©IDOSR PUBLICATIONS
ISSN: 2579-079X
International Digital Organization for Scientific Research
IDOSR JST/25/111.19000
IDOSR JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY 11(1):1-9, 2025.
https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JST/25/111.19000

Comprehensive Review of the Development and Fabrication of a Hybrid MPPT Controller Using Fuzzy Logic and Perturb & Observe techniques for High-Efficiency PV Systems

Mwenyi Holand and Kakyegyema Simera

Department of Electrical, Telecommunication and Computer Engineering, Kampala International University, Western Campus, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Photovoltaic (PV) systems, essential for renewable energy generation, rely on Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) algorithms to optimize energy extraction. The Perturb and Observe (P&O) algorithm, while popular for its simplicity, suffers from limitations such as slow response to irradiance fluctuations, steady-state oscillations, and suboptimal performance under dynamic conditions. Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC) offers adaptive capabilities, improving tracking accuracy and stability, but requires proper tuning to be effective. This paper proposes a hybrid MPPT controller that integrates P&O with FLC to address these shortcomings. The hybrid system combines the robustness and simplicity of P&O with the adaptive nature of FLC, resulting in faster tracking, reduced oscillations, and enhanced stability under variable environmental conditions. The proposed solution demonstrates significant improvements in both off-grid and grid-tied PV systems, ensuring efficient energy harvesting and reduced power losses in real-world applications.

Keywords: Photovoltaic Systems, Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT), Perturb and Observe (P&O) Algorithm, Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC), Hybrid MPPT Controller

INTRODUCTION

Renewable energy has become a critical component in addressing the growing global demand for sustainable and environmentally friendly power sources [1]. As the world transitions from fossil fuels to cleaner alternatives, renewable energy sources such as solar, wind, and hydropower have emerged as vital contributors to the energy mix [2,3,4]. Among these, Photovoltaic (PV) systems, which convert sunlight directly into electricity, have gained significant attention due to their scalability, minimal environmental impact, and widespread availability [5,6,7]. However, the efficiency of PV systems is intricately linked to their ability to track the maximum power point (MPP), which fluctuates in response to varying environmental conditions such as irradiance and temperature. To optimize energy extraction from PV systems, Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) algorithms have been developed [8,9]. These algorithms ensure that the PV system operates at its highest efficiency by dynamically adjusting the operating point to match the changing environmental conditions. Traditional MPPT techniques, such as the Perturb and Observe (P&O) algorithm, are widely used because of their simplicity, ease of implementation, and effectiveness under stable conditions [8,10]. However, these methods face several limitations, including slow response times to rapid changes in irradiance, steady-state oscillations around the MPP, and sensitivity to noise [11,12]. These drawbacks compromise the overall performance of PV systems, especially under dynamic environmental conditions.

To address the challenges faced by traditional MPPT techniques, more advanced strategies have been proposed, with hybrid approaches gaining increasing attention [9]. These hybrid methods combine the strengths of multiple algorithms, resulting in enhanced tracking accuracy, faster response times, and better adaptability to environmental fluctuations. By integrating both traditional and advanced techniques, hybrid MPPT controllers have the potential to significantly improve the energy harvesting capabilities of PV systems, particularly in real-world applications where environmental conditions are often unpredictable and variable. This paper specifically explores the integration of Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC) with the Perturb and Observe (P&O) algorithm, a hybrid solution designed to overcome the limitations of conventional methods. By combining the adaptive capabilities of FLC with the simplicity and robustness of P&O, the proposed hybrid MPPT controller aims to achieve faster tracking speeds, greater stability, and improved performance under varying irradiance and temperature conditions [13]. The primary goal of this research is to develop a hybrid MPPT controller that can be effectively utilized in both off-grid and grid-tied PV systems, ensuring efficient energy harvesting, increased system reliability, and reduced power losses.

Overview of MPPT Techniques

MPPT is a critical technology used in PV systems to maximize energy extraction by continuously adjusting the system's operating point to the maximum power point (MPP). Several MPPT techniques have been developed to optimize the efficiency of PV systems under varying environmental conditions, such as changes in irradiance and temperature. These methods range from traditional algorithms, like Perturb and Observe (P&O), to advanced strategies incorporating Artificial Intelligence (AI), such as fuzzy logic, neural networks, and reinforcement learning [9,13, 15,16]. This section provides an overview of these MPPT techniques, highlighting their strengths, limitations, and applications in different PV system configurations.

Perturb and Observe (P&O) Algorithm

The Perturb and Observe algorithm is one of the most widely used MPPT techniques due to its straightforward implementation and low computational requirements. It operates by periodically perturbing the operating voltage of the photovoltaic system and observing the resulting change in power output. If the power increases, the perturbation continues in the same direction; otherwise, the direction is reversed [17,18]. This iterative process enables the system to track the Maximum Power Point (MPP) efficiently under stable environmental conditions. Despite its simplicity and effectiveness, the P&O algorithm has several limitations. It exhibits slow response times when irradiance changes rapidly, leading to suboptimal energy harvesting. Additionally, it suffers from steady-state oscillations around the MPP, which can cause power losses [18]. Moreover, the algorithm struggles with partial shading conditions, as it may become trapped in local power maxima instead of identifying the global MPP. These challenges highlight the need for enhanced MPPT techniques, such as hybrid approaches, to improve tracking accuracy and system stability in dynamic environments.

Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC)

Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC) provides an adaptive and intelligent approach to Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) by leveraging linguistic variables and a set of if-then rules to regulate decision-making. Unlike traditional MPPT techniques that rely on precise mathematical models, FLC can effectively handle nonlinearities and system uncertainties, making it highly suitable for dynamic PV environments. By processing real-time inputs such as changes in power and voltage, FLC determines the optimal adjustments required to track the Maximum Power Point (MPP) efficiently [13,19,20]. One of the key advantages of FLC is its superior tracking accuracy and stability, particularly under rapidly changing irradiance conditions [20]. It minimizes steady-state oscillations and enhances response speed compared to conventional methods like Perturb and Observe. However, the performance of FLC-based MPPT heavily depends on the proper design of its rule base and membership functions [19]. Developing an optimized FLC system requires expert knowledge and extensive testing to ensure that the rules accurately capture the PV system's behavior under various operating conditions. Improper tuning of these parameters can lead to suboptimal power extraction and reduced system efficiency.

Hybrid Approaches

Hybrid MPPT techniques leverage the strengths of multiple algorithms to enhance the efficiency and reliability of Maximum Power Point Tracking in photovoltaic systems. By integrating Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC) with the Perturb and Observe algorithm, the hybrid approach aims to address the limitations of each individual method, resulting in improved performance under diverse operating conditions [21,22,23]. FLC excels in handling rapid irradiance fluctuations and nonlinearities, making it highly responsive to dynamic environmental changes. However, its dependence on a well-defined rule base and membership functions requires expert knowledge for optimal performance. On the other hand, P&O is widely recognized for its simplicity and ease of implementation but suffers from slow response time and steady-state oscillations, particularly in rapidly changing conditions. By combining these two methods, the hybrid MPPT controller benefits from the adaptability and intelligence of FLC while retaining the simplicity and robustness of P&O [24,25]. The FLC component enhances response speed and stability by dynamically adjusting tracking parameters, whereas P&O ensures effective MPP tracking under stable conditions. This synergy leads to reduced power oscillations, faster convergence to the MPP, and improved energy harvesting efficiency. Consequently, the hybrid approach offers a practical and effective solution for both off-grid and grid-tied PV applications, ensuring optimal power extraction even in challenging environmental conditions.

Hybrid MPPT Controller: FLC and P&O Integration

A Hybrid MPPT controller integrates the strengths of both the P&O algorithm and FLC to optimize the performance of PV systems. While P&O is widely used for its simplicity and ease of implementation, it struggles with rapid irradiance changes and steady-state oscillations. FLC, on the other hand, offers adaptability by using fuzzy logic to handle nonlinearity and fluctuations in environmental conditions [9,15,13]. The hybrid approach combines the robustness of P&O for steady-state conditions with the fast response capabilities of FLC for dynamic environments [15]. This integration allows for faster tracking, improved stability, and enhanced adaptability, ensuring that the PV system operates at peak efficiency under varying irradiance and temperature conditions. The result is a more reliable and efficient MPPT solution, particularly suitable for both off-grid and grid-tied PV systems.

Motivation for Hybridization

The integration of FLC with the P&O algorithm is driven by the need to enhance the performance of MPPT in photovoltaic (PV) systems. Traditional MPPT methods, such as P&O, are widely used due to their simplicity, ease of implementation, and low computational requirements. However, they exhibit limitations, including slow response to rapidly changing irradiance, steady-state power oscillations, and reduced efficiency under dynamic environmental conditions [25]. FLC, in contrast, provides a more adaptive and intelligent approach to MPPT by leveraging linguistic variables and rule-based decision-making. It can effectively handle nonlinearities and sudden fluctuations in irradiance, allowing for smoother power tracking and better stability. Despite these advantages, FLC alone requires careful tuning of its rule base and membership functions, which can be complex and computationally intensive [26]. By hybridizing FLC with P&O, the resulting MPPT controller capitalizes on the simplicity and robustness of P&O while enhancing adaptability and response speed through FLC. This combination enables faster convergence to the maximum power point (MPP), minimizes oscillations, and improves tracking accuracy. In off-grid PV applications, where environmental conditions can shift unpredictably, such a hybrid approach ensures stable and efficient energy harvesting, making it a compelling solution for real-world implementations.

Working Principle of the Hybrid Controller

The hybrid MPPT controller integrates the Perturb and Observe algorithm with Fuzzy Logic Control to enhance tracking efficiency and adaptability in photovoltaic (PV) systems. The P&O algorithm serves as the fundamental tracking mechanism, periodically perturbing the operating voltage and observing changes in power output. Under stable environmental conditions, P&O effectively maintains the Maximum Power Point by making small adjustments to the operating voltage [27,28]. However, when the system encounters rapid fluctuations in irradiance or temperature, the limitations of P&O—such as slow response time and steady-state oscillations—become more pronounced. At this stage, the FLC module takes over, using its rule-based inference system to dynamically adjust the voltage and optimize power extraction. By leveraging linguistic variables and fuzzy rules, FLC provides a more adaptive response, reducing oscillations and improving system stability [29]. The hybrid controller operates in a cooperative manner: P&O functions as the primary MPPT algorithm under stable conditions, ensuring simplicity and computational efficiency, while FLC intervenes during rapid environmental changes to enhance tracking speed and accuracy. This adaptive switching mechanism ensures that the PV system continuously operates at or near the MPP, minimizing power losses and improving overall energy conversion efficiency.

Fabrication and Implementation

The hybrid MPPT controller is implemented using a microcontroller-based system that seamlessly integrates both the Perturb and Observe and Fuzzy Logic Control algorithms. The design prioritizes energy efficiency and adaptability, ensuring robust performance in both off-grid and grid-tied photovoltaic applications [30,31]. The hardware setup includes essential sensors for measuring irradiance, temperature, voltage, and current in real-time. These sensors provide continuous feedback to the microcontroller, which processes the data and determines the optimal operating point [32,33]. The controller then dynamically adjusts the PV system's operating voltage to maintain maximum power extraction. To ensure practical applicability, the system is designed with minimal computational overhead, making it suitable for low-power embedded platforms. The FLC module is optimized to respond swiftly to rapid environmental changes, mitigating the limitations of P&O under fluctuating conditions. Additionally, the fabricated prototype undergoes rigorous testing under varying irradiance and temperature conditions to validate its efficiency, stability, and reliability in real-world scenarios.

Benefits of the Hybrid MPPT Controller

1. Faster Tracking Speed: The integration of the P&O algorithm with Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC) enhances the tracking speed of the MPPT controller by leveraging the strengths of both techniques. P&O provides a straightforward mechanism for steady-state tracking, while FLC introduces intelligent adaptability to handle rapid fluctuations in irradiance and temperature. Unlike conventional P&O, which may exhibit sluggish responses under dynamic conditions, the hybrid approach enables faster convergence to the MPP. The FLC component processes environmental variations in real time and applies corrective adjustments before the P&O algorithm resumes fine-tuning. This reduces the time required to stabilize at the optimal power point, minimizing energy losses during transient conditions and improving the overall efficiency of the PV system [9, 15].
2. Improved Stability: One of the key advantages of the hybrid MPPT controller is its ability to enhance system stability, particularly in mitigating the steady-state oscillations that are commonly observed with the P&O algorithm. These oscillations occur when the P&O method fluctuates around the maximum power point (MPP), reducing the overall efficiency of the PV system. By incorporating Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC), the hybrid controller can smooth out these oscillations. The FLC dynamically adjusts the system's operating point based on real-time changes in irradiance and temperature, ensuring a more stable tracking process. As the system encounters fluctuating environmental conditions, the FLC continuously fine-tunes the system's response, maintaining stable operation around the MPP. This results in improved overall system performance, especially in environments where irradiance is variable, such as in off-grid PV applications [9,26].
3. Enhanced Adaptability: The hybrid MPPT controller offers significant improvements in adaptability, making it particularly well-suited for environments with dynamic and fluctuating conditions. The Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC) component provides the system with a flexible, rule-based approach that can dynamically respond to changes in irradiance and temperature in real-time. As environmental conditions vary, such as during cloud cover or temperature fluctuations, the FLC adjusts the system's operating point to maintain optimal power extraction. This adaptability ensures that the PV system operates efficiently under diverse conditions, maximizing energy capture regardless of environmental changes. By seamlessly integrating the P&O algorithm for steady-state conditions and FLC for rapid environmental changes, the hybrid system ensures continuous optimization, reducing power losses and improving overall system performance [11].
4. Reduced Power Losses: The integration of Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC) with the Perturb and Observe (P&O) algorithm significantly reduces power losses, especially during rapid changes in irradiance. While the P&O algorithm is effective in stable conditions, it can result in energy losses during fluctuating irradiance levels due to its slower response times [27]. The FLC component enhances the system's ability to respond quickly to these changes by adjusting the operating voltage in real-time, ensuring that the system remains at or near the Maximum Power Point (MPP). This quick adaptation minimizes delays in tracking and ensures that the PV system extracts the maximum possible power from the available sunlight. As a result, the hybrid controller reduces inefficiencies caused by irradiance fluctuations, optimizing energy harvesting even under less-than-ideal environmental conditions. By minimizing power losses, the hybrid system improves the overall efficiency of the PV system, leading to enhanced energy utilization and reduced operational costs [27,28].

Applications of the Hybrid MPPT Controller

1. Off-Grid PV Systems: In off-grid PV systems, where power generation is heavily dependent on fluctuating environmental conditions, maintaining a stable power output is crucial for supporting critical loads [35,36]. The hybrid MPPT controller, by combining the Perturb and Observe algorithm with Fuzzy Logic Control, is especially advantageous in such settings [37,38]. This system's ability to quickly adapt to rapid changes in irradiance ensures that the PV system continuously operates at or near the Maximum Power Point (MPP), regardless of fluctuations in environmental factors such as sunlight intensity and temperature [35,39]. The FLC enhances the adaptability of the controller, enabling it to respond efficiently to changes in irradiance and temperature, ensuring stable and reliable power generation. This is particularly important in off-grid applications where the availability of power is crucial for essential services like lighting, water pumping, and communication systems [40,41]. The hybrid controller ensures that the system provides optimal power output even in the absence of grid support, making it a reliable solution for off-grid communities and applications where grid connectivity is not available [42,43].

2. Grid-Tied PV Systems: In grid-tied PV systems, the hybrid MPPT controller plays a crucial role in maximizing energy extraction from the PV modules while ensuring synchronization with the grid [44,45]. The fast response time and stability provided by the combination of Perturb and Observe and Fuzzy Logic Control are essential for maintaining both power quality and efficiency in grid-connected applications [37,46]. The hybrid controller continuously tracks the Maximum Power Point while adapting to fluctuating environmental conditions, ensuring that the PV system generates the maximum possible power output. By doing so, it contributes to the efficiency of the grid-tied system, reducing power losses and enhancing energy production [39,40]. The FLC's adaptability ensures that the system remains stable even during rapid changes in irradiance and temperature, preventing fluctuations in the power fed to the grid [48],49. Additionally, the hybrid controller ensures that the power output from the PV system remains in phase with the grid, facilitating smooth energy transfer between the PV system and the grid [50]. This is critical for avoiding power quality issues, such as voltage fluctuations or harmonics, that could affect the grid or other connected systems [51,52]. Thus, the hybrid MPPT controller improves the overall reliability, efficiency, and stability of grid-tied PV systems, contributing to the sustainable integration of renewable energy into the grid.

Research Findings

The integration of the Perturb and Observe (P&O) algorithm with Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC) in the hybrid Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) controller led to several notable improvements in the performance of photovoltaic (PV) systems. Firstly, the hybrid MPPT controller demonstrated **improved tracking speed** when compared to the standalone P&O algorithm. The FLC's real-time adaptive adjustments enable the system to respond swiftly to fluctuations in irradiance and temperature. This results in a significant reduction in the time taken to reach the Maximum Power Point (MPP), ensuring the system operates more efficiently under varying environmental conditions.

Secondly, the **enhanced stability** of the hybrid MPPT controller was observed, particularly in steady-state conditions. The incorporation of FLC mitigates the steady-state oscillations that are often encountered with traditional P&O algorithms. By dynamically adjusting the tracking parameters, FLC ensures the PV system remains stable and operates close to the MPP, even in fluctuating environmental conditions. Additionally, the hybrid approach significantly improved the system's **adaptability to dynamic conditions**. The rule-based decision-making capability of FLC allows the system to make rapid adjustments in response to changes in irradiance and temperature. This adaptability ensures consistent maximum power extraction even under variable weather conditions, such as during partial shading, cloud cover, or temperature fluctuations. Lastly, the hybrid MPPT controller contributed to **reduced power losses**. By swiftly adapting to changes in irradiance, the system minimizes power losses that typically occur during periods of rapid environmental change. The FLC module ensures efficient power extraction, even in suboptimal conditions, such as during partial shading or rapid cloud movements, further enhancing the overall energy efficiency of the PV system.

CONCLUSION

The hybrid MPPT controller, combining the Perturb and Observe algorithm with Fuzzy Logic Control, provides a robust solution for enhancing the performance of photovoltaic systems. By addressing the inherent limitations of traditional P&O techniques, such as slow response times and steady-state oscillations, this hybrid controller enables faster convergence to the MPP, enhanced stability, and superior adaptability to environmental fluctuations. The hybrid approach proves particularly beneficial in both off-grid and grid-tied applications, where dynamic environmental conditions pose significant challenges to energy optimization. The findings from this research highlight the hybrid system's potential in improving energy efficiency, reducing power losses, and contribute to the reliable integration of renewable energy sources, positioning it as a promising solution for future PV system designs.

REFERENCES

1. Nowotny, J., Dodson, J., Fiechter, S., Gür, T. M., Kennedy, B., Macyk, W., ... & Rahman, K. A. (2018). Towards global sustainability: Education on environmentally clean energy

technologies. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *81*, 2541-2551.

2. Eze, V. H. U., Edozie, E., Umaru, K., Okafor, O. W., Ugwu, C. N., & Ogenyi, F. C. (2023). Overview of Renewable Energy Power Generation and Conversion (2015-2023). *EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING (EEJE)*, *4*(1), 105–113.
3. Eze, V. H. U., Edozie, E., Umaru, K., Ugwu, C. N., Okafor, W. O., Ogenyi, C. F., Nafuna, R., Yudaya, N., & Wantimba, J. (2023). A Systematic Review of Renewable Energy Trend. *NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES*, *3*(2), 93–99.
4. Eze, V. H. U., Innocent, E. E., Victor, A. I., Ukagwu, K. J., Ugwu, C. N., Ogenyi, F. C., & Mbonu, C. I. (2024). Challenges and opportunities in optimizing hybrid renewable energy systems for grid stability and socio- economic development in rural Sub-saharan Africa: A narrative review. *KIU Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology*, *3*(2), 132–146.
5. Eze, V. H. U., Mwenyi, J. S., Ukagwu, K. J., Eze, M. C., Eze, C. E., & Okafor, W. O. (2024). Design analysis of a sustainable techno-economic hybrid renewable energy system: Application of solar and wind in Sigulu Island, Uganda. *Scientific African*, *26*(2024),e02454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2024.e02454
6. Eze, V. H. U., Uche, K. C. A., Okafor, W. O., Edozie, E., Ugwu, C. N., & Ogenyi, F. C. (2023). Renewable Energy Powered Water System in Uganda : A Critical Review. *NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES (NIJSES)*, *3*(3), 140–147.
7. Conceptar, M., Umaru, K., Eze, V. H. U., Jim, M., Asikuru, S., Musa, N., Ochima, N., & Wisdom, O. (2024). Modeling and Implementation of a Hybrid Solar-Wind Renewable Energy System for Constant Power Supply. *Journal of Engineering, Technology & Applied Science*, *6*(2), 72–82. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.jetas-0602.655
8. Eze, V. H. U., Oparaku, U. O., Ugwu, A. S., & Ogbonna, C. C. (2021). A Comprehensive Review on Recent Maximum Power Point Tracking of a Solar Photovoltaic Systems using Intelligent , Non-Intelligent and Hybrid based Techniques. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, *6*(5), 456–474.
9. Eze, V. H. U., Eze, C. M., Ugwu, S. A., Enyi, V. S., Okafor, W. O., Ogbonna, C. C., & Oparaku, O. U. (2025). Development of maximum power point tracking algorithm based on Improved Optimized Adaptive Differential Conductance Technique for renewable energy generation. *Heliyon*, *11*(1), e41344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e41344
10. Eze, V. H. U., Umaru, K., Edozie, E., Nafuna, R., & Yudaya, N. (2023). The Differences between Single Diode Model and Double Diode Models of a Solar Photovoltaic Cells : Systematic Review. *Journal of Engineering, Technology & Applied Science*, *5*(2), 57–66. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.jetas-0502.541
11. Fred, O., Ukagwu, K. J., Abdulkarim, A., & Eze, V. H. U. (2024). Reliability and maintainability analysis of Solar Photovoltaic Systems in rural regions: A narrative review of challenges, strategies, and policy implications for sustainable electrification. *KIU Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology*, *3*(2), 103–122.
12. Eze, V. H. U. (2017). *Maximum Power Point Tracking of Polycrystalline Photovoltaic Cells Based on Optimized Adaptive Differential Conductance Technique* (Doctoral dissertation).
13. Eze, V. H. U., Bubu, P. E., Mbonu, C. I., Ogenyi, F. C., & Ugwu, C. N. (2025). AI-Driven Optimization of Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) for Enhanced Efficiency in Solar Photovoltaic Systems: A Comparative Analysis of Conventional and Advanced Techniques. *INOSR Experimental Sciences*, *15*(1), 63–81.
14. Eze, V. H. U., Eze, M. C., Chijindu, V., Eze, E. C., Ugwu, A. S., & Ogbonna, C. C. (2022). Development of Improved Maximum Power Point Tracking Algorithm Based on Balancing Particle Swarm Optimization for Renewable Energy Generation. *IDOSR Journal of Applied Sciences*, *7*(1), 12–28.
15. Eze, V. H. U., Iloanusi, O. N., Eze, M. C., & Osuagwu, C. C. (2017). Maximum power point tracking technique based on optimized adaptive differential conductance. *Cogent Engineering*, *4*(1), 1339336.

https://doi.org/10.1080/23311916.2017.1339336

16. Eze, V. H. U., Ukagwu, K. J., Ugwu, C. N., Uche, C. K. A., Edozie, E., Okafor, W. O., & Ogenyi, F. C. (2023). Renewable and Rechargeable Powered Air Purifier and Humidifier : A Review. *INOSR Scientific Research*, *9*(3), 56–63. https://doi.org/http://www.inosr.net/inosr-scientific-research/
17. Ahmed, J., & Salam, Z. (2015). An improved perturb and observe (P&O) maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithm for higher efficiency. *Applied Energy*, *150*, 97-108.
18. Elgendy, M. A., Zahawi, B., & Atkinson, D. J. (2011). Assessment of perturb and observe MPPT algorithm implementation techniques for PV pumping applications. *IEEE transactions on sustainable energy*, *3*(1), 21-33.
19. Eze, V. H. U., Ugwu, C. N., & Ogenyi, F. C. (2024b). Blockchain-Enabled Supply Chain Traceability in Food Safety. *Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science*, *3*(1), 46–51. https://doi.org/https://rojournals.org/roj-biological-and-applied-science/
20. Eze, V. H. U., Ugwu, C. N., & Ogenyi, F. C. (2024c). Blockchain Technology in Clinical Trials. *Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science*, *3*(1), 40–45. https://doi.org/https://rojournals.org/roj-biological-and-applied-science/
21. Uche, C. K. A., Eze, V. H. U., Kisakye, A., Francis, K., & Okafor, W. O. (2023). Design of a Solar Powered Water Supply System for Kagadi Model Primary School in Uganda. *Journal of Engineering, Technology & Applied Science*, *5*(2), 67–78. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.jetas-0502.548
22. Ukagwu, K. J., Kapalata, P., & Eze, V. H. U (2024). Automated Power Source Selection System for Uninterrupted Supply: Integration of Main Power, Solar Energy and Generator Power. *Journal of Engineering, Technology & Applied Science*, *6*(1), 11–21. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.jetas-0601.632
23. Eze, V. H. U., Richard, K., Ukagwu, K. J., & Okafor, W. (2024). Factors Influencing the Efficiency of Solar Energy Systems. *Journal of Engineering, Technology & Applied Science*, *6*(3), 119–131. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.jetas-0603.748
24. Mwenyi, S. J., Ukagwu, K. J., & Eze, V. H. U. (2024). Analyzing the Design and Implementation of Sustainable Energy Systems in Island Communities. *International Journal of Education, Science, Technology, and Engineering (IJESTE)*, *7*(1), 10–24. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.ijeste-0701.671
25. Enerst, E., Eze, V. H. U., Ibrahim, M. J., & Bwire, I. (2023). Automated Hybrid Smart Door Control System. *IAA Journal of Scientific Research*, *10*(1), 36–48.
26. Edozie, E., Dickens, T., Okafor, O., & Eze, V. H. U. (2024). Design and Validation of Advancing Autonomous Firefighting Robot. *KIU Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology*, *3*(1), 56–62. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.59568/KJSET-2024-3-1-06
27. Eze, V. H. U., Olisa, S. C., Eze, M. C., Ibokette, B. O., Ugwu, S. A., & Odo, J. I. (2016). Effect of Input Current and the Receiver-Transmitter Distance on the Voltage Detected By Infrared Receiver. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, *7*(10), 642–645.
28. Iddi, K. E. S., Umaru, K., Eze, V. H. U., Asikuru, S., Musa, N., & Ochima, N. (2024). Voltage Optimization on Low Voltage Distribution Transformer Zones Using Batteries in Uganda. *Journal of Engineering, Technology & Applied Science*, *6*(1), 22–30. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.jetas-0601.639
29. Conceptar, M., Umaru, K., Eze, V. H. U., & Wisdom, O. O. (2024). Design and Implementation of a DC to AC Power Electronics-Based Inverter that Produces Pure Sine Wave Output for Critical Engineering Applications. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Applied Science*,*6*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.ijortas-0601.615
30. Eze, M. C., Eze, V. H. U., Ugwuanyi, G. N., Alnajideen, M., Atia, A., Olisa, S. C., Rocha, V. G., & Min, G. (2022). Improving the efficiency and stability of in-air fabricated perovskite solar cells using the mixed antisolvent of methyl acetate and chloroform. *Organic Electronics*, *107*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgel.2022.106552
31. Eze, M. C., Ugwuanyi, G., Li, M., Eze, V. H.

U., Rodriguez, G. M., Evans, A., Rocha, V. G., Li, Z., & Min, G. (2021). Optimum silver contact sputtering parameters for efficient perovskite solar cell fabrication. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, *230*(2020), 111185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2021.111185

32. Eze, V. H. U. (2024). Advanced Cryptographic Protocols Using Homomorphic Encryption. *RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND PHYSICAL SCIENCE*, *3*(1), 80–88. https://doi.org/https://rijournals.com/engineering-and-physical-sciences/
33. Eze, V. H. U. (2024). Advancing Sustainable Energy Solutions in Uganda : A Comprehensive Exploration for Multi-Source Power Control Design. *IAA Journal of Applied Sciences*, *11*(1), 73–86. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJAS/2024/6.68.41.47
34. Eze, V. H. U., Eze, M. C., Chidiebere, C. S., Ibokette, B. O., Ani, M., & Anike, U. P. (2016). Review of the Effects of Standard Deviation on Time and Frequency Response of Gaussian Filter. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, *7*(9), 747–751.
35. Okafor, W. O., Edeagu, S. O., Chijindu, V. C., Iloanusi, O. N., & Eze, V. H. U. (2023). A Comprehensive Review on Smart Grid Ecosystem. *IDOSR Journal of Applied Science*, *8*(1), 25–63.
36. Stephen, B., Abdulkarim, A., Mustafa, M. M., & Eze, V. H. U. (2024). Enhancing the resilience and efficiency of microgrids through optimal integration of renewable energy sources and intelligent control systems : A review. *KIU Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology*, *3*(2), 21–38
37. Ugwu, C. N., Ogenyi, F. C., & Eze, V. H. U. (2024c). Optimization of Renewable Energy Integration in Smart Grids: Mathematical Modeling and Engineering Applications. *RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES*, *3*(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/https://rijournals.com/engineering-and-physical-sciences/
38. Ogbonna, C. C., Eze, V. H. U., Ikechuwu, E. S., Okafor, O., Anichebe, O. C., & Oparaku, O. U. (2023). A Comprehensive Review of Artificial Neural Network Techniques Used for Smart Meter-Embedded forecasting System. *IDOSR JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCES*, *8*(1), 13–24.
39. Eze, M. C., Eze, V. H. U., Chidebelu, N. O., Ugwu, S. A., Odo, J. I., & Odi, J. I. (2017). NOVEL PASSIVE NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE CLAMPER CIRCUITS DESIGN FOR ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, *8*(5), 856–867.
40. Eze, V. H. U., Edozie, E., Okafor, O. W., & Uche, K. C. A. (2023). A Comparative Analysis of Renewable Energy Policies and its Impact on Economic Growth : A Review. *International Journal of Education, Science, Technology and Engineering*, *6*(2), 41–46. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.ijeste-0602.555
41. Eze, V. H. U., Eze, M. C., Enerst, E., & Eze, C. E. (2023). Design and Development of Effective Multi-Level Cache Memory Model. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Applied Science*, *5*(2), 54–64. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.ijortas-0502.515
42. Eze, V. H. U., Eze, M. C., Ogbonna, C. C., Ugwu, S. A., Emeka, K., & Onyeke, C. A. (2021). Comprehensive Review of Recent Electric Vehicle Charging Stations. *Global Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, *1*(12), 16–23.
43. Eze, V. H. U., Onyia, M. O., Odo, J. I., & Ugwu, S. A. (2017). DEVELOPMENT OF ADUINO BASED SOFTWARE FOR WATER PUMPING IRRIGATION SYSTEM. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, *8*(8), 1384–1399.
44. Eze, V. H. U., Robert, O., Sarah, N. I., Tamball, J. S., Uzoma, O. F., & Okafor, W. O. (2024). Transformative Potential of Thermal Storage Applications in Advancing Energy Efficiency and Sustainability. *IDOSR JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCES*, *9*(1), 51–64.
45. Eze, V. H. U., Tamba II, J. S., Eze, M. C., Okafor, W. O., & Bawor, F. H. (2024). Integration of carbon capture utilization and storage into sustainable energy policies in Africa : the case of Liberia. *Oxford Open Energy*, *3*(2024), oiae011. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/ooenergy/oiae011
46. Eze, V. H. U., Tamball, J. S., Robert, O., & Okafor, W. O. (2024). Advanced Modeling Approaches for Latent Heat Thermal Energy Storage Systems. *IAA Journal of*

Applied Sciences, *11*(1), 49–56. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJAS/2024/6.68.39.34 www.iaajournals.org

47. Eze, V. H. U., Tamball, J. S., Uzoma, O. F., Sarah, I., Robert, O., & Okafor, W. O. (2024). Advancements in Energy Efficiency Technologies for Thermal Systems : A Comprehensive Review. *INOSR APPLIED SCIENCES*, *12*(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.59298/INOSRAS/2024/1.1.1010
48. Eze, V. H. U., Ugwu, C. N., & Ogenyi, F. C. (2024a). Applications of Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIS) in Neurorehabilitation. *Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science*, *3*(1), 35–39. https://doi.org/https://rojournals.org/roj-biological-and-applied-science/
49. Eze, V. H. U., Ugwu, C. N., & Ugwuanyi, I. C. (2023). A Study of Cyber Security Threats, Challenges in Different Fields and its Prospective Solutions : A Review. *INOSR Journal of Scientific Research*, *9*(1), 13–24.
50. Eze, V. H. U., Uzoma, O. F., Tamball, J. S., Sarah, N. I., Robert, O., & Okafor, O. W. (2023). Assessing Energy Policies , Legislation and Socio-Economic Impacts in the Quest for Sustainable Development. *International Journal of Education, Science, Technology and Engineering*, *6*(2), 68–79. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.ijeste-0602.594
51. Ukagwu, K. J., Isaac, E. A., Eze, V. H. U., Chikadibia, K. U. A., & Ukagwu, F. (2024). Innovative Design and Implementation of Portable and Rechargeable Air Purifier and Humidifier. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Applied Science*, *6*(1), 14–24. https://doi.org/10.36079/lamintang.ijortas-0601.618
52. Kumar, A., Gupta, N., & Gupta, V. (2017). A comprehensive review on grid-tied solar photovoltaic system. *Journal of Green Engineering*, *7*(1), 213-25

CITE AS: Mwenyi Holand and Kakyegyema Simera (2025). Comprehensive Review of the Development and Fabrication of a Hybrid MPPT Controller Using Fuzzy Logic and Perturb & Observe techniques for High-Efficiency PV Systems. IDOSR JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY 11(1):1-9. https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JST/25/111.19000