Grant Writing for Educational Administrators

Kakungulu Samuel J.

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

In an era of tightening educational budgets and increasing demands for school innovation, grant writing has become a crucial skill for educational administrators. This paper offers a comprehensive guide to the grant writing process, tailored specifically for school leaders seeking to secure external funding. It examines the current grant landscape, categorizes available funding types, and provides strategies for identifying potential funding sources. Emphasis is placed on the importance of building collaborative proposal teams, understanding the structural components of grant proposals, and writing with clarity and purpose. Furthermore, the paper addresses evaluation planning, the submission process, and effective post-submission strategies. By equipping administrators with the knowledge and tools necessary to write competitive proposals, this guide aims to demystify the grant process and empower leaders to leverage grant funding in pursuit of educational excellence and equity.

Keywords: Grant Writing, Educational Leadership, School Funding, Proposal Development, Federal Grants, Educational Improvement

INTRODUCTION

Grant writing in educational administration is essential for securing funding and meeting school needs. The process involves submitting a budget summary and a detailed budget, along with goals, narrative, and project descriptions. Educational administrators face unique challenges, including finding the right grants, knowing where to look for funding, and recognizing necessary skills. This text outlines the grant writing process to assist those seeking funding in education, encouraging administrators to explore available grants. With a better understanding of grant writing, they can enhance their school's success through external funding. Currently, there are nearly 80,000 grants for health and human services, indicating the potential impact of school grants as substantial funding sources. However, many administrators feel unprepared to pursue these opportunities. As reliance on grants increases, it is crucial for education professionals to familiarize themselves with funding options. Grant writing involves more than merely creating project descriptions; it also requires significant research to identify viable projects and diligent monitoring of awarded funds. While not every proposal is successful, patience and practice can improve grant writing skills. Consequently, educational administrators aiming to secure more funds for their schools will benefit greatly from enhancing their grant writing abilities. The overarching goal is to empower them to secure resources that support their school's mission through grants [1, 2].

Understanding The Grant Landscape

Educational administrators have opportunities to secure funding through grant writing from the government, private foundations, and corporations to achieve various educational goals. Understanding the current trends and priorities of funders is crucial for identifying potential funding sources. This strategic knowledge helps align a school's vision with funding opportunities to enhance the likelihood of achieving desired outcomes. While some variables can be influenced by administrators, many funding decisions are influenced by subjective factors. This text provides essential insights for administrators to

navigate the competitive nature of grant opportunities and assess if competitive proposal requirements are attainable. Various types of grants exist, with some intended for large-scale initiatives, while others target specific academic areas or groups, such as teachers or students. The Competitive Preference Priority system highlights specific sought-after requirements by funders. Although managing these variables is challenging, awareness of them is advantageous. Administrators equipped with knowledge of the complex, multi-faceted funding landscape are better positioned to secure the necessary funding to fulfill their educational goals and vision for their campus. Understanding the priorities of other entities will further improve their chances of securing essential funding [3, 4].

Types of Grants Available

Educational administrators face challenges in securing funding, especially in low-income areas where private donations are scarce. Research indicates that student success relies on the quality of educational opportunities, such as smaller classes and tutoring programs. As support for welfare programs decreases, exploring diverse funding sources becomes essential. These sources include federal, state, local, and private grants, each with unique benefits and application processes. Federal grants are often challenging to secure but offer substantial rewards, focusing on innovative education projects without requiring matching funds, although eligibility criteria can be stringent, and the process may take up to a year. State grants operate similarly but on a smaller scale, targeting improvements like technology upgrades or interdisciplinary programs; they usually do not require matching funds, yet the eligibility guidelines can be strict, and timelines vary significantly. Local grants are designed for single projects and are often easier to obtain, although they typically provide smaller amounts. Detailed planning is usually needed, and funding may be issued as reimbursements. Private grants vary in structure; some may offer outright donations, while others function on a reimbursement basis. Many organizations, especially nonprofits, support educational initiatives. The proposal process for private grants is generally simpler, often requiring just a brief letter of intent before inviting a full proposal. Successful grant-seeking often involves community foundations and federal or state initiatives, as well as local business support through sponsorships or donations. Corporations and specialized grant writers can aid in navigating grant applications, while various curriculum programs may provide grants for supplies or new initiatives. Understanding the distinction between supplies and equipment is crucial in grant writing [5, 6].

Identifying Funding Sources

In order to effectively seek grant funding, educational administrators need to explore methodologies for identifying potential funding sources. These can include traditional and non-traditional funding sources, for which a strategy for discovering potential funding sources is provided. Importantly, proactivity in conducting research on grants and funding organizations is emphasized. With cuts in educational funding at the federal, state, and local levels, there has been an increase in competition for fewer funds due to the rise in educational expenditures. Moreover, the amount of information available on grants and fundraising strategies is overwhelming and often contradictory. The race for grant funding is intensifying as the importance of grants continues to grow. Therefore, the successful educational administrator needs to understand how to dramatically improve the funding prospects of the institution. A number of tools and strategies are revealed that can be used to find the right grants for a particular institution. Administrators may not be aware of all resources available for identifying grants. Some ideas for finding good grant prospects include developing good networking skills with funders and building positive, ongoing relationships through these networking efforts. Also, it is highly suggested that administrators access several online databases and directory services representing the two leading providers of grant and fundraising resources. As is indicated, grant availability is diverse and includes government and private sector funding. Many community organizations may be willing to collaborate or share resources, which present an untapped potential for fund-raising in general and grant-seeking in particular [7, 8].

Building A Grant Proposal Team

Educational administrators are encouraged to assemble a team of professionals to assist with proposal writing for grants. This team can be utilized to bounce ideas around, refine the importance of the need, and gain new perspectives on the proposal itself. Educational administrators are encouraged to utilize personnel such as teachers, school counselors, and school psychologists. School-based finance personnel are highly encouraged to participate in budget development; this is a crucial aspect that will strengthen a covered proposal. If the goal is to fund online learning materials, the team to assemble could consist of educators from all grade levels of the school corporation, building-level administrators, the school district's chief financial officer, and the district's technology teacher. Relationship of Team Members: All

team members should feel comfortable speaking and giving their opinions. Some may be greatly supportive of the project while others may not appreciate the idea at all; preferably, both would be brought to light to fix any discrepancies. Assistance could be in need of both personnel or technology to facilitate the goal. As an educational administrator, it is your job to identify those that you believe will be of help to the proposal's goal 1. Team member responsibilities should be shared to ensure everyone understands their role and how that contributes to the proposal. The development and refinement of the proposal and budget are characteristics of editable collaboration within the group. The required letter of support does not have a template to start from; it will need to be made available to all team members to promote cohesiveness and ensure all letters for with the same information. It is of utmost importance to set clear expectations and timelines for each member of the team. If a member has a meeting with a teammate to review strategy, it is the instructor's role to keep that appointment and make any necessary decisions or adjustments to the proposal based on the calendar deadline [9, 10].

Components of a Grant Proposal

Being prepared to write a nonprofit grant proposal can be challenging for first-time writers. Understanding the components of a grant proposal and how they interrelate is essential for success. This section outlines the key elements of a grant proposal for educators. The cover letter is the proposal's first part, detailing the name of the caller, his or her title, the school's name, and the grant name. The problem statement follows, serving as the proposal's substantial foundation. This is then complemented by a description of the project's clientele and organizational context, explaining the necessity for a grant-funded program. Goals and objectives break the project into segments. Goals indicate desired outcomes, while objectives are specific, measurable actions leading to successful implementation. The methodology describes how these objectives will be reached, and the location outlines where the project will take place. The management plan specifies responsibilities for programming, administration, and fiscal oversight. The evaluation section defines how project success will be measured. A sustainability analysis assesses future resource acquisition and potential obstacles to successful project completion. The budget includes itemized justifications, and potential funding partners are identified. All stakeholders are informed that the project has been designed collaboratively. Supporting documents accompany the proposal, including job descriptions, organizational charts, IRS determination letters, and research. Letters of support are crucial for proposals exceeding $25,000, demonstrating community backing. The proposal ends with an executive summary. Dedicating time to draft the proposal increases the chances of securing funding. Recommended practices bolster the development of quality programs, aiding in the successful submission of federal drug control grant proposals [11, 12].

Writing Effective Grant Proposals

Clarity, conciseness, and coherence are essential in grant proposal writing. Writers should focus on presenting ideas without extraneous or contradictory information that might obscure their aims. A well-crafted proposal must quickly engage the reader and convey the project's key features. The introduction is particularly critical for capturing attention, and it should be concise and compelling, ideally written after drafting the proposal to ensure a clear understanding of the project. Adherence to submission guidelines is crucial, as these can vary widely among agencies and programs. Funders' guidelines take precedence over all other considerations. Utilizing data and evidence throughout the application reinforces the proposal's validity and feasibility. Both quantitative and qualitative evidence are important to grant reviewers. Revising proposals should prioritize clarity and professionalism, avoiding vague claims in favor of specific assertions. The narrative serves not only as a framework for ideas and evidence but also as a captivating document that illustrates the project's significance. Crafting a persuasive narrative can create emotional connections with potential funders, enhancing the argument's effectiveness. Engaging emotionally in the early stages of the proposal can foster an investment in the funding process. Successful proposals narrate a compelling story that emphasizes the research's importance while demonstrating the team's expertise and feasibility. They should strive to tell a gripping story with high stakes to capture reviewers' interest [13, 14].

Evaluation and Assessment Plans

After the grant seeker has demonstrated the need for the project and designed the goals and objectives to meet that need, it is important to complete an evaluation plan. Many foundations and funding agencies are increasingly requesting comprehensive evaluation plans within grant proposals. An evaluation or assessment plan can make a funder more likely to support a project, assuming the plan has been well-conceived and the applicant can carry it through. Good evaluation or assessment plans integrate

themselves with the program from the beginning, making systematic collection of data on specified outcomes and regular program analysis to inform decision-making an everyday practice. When implemented properly, good evaluation plans not only serve to inform the user and continuously enhance the program, but they can also make the difference between a project that is funded once and one that becomes an institution, replicated nationwide. There are many different methods of evaluation, which can be divided into formative and summative assessments. The former type of evaluation is used throughout the project, allowing for project revisions each time a new weakness is uncovered. Summative evaluations, in contrast, are conducted after data has been collected to answer the primary evaluation question: To what extent did the project meet the set goals and objectives? Administrators should keep in mind that having a good evaluation plan means the project has set up measures from the start that allow it to easily keep track of what has been accomplished. Evaluation should be designed to track the funded outcomes with measurable indicators over the grant period, making it possible at any time to report on the progress and outcomes resulting from the project's implementation. It is also the golden key to accountability. When funds are awarded or the project goes public with the sources of its support, the organization can expect to have data made public that gives evidence that what was agreed upon is actually happening [15, 16].

Submitting Your Grant Proposal

The hard work on the grant proposal is complete, and now it's time to submit it. Many good submissions can fail due to overlooked details. Common issues include deadlines, formatting, and required documents. Start with the essentials: know the due date and the submission method. Begin early, as deadlines may change. Always read the funding institution's guidelines, as proposals can't be reviewed without meeting requirements. Avoid close-to-deadline submissions to prevent issues with transmission or mailing; aim for at least a week in advance. After refining the proposal, conduct a final check to catch common errors like formatting, headers, and margins. Ensure the font size and style align with requirements. Confirm the package is complete, which may include a research plan, budget, cover letter, executive summary, or letters of support. After thorough checks, have a colleague review the proposal. Many universities offer internal review services for further support. Once it's finalized, get it assessed by the Office of Grant Development or submit it directly to the funding organization. Keep records of all proposals, noting submission details. Submitted proposals generally experience one of four outcomes: accepted and funded, denied without the chance for revision, requests for modification, or placed on a waiting list. Since many proposals are rejected initially (nine out of ten times), prepare for potential disappointment. On submission day, confirm receipt by calling or checking the organization's website. Establish a rapport with the program officer during the review wait, and remember that grant proposal reviews can take two to twelve months, rewarding perseverance [17, 18].

Post-Submission Strategies

The most critical pieces of advice in professional grant writing are that the work is never done and to keep it moving. This means that after hitting the submission button on a proposal, work is not complete. An important strategy in the post-submission process is to follow up on the status of the proposal. In doing so, it is recommended to leave a minimum of two weeks before emailing a funder to inquire about a proposal. This lag time will allow the grant to progress through various stages within federal offices or agencies. Plan to email or call the assigned federal contact or program officer to maximize opportunities for engagement. An additional suggestion is to reach out proactively to the funder, even if contact is not initiated. A brief email mentioning the proposal was submitted and that interest in the project remains can maintain connections with important funders. By keeping open lines of communication, applicants can be proactive and may put themselves in a better position to take advantage of opportunities that may arise. The funded colleague advises contacting funders if a state was reached wherein an application is in the top one-third but did not receive or will not receive an award, as this can prompt a second look and constructive feedback. Finally, the disposal is worth an applicant reusing the proposal to ensure it is accurate for the project as submitted. A denial should be expected; the funded colleague was not funded after submitting a proposal on the first try and informs applicants that most successful colleagues are not funded with their initial submission. This should be viewed as a learning experience to refine future approaches [19, 20].

Grant Management and Compliance

A successful grant proposal is only a small part of securing funding for an educational project. Once the grant has been awarded, successful implementation relies on effective grant management. In the

burgeoning field of grant management and compliance, there is recognition of the notion that good grant management is itself a best practice that can help facilitate an effective research outcome during a research project and after its closure. Successful management often involves understanding the obligations that arise from the acceptance of resources and implementing systems or practices that are compliant. There is an imperative to disburse funds correctly and carry out a range of activities to comply with the terms and conditions of the award; failure to do so can have a range of negative consequences including financial penalties, damaged relationships with funders, restrictions on future proposals, and the inability to recover costs arising from the award. Speed and performance in certain instances can prove problematic. A financial compliance guide for grant-funded projects can appear complex, and funder policies vary, but implementation of basic good practice can assist in achieving the level of compliance sought. The compliance requirements based on the Uniform Guidance are set out in a precise and prescriptive manner. Even though particular items may not be part of their award (or might be addressed in other award documents), understanding this general scheme of compliance expectations will better prepare educational administrators for the management challenges of a funded project. Careful attention to these requirements will support efforts to achieve compliance and to staunch the source of auditing troubles. Other than financial compliance, the guide also accounts for Personnel and Performance compliance requirements. Finally, care needs to be taken to have sufficient documentation and tracking processes in place in order to maintain transparency and accountability to funders [21, 22].

Sustaining Funding Beyond Grants

Grant writing can help grow programs and initiatives, but administrators must find ways to fund these efforts when grant money expires. While a fortunate few obtain federal or large foundation continuations, most programs struggle to secure post-grant funding. This guide emphasizes strategies for sustaining funding once grants end, with an emphasis on diversifying resources. Relying on a single grant decreases the chances of a program's continuation. Developing relationships across sectors—such as other departments, donors, community members, and non-profits—is crucial for sustainability. Increased communication is vital; administrators must effectively demonstrate a program's achievements to potential funders. Clear metrics showcase the work's impact, guiding grant continuation efforts. Regular reports detailing outcomes and future objectives assist in this process. If a program lacks measurable outcomes, it becomes difficult to secure ongoing funding, risking the program's existence. Collaborating with other initiatives can provide the necessary synergy, enabling programs to showcase unique impacts and prepare for the eventual reduction of grant funds. Significant resources and systemic changes are needed to effect real improvements in student success and educational culture. Traditional funding for individual schools has limitations, but public-private partnerships can secure larger investments. These collaborations capitalize on each partner's strengths, enhancing student access to STEM education, addressing workforce shortages, and supporting industries that depend on STEM talent. Efforts to increase demographic diversity within the local STEM community also focus on encouraging students of color to pursue STEM careers. Partnerships may vary based on school and district needs. Crafting a sustainability plan during the initial grant timeline is essential. A well-structured plan emphasizing diversified funding sources can lead to enduring success. Prioritizing high-quality programming and maintaining flexibility in funding relationships is equally important for proactive planning [23, 24].

Case Studies of Successful Grants

Grant Writing for the Counseling Professional provided readers with clear and concise steps designed to create a well-structured outline of the essential components that must be included in a successful grant proposal. This section of the text directly applies the fundamental principles that were detailed in this and earlier sections, aiming to aid in the development of a comprehensive grant application that is specifically tailored for my particular needs and requirements. By utilizing a format consistent with similar outline practices, the paper encompasses all the basic components that make up a grant proposal and methodically organizes them by subsection, ensuring clarity, coherence, and logical flow throughout the entire document. This organized approach not only significantly enhances the overall readability of the proposal but also effectively guides the author in communicating their objectives, intentions, and needs in a manner that is both persuasive and impactful. The careful structuring ultimately leads to a stronger case for funding, aligning the objectives of the proposal with the expectations and priorities of potential funders [25, 26].

Resources For Grant Writers

For the educational administrator turned grant writer, the following collection of resources, available in print and online, consists of tools and information that many will find immediately useful. The resources listed focus on grant funding and grant writing and are practical in nature. They do not replace the more comprehensive and specific resources typically needed to successfully compete for grant funds. However, they will streamline the search process and simplify the preparation of applications. Resources include organizations that provide help in identifying grant money and provide assistance in securing it. Also included here are a few websites that contain grant-writing templates, budgeting and evaluation tools, and guides for effectively presenting the results of all the hard work that goes into the application process. Network, and remember that there are professionals and other grant writers who may have materials and resources to share or lend out [27, 28]. Finally, administrators will find help for selecting what monitors and indicators will best suit the individual goals and responsibilities of a project. This collection of tools will help jump-start and clarify the grant-writing process and may provide the information necessary to the discussion of a competitive proposal. Of course, personal and departmental hands-on help and support with the grant process will remain available from a person's colleagues in the Office of External Funding and your fellow faculty grant-seekers. Only those applications that meet all the Guidelines, the Evaluation Criteria, and the Competitive Preference Priority will be considered for funding. There is never a question that experts are just a phone call or an email away. Because all email submitted by the granting agency is exchanged, it is a good idea to save all correspondence. For further inquiries, do not hesitate to arrange an appointment with a grant's specialist [29, 30, 31].

CONCLUSION

As educational institutions increasingly rely on external funding to enhance programming and meet student needs, grant writing has emerged as a vital competency for school administrators. Mastering the intricacies of grant proposal development—from understanding funding landscapes and types of grants to crafting persuasive narratives and executing thorough evaluation plans—can dramatically increase the likelihood of success. While the grant process is competitive and often daunting, it becomes manageable through strategic collaboration, informed research, and persistent effort. By investing in grant writing skills and cultivating relationships with funding agencies, educational administrators can secure the resources necessary to fulfill their schools' missions, promote student success, and drive lasting improvements in educational environments.

REFERENCES

1. Delaney ME. Grant writing for the counseling professional. Journal of Counselor Preparation and Supervision. 2016;8(3):3.
2. Brooks D. How to Write Grants: The Best Kept Secret in the School Business. THE Journal. 2004 May 1;31(10):30.
3. Bauer DG. The" how to" grants manual: Successful grantseeking techniques for obtaining public and private grants. Rowman & Littlefield; 2021 Jan 10.
4. Munagandla VB, Dandyala SS, Vadde BC, Engineer D. AI-Driven Optimization of Research Proposal Systems in Higher Education. Revista de Inteligencia Artificial en Medicina. 2024 Aug 18;15(1):650-72. researchgate.net
5. Faruque MO, Sharmin S, Chowdhury SN, Talukder T, Sufian MA. The Economy of Poverty-stricken Countries is Largely Dependent on the Economic Development of the United States. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research.;6. researchgate.net
6. Considine M, McGann M, Ball S, Nguyen P. Can robots understand welfare? Exploring machine bureaucracies in welfare-to-work. Journal of Social Policy. 2022 Jul;51(3):519-34.
7. Abdi Y, Li X, Càmara-Turull X. Exploring the impact of sustainability (ESG) disclosure on firm value and financial performance (FP) in airline industry: the moderating role of size and age. Environment, Development and Sustainability. 2022 Apr;24(4):5052-79. springer.com
8. Das A, Malaviya S, Singh M. The impact of AI-driven personalization on learners' performance. International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering. 2023 Aug;11(8):15-22. researchgate.net
9. Davenport D, Alvarez AA, Natesan S, Caldwell MT, Gallegos M, Landry A, Parsons M, Gottlieb M. Faculty recruitment, retention, and representation in leadership: an evidence-based guide to best practices for diversity, equity, and inclusion from the Council of Residency

Directors in Emergency Medicine. Western Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2022 Jan 3;23(1):62. nih.gov

10. Antinluoma M, Ilomäki L, Toom A. Practices of professional learning communities. InFrontiers in education 2021 Apr 27 (Vol. 6, p. 617613). Frontiers Media SA.

11. Karachiwalla R, Pinkow F. Understanding crowdsourcing projects: A review on the key design elements of a crowdsourcing initiative. Creativity and innovation management. 2021 Sep;30(3):563-84. wiley.com

12. Esteve-Mon FM, Postigo-Fuentes AY, Castañeda L. A strategic approach of the crucial elements for the implementation of digital tools and processes in higher education. Higher Education Quarterly. 2023 Jul;77(3):558-73. wiley.com

13. Chauhan P. Fundamentals of academic writing: A literature review. Journal of NELTA. 2022 Dec 31;27(1-2):161-80.

14. Barasa D. Demystifying the discourse: Techniques to effective academic writing. Journal of Research and Academic Writing. 2024 Sep 15;1(1):13-21.

15. Han S, Yao R, Li N. The development of energy conservation policy of buildings in China: A comprehensive review and analysis. Journal of Building Engineering. 2021 Jun 1;38:102229.

16. Stantis C, Schaefer BJ, Correia MA, Alaica AK, Huffer D, Plomp E, Di Giusto M, Chidimuro B, Rose AK, Nayak A, Kendall EJ. Ethics and applications of isotope analysis in archaeology. American journal of biological anthropology. 2025 Jan;186(1):e24992. wiley.com

17. Fulweiler RW, Davies SW, Biddle JF, Burgin AJ, Cooperdock EH, Hanley TC, Kenkel CD, Marcarelli AM, Matassa CM, Mayo TL, Santiago-Vàzquez LZ. Rebuild the Academy: Supporting academic mothers during COVID-19 and beyond. PLoS biology. 2021 Mar 9;19(3):e3001100. plos.org

18. Adams GS, Converse BA, Hales AH, Klotz LE. People systematically overlook subtractive changes. Nature. 2021 Apr 8;592(7853):258-61.

19. Lasinsky A, Wrightson J, Khan H, Kitchin V, Khan KM, Ardern CL. If at First You Don't Succeed: Biomedical Research Grant Resubmission Rates, and Factors Related to Success—A Scoping Review. Available at SSRN 4803560. 2024.

20. Lasinsky A, Wrightson J, Khan H, Kitchin V, Khan KM, Ardern CL. If at First You Don't Succeed: Biomedical Research Grant Resubmission Rates, and Factors Related to Success—A Scoping Review. Available at SSRN 4803560. 2024.

21. Taffe MA, Gilpin NW. Racial inequity in grant funding from the US National Institutes of Health. Elife. 2021 Jan 18;10:e65697.

22. Schina D, Esteve-González V, Usart M. An overview of teacher training programs in educational robotics: Characteristics, best practices and recommendations. Education and Information Technologies. 2021 May;26(3):2831-52. springer.com

23. Khan T, Emon MM, Siam SA. Impact of Green Supply Chain Practices on Sustainable Development in Bangladesh. Available at SSRN 4958443. 2024 Jun 30.

24. Everley ML, Smith J. Making the transition from soft to hard funding: The politics of institutionalizing instructional development programs. To improve the academy. 1996 Jun;15(1):209-30.

25. Warner A, Bennett N, Asheer S, Alamillo J, Keating B, Knab J. Sustaining programs: Lessons learned from former federal grantees. Maternal and Child Health Journal. 2020 Sep;24:207-13.

26. Inouye SK, Fiellin DA. An evidence-based guide to writing grant proposals for clinical research. Annals of internal medicine. 2005 Feb 15;142(4):274-82.

27. Bonnel W, Smith KV. Proposal writing for clinical nursing and DNP projects. Springer publishing company; 2021 Jul 31.

28. Azizi MR, Atlasi R, Ziapour A, Abbas J, Naemi R. Innovative human resource management strategies during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic narrative review approach. Heliyon. 2021 Jun 1;7(6).

29. Ogenyi FC, Eze VH, Ugwu CN. Navigating Challenges and Maximizing Benefits in the Integration of Information and Communication Technology in African Primary Schools. International Journal of Humanities, Management and Social Science (IJ-HuMaSS). 2023 Dec 20;6(2):101-8.

30. Hoover S, Bostic J. Schools as a vital component of the child and adolescent mental health system. Psychiatric services. 2021. psychiatryonline.org

31. Brockova K, Rossokha V, Chaban V, Zos-Kior M, Hnatenko I, Rubezhanska V. Economic mechanism of optimizing the innovation investment program of the development of agro-industrial production. Management theory and studies for rural business and infrastructure development. 2021 May 12;43(1):129-36.

CITE AS: Kakungulu Samuel J. (2025). Grant Writing for Educational Administrators. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT ISSUES IN ARTS AND MANAGEMENT 6(1):88-95 https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJCIAM/2025/6.1.8895