

# The Role of Public Administrators in Curriculum Reform

Nyiramukama Diana Kashaka

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

## ABSTRACT

This paper examines the critical role of public administrators in driving curriculum reform, with a focus on higher education. Amid the 21st-century calls for educational transformation, public administrators are central to the implementation and oversight of curricular changes aimed at developing students for both current and future workforce demands. The paper outlines the historical context of curriculum reform, highlighting various societal movements that have shaped educational policies. Theoretical frameworks such as constructivism, systems theory, and organizational change theories provide insight into how curriculum reform processes unfold. The challenges public administrators face, such as resistance to change, legislative constraints, and resource limitations, are discussed, alongside strategies for overcoming these obstacles. Finally, the paper presents best practices for successful curriculum reform, including stakeholder engagement, clear communication, and adaptive implementation strategies.

**Keywords:** Public Administrators, Curriculum Reform, Higher Education, Educational Policy, Organizational Change, Stakeholder Engagement, Systems Theory.

## INTRODUCTION

The beginning of the 21st century has seen calls to reform educational institutions in North America and beyond. This call to change seeks to shift education from being teacher-centered to being student-centered. The drive to reform the curriculum has been supported by the notion that innovation is the result of new knowledge and a society with a knowledge economy. As a result, when new knowledge is created, society and the economy eventually change as they incorporate and begin to use the available innovations. For this to successfully occur, many argue that the bridges between education and society, including the workplace, must become more intertwined. To make this vision a reality for American society, many view school curriculum initiatives as a key ingredient. Others argue that it is not that simple and that many different factors are important for reforms to take hold and result in a different type of society, one based on extensive knowledge. Regardless of the side of the argument that particular individuals subscribe to, the movement to reform groups, governmental organizations, and higher education to develop students' capabilities for jobs that currently exist and in the future is in full swing. The purpose of this project is to discuss the role of public administrators in curriculum reform. Specifically, we address why changes are made in higher education, what the obstacles are, and how curricular innovation is encouraged and implemented [1, 2]. At the core of this paper is the intersection of the field of public administration and the world of educational initiatives. Public administrators have played a fundamental role in authorizing legislation, overseeing the changes in higher education institutions, and leading efforts to maintain education reform in clinics and medical schools. Indeed, the field of public administration concerns the strategies to help public organizations manage intergovernmental and inter-organizational programs for the delivery of services, the financing of such services, and a host of regulatory activities. In today's reform-minded society, curricular adjustments are seen as part of the overall changes that are necessary to develop American society into a society focused on learning. This includes learning for lifelong learners and students who have the capabilities to either enter the workforce and understand the landscape of their careers or who have the knowledge base to

work in disciplines that may not yet be developed. Essentially, the members of the academy have been charged to reform or reconstruct higher education since the 1890s. Even then, the presidents of large universities initiated curriculum or educational reform attempts, although the professors as a group opposed these reforms [3, 4].

### **Historical Perspectives on Curriculum Reform**

Curriculum reform has a well-documented legacy, dating back to the turn of the previous century. Major reform movements have occurred every ten to thirty years, and these movements have been linked to changes in society and the economy. The goals of the curriculum have been linked to needed skills, including critical thinking, the development of well-rounded citizens, moral and character development, and enhancing democracy. The hundreds of curriculum reform models that exist tend to focus on three major perspectives: social efficiency, child-centered, and social reconstruction [5, 6]. These three eras of curriculum reform highlight the power that public administrators have over the curriculum. Initially, there was a clear desire for greater societal efficiency, and with the maturation of government in the United States, greater control from the national or state levels. However, over time the United States has seen increased power given to those with direct corporate funding and control at the national level, even up to the Secretary of Education. There have been four American movements on the national level: the Race to the Top, No Child Left Behind, Goals 2000, and the creation of a national Department of Education during the 1960s. All of these movements tried to keep administration from being akin to public education; however, there have been conflicting movements at the local levels in America. Some movements have pushed for a more technical skill set than those gained by liberal arts programs, while others focus on the advancement of the whole person, and still others focus on education for developing international prowess [7, 8, 9].

### **Theoretical Frameworks for Understanding Curriculum Reform**

This thesis departs from a consideration of different theoretical and conceptual frames that are used to understand and explain processes of curriculum reform. At the center of these theories is the question of how best to conceptualize the learner, learning and teaching, the curriculum, and public administrators, the subject of the study under discussion. These theoretical and conceptual frameworks, which are addressed in the paper, include constructivism, systems theory, and theories involving the concept of organizational change. Contextual affiliations of these theories and the theories of learning that derive from them help to create dimensions and grids that could help policymakers and public administrators to systematically approach and work toward educational reform [10, 11]. Over the years, educators and academics have often struggled with the part played by theories in the processes of changing practice. The practical person often berates the theorist by wanting to inquire, 'How can theory help me in my practice?' In the field of curriculum reform, it is possible to say that principles that have become grounded through research over the years might help one to clarify one's thinking in practice and guide one's action. An understanding of one's 'theoretical' framework, it can be said, enables us to be conscious of our beliefs, which could be embedded in informed action. In other words, how we explain change will also help to shape our actions in facilitating that change. It is also important, of course, that the theories we use to explain change must be compatible with the context in which they are used because forces shaping change are always era-sensitive [12, 13].

### **Challenges and Opportunities in Curriculum Reform**

Curriculum reform cannot be implemented in vacuums to which administrators simply apply course modules from other institutions. Instead, curriculum reform efforts serve as a continued dialogue among public administrators, the educational community, employers, students, and the general public. As such, public administrators pursuing curriculum reform must transcend curricular edicts to create consensus. Four interrelated issues warning public administrators exist when initiating curriculum reform: interior department resistance to change, legislative mandates that are extensionally disabling, revenue and resource limitations, and disparities in stakeholder presence. Simultaneously, these four issues present public administrators with multiple opportunities for longer-term success. At the center of these challenges and opportunities is the role of administrators as leaders, educated and expected to build consensus between themselves, interior bureaucracy stakeholders, missions and students, faculty and employees, and the public with clients. Therefore, curriculum reform that operates for the health of the program is problematic and produces curricula that do not serve the larger public policy concerns and stakeholders [14, 15, 16]. Bridging the gap between curriculum content and the demands of the marketplace is difficult because of philosophical ignorance or indifference of the academy, print gap

reliance, educational traditionalism, resistance to change, limitations of professional accreditors, a lack of accurate data, the uncertainty of operational procedures, and the movement by economic stakeholder groups. Public administrators must demonstrate the willingness to think and act outside of the fashion-tended bureaucracy, breaking with previous tradition, while demonstrating a mature relationship to risk. Subsequently, subordinates must be willing to assume risks, innovatively pursuing various forms of experimentation where objective evidence would not predicate success and failure. Therefore, the desire of public administrators should be to prompt innovation, harness an entrepreneurial spirit, and reinforcement, and reward staff and academics [17, 18, 19].

### **Best Practices in Implementing Curriculum Reform**

The insights we have gained from successful case studies and the recommendations of expert observers suggest several best practices for implementing large-scale curriculum reform. They include the following:

Practice 1: Engaging and involving stakeholders in the reform process • Approach curriculum reform as a collective effort among public administrators, educators, and stakeholders. Approach change as a process that builds collaboratively on the knowledge and expertise of a variety of participants. • Engage education officials, practitioners, citizen groups, researchers, and communities in a dialogue. Two-way communication between education practitioners and various public administrators and stakeholders is essential [20, 21].

Practice 2: Communicating clear messages about change • Develop materials and strategies for communicating about the reform. Create toolkits for communicating with stakeholders that include messages, fact sheets, flyers, and presentations. • Emphasize the curriculum's relevance to industry advances as well as current issues. Work with school reform-minded corporate leaders, small business owners, and chambers of commerce to strengthen ties between schools and the business community. • Develop and deliver diverse professional development activities for educational leaders and teachers. Experienced teachers' wisdom about teaching and learning is critical to the reform effort [22, 23].

Practice 3: Adapting and refining implementation strategies as necessary • Review a range of research to inform decisions about the design and implementation plan. Be open to using an array of research and informed judgment from a variety of sources to build a case for implementing and evaluating curriculum reform. Data-driven decision-making improves sustainability. • Minimize systems change and disruptions to schools and classrooms early in the reform process. Work to resolve the issues that contribute to 'reform fatigue' and identify areas of policy and procedural flexibility that do not sacrifice high standards or quality [24, 25].

### **CONCLUSION**

Public administrators play a vital role in facilitating curriculum reform, serving as bridges between educational institutions, government bodies, and the public. Their leadership in addressing the challenges of resistance, resource limitations, and legislative mandates is crucial for successful reform. By applying theoretical frameworks and engaging stakeholders in a collaborative reform process, public administrators can ensure that curriculum changes are not only relevant but sustainable. Effective communication and adaptability throughout the reform process can lead to lasting improvements in education that are aligned with the evolving needs of society and the economy. The successful implementation of curriculum reform ultimately depends on public administrators' ability to balance innovation with pragmatism, fostering an environment conducive to learning and growth.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Wu YJ, Chen JC. Stimulating innovation with an innovative curriculum: a curriculum design for a course on new product development. *The International Journal of Management Education*. 2021 Nov 1;19(3):100561.
2. Lubis MS, Fatmawati E, Pratiwi EY, Sabtohadji J, Damayanto A. Understanding curriculum transformation towards educational innovation in the era of all-digital technology. *Nazhruna: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*. 2022 May 18;5(2):526-42. [archive.org](https://archive.org)
3. Amagoh F. Systems and complexity theories of organizations. In *Global encyclopedia of public administration, public policy, and governance* 2023 Apr 6 (pp. 12586-12592). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [HTML]
4. Sari AR. The Impact of Good Governance on the Quality of Public Management Decision Making. *Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management (ADMAN)*. 2023 Aug 13;1(2):39-46. [literasisainsnusantara.com](https://literasisainsnusantara.com)

5. Minga KJ. African discourses on the Africanization and decolonization of social and human sciences. *Journal of Black Studies*. 2021 Jan;52(1):50-76.
6. Williams AL. The heritage strikes back: Athlete activism, Black Lives Matter, and the iconic fifth wave of activism in the (W) NBA bubble. *Cultural Studies↔ Critical Methodologies*. 2022 Jun;22(3):266-75.
7. Bell E, Ter-Mkrtchyan A, Wehde W, Smith K. Just or unjust? How ideological beliefs shape street-level bureaucrats' perceptions of administrative burden. *Public Administration Review*. 2021 Jul;81(4):610-24. [\[HTML\]](#)
8. Goldfinch S, Taplin R, Gauld R. Trust in government increased during the Covid-19 pandemic in Australia and New Zealand. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*. 2021 Mar;80(1):3-11. [researchgate.net](#)
9. Skocpol T. America's incomplete welfare state: the limits of new deal reforms and the origins of the present crisis. In *Stagnation and renewal in social policy 2024* Nov 1 (pp. 35-58). Routledge.
10. Okunlaya RO, Syed Abdullah N, Alias RA. Artificial intelligence (AI) library services innovative conceptual framework for the digital transformation of university education. *Library Hi Tech*. 2022 Dec 8;40(6):1869-92.
11. Rapp AC, Corral-Granados A. Understanding inclusive education—a theoretical contribution from system theory and the constructionist perspective. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. 2024 Mar 20;28(4):423-39. [tandfonline.com](#)
12. Amini M, Jahanbakhsh Javid N. A multi-perspective framework established on diffusion of innovation (DOI) theory and technology, organization and environment (TOE) framework toward supply chain management system based on cloud computing technology for small and medium enterprises. *Organization and Environment (TOE) Framework Toward Supply Chain Management System Based on Cloud Computing Technology for Small and Medium Enterprises (January 2023)*. *International Journal of Information Technology and Innovation Adoption*. 2023;11:1217-34. [ssrn.com](#)
13. Ho MT, Mantello P, Vuong QH. Emotional AI in education and toys: Investigating moral risk awareness in the acceptance of AI technologies from a cross-sectional survey of the Japanese population. *Heliyon*. 2024 Aug 30;10(16).
14. Irani Z, Abril RM, Weerakkody V, Omar A, Sivarajah U. The impact of legacy systems on digital transformation in European public administration: Lesson learned from a multi case analysis. *Government Information Quarterly*. 2023 Jan 1;40(1):101784. [sciencedirect.com](#)
15. Wooldridge B, Bilharz B. Social equity: The fourth pillar of public administration. In *Global encyclopedia of public administration, public policy, and governance 2023* Apr 6 (pp. 12068-12077). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [\[HTML\]](#)
16. Fisher M, Mackean T, George E, Friel S, Baum F. Stakeholder perceptions of policy implementation for Indigenous health and cultural safety: A study of Australia's 'Closing the Gap' policies. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*. 2021 Jun;80(2):239-60. [\[HTML\]](#)
17. Rosenbloom DH, Kravchuk RS, Clerkin RM. *Public administration: Understanding management, politics, and law in the public sector*. Routledge; 2022 Jan 27.
18. Monteiro P, Adler PS. Bureaucracy for the 21st century: Clarifying and expanding our view of bureaucratic organization. *Academy of Management Annals*. 2022 Jul;16(2):427-75.
19. Bauer MW, Peters BG, Pierre J, Yesilkagit K, Becker S, editors. *Democratic backsliding and public administration: How populists in government transform state bureaucracies*. Cambridge University Press; 2021 Aug 26.
20. Longoria LC, López-Forniés I, Sáenz DC, Sierra-Pérez J. Promoting sustainable consumption in Higher Education Institutions through integrative co-creative processes involving relevant stakeholders. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*. 2021 Oct 1;28:445-58. [sciencedirect.com](#)
21. Khatibi FS, Dedekorkut-Howes A, Howes M, Torabi E. Can public awareness, knowledge and engagement improve climate change adaptation policies?. *Discover Sustainability*. 2021 Dec;2:1-24. [springer.com](#)
22. van der Merwe MF, Pedro M. Teacher perspectives on blended learning in a changing educational landscape. In *Multimodal Learning Environments in Southern Africa: Embracing Digital Pedagogies 2022* Sep 24 (pp. 141-164). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [\[HTML\]](#)

23. Alam A, Mohanty A. Cultural beliefs and equity in educational institutions: exploring the social and philosophical notions of ability groupings in teaching and learning of mathematics. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*. 2023 Dec 31;28(1):2270662. [tandfonline.com](https://tandfonline.com)
24. Awan U, Braathen P, Hannola L. When and how the implementation of green human resource management and data-driven culture to improve the firm sustainable environmental development?. *Sustainable Development*. 2023 Aug;31(4):2726-40.
25. Bachmann N, Tripathi S, Brunner M, Jodlbauer H. The contribution of data-driven technologies in achieving the sustainable development goals. *Sustainability*. 2022 Feb 22;14(5):2497.

<b>CITE AS: Nyiramukama Diana Kashaka (2025). The Role of Public Administrators in Curriculum Reform. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES 6(2):6-10.</b>
--