Research Output Journal of Public Health and Medicine 5(1):85-91, 2025

ROJPHM **ISSN ONLINE: 1115-9715**
ISSN PRINT: 1115-6147
https://rojournals.org/roj-public-health-and-medicine/

https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJPHM/2025/518591

Leveraging Data for Health Policy Development

Kato Jumba K.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

The development of effective health policies is increasingly reliant on the integration of diverse and complex data sources. This paper examines the critical role that data plays in health policy formulation, focusing on how different data types, sources, and analytical techniques can support evidence-based decision-making. It begins by providing a foundational understanding of health policy and its implications for populations. The paper then delves into the types of data commonly used, including socio-demographic, epidemiologic, and health services data, and evaluates their application in real-world case studies such as the COVID-19 pandemic. The ethical, political, and logistical challenges of data use in policymaking are examined, alongside stakeholder engagement strategies and future trends in data utilization. Emphasis is placed on the need for interoperability, ethical oversight, and inclusive frameworks that address disparities and promote equitable access to health information. By leveraging big data, intelligent analytics, and participatory governance, health systems can achieve more adaptive, transparent, and impactful policies.

Keywords: Health Policy Development, Big Data, Epidemiology, Evidence-Based Decision Making, Health Information Systems, Data Ethics, Stakeholder Engagement.

INTRODUCTION

Health impacts are effects of actions and changes on the health of individuals and populations. These occur through pathways or processes and can have short- or long-term consequences. Laws and policies act as important levers that shape community norms and behaviors influencing health. They can reach entire populations when effective, as governments may implement, amend, or rescind them. New legislation allows for the assessment of current laws, even without intent to alter them, by evaluating fidelity and legality of their implementation. Laws and policies also provide frameworks to incorporate evaluations into monitoring that assess health effects, unrelated to specific study designs. Disease outbreaks allow for retrospective studies on health effects. Changes in laws may occur in response to scientific discoveries or problem recognition, offering occasions to measure health differences before and after legislation among exposed and unexposed subpopulations. Legislation allows for a review of changes, purposes, and drafting of laws related to Health in All Policies, with the most actively pursued laws being better informed by evidence [1, 2].

The Role of Data in Health Policy

Data is widely acknowledged to be a fundamental factor in the thoughtful development of public policy dominated. The discourse regarding data in recent years has mainly focused on the mining of big data and its processing to provide useful and appropriate information. In the health domain, there is a feeling that data is important for mood development, improvement of user experiences, prediction of diseases prevalence, and much more. However, there is a growing concern about whether the data noted nomenclature can output appropriate health decisions and policies when heuristics are applied in a blind manner. Health is often referred to as a significant prerequisite for the functioning of any society and a vital consideration in the government's agenda. However, many governments are perplexed about how to develop proper health policies and which policies should be developed. This focuses on the vision of utilizing data effectively for a well-founded health policy development, with an emphasis on analysis and synthesis of health-related data. Health policy development is a trade-off procedure and analysis with a multidimensional character aimed at generating mutually conducive decisions that respect health, financial, social, organizational, time, and political constraints. It involves the specification and evaluation of policies that influence health, health care, and health systems. Data, together with relevant skill and practice, are the most important enabler for thoughtful health policy development. Properly utilized, it can allow decision-makers (DMs) not only to comprehend existing and anticipated future states but also to identify policies estimated to satisfy multiple objectives while coping with uncertainties on the quantifications of input ratios and reliability of mathematical constructs. DMs who estimate themselves as data-rich need to advance to an information analysis and synthesis phase that combines health policies and health determinants thinking, model formulation and analysis, optimization algorithms realization, and decisional analysis along with it. It is demonstrated how intelligent techniques can contribute to such a vision and four simple questions are posed to the data providers and developers regarding their concern with health policy thinking issues [3, 4].

Types of Data Utilized in Health Policy

The public health sector generates and collects a vast variety of data. The most common data categories currently in use for health policy development are socio-demographic data, population epidemiologic data, health service efficacy data, health service utilization, epidemiologic, and outcomes model parameters. Socio-demographic data are necessary to inform on the population's socio-demographic characteristics and should normally be lent to countries and/or local authorities so they can be efficiently translated into health policy and regional resource allocation. Similar data can be obtained from private companies for a higher cost and for a more limited selection of countries. Population health data is obtained through population epidemiologic databases for time-variant cancer types, for births and deaths, and for national health surveys. Such data include population at risk, morbidity, utilization, outcomes, costs parameters in probabilistic form, and population service time-variant models. These data should normally go through standard statistical treatment methods including use of mortality and ascertainment correction factors as well as fit to a standard distribution. Health service outcomes data is based on results in terms of effectiveness, utilization, and cost-effectiveness of interventions in an ideal environment and should normally be lent by other countries' public health authorities and health providers. Such data must be taken with caution, since they may differ among countries and over time in time-dependent parameters such as health service costs, populations' perception of health service utilization costs, health service environment, patient demographics, and intervention competency [5, 6].

Data Sources for Health Policy

Health information is critical to epidemiology and health policy development. However, while developed countries have moved to digitalized health information planning, implementation, and delivery systems, Israel has remained stagnant while world capabilities rapidly grow. In Israel, the Ministry of Health (MOH) is not an efficient planner of population-level health policies and rarely delivers real-time analysis reports based on aggregated national-level health information. Therefore, there is a need to lead a change to transition health information usability in the MOH. To meet the need of using electronic health record systems as a basis for designing wise policy, a high-level action plan is suggested as a step-by-step process to initiate this change. It is suggested that each step should include planning, development, and aggregation efforts to turn data into useful information for policy design and development. Starting with data and information usability and availability preparation, this action plan aims to increase accessibility and understanding of data, improve standardization capabilities of all databases, and encourage governmental institutions to share their datasets. Enhancing professional capabilities should follow to improve MOH budget requests and money appropriation planning capabilities, improve the analysis and development workforce, and enrich analytical models. The above steps should prepare grounds for health policy analyses by establishing a planning and development unit in the MOH's broader research and strategy division. Eventually, health policy information applicability should be increased by making reports and permanent brainstorming technologically available and clear, using digital platforms to present a broader view, and establishing forums to develop group thinking on key health policy questions [7, 8].

Data Analysis Techniques

The data types for this project include: 1. Original Data - Directly collected observational data for specific research projects, typically straightforward to analyze with access usually not problematic. However, concerns may arise regarding the usability of larger data sets for analysis. 2. Routine Data - Often based on pre-existing datasets in administrative and public health research. These studies frequently utilize analysis-ready data that is already clean and defined. While access is generally good, methodological specifications could be an issue, as analyses are usually conducted per funding party requirements and institutional capabilities. Community health or access feedback loops are possible but rare. 3. Derived Data - Post-hoc analyses of non-researcher-collected datasets, where significant focus should be on access, scope, and usage specifications. Descriptive issues may include access costs for large electronic health data that are challenging to inspect or analyze, often involving many students compared to observations. Alternative analyses or critiques may exist but should be articulated independently. 4. Data Access and Compilation - The open-access ideal should be upheld; acquiring journal data ensures archivability, generalizability, and accountability. Encouraging data archiving is advantageous for large studies. Analytics in tools like Python or R should consider the output process as well. The intricate code used in modeling may be convoluted; hence, archived data should be geocoded for future researchers to replicate studies in different contexts. Researchers should ensure clarity in both inputs and outputs used to establish statistical validity [9, 10].

Case Studies of Data-Driven Health Policies

As the COVID-19 pandemic unfolded, it was clear that decisive measures were needed to reduce morbidity and mortality. Initially, responses focused on personal protection, such as face masks and hand washing. However, new issues emerged, highlighting the necessity of understanding preexisting health and demographic risks. The next phase required systemic measures supported by advanced epidemiology and big data on national populations and their health. Researchers later revealed longitudinal data, complicating evaluations of health dangers. Surveillance of large databases is complex, and ethical and legal issues arise when accessing people's private health information. Countries vary significantly in their health data handling, affecting how this data is interpreted. Early on, public health and economic data were not ready to inform health measures effectively. Communication barriers limited learning from other countries, compounded by the lack of available long-term health data. Significant research existed domestically, but it took years to consolidate this information into usable metadata. For instance, there was no prior indication of the heightened risk for those in nursing homes compared to the general population, or that certain health statuses significantly impacted exposure outcomes. Despite these data shortcomings, the pandemic's negative effects were evident quickly. With few countries completely shutting down, millions interacted daily in confined spaces, leading to unavoidable exposure. Social distancing was difficult in crowded public transit, workplaces, and restaurants. After relaxing lockdown measures, states reported a resurgence of infections, showing the need for additional control strategies in high-risk environments [11, 12].

Challenges In Data Utilization

Despite all the progress in stimulating the use of Indian health data for policymaking in the last years, there are a number of hurdles still hindering the optimal use of existing health data in India which need to be addressed. First, there is the overarching challenge that health in India is the subject of numerous and diverse stakeholders having sometimes opposing interests. There are health data users such as health researchers and other academics, epidemiologist, healthcare industries, health policymakers, health journalists, health NGOs, and health activists on the one hand. On the other hand, there are health data producers such as the custodians of health data, and medical professionals, opining sometimes conflicting stakes and priorities. The best preparation of giving up this polarization of data users and data producers may be to first assess and prepare the conflict of interests by getting the stakeholders together. Therefore, a thorough stakeholder analysis is required to see the whole picture of who the relevant players in health data politics are. Understanding the players and their sometimes opposing interests can help to develop a strategy on how to deal with them appropriately. Second, data users and producers may very well have contradictory opinions on the sharing and dissemination of health data. Data producers are in the audience of health data productivity initiatives and sharing and dissemination plans. However, the presentation of available health data may expose data producers to new questions and scrutiny, changing their power relationships with data users. Hence, data producers often have strong vested interests in keeping data under wraps. That is why initially data producers were not willing participants in the Indian health data availability project. A thorough asset mapping of data producers and their insider knowledge of potential points of support and resistance to be overcome is highly necessary. Next, there are critical barriers in availability as well. India is facing challenges of data scarcity or unavailability stemming from the absence of a robust health information system and poorly designed secondary data collection instruments. Even for those individuals directly involved in the health data sector, it is extremely hard to know about what data is available. Data are scattered haphazardly across hundreds of credentials, portals, atlases, platforms, initiatives, publications, repositories, and databases. It is therefore crucial to conduct an elaborate mapping and evaluation of the availability and formats of Indian health data to develop an Indian health data catalogue. Additionally, any health data will not be useful if they are outdated or unrepresentative in the light of the changing Indian demographic and epidemiological transition [13, 14].

Ethical Considerations in Data Use

While the use of data for health policy development is welcomed, it is not without concerns relating to the types of data used, the sources and methods of data collection, and the measures taken for data security and privacy. In this section, the broad ethical principles that underpin the establishment of systems for the quality use of data are considered. Such principles provide a strong foundation from which the ethical implications of data use for health policy development can be developed in more detail, with consideration of the specific circumstances of the problem at hand. One foundation for assessing the ethical aspects of a situation is a statement of principles of conduct and action. The rationale for such principles is that they are a means of articulating the socially accepted norms and obligations that govern behaviour. Indeed, principles are a prerequisite if professions or occupations are to have any claim to legitimacy. A distinctly professional group such as doctors or psychologists has, as a main function, the protection of some legitimate interest of society. Such an interest will usually be one that bears upon some largely private realm. Research and data from outside an individual's experience may have been assembled for some earlier purpose, but models of that experience – of an individual's health, lifestyle, economic transactions, personal relationships, education, or experiences – are then estimated. Such inferences will be used to predict the individual's future and to assert control over their life. Informed consent requires awareness that such inferences are being, or may be, made. Principles of conduct aim at guiding and regulating what may be done. The ethical principles are invoked to articulate and clarify moral responsibility in situations where the right course of action is in doubt. Additionally, there is an expressed interest in ensuring that model-derived predictions and inferences will be applied in socially, legally, and ethically acceptable ways. Within the field of ethics, statements of normative principles that are intended to be impartial and widely accepted are articulated and debated. Each of the identified principles is explained, followed by an analysis of situation type, and issues are indicated [15, 16].

Stakeholder Engagement in Health Policy Development

Health systems are complex adaptive networks of humans, machines, and interactions governed by various rules and policies. Engaging stakeholders—such as government agencies, NGOs, private sectors, academia, and health users—is essential for effective health policy development. Their diverse backgrounds help policymakers anticipate various outcomes, align policies with goals, legitimize decisions, and enhance understanding, thus reducing opposition. The growing focus on stakeholder engagement is reflected in an increasing number of resources for healthcare organizations aiming to improve their practices. Engagement can occur through various methods at different policy stages, enabling stakeholders to collaboratively establish agendas, develop solutions, or offer feedback on decisions. This builds a sense of community ownership by translating research into accessible tools and fostering ongoing community input on policy performance. Despite empirical studies generating numerous tools for engaging stakeholders, a comprehensive overview is missing from existing resources, leaving organizations to create their strategies from scratch. Moreover, the applicability of these methods across different countries and contexts remains uncertain. Relying solely on desk research may overlook context-specific approaches that work in countries with unique political systems. Additionally, available information is often limited to case stories with narrow global applicability, making it hard for practitioners to adapt lessons to their contexts [17, 18].

Future Trends in Health Data Utilization

Recently, policies have sought to improve the adoption of big data in health systems and their use for health improvement. Initiatives have focused on integrating health data across health care providers and publicly held datasets. Moreover, there have also been calls to increase the quantity of health data collected outside health care, for example, by using data from schools, workplaces, sites of public gathering, and transport networks. New forms of data collection from genetic screening, sensors, wearables, and mobile apps are now beginning to evolve. These will provide further new opportunities for the improvement of health and care. There is now a consensus that the widespread use of big data analytics will allow better understanding of areas of intervention where the use of resources might maximize population health benefit and/or mitigate harms. This understanding might be used to support policy development. Overall, data are seen to allow the provision of care greater in anticipation, personalization, and targeting. Moreover, big data analytics is theorized to yield efficiencies in terms of response times and reduced duplication. A considerable effort has been made to find and integrate datasets to put this theory into practice, and concerns that this evidence is ambiguous or incompatible with current knowledge have focused attention on the question of what constitutes validity. Concerns regarding the use of personal data have stimulated public engagement efforts. Widespread involvement of relevant groups, including potential data holders, data subjects, and other parties with an interest in or concern about contingent issues, is recommended. Calls have not just concerned how to resolve issues that threaten to inhibit health data interoperability, but also how measures to support widespread health data interoperability might be developed with respect to wants, needs and interests. Health data interoperability may not be possible given the current and foreseeable understanding of the contingencies. This recognition might stimulate negotiations to ensure healthy solutions in alternative domains [19, 20].

International Perspectives on Health Data

The importance of data as a basis for health policy development is increasingly recognized in many countries and regions. However, the availability of such data is varied and underserved globally. For developing countries, reliable and accurate data on health is often lacking, making it difficult to develop and implement evidence-based health policies. A major missing input for health data are health and demographic surveys, which provide high quality, but usually static, individualized data on health and health determinants. In a limited number of developing countries, such surveys are also used, however, the availability of data is episodic at a country level and not consistent. For LMIC countries, decay in data availability has occurred for two categories of data that are crucial for health policy development. First, household health service access and historical health usage. Such access data is needed for geographical equity assessment and planning of health service availability studies. Second, fabric data of households and domestic units on health as well as health determinants. Such data is crucial to demystify and identify the root causes of health issues, policy assessment, and project causal impact evaluations. The universal health coverage agenda follows a multi-disciplinary approach where classically socio-demographic as well as health service data are key. However, the growing global burden of health diseases, i.e. violence, mental health, non-communicable diseases, poor quality of high access health services, and more is addressed in a limited manner. These health issues arise from fabric discrepancies and data on such discrepancies is yet scarce for all but a few countries. Such data is not only imperative for basing policies or modelling access to services and constructing indices, but is also crucial for detailing the growth of many health funding and policy implementations. Even in LMIC countries that do invest in the cyclic collection of high quality health service geography data, comparable latest household health service access data is often unavailable. This disrupts most of the significant health policies discussed above [21-25].

CONCLUSION

In an era characterized by rapid technological advancements and complex public health challenges, the role of data in shaping health policy cannot be overstated. As demonstrated throughout this paper, data is both a foundational resource and a strategic tool in developing, evaluating, and refining health policies. The use of diverse datasets—ranging from socio-demographic statistics to real-time epidemiological trends—enables policymakers to craft more responsive and equitable interventions. However, data alone is insufficient without robust analytical frameworks, ethical safeguards, and collaborative stakeholder engagement. The challenges of data access, interoperability, privacy, and political interests must be addressed to unlock the full potential of data-driven policymaking. Moving forward, a concerted effort to enhance health information infrastructure, promote open data initiatives, and invest in human capital for data analysis will be essential. Ultimately, leveraging data for health policy development holds the promise of more informed, transparent, and effective governance in public health.

REFERENCE

1. Schultz PW. Secret agents of influence: Leveraging social norms for good. Current Directions in Psychological Science. 2022 Oct;31(5):443-50.
2. Constantino SM, Sparkman G, Kraft-Todd GT, Bicchieri C, Centola D, Shell-Duncan B, Vogt S, Weber EU. Scaling up change: A critical review and practical guide to harnessing social norms for climate action. Psychological science in the public interest. 2022 Oct;23(2):50-97.
3. Uddin MZ, Dysthe KK, Følstad A, Brandtzaeg PB. Deep learning for prediction of depressive symptoms in a large textual dataset. Neural Computing and Applications. 2022 Jan;34(1):721-44.
4. Uban AS, Chulvi B, Rosso P. An emotion and cognitive based analysis of mental health disorders from social media data. Future Generation Computer Systems. 2021 Nov 1;124:480-94.
5. Dotse-Gborgbortsi W, Nilsen K, Ofosu A, Matthews Z, Tejedor-Garavito N, Wright J, Tatem AJ. Distance is "a big problem": a geographic analysis of reported and modelled proximity to maternal health services in Ghana. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2022 Aug 31;22(1):672. springer.com
6. Papez V, Moinat M, Voss EA, Bazakou S, Van Winzum A, Peviani A, Payralbe S, Lara EG, Kallfelz M, Asselbergs FW, Prieto-Alhambra D. Transforming and evaluating the UK Biobank to the OMOP Common Data Model for COVID-19 research and beyond. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association. 2023 Jan 1;30(1):103-11. oup.com
7. Steiner TJ, Stovner LJ. Global epidemiology of migraine and its implications for public health and health policy. Nature Reviews Neurology. 2023 Feb;19(2):109-17.
8. Kanchan S, Gaidhane A. Social media role and its impact on public health: a narrative review. Cureus. 2023 Jan 13;15(1).
9. Sanginga P, Njuki J, Waithanji E. Conclusion: Improving the design and delivery of gender outcomes in livestock research for development in Africa. InWomen, Livestock Ownership and Markets 2013 Oct 23 (pp. 129-142). Routledge.
10. Ongesa TN, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Optimizing emergency response systems in urban health crises: A project management approach to public health preparedness and response. Medicine. 2025 Jan 17;104(3):e41279.
11. Augustine SP, Bailey-Marren I, Charton KT, Kiel NG, Peyton MS. Improper data practices erode the quality of global ecological databases and impede the progress of ecological research. Global Change Biology. 2024 Jan;30(1):e17116. wiley.com
12. Ahmad K, Maabreh M, Ghaly M, Khan K, Qadir J, Al-Fuqaha A. Developing future human-centered smart cities: Critical analysis of smart city security, Data management, and Ethical challenges. Computer Science Review. 2022 Feb 1;43:100452. [HTML]
13. El Khatib M, Hamidi S, Al Ameeri I, Al Zaabi H, Al Marqab R. Digital disruption and big data in healthcare-opportunities and challenges. ClinicoEconomics and Outcomes Research. 2022 Jan 1:563-74. tandfonline.com
14. Nneoma UC, Fabian O, Valentine EH, Paul-Chima UO. Innovations in Renewable Energy for Health Applications. system. 2025;1:2.
15. Mehta V, Ajmera P, Kalra S, Miraj M, Gallani R, Shaik RA, Serhan HA, Sah R. Human resource shortage in India's health sector: a scoping review of the current landscape. BMC Public Health. 2024 May 21;24(1):1368.
16. Afzal F, Raychaudhuri PS, Afzal MA, Ahmad AA. Challenges faced by bpl population in availing public healthcare–analysing government initiatives, technology and cultural barriers in Aligarh district, UP. South Asian J Soc Sci Humanities. 2021;2(5):1-9.
17. Shahid J, Ahmad R, Kiani AK, Ahmad T, Saeed S, Almuhaideb AM. Data protection and privacy of the internet of healthcare things (IoHTs). Applied Sciences. 2022 Feb 12;12(4):1927. mdpi.com
18. Keshta I, Odeh A. Security and privacy of electronic health records: Concerns and challenges. Egyptian Informatics Journal. 2021 Jul 1;22(2):177-83.

19. Masefield SC, Msosa A, Chinguwo FK, Grugel J. Stakeholder engagement in the health policy process in a low income country: a qualitative study of stakeholder perceptions of the challenges to effective inclusion in Malawi. BMC Health Services Research. 2021 Dec;21:1-4.
20. Shrestha A, Tamrakar D, Shrestha B, Karmacharya BM, Shrestha A, Pyakurel P, Spiegelman D. Stakeholder engagement in a hypertension and diabetes prevention research program: Description and lessons learned. Plos one. 2022 Oct 20;17(10):e0276478.
21. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
22. Albahri AS, Duhaim AM, Fadhel MA, Alnoor A, Baqer NS, Alzubaidi L, Albahri OS, Alamoodi AH, Bai J, Salhi A, Santamaría J. A systematic review of trustworthy and explainable artificial intelligence in healthcare: Assessment of quality, bias risk, and data fusion. Information Fusion. 2023 Aug 1;96:156-91.
23. Norori N, Hu Q, Aellen FM, Faraci FD, Tzovara A. Addressing bias in big data and AI for health care: A call for open science. Patterns. 2021 Oct 8;2(10).
24. Liang W, Tadesse GA, Ho D, Fei-Fei L, Zaharia M, Zhang C, Zou J. Advances, challenges and opportunities in creating data for trustworthy AI. Nature Machine Intelligence. 2022 Aug;4(8):669-77. google.com
25. Mbunge E, Muchemwa B, Jiyane SE, Batani J. Sensors and healthcare 5.0: transformative shift in virtual care through emerging digital health technologies. global health journal. 2021 Dec 1;5(4):169-77.

CITE AS: Kato Jumba K. (2025). Leveraging Data for Health Policy Development. Research Output Journal of Public Health and Medicine 5(1):85-91. https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJPHM/2025/518591