EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES ANDSOCIAL SCIENCES (EEJHSS)ISSN: 2992-4111

©EEJHSS Publications

Volume 6 Issue 3 2025

Page | 48

Exploring the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Art: Creative Collaboration or Threat?

Nanyonjo Sauda

Science Education Kampala International University Uganda

Email: nanyonjosauda@kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming the art world, blurring the boundaries between human creativity and machine-generated content. This paper examines AI's role in artistic creation, discussing its impact on traditional art practices, historical perspectives on creativity and technology, and contemporary applications in art generation. The ethical and societal implications, including authorship, ownership, and the potential displacement of human artists, are explored. While AI offers opportunities for collaboration, concerns persist regarding artistic originality and creative autonomy. The study concludes by reflecting on the evolving landscape of AI-driven art and its potential for future innovation. **Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence in Art, AI-generated art, Human-AI Collaboration, Digital Creativity, Ethical Implications of AI, AI Art Ownership, Computational Aesthetics.

INTRODUCTION

Technologies related to artificial intelligence increasingly impact research and creative practices in the visual arts. A growing number of initiatives intersecting AI and art motivate the examination of AI's creative potential in art contexts. This overview reviews two interconnected facets: AI for art analysis on digitized collections and AI for generating artworks. It discusses artwork datasets and recent works focusing on classification, object detection, similarity retrieval, multimodal representations, and computational aesthetics. Both practical and theoretical aspects of AI Art are addressed, consolidating related works. An outlook on the future impact of AI on art understanding and creation is provided, with a central question: How do AI technologies integrate with existing art practices, and what new methodologies or perspectives do they offer? As AI generates visual content, understanding and appreciating AI-generated art prompts inquiries about the ethical frameworks and responsibilities of data scientists and researchers in deploying AI for artistic creation. The complexity of AI Art practices is illustrated by a broad interpretation encompassing various creative explorations and algorithm development to generate, analyze, or assist visual art production. By examining efforts in this evolving field, meaningful connections can be fostered among AI researchers, practitioners, artists, and art professionals, shaping future collaboration in AI Art [1,2].

Defining Artificial Intelligence

It is crucial to first define artificial intelligence (AI). Definitions of AI vary, but the core idea is generally that AI is computer code that can mimic intelligent behavior—an open-loop strategy that does not require that its codes understand the computations that they are performing. AI can generate diverse texts, emulate known styles, compose music, draw, and generate images. Researchers can enter text prompts for image generation, control the aspects of a generated image, direct an AI participant in a

Open Access

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Open Access

collaboration, and visualize photographs in ways that AI participants can't distinguish. AI participants are models or code-for example, DeepDream. These participants are implemented with big models trained on massive data. Researchers can program the AI participant to control certain aspects of the generated image. One approach to collaboration involves embedding an AI participant into an art project and manipulating the work to fulfill the aims of the artists. These projects often use the AI participant to help generate a visual image that the human artist will then render by hand. At its worst, these AI participant outputs are insipid and asocial. A different approach to collaboration is to generate a useful output from the artist that the AI participant can use. Artists generate ideas, such as moods, concepts, or narrative elements, from which the AI participant generates an image. This process is both time-consuming and iterative, with changes made to the ideas and the accompanying sketches being generated by the AI participant. However, the final rendering is by a human artist, who uses whatever reference is necessary to produce this image. This study includes a description of this way of working and a response to a piece of art created as documented. Assisted drawing as a form of collaboration. Some drawing applications now offer artists live suggestions for their work. However, artists remain in complete control-they can accept or reject these suggestions. This form of collaboration raises questions about who calls the shots. In these collaborations, the artist is still in control-deciding what should be drawn, the composition, and the final output $\lceil 3, 4 \rceil$.

Historical Perspectives on Creativity and Technology

Creativity is considered the greatest and most rewarding gift a human being can possess. That is why humanity's creative process is directly connected with the way of life and functioning of a particular community. These processes are a mixture of art and science, feelings, and logic, logic, and inspiration, weakness, and strength. The combination of all these terms in varying proportions creates a phenomenon that is unique in the world of technology and exactly science. In terms of artistic creativity, similar concepts are used to form a new image or combine two or more specific symbols in a way not found before. The natural progression of these processes is the display of his work in the corresponding galleries for a business image. In turn, this causes them to start cooperating or competing with other artists. This pooling of ideas and creativity is exactly what led to the formation of an artistic trend that is considered the foundation of last century's art image. The collaboration has formed alliances, joint exhibitions, the sharing of inspiration and technique, and works. But then a question arises: Can two machines be friends? Can they feel, feel emotion, passion, jealousy, and hate? Millions of questions but few answers. One suggestion is different from humans to machines. The horse is the most faithful friend of the human from the beginning of time and always bears what people put on it. Creativity is a deeply debated topic, as this faculty is arguably quintessential to our humanity. The evolution of technology has provided a plurality of novel tools for creative purposes. These range from new dyes, new canvases, new fonts, new sounds, new materials, new algorithms, etc. The arrangement of these tools has exerted a substantial impact on the arts as well as the public, rendering some aesthetic experiments possible that were inconceivable at other moments. This availability of novel tools for artists, as well as the aesthetic creations they had produced, could be interpreted as just so many travesties of the true creativity inherent in man. Nevertheless, all the vigorous realities and honest interests of the situation militate against such a negation of the artist's creativity. Novae ars resulted, nonetheless, in the enrichment of the whole society, in the way it gave rise to new and richer fabrics and forms. In doing so, art prepared the politic. The general public began to appreciate art and artists, particularly in an era conditioned by the ideological environment of mass culture and its rather exceptional manifestations [5, 6].

Artificial Intelligence in Historical Art Movements

One of the most influencing ideas in AI Art is the progression of artistic movements through AI-powered generative systems. AI, using computational approaches like symbolic, genetic, and neural computation, can learn and extend typical patterns, rules, and techniques tied to specific creative landscapes. The aesthetic validity of AI-generated objects can be supported by human-art expert validation of these artifacts. Experts can help stakeholders like digital artists, galleries, scientists, and collectors promote more innovative systems and challenging works using AI consultative systems. This collaborative examination, combined with experiments involving cultural agents, could explore creative directions previously unaddressed. This work studies how AI technology and digital analysis can assist in following the paths, themes, aesthetics, and styles of well-known art movements, enabling AI-guided synthesis of artwork while managing controversies and successes. [7, 8].

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Contemporary Applications of AI In Art

Open Access

The latest technological developments have promited the analysis of the possible impacts on the creative processes of different disciplines, including art. Unprecedented advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) technologies are gaining a significant role in the creation process of art. The possibilities opened by AI technologies are multiple and varied and differ based on the chosen artistic means. The case study of the use of AI by artists supports the argumentative perspective. After an ensemble of essential notions, a reflection on the implications concerning the contemporary art system follows, as well as on the eventual outcome of such a collaboration between artists and AI on a medium-term horizon. Referring to the concept of art, the notions developed here privilege a broader vision, concerned less with the artistic object in itself than with the ensemble of relations and systems that lead to its conception and reception. Similarly, AI should not be understood as a single concept, but as an ensemble of processes and technologies endowed with the capacity to perform tasks usually requiring human intelligence. On this basis, the intervention will try to schematically analyze AI's recent involvement in artistic creativity [9, 107].

AI-Generated Artwork

In June of 2023, 24 digital artworks, including one titled "AI Art is Theft," were uploaded to the platform Nifty and sold as NFTs for 0.01 ETH each, or \$20 at the time. A few weeks later, as the legality of such actions came into question, and after the NFTs were re-sold at a loss, the creator of the art claimed it was made by an AI text-to-image model. The art is composed of misspelled text prompts, and each represents a kind of 'bad collage'. One consists of a large blue field unconvincingly filled in by disparate clipped patterns and a small overlaid crown. If asked to generate an image of an AI artwork, it might look something like the example that opened this text. Without a prompt, the subject of a contemporary oil painting on canvas made by AI art-generating models is presumed simplistic: probably something cloudy, drippy, or pollocked. The content of the first items listed as for sale were the incorrect text prompts that had accidentally been left in the titles. Three of those items have since been removed, but the other twenty-one remain untouched on the creator's website. The NFTs sold one of the stolen works and resold it at a higher price, netting the counterfeiters nearly \$2,500 instead of the \$460 initially made when sold. One sale of the stolen work removed the lettering added after the creation to signal that the work was AI-generated. A review of the AI-generated images, withdrawal from sale, and fraudulent theft were then forwarded. Though there are several NFTs for the pieces of art, three are listed first and are of significant concern. Three of the listed items do not appear to have been made by the individual who claims to have made them $\lceil 11, 12 \rceil$.

Ethical and Societal Implications of AI in Art

Art has been employed as a means of communication for thousands of years, revealing our concerns, the formation of our societies, and documenting the life conditions of those who created it. What does art made by artificial intelligence reveal to us then? What then do political ecology and political economy have to say on the process of art's creation? Recent developments in artificial intelligence confront this technology as a creator of art in both largely autonomous (creative) and collaborative contexts. In universities and maker spaces as well as in the labs of tech giants, interdisciplinary research has gained traction between AI researchers and artists. Most of the art produced with Creative-Ai aims to imitate or combine and extend existing artistic styles. Artists and AI researchers are often fascinated or puzzled by these creative experiments. Other parts of the artistic community are more skeptical, disturbed, or outraged by how Creative-AI changes their economic prospects. Observers voice diverse concerns: Creative-Ai might detract from the authenticity of art, it might alter the cultural values underpinning art appreciation, and industrial-scale Creative-Ai could decimate the art world as we know it. There are also concerns about privacy and equality: the people deciding on the development and deployment of creativity might exclude others from these new sources of creativity. Can Artists' involvement in AI help articulate and democratize these new cultures of creating art? Would Creative-AI and related creative tools push artists' innovative limits in ways they cannot currently foresee? Do artists imagine applications of Creative-AI shortly far removed from recent research and industry directions? The gender of those engaging with AI to create art is indeed striking. It supports fears from the artistic side: powerful AI tools could become yet another field dominated by men. Yet, as the discussion of engagement frequencies suggests, these inequalities might also mobilize feminist artists and researchers to advocate for and develop Creative-AIs that lead to different future scenarios [13, 14].

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Ownership and Attribution In AI-Generated Art

Open Access

Artificial intelligence has increasingly infiltrated all domains, including art, sparking debates on whether AI can replace human creativity. These discussions are often confined to legal forums rather than art institutions. Although AI automates tasks conventionally deemed human, it has yet to threaten the complexity of creativity, which remains poorly understood scientifically. Therefore, it appears unlikely that AI will fully replace artists, screenwriters, or inventors, but rather assist them, enhancing their imaginative potential. Understanding the art world's reluctance to embrace AI's creative facet requires a broader perspective beyond its imitative capabilities. Embracing technology in art, as great artists have historically done, is essential for fostering creativity. This article emphasizes AI's creative potential and addresses ownership and control issues regarding AI-generated output, particularly in machine learning and generative adversarial networks (GANs). While AI may produce works alone or with human collaborators, these creations challenge notions of originality tied solely to human authorship. Additionally, the ease of copying software raises further complications concerning legal ownership. The debates surrounding the authorship of AI art are intricate and underline the evolution of robotic artist systems, which may eventually collaborate with human artists, prompting discussions of co-authorship in the art world [15, 16].

Future Directions and Possibilities

Listing the concerns about the future of the arts generated by the ever-evolving creativity of AI, the creative possibilities exclusively available to human intelligence within the field of artistic and entertainment media can be thoroughly scrutinized. There are good reasons for an examination of these issues. Firstly, because of the stroke of genuine concern outlined by various workers in the field for the future of a profession; several concerns affect the income levels, the number of opportunities, the recognition of creative authorship, the artistic and social value of the output, job satisfaction, and the possibility of completely losing their vocation. In this light, interventions range from the will to striking a responsible use of AI-generated outputs to downright banning them in the context of calls to boycott the media portraying them. The most tiresome criticisms often being directed toward companies that patronize AI use, or towards the platforms, these outputs are easily found [17, 18].

Potential For AI-Human Collaboration

Artificial Intelligence (AI) plays a crucial role in the creative world, particularly in visual media, discussing current capabilities and future ambitions while addressing the potential for malicious use. For nearly fifty years, artists and technologists have collaborated, with artists using computers to visualize forms beyond human capability and technologists exploring interactions of imagined extraterrestrial creatures in AI-designed environments. Artists remain enthralled by AI as it integrates with various creative disciplines, including music, poetry, writing, design, and painting. Despite this enthusiasm, AI has limitations; it cannot create truly original ideas. Existing AI tools cannot produce unprecedented artistic works. If they could, many creative professionals—graphic designers, illustrators, architects, painters—might find themselves jobless, forced to seek new careers. However, certain creative roles, such as authors, directors, and commissioners, remain irreplaceable by AI. While AI hasn't yet taken on these tasks, it is unlikely to do so soon. Consequently, traditional creative companies finding ways to incorporate AI are unlikely to see significant improvements. Experimenting with AI in the creative process often feels daunting, as shown in the challenges faced by agencies trying to replicate successful ad campaigns while returning to more conventional projects after unsuccessful attempts [19,20].

Reflections

Creativity cannot stem from artificial intelligence; machines lack true creativity. The discussion among experts has shifted toward the urgent need for regulating algorithms. Proponents of the "mechanical" thesis hold a strict view of creativity, believing art operates within the same boundaries as rational sciences. They argue that all creative processes—from writing to rehearsing—can be systematized into understandable steps. However, creativity is central to art, existing outside predefined rules, with its essence often misinterpreted as merely a mix of rational knowledge. Conversely, others acknowledge creative acts that transcend rational logic and assert that creativity involves novelty, not solely defined by the creator's context [21, 22].

CONCLUSION

The intersection of AI and art presents both exciting possibilities and profound challenges. AI serves as a tool for creative augmentation, enabling artists to explore new methodologies and generate novel artistic

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Open Access

expressions. However, concerns surrounding originality, authorship, and ethical considerations highlight the need for responsible AI deployment in the creative domain. While AI-generated art raises questions about the nature of creativity, it is unlikely to replace human ingenuity. Instead, AI can be harnessed to enhance artistic expression, fostering collaborative efforts between artists and intelligent systems. Moving forward, interdisciplinary engagement between artists, AI researchers, and policymakers is crucial in shaping an ethical and inclusive AI-driven artistic future.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cetinic E, She J. Understanding and creating art with AI: Review and outlook. ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications, and Applications (TOMM). 2022 Feb 16;18(2):1-22.
- 2. Piskopani AM, Chamberlain A, Ten Holter C. Responsible AI and the arts: The ethical and legal implications of AI in the arts and creative industries. InProceedings of the First International Symposium on Trustworthy Autonomous Systems 2023 Jul 11 (pp. 1-5). <u>ox.ac.uk</u>
- 3. Cao Y, Li S, Liu Y, Yan Z, Dai Y, Yu PS, Sun L. A comprehensive survey of ai-generated content (aigc): A history of generative ai from gan to chatgpt. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04226. 2023 Mar 7.
- 4. Babcock J, Bali R. Generative AI with Python and TensorFlow 2: Create images, text, and music with VAEs, GANs, LSTMs, Transformer models. Packt Publishing Ltd; 2021 Apr 30.
- Qureshi B. Exploring the use of chatgpt as a tool for learning and assessment in undergraduate computer science curriculum: Opportunities and challenges. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.11214. 2023 Apr 16.
- 6. Doshi AR, Hauser OP. Generative artificial intelligence enhances creativity but reduces the diversity of novel content. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00506. 2023 Dec 1.
- 7. Vartiainen H, Tedre M. Using artificial intelligence in craft education: crafting with text-toimage generative models. Digital Creativity. 2023 Jan 2;34(1):1-21.
- Bozkurt A, Junhong X, Lambert S, Pazurek A, Crompton H, Koseoglu S, Farrow R, Bond M, Nerantzi C, Honeychurch S, Bali M. Speculative futures on ChatGPT and generative artificial intelligence (AI): A collective reflection from the educational landscape. Asian Journal of Distance Education. 2023 Mar 11;18(1):53-130.
- 9. Rane N, Choudhary S. Role and challenges of ChatGPT, Google Bard, and similar generative Artificial Intelligence in Arts and Humanities. Studies in Humanities and Education. 2024 Feb 1;5(1):1-1.
- 10. Baradaran A. Towards a decolonial I in AI: mapping the pervasive effects of artificial intelligence on the art ecosystem. Ai & Society. 2024 Feb;39(1):7-19.
- 11. Das D, Bose P, Ruaro N, Kruegel C, Vigna G. Understanding security issues in the NFT ecosystem. InProceedings of the 2022 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security 2022 Nov 7 (pp. 667-681). acm.org
- 12. Guan MY, Li J, Hu J, Gu Z, Wang Y, Lu Z, Wang KY. From digital art to crypto art: The evolution of art brought by nft. International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction. 2024 Sep 9:1-20.
- 13. Hamza A. The intersection of art and science: A multidisciplinary perspective. Kashf Journal of Linguistics. 2024 Jun 30;4(01):1-25.
- 14. Abbonato D, Bianchini S, Gargiulo F, Venturini T. Interdisciplinary research in artificial intelligence: Lessons from COVID-19. Quantitative Science Studies. 2024 Nov 1;5(4):922-35. <u>mit.edu</u>
- 15. Sarkar A. Exploring perspectives on the impact of artificial intelligence on the creativity of knowledge work: Beyond mechanised plagiarism and stochastic parrots. InProceedings of the 2nd Annual Meeting of the Symposium on Human-Computer Interaction for Work 2023 Jun 13 (pp. 1-17).
- Doyle S, Senske N. Digital provenance and material metadata: Attribution and co-authorship in the age of artificial intelligence. International Journal of Architectural Computing. 2018 Dec;16(4):271-80.
- 17. Hagendorff T, Dasgupta I, Binz M, Chan SC, Lampinen A, Wang JX, Akata Z, Schulz E. Machine psychology. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.13988. 2023 Mar 24.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

- Neubauer AC. The future of intelligence research in the coming age of artificial intelligence— With a special consideration of the philosophical movements of trans-and posthumanism. Intelligence. 2021 Jul 1;87:101563.
- 19. Crimaldi F, Leonelli M. AI and the creative realm: A short review of current and future applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.01795. 2023 Jun 1.
- 20. Wu Z, Ji D, Yu K, Zeng X, Wu D, Shidujaman M. AI creativity and the human-AI co-creation model. InHuman-computer interaction. theory, methods and tools: thematic area, HCI 2021, held as part of the 23rd HCI international conference, hCII 2021, virtual event, July 24–29, 2021, proceedings, part i 23 2021 (pp. 171-190). Springer International Publishing. researchgate.net
- 21. Green AE, Beaty RE, Kenett YN, Kaufman JC. The process definition of creativity. Creativity Research Journal. 2024 Jul 2;36(3):544-72. tandfonline.com
- 22. Mumford MD. Where have we been, where are we going? Taking stock in creativity research. Creativity research journal. 2003 Jul 1;15(2-3):107-20.

CITE AS: Nanyonjo Sauda (2025). Exploring the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Art: Creative Collaboration or Threat? EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, 6(3):48-53

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited