https://rijournals.com/law-communication-and-languages/

RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF LAW, COMMUNICATION AND LANGUAGES 5(1):8-14, 2025

©RIJLCL Publications ISSN 1597-8605

https://doi.org/10.59298/RIJLCL/2025/51814

The Role of Health Economics in Shaping Policy Decisions

Asiimwe Kyomugisha T.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Health economics plays a pivotal role in guiding policy decisions by systematically evaluating the costs and benefits of health interventions. This interdisciplinary field combines economic theory with healthcare analysis to inform policies that promote efficiency, equity, and effectiveness in resource allocation. As health systems face increasing demand and constrained budgets, economic evaluations—such as cost-effectiveness, cost-utility, and cost-benefit analyses—provide essential insights into how best to use limited resources. This paper examines the historical development of health economics, key theoretical foundations, and its application in shaping public and private health sector decisions. Special attention is given to the roles of stakeholders, health policy frameworks, and the emerging trends transforming the discipline. By highlighting real-world case studies and methodological challenges, the paper underscores the importance of integrating robust economic analysis into health policy development to achieve sustainable, evidence-based healthcare systems.

Keywords: Health Economics, Policy Decision-Making, Cost-Effectiveness Analysis, Economic Evaluation, Health Systems, Resource Allocation, Public Health Policy.

INTRODUCTION

Health economics applies economic theory to the supply of healthcare, examining how financial decisions influence the allocation and utilization of healthcare resources. Economists in this field analyze individual behaviors and health outcomes on a microeconomic level, studying production, costs, and the economic returns of health services, while simultaneously evaluating macroeconomic factors related to how healthcare is funded and managed. This discipline estimates health benefits as opportunity costs, addressing issues of efficiency, effectiveness, equity, and behavioral aspects of resource distribution. This introduction answers fundamental questions about health economics, discussing microeconomic concepts vital for analyzing health care production and input behavior. It includes eight questions concerning macroeconomic connections like insurance markets and information asymmetry, and it highlights the WHO's role in evaluating public health impacts. Resource allocation remains a crucial topic, linked to economic evaluations that inform priorities. The theory of Homo economicus shapes resource use within health systems, which mainly cater to population groups. A singular approach to resource distribution across diverse populations is unrealistic; hence, cost-effectiveness analysis is crucial, integrating ethical and strategic viewpoints. Effectiveness and fairness are complementary goals in health care, and understanding cost-effectiveness can enhance both strategic interests and fairness efforts. As the demand for health resources grows, economic methods are essential for advocating reasonable policies among political stakeholders. Health economics' broad scope combines theoretical and practical elements to understand healthcare systems, resource distribution, health behaviors, and professional roles. This field gains prominence alongside rising health budgets and increasing private health service provisions. Health's significant social dimension creates diverse funding dynamics within healthcare. Themes in health economics emerge from differing objectives, notably the evaluation of health services and interventions to ensure they meet predetermined goals. Cost-effectiveness assessments are critical in determining the efficiency of health services, alongside considerations of resource levels and organizational best practices for service delivery. Overall, health economics is a profound field with deep historical roots, increasingly influencing government policies in various countries and prompting philosophical debates about health and behavior frameworks. The interplay of economic theory and health

outcomes continues to proliferate a vast literature, although this introduction cannot encompass all related issues comprehensively. Key tools for understanding health economics include methods for enhancing health system efficiency and improving population health [1, 2].

Historical Context of Health Economics

Health economics investigates how individuals and society allocate resources to medical care, examining health influences, societal willingness to pay for health improvements, and various problems related to healthcare resources and their financing. It has methodological ties to microeconomics and is increasingly popular in the U.S. due to rising healthcare expenditures. Concerns include communicable diseases, health service efficiency, and the number of healthcare professionals. Currently, eight prominent theories exist in health economics, including the Grossman model and developments from institutions like the U of Pennsylvania. However, these models often lack applicability in the Italian hospital sector. Historical development emphasized demand and necessity, with the field emerging more distinctly since the 1960s, driven by health insurance, technological advancements, and increased healthcare costs. Early research was descriptive, leading into more complex analyses facilitated by improved health data availability and econometric methods in the 1970s. The discipline has flourished, becoming a separate specialty in many academic institutions with substantial research in health demand, healthcare systems, and cost-effectiveness evaluations. Predominantly influenced by experiences from the U.S. and UK, the different health systems complicate the transfer of knowledge. A deeper understanding of health economics may help resolve current system issues and offer insights for future research. Despite economic skepticism from some health professionals, health economics has evolved significantly since the 1920s, drawing from the works of Marshall and Pigou and analyzing policy alongside market dynamics, including demand and supply. By the late 20th century, understanding market failures in healthcare improved, exploring topics like risk-aversion, physician roles, and consumer behaviors driven by imperfect information. Literature on health economics began to take shape in the mid-1960s, focusing on the demand and supply of medical care and health insurance, evaluating factors affecting care utilization, health behaviors, and provider conduct regarding payment systems and health facility integration [3, 4].

Key Concepts in Health Economics

Health economics studies resource allocation in healthcare, exploring key terms like utility, cost-effectiveness, health equity, and opportunity cost. These concepts, though often misunderstood, are crucial for informed healthcare policy-making. The interplay between demand and supply, along with issues from public and private providers, impacts healthcare delivery. This guide aims for clarity, incorporating mathematical models and simulations to illustrate how policies can affect resource allocation. By measuring costs against performance, health economics strives to maximize health outcomes per resource used. Growing 'big data' healthcare time-series enhances the application of deterministic or probabilistic economic models, essential for future health professionals. The guide seeks to deepen understanding of health economics and improve decision-making amid health uncertainties. Every day, trade decisions, particularly regarding healthcare services, form a foundational aspect of this field. Concepts of supply and demand underpin pricing in health economics, offering tools for policy formulation. Economic evaluation techniques and the impact of health behaviors related to economic determinants are discussed, highlighting that factors beyond the healthcare system, like living conditions, are equally vital for health outcomes. A complete understanding of health determinants requires analysis beyond healthcare alone. This paper introduces essential concepts and tools to assist in policy design and healthcare decision-making. Definitions of opportunity cost, utility, health outcome measurement, and the production possibility frontier are provided. Economic evaluations play a vital role in understanding health systems; thus, common types are outlined alongside the importance of accurate health outcome measures for Cost-Effectiveness Analysis (CEA) and Cost-Utility Analysis (CUA). Equity and quality, pivotal in health service analysis, are examined, along with relevant policies and international experiences. Behavioral economics is also noted for its potential to shape effective health policies. The focus is primarily on the British healthcare system, while acknowledging the broader applicability of the economic principles discussed [5].

The Economic Evaluation of Health Interventions

The economic evaluation of health interventions is detailed, including methods like cost-effectiveness, cost-utility, and cost-benefit analysis. These evaluations are crucial for health resource allocation decisions, such as defining benefit packages and drug formularies. Growing prominence in healthcare analysis highlights the comparative assessment of costs and outcomes. Cost-effectiveness analysis is the most established and widely used, focusing on the cost-to-effect ratio of health interventions, though it may overlook equity considerations and high-risk populations. Cost-utility analysis employs a generic

health outcome measure but requires more demanding data, necessitating transparency regarding uncertainties and assumptions. Misleading results can arise when comparing similar health interventions within uneven investment portfolios. Countries facing a mix of diseases may prioritize national income in life year equivalents. Economic evaluations often support significant grants or loans, stressing the necessity for stakeholders to pressure health ministries for evaluations on proposed projects. In Malaysia, rural sanitation financing demonstrates how economic evaluations can influence health decisions, showing significant financial returns. Methodologies like cost-effectiveness analysis are emphasized for government policymaking. A case study reveals that early diagnosis and contact tracing for tuberculosis in low-prevalence areas is cost-effective. Additionally, a quasi-experimental approach can mitigate biases in self-reported outcomes. Cost-utility analysis may differ based on the welfare perspectives of diseased versus non-diseased individuals, influencing resource allocation strategies. Some economic evaluations monetize benefits, while others suggest examining the valuation of outcomes. A new framework for assessing health interventions considers individual impact, exemplified by activities improving elderly well-being, such as Tai-chi and opera viewing. This approach aids in evaluating benefits for complex interventions, providing valuable insights for policy decisions [6, 7].

Health Policy Frameworks

In high-income countries, health policy often follows frameworks that limit the policy options available. These frameworks set the parameters for reform strategies by determining what is included in the policy agenda. The structures of health systems and policies can differ significantly. In intermediate-income countries like South Africa, there is a push towards market-oriented strategies. The common classifications of health systems, namely 'Beveridge' and 'Bismarck,' are sometimes debated for their accuracy. The Beveridge model, exemplified by the British NHS, is characterized by state provision and financing through taxes, where care is publicly provided, leading to ongoing budget concerns and waiting lists. In contrast, the Bismarck model in the US mandates private insurance coverage while managing costs through government regulations. The belief in private sector efficiency is challenged by high healthcare costs in many places. People with lower incomes, particularly the elderly, disproportionately consume health resources, affecting employment incentives. Economic pressures in the US have heightened demands for healthcare redistribution, which cannot occur without coordinated industrial policies. The rise of the Bismarck model in post-socialist countries increases transparency about funding, revealing challenges with healthcare delivery driven by class conflicts and special interests. Healthcare insurance can inflate costs as providers aim to cover higher expenses. The role of regulation often becomes complex; for instance, antitrust laws may lead to mergers that elevate prices further. Supply side management uses clinical guidelines, which are largely adhered to by government beneficiaries, while the private sector adjusts services according to patient expectations rather than clinical evidence. By relying on various funding sources, such as private insurance and out-of-pocket payments, countries like South Africa navigate a blend of economic contexts. Public health investments and policy coordination can help mitigate issues like inflation during health crises. Despite efforts to clarify health system functions, many frameworks lack a comprehensive understanding, mistakenly isolating health sectors from broader organizational structures. Different political, economic, and social factors heavily influence health policies, often creating divergent goals among health systems. Frameworks that evaluate health systems can be categorized into those focusing on sectoral arrangements and those considering systemic components. While the former may offer narrower perspectives aligned with health research methodologies, the latter emphasizes a more comprehensive view with actionable operational strategies. Overall, a deeper comprehension of health system frameworks may drive improved analysis and effective policy engagement, ensuring that states maintain oversight of health sectors and manage costs effectively. Current healthcare markets exhibit limitations in enhancing efficiency or reducing costs, which contrasts with dominant economic literature [8, 9].

The Role of Stakeholders in Health Economics

Health economics is a diverse field that engages anyone involved in health delivery or policy decisions, regardless of their awareness or willingness. It typically focuses on the supply side, assessing which healthcare services should be offered, their optimal quantity, and appropriate pricing. Patients, on the other hand, seek high-quality, affordable, and accessible healthcare. In contemporary systems, insurers often facilitate access on behalf of patients. Stakeholders approach health economics from varied perspectives based on their goals. Patient groups aim to influence service availability, comparing current offerings with potential services. Ideally, optimal fund allocation would maximize health outcomes while reflecting community needs, but differing stakeholder priorities often yield varied fund allocation decisions. Health economics plays a crucial role in understanding and improving health policy choices,

ensuring equitable resource distribution for improved health outcomes. Engagement among stakeholders—including patients, providers, and funders—is essential, as health technology assessments must consider numerous factors like service availability and ongoing evaluations. The discipline also engages with long-term intervention modeling, with stakeholder trust varying based on the modeling agent. Various stakeholders, such as government health ministries, hospital managers, insurers, patients, and pharmaceutical companies, influence and are influenced by health economics. Governments weigh issues like health financing when shaping policy, while managers must navigate increased service demand by applying health economics principles. Insurers affect both supply and demand for healthcare, focusing on competition and payment mechanisms. Health economics helps patients understand service supply, advocating for choices in their care. Additionally, pharmaceutical companies utilize health economics to price and distribute goods effectively amidst competition. Overall, health economics applies economic theory to healthcare activities, encompassing areas like financing, provision, evaluation, and pharmaceutical policy, providing policy guidance across these sectors. Traditional health economics is explored alongside the new political economy of health, clarifying relationships among different sectors and evaluating health economics' role in policy development and implementation [10, 11].

Impact of Health Economics on Policy Decisions

The field of health economics has increasingly influenced policy-making, particularly through economic evaluations that assess the cost-effectiveness of interventions. Much health economic research aims to impact policy, shaping public perceptions and policy option rankings. Policymakers, including politicians and senior civil servants, engage with this research. An evaluation of health economics analyses from the first round of NICE single-technology appraisals revealed evidence of best practices, although many analyses lacked vital operational details, hampering quality assessment. Factors like limited time, resources, or expertise may have contributed to these shortcomings. The study also examined barriers in policymaking and surveyed health economists attending NICE Appraisal Committee meetings, finding that the majority believed economic findings influenced funding or guideline decisions. However, some respondents noted issues with addressing funding questions adequately in health economic submissions. Case studies illustrated health economics' significant but often hidden role in policy decisions, particularly within capitated funding frameworks. Health economics can shape policies when approaches beyond cost-effectiveness are considered. Its involvement is essential for promoting evidence-based practices and addressing uncertainties in alternative costs that hinder decision-making. Clarity and accessibility in presenting economic evaluations for policymakers are crucial. Moreover, a structured dialogue between researchers and healthcare purchasers is necessary to enhance understanding of health economics in policymaking, which varies by country. Demonstrated case histories are important for illustrating health economics' direct effects on policy. There is a need to increase health economics training for users and improve the relevance of health economists' work to policy contexts [12, 13].

Challenges In Health Economic Evaluations

Health economic evaluations are vital for policy-making, but face several challenges affecting their credibility. Data availability, quality, and interpretability pose significant issues during economic evaluations due to necessary assumptions and methodological limitations. High-quality randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are seldom available because of the complexity of health policy changes. Retrospective evaluations encounter methodological obstacles, such as the endogeneity of interventions and counterfactual selection. Estimating counterfactuals often relies on strong assumptions that may not hold, particularly in the presence of unobserved covariates. Assessing long-term outcomes can be difficult, with some measures being costly or impossible to obtain despite advancements in data linkage and availability. Additionally, the rapidly changing healthcare landscape can render findings obsolete quickly, requiring continuous updates that may diminish credibility. Implementation issues further compromise the reliability of health economic evaluations; common assumptions can be arbitrary and lack transparency. Stakeholders may also question the validity of research, delaying empirical work and affecting result timeliness. Limited data availability in developing countries introduces uncertainty into results, complicating efforts to quantify health, which is a complex, multidimensional concept lacking a consensus definition or effective measurement methods. Valuing health remains contentious, with debates over how to assign a price to health given its intrinsic value. The relationship between health and income is similarly complex and open to exploration. Moreover, the transferability of results can be hindered by local contextual differences, challenging the applicability of findings across various scenarios. Issues of equity, solidarity, and universal access exemplify ethical dilemmas in health economics, underscoring the necessity for transparency and rigor in methodology to address persistent questions in the field. As

unresolved challenges abound, emphasizing robust practices is crucial for progress in health economics and policy formulation [14, 15].

Emerging Trends in Health Economics

Health economic evaluation is increasingly important for assessing resource implications of new drugs, devices, and treatments in healthcare. Innovations in methods and data sources are improving economic evaluations and expanding the range of questions addressed. New data, such as patient-reported outcomes and consumer behavior, allow for trends to be explored. Progress on the Department of Health's "Valuing Informal Care" study shows how time use data can assess social care interventions. Evolving methods, including visualization and three-armed trials, reflect a broader approach within health economics. However, challenges remain, notably the need for standardized data collection and the validation of service models through various datasets. New technologies aid in data gathering and sophisticated modeling, yet also create challenges in keeping pace with evolving methods. Cross-disciplinary research could enhance both the development of methods and the application of health economics, ensuring that contributions to studies are relevant and current. An analysis highlights successful research themes in health economics over the last decade, focusing on how these insights shape future developments. Since the emergence of health economics in the early 1990s, scholarly output has increased, albeit unevenly across themes. Understanding research trends is vital, especially when considering funding structured into 99 categories within US medical science research. Despite limitations, significant strides in health economics literature are evident in prevailing grant distributions. Following a funding increase in 2008, both funded and non-funded research communities have focused more on areas supported by funding, notably producing a rise in output concerning the US health system. This specific theme has generated the most public interest, eclipsing other themes. To enhance comprehension of this interdisciplinary field that merges medical and economic sciences, historical trends and the contexts of current knowledge generation will be analyzed [16, 17, 18].

Global Perspectives on Health Economics

Engaging with health economics globally reveals the variation in this field across locations. Countries often feel overlooked by Anglophone health system standards due to their unique cultural, economic, and political contexts. Health economic evaluations must be viewed about global findings, especially alongside other systems like Australia's, which is influenced by American managed care. Neoliberalism has diminished welfare state autonomy, making local evaluations more impactful worldwide. The World Bank and IMF influence health system reforms in Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMIC), incorporating health economic evaluations. Additionally, the WHO emphasizes a global perspective, making it essential to explore how health economic evaluations are implemented and their implications for intervention success. This global lens clarifies why certain nations prioritize health economic evaluations. Primarily, political ideologies in Europe and the Commonwealth advocate for efficient resource allocation; the focus is on interventions that generate substantial health benefits relative to costs. It's notable that even in the largely private US healthcare system, health economic evaluations occur, especially for pharmaceuticals. Public health sectors employ health economists to assess cost-effectiveness, particularly in preventive measures like vaccination. Federal support for healthcare research has led to significant evaluations, such as those for hepatitis B vaccination, influencing local health policy. International partnerships, including with the WHO and the Multilateral Initiative on Malaria, have promoted economic evaluations at local levels, resulting in influential studies across Africa, impacting national health strategies. Higher-income countries require more economic evaluations due to better access to healthcare. These evaluations help standardize effective care despite resource disparities. Understanding global practices in economic evaluations is crucial for intervention success and assessing the interchangeability of local evaluations. Health system research outputs and the rise of health economics study programs have diversified practices in health economics and policy globally. However, a comprehensive analysis of economic evaluation practices and their policy implications remains scarce. A review of 38 specialty journals from 2001 to 2019 reveals varying roles of economic evaluations in shaping health policy across countries. While outputs are increasing, disparities exist between developed and developing nations regarding the impact of economic evaluations. Best practices should consider diverse health systems and cultural contexts, learning from successful international experiences. Investigating biases may further enhance the scientific knowledge base in this domain [19, 20, 21].

Future Directions in Health Economics

The field of health economics offers significant potential for improving health programmes and systems by valuing the benefits within the context of resource use. Over the past decade, increased reliance on health economics research has informed health policy across various issues, including macroeconomics,

poverty, equity, efficiency, and quality of care. Health economists strive for methodological and presentation improvements while health technology assessment (HTA) gains prominence in healthcare commissioning decisions. New challenges emerge as cost-effectiveness becomes central to policy formulation in health and related sectors, supported by evolving guidelines for conducting such studies. As the field evolves, health economists must adapt to policy demands and defend their research, frequently employing diverse methodological approaches for economic evaluations aligned with broader policy decisions. The wider DC Commissioning Community Knowledge Hub illustrates this trend, alongside new UK guidelines on public health appraisal. There's a growing consensus on integrating various disciplines within health economics education and research. While health economists address programme and intervention assessments, they must stay at the forefront of technological advancements and explore additional methods to enhance existing techniques. Challenges like obesity and substance misuse highlight limits to current capabilities, underscoring the need for enriched analysis through further research investment to avoid policy failures. This necessitates a shift beyond traditional health economics to include data science and social science methodologies. Health economics is increasingly vital in policy decisions at national and local levels amidst economic constraints and an aging population. The emergence of new technologies, equipment, and drugs presents both benefits and potential resource burdens. Integrating health economic evaluations early in healthcare delivery processes during policy development can foster better outcomes, as these processes involve complex political, social, and economic considerations, along with innovative funding mechanisms. Healthcare service users demand greater transparency and accountability. Stakeholders are pursuing higher service delivery standards as policies pivot towards prevention and value-based care. To keep pace, health economic evaluations need to capture relevant findings and adapt to new frameworks. Sustainable health economics can generate fresh conceptual frameworks for health production and delivery, necessitating comparative effectiveness analyses that connect various health services to patient needs. Some academics propose adopting the positive deviancy method, which highlights the performance of well-managed health systems. Although consensus on health economics implementation for scientific or policy outcomes remains elusive, its role is critical moving forward [22, 23, 24, 25].

CONCLUSION

Health economics is indispensable in shaping responsive and sustainable health policies. By applying economic tools to complex healthcare challenges, it enables policymakers are enabled to make informed decisions that balance costs with desired health outcomes. The growing emphasis on evidence-based policymaking, fueled by economic evaluations, has elevated the relevance of health economics globally. Despite challenges, such as data limitations, ethical considerations, and contextual disparities, health economics provides a structured approach for assessing interventions, guiding investment, and promoting equity. Its contributions extend beyond cost analysis to address the broader dynamics of health systems, behaviors, and stakeholder interests. As healthcare systems evolve, the continued development and integration of health economic research will be essential in designing effective policies that improve population health while maintaining fiscal responsibility.

REFERENCES

1. Folland S, Goodman AC, Stano M, Danagoulian S. The economics of health and health care. 2024. 136.175.10.10
2. Getzen TE, Kobernick MS. Health economics and financing. John Wiley & Sons; 2022 Feb 8.
3. Getzen TE, Kobernick MS. Health economics and financing. John Wiley & Sons; 2022 Feb 8.
4. Pu L. Fairness of the distribution of public medical and health resources. Frontiers in public health. 2021 Nov 10;9:768728.
5. Turner HC, Archer RA, Downey LE, Isaranuwatchai W, Chalkidou K, Jit M, Teerawattananon Y. An introduction to the main types of economic evaluations used for informing priority setting and resource allocation in healthcare: key features, uses, and limitations. Frontiers in public health. 2021 Aug 25;9:722927.
6. Bertram MY, Lauer JA, Stenberg K, Edejer TT. Methods for the economic evaluation of health care interventions for priority setting in the health system: an update from WHO CHOICE. International Journal of Health Policy and Management. 2021 Jan 20;10(11):673. nih.gov
7. Husereau D, Drummond M, Augustovski F, de Bekker-Grob E, Briggs AH, Carswell C, Caulley L, Chaiyakunapruk N, Greenberg D, Loder E, Mauskopf J. Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) statement: updated reporting guidance for health economic evaluations. MDM Policy & Practice. 2022 Jan;7(1):23814683211061097. sagepub.com

8. Such E, Smith K, Woods HB, Meier P. Governance of intersectoral collaborations for population health and to reduce health inequalities in high-income countries: a complexity-informed systematic review. International Journal of Health Policy and Management. 2022 Feb 23;11(12):2780. nih.gov
9. Briggs AM, Jordan JE, Sharma S, Young JJ, Chua J, Foster HE, Haq SA, Huckel Schneider C, Jain A, Joshipura M, Kalla AA. Context and priorities for health systems strengthening for pain and disability in low-and middle-income countries: a secondary qualitative study and content analysis of health policies. Health policy and planning. 2023 Mar 1;38(2):129-49. oup.com
10. Phillips CJ. Health economics: an introduction for health professionals. John Wiley & Sons; 2008 Apr 15.
11. Unruh L, Barnes AJ, Rice T. The economics of health reconsidered. ACHE Learn; 2023 Jan 24.
12. Sloan FA, Hsieh CR. Health economics. Mit Press; 2017 Jan 27.
13. Dopp AR, Kerns SE, Panattoni L, Ringel JS, Eisenberg D, Powell BJ, Low R, Raghavan R. Translating economic evaluations into financing strategies for implementing evidence-based practices. Implementation Science. 2021 Dec;16:1-2. springer.com
14. Vickery J, Atkinson P, Lin L, Rubin O, Upshur R, Yeoh EK, Boyer C, Errett NA. Challenges to evidence-informed decision-making in the context of pandemics: qualitative study of COVID-19 policy advisor perspectives. BMJ global health. 2022 Apr 1;7(4):e008268. bmj.com
15. Fattore G, Federici C, Drummond M, Mazzocchi M, Detzel P, Hutton ZV, Shankar B. Economic evaluation of nutrition interventions: Does one size fit all?. Health Policy. 2021 Sep 1;125(9):1238-46. whiterose.ac.uk
16. Li N, Cornelissen D, Silverman S, Pinto D, Si L, Kremer I, Bours S, de Bot R, Boonen A, Evers S, van den Bergh J. An updated systematic review of cost-effectiveness analyses of drugs for osteoporosis. Pharmacoeconomics. 2021 Feb;39:181-209. springer.com
17. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
18. Gutiérrez Villegas C, Paz-Zulueta M, Herrero-Montes M, Parás-Bravo P, Madrazo Pérez M. Cost analysis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): a systematic review. Health economics review. 2021 Dec;11:1-2. springer.com
19. Ongesa TN, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Optimizing emergency response systems in urban health crises: A project management approach to public health preparedness and response. Medicine. 2025 Jan 17;104(3):e41279.
20. Husereau D, Drummond M, Augustovski F, de Bekker-Grob E, Briggs AH, Carswell C, Caulley L, Chaiyakunapruk N, Greenberg D, Loder E, Mauskopf J. Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards (CHEERS) 2022 explanation and elaboration: a report of the ISPOR CHEERS II good practices task force. Value in health. 2022 Jan 1;25(1):10-31. sciencedirect.com
21. Nneoma UC, Fabian O, Valentine EH, Paul-Chima UO. Innovations in Renewable Energy for Health Applications. system. 2025;1:2.
22. Le LK, Esturas AC, Mihalopoulos C, Chiotelis O, Bucholc J, Chatterton ML, Engel L. Cost-effectiveness evidence of mental health prevention and promotion interventions: a systematic review of economic evaluations. PLoS medicine. 2021 May 11;18(5):e1003606. plos.org
23. Dewar DM. Essentials of health economics. Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2024 Oct 23.
24. Paul-Chima UO, Ugwu CN, Alum EU. Integrated approaches in nutraceutical delivery systems: optimizing ADME dynamics for enhanced therapeutic potency and clinical impact. RPS Pharmacy and Pharmacology Reports. 2024 Oct;3(4):rqae024.
25. Turner HC, Archer RA, Downey LE, Isaranuwatchai W, Chalkidou K, Jit M, Teerawattananon Y. An introduction to the main types of economic evaluations used for informing priority setting and resource allocation in healthcare: key features, uses, and limitations. Frontiers in public health. 2021 Aug 25;9:722927. frontiersin.org

CITE AS: Asiimwe Kyomugisha T. (2025). The Role of Health Economics in Shaping Policy Decisions. RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF LAW, COMMUNICATION AND LANGUAGES 5(1):8-14. https://doi.org/10.59298/RIJLCL/2025/51814