsy/kiaa001)
19. Dong Q, Wu Y, Lin H, Sun Z, Liang R. Fostering green innovation for corporate competitive advantages in big data era: The role of institutional benefits. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*. 2024 Feb 1;36(2):181-94. [[HTML](#)]
20. Hossain MS, Kannan SN, Raman Nair SK. Factors influencing sustainable competitive advantage in the hospitality industry. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*. 2021 Nov 2;22(6):679-710. [researchgate.net](https://doi.org/10.1080/15487717.2021.2011111)
21. Gabbert F, Hope L, Luther K, Wright G, Ng M, Oxburgh G. Exploring the use of rapport in professional information-gathering contexts by systematically mapping the evidence base. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 2021 Mar;35(2):329-41. [northumbria.ac.uk](https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3611)
22. Leithwood K, Jantzi D, Steinbach R. Leadership and other conditions which foster organizational learning in schools. In *Organizational learning in schools 2021 Dec 16* (pp. 67-90). Taylor & Francis. [[HTML](#)]
23. Ibarra PR, Kitsuse JI. Claims-making discourse and vernacular resources. In *Challenges and Choices 2024 Nov 1* (pp. 17-50). Routledge.
24. Husereau D, Drummond M, Augustovski F, de Bekker-Grob E, Briggs AH, Carswell C, Caulley L, Chaiyakunapruk N, Greenberg D, Loder E, Mauskopf J. Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. *MDM Policy & Practice*. 2022 Jan;7(1):23814683211061097. [sagepub.com](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-08111-1)

CITE AS: Kato Nabirye H. (2025). Professional Responsibility: Communicating Ethical Obligations. Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management, 7(1):28-32