

The Role of Legal Tech Startups in Transforming the Legal Industry: Communicating Innovation in Law

Kagaba Amina G.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

The legal industry, historically characterized by its conservative and tradition-bound nature, is undergoing a significant transformation due to the emergence of legal tech startups. These startups are leveraging advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and automation to enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and improve accessibility to legal services. This paper examines the role of legal tech startups in modernizing the legal industry, with a particular focus on their communication strategies. By examining case studies and industry trends, we identify key strategies that legal tech startups use to engage legal professionals and clients, overcoming skepticism and fostering adoption. The study further examines the impact of innovation on traditional law firms, the challenges of regulatory compliance, and future trends that could redefine the legal profession. Ultimately, legal tech startups are driving a paradigm shift, paving the way for a more dynamic, technology-driven legal landscape.

Keywords: Legal tech startups, innovation in law, digital transformation, legal industry disruption, artificial intelligence, legal services, communication strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Law has long been recognized as a conservative sector, one naturally predisposed to tradition. However, this tradition has not protected the profession from the impact of advances in information and communication technology, which have already transformed other industries. After all, the documents that are the stock-in-trade of the legal industry were some of the very first business matters to be conducted by computer when the rise of technology was first accelerating in the 1950s and 1960s. However, the actual operation of law and the legal profession's image has changed little since the beginning of the 20th century. After more than a decade of incremental gains incorporating computer technology into law firms, largely in replacing paper documents and creating software to support documentation, the legal industry is once more in the grip of a powerful wave of unofficial innovation that is already disrupting traditional professional roles and the structures of the established legal services marketplace [1, 2]. In these rich yet paradoxical times, lawyers provided the technology and infrastructure to invest in the wave of a single missing part: the development of sophisticated software applications to understand and apply the growing body of laws and regulations that sprang up in the wake of the industrial and economic revolutions. This software gave the profession significant leverage, multiplying the productive ability of the occupying inputs. Furthermore, this development in the legal profession also had a profound structural effect on the industry. The time and detachment required to undertake this innovative role produced the modern law firm organizational structure and the corresponding elite expert professionals that we are all familiar with today. In the first few decades of the 21st century, the legal profession, like previous industries, is once more harnessing waves of unofficial innovation that could break through these professional roles and structures [3, 4, 5].

Innovation In the Legal Industry: The Need for Change

The digital transformation of our society is driving change in client behavior and expectations. Faster, open, and responsive services provided by various market disruptors are leading more traditional services

to be considered irrelevant. The legal industry, a sector reliant on confidentiality and historical working habits, has not been immune from global pressures for service innovation and efficiency. Both evidence in the public domain and practitioner commentary clearly illustrate the case for change. The legal profession can be seen to be following a multi-layered approach, seeking efficiencies in their own business, improving access to legal services for consumers, and enhancing the general understanding of the law by regulating innovation within the market [6, 7]. The provision of legal services has traditionally been tightly regulated, a position that was built on the understanding that subjects such as the interpretation of legislation required significant expertise. Despite these limitations, legal practice has for some time been regularly identified as an industry in desperate need of reform. Legal advice remains expensive and inaccessible to the majority of society. This generalization therefore clearly highlights the barriers of expense, location, and understanding that limit affordable access to justice. The current situation allows for the existence of complex, legalized language that the general public cannot easily decipher. The resulting inability to effectively research and plan cases therefore confines many to more costly, traditional routes. There is a significant opportunity to increase competition and individual client satisfaction. This subsequently offers the wider legal system as a public service an opportunity to reduce the number of litigants in person and the potential for widespread ethical and socioeconomic repercussions. Large established law firms have traditionally offered expensive hourly billable packages as standard. Often these firms are also unable to work effectively across time zones due to office opening hours. They have the overheads of larger HR departments and an office location and setup that require significant upkeep. Innovation offers cost savings and efficiencies in several business areas. For example, it has been reported that some firms have incorporated AI into an offering that halves the time that legal real estate transactions take, saving an average of €1,000 per transaction [8, 9, 10].

Communication Strategies for Legal Tech Startups

Communicating Innovation in Law Communication Strategies for Legal Tech Startups Abstract This study explores the communication strategies of legal tech startups. Based on interviews with legal tech entrepreneurs and experts from four European countries, we identify four main communication strategies that are consistent across startups, regardless of the service or product offered. Our findings show that legal tech startups aim to attract and engage two distinct but related audiences: legal professionals and clients. Legal tech startups primarily communicate with legal professionals through social media, networking events, and thought leadership strategies. By sharing content on their innovations and ideas and creating personal relationships, stakeholders from the legal industry are slowly but surely educated about the game-changing potential of technology. In the legal industry, legal tech companies, and practitioners are eager to distinguish themselves from traditional law practices by communicating their innovative and techy character. The promises of innovation and growth are repeated in this studied group. Startups believe networking events will assist them in invaluable brand building. "Slowly but surely, you build up relationships and trust." And indeed, upon involvement in networking and singular events, startups do gain new customers. This brings with it the potential for organic marketing through word-of-mouth: "Satisfied users also recommend us." This paper contributes to a vast literature on legal tech and communication and suggests possible paths for future research [11, 12, 13].

Case Studies: Successful Communication Approaches in Legal Tech

Communicating legal innovation is a particular challenge because potential customers often lack both awareness of the need for change and understanding of proposed solutions. Legal tech startups addressing the above problems employ various communication approaches to promote their product or service in the legal market. When it comes to scaling the business, it becomes a matter of concern for legal tech startups to engage and educate lawyers and law firms, attract new clients, and help them understand the value of implementing innovative technology. Legal professionals are initially skeptical of technology in part because of the profession's conservative image, its embedded tradition of risk aversion, and profound skepticism about technologies' reliability and trustworthiness. Furthermore, communicating legal innovation is difficult because law and legal services are very diverse in different countries. The diverse characteristics of the legal industry, the mismatch of the service providers' mindset with today's legal environment, as well as gaps in communication between innovation providers and traditional lawyers, can be significant factors in causing the low use of technology. Many legal innovation startups have been actively focusing on scaling their business to address the above problem and have started using various communication approaches to engage different stakeholders. This research uses three successful startups, which have shown significant growth and impact since their creation, using

various successful communication approaches to scale their business. This paper focuses on the successful communication approaches startups use to engage law firms and lawyers, end clients, and other stakeholders in increasing the use cases and improving existing solutions to meet the needs of lawyers and law firms. In this paper, 'successful communication approaches' is considered a set of messages or methods to communicate with the target audience that has helped the legal tech startup achieve significant outcomes. The paper is of importance to legal tech startups that are in the early stage of their product development and who struggle to communicate their vision and key messages, reach and engage their customers, position their value offering, create awareness, trigger assessment, and convert into users [14, 15, 16].

Future Trends and Implications for The Legal Industry

Based on the current trends and predictions, it is anticipated that legal tech startups will have far-reaching consequences on the future of the legal industry. Not only are they expected to substantially transform the current business models of traditional lawyering practices with the popularization of the so-called platform model, but legal tech startups are also predicted to shape the future of legal advice, leading to the "lawyering" direction where tech-embedded hybrid lawyering and ethical hacking lawyers and buyers will be popular ideologies. This is further supported by forecasts of the widespread use of advanced data analytics models to make legal research and analysis more effective, the evolution of predictive technology and artificial intelligence in litigation to assist judges in court in reaching better decisions, and the emergence of new types of interdisciplinary and socially sensitive law professionals as a result of the necessary reconfiguring of the legal training syllabus. In addition, the increasingly important role of the general counsel as a valuable business partner, combined with sophisticated technology and the growing value of deriving collaborative legal services to provide the best outcome to clients, will slowly transform traditional legal firms into modern collaborative organizations that regularly team with other service providers to define end-to-end solutions on par with pre-set service levels, exceeding the traditional idea of full-service firms [17, 18, 19]. Furthermore, similar to how accountancy has changed, lawyers of the future will seize the opportunity to better structure legal advice, clearly communicate value risks and benefits at the moment of intervention, and assist clients in finding the best way to record outcomes to meet performance and compliance aims. As a result of the many future trends of legal tech and their influence on the legal profession, a beneficent re-imagination of a tenacity-based approach offers the most positive promise to impact the overall delivery of legal services. Throughout these future developments, it is important to highlight that legal tech startups will not pose regulatory challenges alone; contradictory legal professional and ethical obligations will certainly be significant concerns. A true attorney-client relationship would necessitate making the final decision based on the practical aspects of the case, which may not be justified or increased in competency by a piece of technology. Data security, privacy, and legitimate concerns about hackers and system failures will also continue to play a significant role in the legal tech transformation of the legal industry [20, 5, 21].

CONCLUSION

Legal tech startups are playing a crucial role in reshaping the legal industry by introducing innovative technological solutions that enhance efficiency, accessibility, and cost-effectiveness. While the legal profession has traditionally been resistant to change, increasing pressure from digital transformation and client demands is driving a shift towards technology-driven legal services. The communication strategies employed by legal tech startups are essential in bridging the gap between traditional legal professionals and emerging technologies, fostering awareness and adoption. Looking ahead, the integration of AI, data analytics, and automation will continue to redefine legal practice, offering both opportunities and challenges. As legal tech startups evolve, they must navigate regulatory complexities and ethical concerns while ensuring that technology complements rather than replaces human expertise. The future of law lies in a collaborative approach, where technology and legal professionals work together to enhance service delivery and access to justice.

REFERENCES

1. Yadav US, Tripathi R, Tripathi MA, Ghosal I, Kumar A, Mandal M, Singh A. Digital and innovative entrepreneurship in the Indian handicraft sector after the COVID-19 pandemic: challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*. 2023 Oct 12;12(1):69. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)

2. Kane EJ. The Importance of Monitoring and Mitigating the Safety-net Consequences of Regulation-induced Innovation. *Networks Financial Institute, Policy Brief*. 2009 Nov 1(2009-PB):08.
3. Agrawal S, Sahu A, Kumar G. A conceptual framework for the implementation of Industry 4.0 in legal informatics. *Sustainable Computing: Informatics and Systems*. 2022 Jan 1;33:100650. [\[HTML\]](#)
4. Sharma S, Gamoura S, Prasad D, Aneja A. Emerging Legal Informatics towards Legal Innovation: Current status and future challenges and opportunities. *Legal Information Management*. 2021 Dec;21(3-4):218-35. [ssrn.com](#)
5. Webb J. Legal technology: the great disruption. *Lawyers in 21st Century Societies*. 2022;2:515-40.
6. Shenker N, Linden J, Wang B, Mackenzie C, Hildebrandt AP, Spears J, Davis D, Nangia S, Weaver G. Comparison between the for-profit human milk industry and nonprofit human milk banking: Time for regulation?. *Maternal & Child Nutrition*. 2024 Jan;20(1):e13570. [wiley.com](#)
7. El-Chaarani H, Abraham R, Skaf Y. The impact of corporate governance on the financial performance of the banking sector in the MENA (Middle Eastern and North African) region: An immunity test of banks for COVID-19. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*. 2022 Feb 16;15(2):82. [mdpi.com](#)
8. Tippet EC, Alexander CS, Branting K, Morawski P, Balhana C, Pfeifer C, Bayer S. Does lawyering matter? Predicting judicial decisions from legal briefs, and what that means for access to justice. *Tex. L. Rev.*. 2021;100:1157. [ssrn.com](#)
9. Brescia RH, McCarthy W, McDonald A, Potts K, Rivaïs C. Embracing disruption: How technological change in the delivery of legal services can improve access to justice. *Alb. L. Rev.*. 2014;78:553.
10. Perlman A. The implications of ChatGPT for legal services and society. *Mich. Tech. L. Rev.*. 2023;30:1.
11. Agrawal S, Agrawal N, Bansal R, Rawat A. Online Social Networking: Navigating the Myth and Reality of Friendship in the Era of Zero Trust. *Online Social Networks in Business Frameworks*. 2024 Oct 22:523-49. [\[HTML\]](#)
12. Igbinenikaro E, Adewusi AO. Developing international policy guidelines for managing cross-border insolvencies in the digital economy. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*. 2024 Apr 7;6(4):1034-48. [fepbl.com](#)
13. Li L, Ma Z, Fan L, Lee S, Yu H, Hemphill L. ChatGPT in education: A discourse analysis of worries and concerns on social media. *Education and Information Technologies*. 2024 Jun;29(9):10729-62. [\[PDF\]](#)
14. Kaggwa S, Akinoso A, Dawodu SO, Uwaoma PU, Akindote OJ, Osawaru SE. Entrepreneurial strategies for AI startups: navigating market and investment challenges. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*. 2023 Dec 25;5(12):1085-108. [fepbl.com](#)
15. Joel OT, Oguanobi VU. Entrepreneurial leadership in startups and SMEs: Critical lessons from building and sustaining growth. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*. 2024 May 4;6(5):1441-56. [fepbl.com](#)
16. Lit FC, Huijben JC, Cloudt MM, Paredis E. Business model innovation in circular start-ups: Overcoming barriers in the circular plastics economy. *International Small Business Journal*. 2024 Jun;42(4):506-50. [sagepub.com](#)
17. Jones E, Ryan F, Thanaraj A, Wong T. Digital lawyering: Technology and legal practice in the 21st century. *Routledge*; 2021 Nov 29.
18. Armour J, Sako M. Lawtech: Levelling the playing field in legal services?. Available at SSRN 3831481. 2021 Apr 21.
19. Ramos Zaga FA. Legal technology: A comprehensive analysis of their impact on legal industry and enhancing entrepreneurial opportunities. *Derecho global. Estudios sobre derecho y justicia*. 2023. [scielo.org.mx](#)
20. Mania K. Legal technology: assessment of the legal tech industry's potential. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*. 2023 Jun;14(2):595-619.
21. Taihagh A, Ramesh M, Howlett M. Assessing the regulatory challenges of emerging disruptive technologies. *Regulation & Governance*. 2021 Oct;15(4):1009-19.

CITE AS: Kagaba Amina G. (2025). The Role of Legal Tech Startups in Transforming the Legal Industry: Communicating Innovation in Law. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES 6(2):11-15.