NIJBAS
Publications 2024

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES (NIJBAS)

Volume 6 Issue 2 Page 9-16, 2025

https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJBAS/2025/6.2.91600

Personalized Nutrition: Engineering Dietary Recommendations

Mugisha Emmanuel K.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Personalized nutrition represents a transformative shift in dietary guidance, integrating genomics, metabolomics, behavioral science, and technological innovations to craft individualized dietary recommendations. This paper examines the scientific, technological, and ethical dimensions of personalized nutrition, examining its foundations in nutrient biology, metabolic processes, and genetic variability. Despite its promise, personalized nutrition faces skepticism due to inconsistent outcomes and ethical concerns surrounding data use. With the rise of machine learning, advanced diagnostics, and digital health platforms, the feasibility of real-time, individualized dietary guidance is increasing. However, challenges remain in standardizing methodologies, translating complex biological data into actionable insights, and safeguarding personal information. This review synthesizes current advancements, identifies gaps, and proposes a holistic, ethically responsible framework for implementing personalized nutrition at scale.

Keywords: Personalized Nutrition, Nutrigenomics, Nutrigenetics, Metabolic Typing, Dietary Recommendations, Macronutrients and Micronutrients.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, personalized nutrition has gained significant attention, merging insights from biology, nutrition, and food science to offer tailored dietary recommendations. Over the past two decades, investments in nutrigenomics research have surged, leading to the creation of products that provide personalized dietary and lifestyle advice based on genomic, metabolomic, gut-microbiota, and clinical data. Public health organizations are also initiating collaborations to develop personalized nutritional guidelines, with uncertain outcomes. This phenomenon, referred to as the "20-year challenge," highlights a sense of stagnation in the nutrigenomic field, as many remain unaware of its progress. Skepticism regarding personalized nutrition arises from studies showing no clear advantage over general dietary approaches. Nutrition's inherent complexity suggests personalized solutions may not be universally effective. Moreover, varying interpretations of "personalized nutrition" complicate direct comparison across studies. Health outcomes stem from many factors, including an individual's genotype and metabolic profiles, which may influence risk manifestation. Before considering personalized nutrition as a remedy for public health concerns, its definition and scope need to be clarified. Nutrient intake remains a key aspect of dietary health, assessed mainly through food intake measurements and biological markers like blood or urine concentrations. Although tests like the Blood Spot Test for added sugars exist, their reliability can vary across individuals. Furthermore, the burgeoning field of "-omics sciences" focuses on discovery in the context of nutrition. Currently, there is no dedicated journal for nutritional genomics or nutrigenomics to publish pioneering research, which reflects the excitement and potential within this domain. The hope for advancements in diet-gene interactions remains strong among nutrition scientists [1, 2].

The Science Behind Nutrition

There is no single perfect diet. Dietary recommendations are strict rules to achieve general rules of vernacular wisdom, like drinking eight cups of water every day is good for health. Nevertheless,

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

personalized options according to specific features are warranted. Traditional dietary recommendation varies from person to person according to cultural resources. The basic theory for experts is knowledge of nutrients. An engineer can build a bridge according to ordinary mathematics. However, whether this bridge is suitable or not depends on material and site properties. It is the same for diet, precise recommendations should include materials and site properties. Therefore, it is important to clarify both. Dietary materials underwater discussion could be food structure, nutrition element or food environmental factor. Accessibility can be determined by gender, race, habits, etc. Possible biological adaptability can be computed according to each component's expression, reaction and metabolization. Taste preference could be the most important dietary recommendation criterion. For normal physiology, each taste is a warning for nutrition excess or deficiency. However, the subjective threshold varies dramatically. Some values are determined by sex, race or social class difference prior to the personal experience. Lactose can be digested only by a small number of adults. Conditions can last for centuries. It is commonly acknowledged that only a small number of people want to eat pungent food, though it is well-known for its antiseptic action. To recommendations' view, developing a concrete threshold or adaptable concentration range in a multi-dimensional space for each individual is crucial. Despite some objective standards to evaluate vegetable oils, the conclusion is still subjective. Different countries and skins warrant the cultivation on different areas. As hybridization widens the numbers of rice varieties, the choice becomes more and more difficult for consumers. Studies demonstrate that black rice inhibits obesity, but different strains possess different effectiveness. Blanching a black rice variety vastly decreases the beneficial effect. Some milled rice varieties promoted obesity and fat accumulation, while others inhibited fat gain. The composition should be noted [3, 4].

Macronutrients and Micronutrients

Diet comprises macronutrients and micronutrients. A macronutrient is a substance required in relatively large amounts by living organisms, in particular. The four major types of macronutrients include carbohydrates, lipids, protein, and nucleic acids. An essential micronutrient is a substance needed for life but required only in minute amounts. Vitamins, dietary minerals, and other substances are classified as micronutrients. Some food components such as fiber, phytochemicals, and sterols can be essential nutrients depending on the biological context. As macronutrients are absorbed into the bloodstream, blood glucose concentration rises. Blood glucose concentration is regulated by insulin secretion from beta-cells in the pancreas. Insulin travels to target tissues to exert its actions by binding to specific cell surface receptors. The target tissues include liver, muscle, and adipose tissues. Obesity disrupts the physiological regulation of blood glucose concentration. The malfunction of insulin secretion from beta-cells and impaired glucose transport into target tissues are the key players for the elevation of blood glucose levels observed in type II diabetes. Present glucose-lowering medicines mostly target the liver, pancreas, and muscle, while the design of new medicines targeting adipose tissues is in progress. Substantial amounts of energy balanced by food intake and energy expenditure are stored as lipid in adipocytes. Adipocyte hypertrophy and hyperplasia are adaptations to estrogen exposure and increases in dietary energy intake. Excessive energy content is stored around visceral organs, leading to metabolic syndromes, chronic inflammation in tissues, and a variety of diseases including chronic kidney disease. The regulation of energy balance is controlled by central and peripheral signals. The brain integrates hypothalamic signals from both peripheral and central origins, and peripheral tissues including the liver and adipose tissues also send signals to the brain. This might be altered as a consequence of obesity, resulting in dysregulation of energy expenditure and food intake. Impairment in the gut hormones including GLP-1 and leptin that are mostly secreted after food intake might account for a loss of control on gut hormone secretion [5, 6].

Metabolism and Its Role in Nutrition

Food is a prerequisite for human life derived from many macromolecules like carbohydrates, fats, proteins, and vitamins. Each macromolecule consists of many subunits that can be processed by human body enzymes and key metabolic pathways that ultimately produce metabolites. Considerably as products of metabolism individual metabolites function differently based on their concentration. A single metabolite may have different effects (beneficial or adverse) on human beings depending on the concentration. For example, L-arginine produces physiological effects at low doses, but antagonistic effect at high levels. Precise food intake, nutrient digestion, absorption, metabolism and dosage feeding (for bioactive compounds) control the metabolite concentrations. Disturbance in this chain leads to metabolic imbalance causing diseases, hence studying metabolism provides a holistic understanding of nutrition which is another great approach for developing personalized dietary recommendations. Two major systems classify human metabolic processes: the metabolic sources and the metabolism types. The

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

metabolic types are further classified into several subtypes. … Metabolic source classification underscores those food types that are preferred to be digested and absorbed to give energy. Metabolism type classification emphasizes pathways of nutrient digestion and energy production following absorption. The problems of nutrition and health can be solved by this metabolic-based diet proposed for individuals with specific metabolic types/subtypes. In clinical practice, metabolism type prediction model can be developed to find the metabolic type for individuals, and a personalized or group-based metabolic type/ subtype diet can be suggested [7, 8].

Genetic Factors in Nutrition

Due to the global epidemic of obesity and associated noncommunicable diseases, there is currently a growing interest in personalized diets, which take into account genetic characteristics of the consumer. In nutrigenetics, which studies the effect of gene variations on metabolism, single-nucleotide polymorphism is most frequently investigated. In nutrigenomics, which investigates how nutrients and food compounds affect expression and methylation of genes, the focus is on the food and its components. A whole class of mutations are those affecting the assimilation of the main metabolites, which are carbohydrates, proteins, and fats. This already constitutes a large part of nutrigenetic studies, especially mutations affecting digestion/metabolism of lactose, fructose, galactose, or polyols. A novel research direction is concerned with the exploratory search for genes responsible for eating preferences, the results of which will allow us to tailor a personalized diet corresponding to these eating preferences. There is substantial interindividual variability (i.e. differences between individuals) in responses to the same dietary exposure, which is often explained by differences in intakes of a nutrient/dietary compound. There are also wide interindividual differences in biological responses to taking a dietary supplement/functional food containing a nutrient/dietary compound, as demonstrated in recent studies. This provides a biological rationale for the concept that it may be possible to design dietary advice tailored for the individual, based on genetic characteristics. The sequencing of the human genome has stimulated rapid technological development that has reduced the cost of undertaking large-scale investigations of the relationships between genotype, nutrition and health-related outcomes. This provided a major stimulus for the concept of personalised nutrition, especially the idea that dietary advice could be tailored to match individual genotype [9, 10].

Technological Advances in Dietary Recommendations

The rapid growth in computer power and data science techniques has enabled the delivery of near-real-time nutritional recommendations. 'eNutri' and 'eNutri for ILSI' applications provide personalized dietary guidance following the best evidence-based knowledge in a child-friendly manner. Everyday recipes or menus may comprise numerous formulations, making nutritional analyses tricky because FDs formulated without the appropriate balance of food or meal components projected differently on energy density, caloric appliances, and proper outpatient promises. Web-based common nutritional analyzers implemented computer-calculated databases based on phenomenally large independently derived food weight databases and human diets. Web-based diet plan formulating systems employ integer programming, heuristic search, and constraint satisfaction approaches. To reduce the inertia of traditional dietary recommendations paradigms, these systems have to convert the textual knowledge into sets of nutritional rules and search for nonsubjective knowledge models. Machine learning systems have to deal with formulated, structured, and growing sets of data. A novel processing methodology for shortrange dietary planning applying the hybrid formulating plus assessment of the 'nutrition versus food' problem is described. A new representation of the numerical domain proved to be efficient over traditional approaches in measuring deviations between foods and their anticipated dietary effects. Using multiple heuristics provided appropriate-generated sets of diets, with a reasonable number of food recommendations, preserving diets with significant variations. The proficiency of the integrated machine learning system dealing with numerical and artificial data for long-term food recommendations remains to be proven [11, 12].

Behavioral Aspects of Personalized Nutrition

Personalized nutrition aims to derive optimal dietary recommendations for individuals using advanced diagnostics and computational models. Considering the rapid advancements in this area, successful communication of accurate dietary recommendations requires a thorough understanding of consumers' needs. Personalized nutrition providers will thus benefit from understanding consumer priorities and concerns to develop effective communication strategies. This exploration centers on two specific behavioral aspects of individuals concerning personalized nutrition: food choice and risk perception. Food choice motives are a psychographic factor, conceptualized as relatively stable attributes determining food choices. Exposure to such determinants is largely similar for individuals, which allows for in-depth

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

exploration of the construct within a population and segmentation based on motives. In contrast to food choice motives, behavioral intentions are more proximate variables directly influencing behavior. Expectation-value theory posits that forming an intention to adopt a behavior is based on evaluating the attitude towards it and the social context, including descriptive and injunctive normative beliefs. Though novel for personalized nutrition, these two behavioral aspects have been researched in other contexts. Having identified the behavioral predictors of personal nutrition, it is crucial to understand their role in adoption, as perceived risk and risk perception modulate how individuals attend to information, form impressions, and adopt innovations. Integration of functional and emotional models suggests behavioral intentions towards a new technology are first modulated by perceived risk. These criteria must be met for attitudes and intent to change. A wide range of health information is available, and the effectiveness of persuasive messages depends on consumers' health concerns and demographic factors; highly concerned consumers exhibit higher intention than those with lower concern. Evaluative reasoning operates at a somewhat subconscious level, weighing pros and cons largely based on affective or heuristic cues; an extensive search or more deliberate reasoning occurs when perceived risks increase. The translation of behavioral predictors into personalized nutrition adoption intentions should likewise be mediated by risk perception, with functional and emotional aspects integrating consumer concerns in appraisal processes [13, 14].

Data Collection and Analysis

Bespoke nutrition recommendations based on biomarkers, health data, personal traits, and food intake are gaining traction due to growing interest in nutrition and health optimization. Several companies are focusing on technological solutions utilizing auto scheduling methods that analyze a vast array of scientific literature and data sources. This approach aims to extract solid nutritional knowledge for creating controlled information targeting diabetes and illnesses linked to unhealthy diets. When developing technologies from scratch isn't viable, a modular methodology can be adopted, enabling the integration of diverse information, which can be tuned to various knowledge areas as they emerge. Technological assessments of dietary intake, like food recognition via apps, enhance validity and broaden documentation compared to traditional methods. Despite measurement inconsistencies from frequent assessments, intermittent check-ins are suggested for accurate data. Combining ancient and modern traditions with processing systems holds potential for long-term engagement across diverse populations with varying levels of nutrition literacy and resources. Visual food journals yield individualized or group recommendations, despite their complexity and reliance on less-trained participants, presenting a data-rich overview of diets. The balance between long and short descriptor lengths for accuracy emphasizes the need for a robust food metadata ontology and better preprocessing in system development. Models face limitations from complexity constraints, which can negatively impact performance despite non-exponential parameter increases. By adjusting weight coefficients while maintaining validation fit, improvements in debugging and menu overlap were made, aiding overall interpretability. New methods to analyze high-dimensional data have emerged, although they may lead to challenges, paralleling the diet precision efforts in personalized food lists, recipe rankings, and meal composition identification [15, 16].

Developing Personalized Diet Plans

The rapid advances in genome sequencing technology have made personal genomics accessible and appealing to the public. Currently, around 80 companies provide such services directly to consumers, with a primary focus on predicting genetic risks for complex diseases. However, a genetic predisposition does not dictate an individual's fate, as lifestyle, diet, and environmental changes can mitigate risks influenced by genetic factors, particularly through nutrition. Nutritional epigenomics has shed light on how dietary components interact with genetic predispositions linked to chronic diseases. DNA testing encourages individuals to modify dietary habits based on specific genetic markers, such as lactose intolerance and caffeine sensitivity, targeting individual loci. Although extensive research has examined fruits, vegetables, and functional foods for potential DNA tests, there is a lack of exploration into the molecular foundations of diverse dietary practices. Personalized diets derive from DNA test results, but existing literature often focuses on single foods without summarizing broader dietary groups or diverse diets. Current methods rely heavily on manual information processing, resulting in inefficiencies, time constraints, and limited insights into genetic underpinnings. Personalized nutrition recommends dietary adjustments based on personal characteristics like age, weight, health goals, and lifestyle. Recent advancements in genotyping technologies have enabled the assessment of high-throughput sequence variants and SNPs. Collaborative research among academics, food businesses, and healthcare providers is advancing personalized diet recommendations. However, a standardized approach for integrating dietary and genomic information is essential for enhancing quality. Most existing research leverages limited datasets and narrow

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

interpretations, highlighting a gap between extensive dietary knowledge and the development of personalized nutrition technologies. Food-nutritional attributes are often documented in semi-structured ontologies, which are not easily processed by machine reasoning techniques [17, 18].

Ethical Considerations in Personalized Nutrition

The potential health benefits of personalized nutrition are numerous, but ethical dilemmas arise from the collection, storage, sale, and sharing of genomic and lifestyle data by third-party businesses. Consumers often lack sufficient information about the potential advantages and downsides of personalized nutrition, creating new ethical questions. Personalized nutrition is defined as tailoring dietary recommendations to individual needs and preferences, which may change over time, guided by biological factors like micronutrient availability, protein requirements, and relevant biomarkers, as well as behavioral factors such as dietary beliefs and preferences. The rapid advancement of technology in this area raises potential ethical concerns that may outpace regulatory frameworks. For instance, there are questions about how much individual biological differences should influence the marketing and production of nutritional products, and whether such products can be advertised as superior to certain groups without scientific support. Additionally, issues like "nutrition obsession" and "lifestyle fatigue" are pertinent as personalized nutrition evolves. Modern dilemmas in personalized nutrition include issues of excessive commercial exploitation of personal data and the unregulated sale of genetic and phenotype information. Many popular brands fail to provide adequate information about health implications. Consequently, consumers navigate a complex landscape of personalized nutrition without understanding the health risks associated with sharing personal data. There is a prevailing fear among consumers of being evaluated or diagnosed, concerns about scams, unclear value of assessment results, data exploitation, and potential discrimination based on their data [19, 20].

Case Studies in Personalized Nutrition

The limited evidence on personalized nutrition's health outcomes poses a challenge. This systematic review examines 27 randomized trials assessing nutrition effectiveness. A framework for intervention delivery characteristics helps facilitate analysis. No prior systematic review has evaluated personalized nutrition designs or the proof of health outcomes quality. Personalized nutrition affects dietary choices, physical activity, and health outcomes, particularly cardiometabolic health. A summary of 13 studies indicated improved outcomes, with a qualitative review revealing notable effects on weight/waist circumference and glycaemic control. However, variability in study designs and outcomes may impact the validity of these results. Personalized nutrition cannot be deemed superior or inferior to non-personalized approaches due to inconsistent evidence. Multi-component interventions are effective, with more personalized and actively delivered strategies yielding larger results. Ongoing trials on direct-to-consumer genetic testing's impact on health outcomes may provide a stronger evidence base. Major clinical trials and pilot projects are underway to assess this impact, with one business expanding into Europe. Government guidelines could aid population-wide genomics to mitigate risks and attract private investment. Current knowledge primers only cover test constraints; experts suggest enhancing discussions on polygenic scores' interactions. The urgency to improve is amplified by significant global changes drawing attention. Personalized nutrition can be both processed and targeted through user-friendly health markets. Dynamic pricing strategies depend on competitor actions. Developing a multi-faceted nutrition platform with health benefits may start small, facilitating smarter decisions. New delivery systems could utilize digestible nutrients, bioactives, or engineered molecules for disease prevention and risk detection. Designer food initiatives begin with informed choices, combating excessive information that confuses consumers. Evidence-based nutrition solutions should encompass healthy eating guidelines, nutrient genomics, and biocompatible packaged foods. Transformational approaches may include nutrient-based market design, supply chain improvements, and reliable food labeling systems to enhance food security. However, designing systems alone isn't enough. Their acceptability must be ensured through perceptual research and inclusive behavior models, focusing on shared beliefs and motivational goals. This involves collaborative efforts from academia, industry, and government across various geographies, consumers, and innovations. Co-designing can boost consumer awareness and engagement, implementing neutral incentive-sharing models to avoid competitive drawbacks and costs [21, 22, 24].

Future Directions in Personalized Nutrition

The personalization of dietary recommendations is a promising new approach to nutrition that incorporates advances in biotechnology and information technology for health improvement. Genes, gut microbiome, food metabolites, and social networks are influencing factors, and non-invasive monitoring tools, such as mobile apps, wearables, or biosensors, are facilitating the assessment of food intake. Big

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

data analytics is an emerging field of machine learning and computational intelligence techniques that enables the analysis of large datasets beyond human capabilities, which enables tailoring dietary recommendations to individuals or subgroups of the population. This paper provides a broad overview of the recent advances in the personalization of nutrition recommendations and suggests avenues for future research. Personalized dietary recommendations are no longer solely about eating less sugar or drinking more water; they now include varying diets based on the microbiome, metabolic profile, and gut health, advancing technological and conceptual development in the fields of biotechnology, bioinformatics, and artificial intelligence. Individuals can take advantage of home-based sequencing technologies and wearables for microbiome analysis, glycemic response measurement, and heart activity analysis to receive personalized nutrition advice or guidance, adapting goals to individuals without the assistance of healthcare professionals, dieticians, or nutritionists. These new trends in personalized nutrition pose great challenges to public health agencies and policymakers. How to disseminate and verify the accuracy of the information, how to regulate the self-data acquisition operations and nutritional advices, and how to help people discern scientifically and public health-based information versus fad diets or pseudo-science are questions that provide a fertile field for future research [25, 26].

CONCLUSION

Personalized nutrition holds significant potential to revolutionize dietary recommendations by aligning them with individual genetic profiles, metabolic responses, and lifestyle factors. Advances in genomics, digital health tools, and behavioral sciences have laid a robust foundation for tailored interventions that may enhance health outcomes, prevent chronic diseases, and optimize dietary habits. However, the complexity of human biology, coupled with data privacy concerns and inconsistencies in outcomes, demands a cautious and ethically grounded approach. For personalized nutrition to become a credible and impactful public health strategy, it must embrace transparency, interdisciplinary collaboration, and evidence-based practices. Moving forward, integrating personalized dietary planning into mainstream nutrition science requires not only scientific rigor but also the establishment of standardized, accessible, and ethically responsible frameworks.

REFERENCES

1. Lagoumintzis G, Patrinos GP. Triangulating nutrigenomics, metabolomics and microbiomics toward personalized nutrition and healthy living. Human Genomics. 2023 Dec 8;17(1):109.
2. Gami SJ, Dhamodharan B, Dutta PK, Gupta V, Whig P. Data Science for Personalized Nutrition Harnessing Big Data for Tailored Dietary Recommendations. InNutrition Controversies and Advances in Autoimmune Disease 2024 (pp. 606-630). IGI Global. [HTML]
3. Jinnette R, Narita A, Manning B, McNaughton SA, Mathers JC, Livingstone KM. Does personalized nutrition advice improve dietary intake in healthy adults? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Advances in Nutrition. 2021 May 1;12(3):657-69. sciencedirect.com
4. Livingstone KM, Celis-Morales C, Navas-Carretero S, San-Cristobal R, Forster H, Woolhead C, O'Donovan CB, Moschonis G, Manios Y, Traczyk I, Gundersen TE. Personalised nutrition advice reduces intake of discretionary foods and beverages: findings from the Food4Me randomised controlled trial. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2021 Dec;18:1-2. springer.com
5. Chahande SJ. Textbook of Biomolecules and Nutritional Biochemistry. Academic Guru Publishing House; 2024 Jul 9.
6. Dwivedi SK, Issar K, Tiwari V. Nutrient Requirements in Health and Disease. InHandbook of Nutraceuticals: Science, Technology and Engineering 2023 Oct 22 (pp. 1-26). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [HTML]
7. Rein M, Ben-Yacov O, Godneva A, Shilo S, Zmora N, Kolobkov D, Cohen-Dolev N, Wolf BC, Kosower N, Lotan-Pompan M, Weinberger A. Effects of personalized diets by prediction of glycemic responses on glycemic control and metabolic health in newly diagnosed T2DM: a randomized dietary intervention pilot trial. BMC medicine. 2022 Feb 9;20(1):56. springer.com
8. Clemente-Suárez VJ, Martín-Rodríguez A, Redondo-Flórez L, López-Mora C, Yáñez-Sepúlveda R, Tornero-Aguilera JF. New insights and potential therapeutic interventions in metabolic diseases. International journal of molecular sciences. 2023 Jun 26;24(13):10672. mdpi.com
9. Nanninga GB, Pertzelan A, Kiflawi M, Holzman R, Plakolm I, Manica A. Treatment-level impacts of microplastic exposure may be confounded by variation in individual-level responses in juvenile fish. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2021 Aug 15;416:126059. sciencedirect.com
10. Popp CJ, Hu L, Kharmats AY, Curran M, Berube L, Wang C, Pompeii ML, Illiano P, St-Jules DE, Mottern M, Li H. Effect of a personalized diet to reduce postprandial glycemic response vs a

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

low-fat diet on weight loss in adults with abnormal glucose metabolism and obesity: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA network open. 2022 Sep 1;5(9):e2233760-. jamanetwork.com
11. Sathish N, Elangovan D, Nagalakshmi R, Suresh G, Chitra DD. REAL-TIME GLUCOSE MONITORING SYSTEM AND DIETARY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DIABETES MELLITUS USING FOG COMPUTING. Telecommunications and Radio Engineering. 2025;84(5). [HTML]
12. Gomes E, Costa F, De Rolt C, Plentz P, Dantas M. A survey from real-time to near real-time applications in fog computing environments. InTelecom 2021 Dec 3 (Vol. 2, No. 4, pp. 489-517). MDPI.
13. Zhao J, Li Z, Gao Q, Zhao H, Chen S, Huang L, Wang W, Wang T. A review of statistical methods for dietary pattern analysis. Nutrition journal. 2021 Dec;20:1-8. springer.com
14. Karl JP, Margolis LM, Fallowfield JL, Child RB, Martin NM, McClung JP. Military nutrition research: contemporary issues, state of the science and future directions. European journal of sport science. 2022 Jan 2;22(1):87-98. [HTML]
15. Praveen RV, Kumar ML. Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Revolutionizing Clinical Decision Making and Patient Care. Addition Publishing House; 2024 Oct 29.
16. Cope H, Willis CR, MacKay MJ, Rutter LA, Toh LS, Williams PM, Herranz R, Borg J, Bezdan D, Giacomello S, Muratani M. Routine omics collection is a golden opportunity for European human research in space and analog environments. Patterns. 2022 Oct 14;3(10). cell.com
17. Majumder MA, Guerrini CJ, McGuire AL. Direct-to-consumer genetic testing: value and risk. Annual Review of Medicine. 2021 Jan 27;72(1):151-66. [HTML]
18. Nolan JJ, Ormondroyd E. Direct-to-consumer genetic tests providing health risk information: a systematic review of consequences for consumers and health services. Clinical Genetics. 2023 Jul;104(1):3-21.
19. Alum EU, Ugwu OP, Obeagu EI, Aja PM, Ugwu CN, Okon MB. Nutritional care in diabetes mellitus: a comprehensive guide. International Journal of Innovative and Applied Research. 2023;11(12):16-25.
20. Mathers JC. Paving the way to better population health through personalised nutrition. EFSA Journal. 2019 Jul;17:e170713.
21. Bragazzi NL. Situating nutri-ethics at the junction of nutrigenomics and nutriproteomics in postgenomics medicine. Current Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Medicine (Formerly Current Pharmacogenomics). 2013 Jun 1;11(2):162-6.
22. Galanakis CM. The future of food. Foods. 2024 Feb 6;13(4):506.
23. Escalante-Aburto A, Trujillo-de Santiago G, Álvarez MM, Chuck-Hernández C. Advances and prospective applications of 3D food printing for health improvement and personalized nutrition. Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety. 2021 Nov;20(6):5722-41. alvareztrujillolab.com
24. Nneoma UC. Understanding the Risk Landscape: Analyzing Factors Impacting Food Vending in Nigeria. INOSR Experimental Sciences.;13(1):72-9.
25. Olorunsogo TO, Balogun OD, Ayo-Farai O, Ogundairo O, Maduka CP, Okongwu CC, Onwumere C. Bioinformatics and personalized medicine in the US: A comprehensive review: Scrutinizing the advancements in genomics and their potential to revolutionize healthcare delivery. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews. 2024;21(1):335-51. semanticscholar.org
26. Perez-Beltran YE, Rivera-Iniguez I, Gonzalez-Becerra K, Perez-Naitoh N, Tovar J, Sayago-Ayerdi SG, Mendivil EJ. Personalized dietary recommendations based on lipid-related genetic variants: a systematic review. Frontiers in Nutrition. 2022 Mar 21;9:830283. frontiersin.org

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5797
PRINT ISSN: 2992-6122

CITE AS: Mugisha Emmanuel K. (2025). Personalized Nutrition: Engineering Dietary Recommendations. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES,6(2):9-16
https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJBAS/2025/6.2.91600

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited