Research Output Journal of Public Health and Medicine 5(2):52-58, 2025

ROJPHM

ISSN ONLINE: 1115-9715
ISSN PRINT: 1115-6147

https://rojournals.org/roj-public-health-and-medicine/

https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJPHM/2025/525258

CRISPR and Beyond: The Future of Genetic Engineering

Maina Mwaura F.

School of Natural and Applied Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Genetic engineering, empowered by revolutionary technologies like CRISPR/Cas9, has fundamentally reshaped modern biology and biotechnology. This paper examines the origins, mechanisms, and wide-ranging applications of CRISPR technology, from precision medicine to agricultural innovation. It examines the ethical considerations, regulatory frameworks, and societal impacts surrounding the use of genome editing tools. Key advances in high-throughput editing, base editing, and RNA targeting are discussed alongside the challenges posed by off-target effects and delivery system limitations. The review also delves into case studies showcasing CRISPR's practical applications in health, agriculture, and fundamental research. Finally, the paper contemplates the philosophical and ethical implications of editing life at its most basic level and projects the future trajectory of genetic engineering in a world increasingly intertwined with biotechnology.

Keywords: CRISPR/Cas9, Genetic Engineering, Genome Editing, Gene Therapy, Biotechnology, Bioethics, Agricultural Biotechnology.

INTRODUCTION

Genetic engineering uses molecular biology to modify DNA sequences in genomes. Modifications can be minor or significant, classified into two outcomes: changes in gene expression (knockout and knock in) and the addition or removal of genetic elements (insertions and deletions). The choice of delivery modality is crucial as multiple methods may yield different results. This text reviews CRISPR/Cas9 technology, focusing on successful knock-in modifications in higher organisms, which require chromosome breaks, efficient homology-directed repair, and reliable gene targeting assessment methods. Effective delivery of genetic materials plays a critical role in these experiments, as the timing and method can affect the success of gene modifications. Optimizing delivery modalities is essential in maximizing engineering success. While some principles of genetic engineering remain constant, such as the need for a chromosome break in genetic manipulations and the limited reproductive fitness of cells with chromosomal truncations, others may evolve with technological advancements. Overall, understanding these principles is vital for successful gene targeting and engineering [1, 2].

Overview of CRISPR Technology

Gene editing is on the brink of a revolution in terms of medicine, you could say the 'God' of biotechnology! CRISPR stands for the Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats. These are stretches of repetitive DNA sequences in bacteria that contain 'memory' of past viral attacks, used as defense machinery against attacking viruses. It is now mainly used in the form of a protein RNA complex known as Cas9 that cuts the target double-stranded DNA in a site-specific manner. The other proteins in the CRISPR system are used for base editing, nicking DNA, transcribing, methylation, ligating, and even epigenetic reprogramming. RNA sequence-guided programmable genome editing is indeed the greatest discovery in molecular biology since the invention of the polymerase chain reaction. CRISPR is now the most popular and commonly used gene editing tool beyond the lab or school. It's no surprise that it raised ethical concerns after being seen as a 'designer' tool in genetics. Before discussing

the promise of CRISPR-based therapies, bio-systematic research, and legal aspects, the review discusses the principles and potential applications of the CRISPR-based technology, including modification of transcriptional activation/repression, epigenetic change, RNA editing and imaging, epigenetic probing, and even whole-genome mapping. CRISPR's 'immunity' has stimulated interest among disciplines in DNA repair systems beyond microorganisms. CRISPR-cas9 science has remained hot for nearly a decade since its classification as anti-viral machinery. The elegance of trans-acting tracrRNA to guide cutting in DNA bloodstream seems to be a scientific discovery made by the first successful laser in physics history. CRISPR technology is a world-changing and transformative to many areas of life sciences, biotechnology, molecular biology, cancer research, biomarker discovery, gene discovery, breeding, both biology and breeding 4.0, etc. This review focuses on the newest and hottest areas in basic and applied research, outweighing the challenge of secondary modification of gene editing in many important and emerging areas, including RNA-guided GFP imaging of RNA probe in live cells in real time using CRISPR technology. CRISPR technology can advance understanding of many unanswered biology questions and hold promise for scientific advancement, drug discovery, and biomedical research [3, 4].

Mechanism of CRISPR-CAS9

CRISPR-Cas9: The Mechanism of Editing DNA. The CRISPR-Cas9 system comprises a guide RNA (gRNA) complementary to a 20-nucleotide target DNA sequence and the Cas9 nuclease. When the gRNA-Cas9 complex is introduced into the cell, it scans the cellular genome until it finds a sequence that matches the gRNA. When hybridization occurs, the Cas9 cuts the DNA and creates a double-stranded break immediately upstream of a short motif known as PAM (protospacer adjacent motif). The double-stranded breaks can subsequently be repaired by either nonhomologous end joining or homology-directed repair. The repair template is introduced via the transfection of a single-stranded oligonucleotide or a double-stranded DNA donor. By differentially engineering these two pathways, it is possible to create frameshift mutations or precise edits. The ability to introduce carefully designed, specific, and permanent genetic modification to many organisms has changed life sciences in less than 10 years [5, 6].

Applications of CRISPR In Medicine

Since its inception, CRISPR and its associated proteins have been extensively used in research in multiple organisms, resulting in the successful completion of more than 2000 projects registered with the International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration consortium, the development of a number of commercial kits and platforms to expedite the utilization of this technology and even endowing Nobel prizes to several scientists for their invention, development and application of this unique molecular technology. Despite the many achievements of CRISPR over the last decade, its application in humans is still in its infancy, with a very restricted number of ventures due to a plethora of ethical, technical, and economic concerns. The obvious applications are gene editing of inherited genetic defects, or those that contribute to somatic diseases. Editing a defective gene in patients, or reversing the 'onco'-status of a cancer gene, is the most attractive use of this technology. More broadly, basic stem cell research, including differentiation and targeting cellular therapies, either cellular repair or cellular-based therapies, would benefit enormously from the in vivo and ex vivo use of CRISPR. During the past few years, there has been tremendous progress in gene editing of human embryos. A few cases in China using 2 - 3 cell stage embryos for correcting single gene defects were reported. There is also a case in the US where human embryos were edited for genotyping 'Bailey', conferring the resistance to hypertrophic cardiomyopathy spotted among some breeds of dogs. In addition to human embryos, other targets such as sheep and goats have also seen some success. The Cas9 proteins from different species are constantly being 'recruited' for unwanted off-target activity, and a variety of evolved Cas9 proteins have displayed much improved efficiency and specificity. Base editors were shown to convert an adenosine to inosine in adenosine deamination reactions, resulting in A-tos-C mutations with high efficiency and specificity. BRCA1 4 - 6 bp deletion repairs were successfully achieved using ABE technology. Many associated ABE and DBE were developed [7, 8].

Applications of CRISPR in Agriculture

Despite a cautious start, many countries, including the USA, Canada, Brazil, and Japan, are developing regulations for CRISPR in agriculture. These nations have issued guidelines for regulators and academia on agricultural biotechnology, and scientific communities largely support the definitions surrounding genome editing technologies like CRISPR. Confidence in these scientific positions is pivotal for governments to make informed decisions, free from social pressures. However, when governments

neglect the scientific community, regulations may become restrictive. Recent regulations in these countries could lead to varying decisions, potentially disadvantaging some nations. Edge cases, such as using deactivated Cas nuclease, aim to exempt products from regulation but overlap with other scenarios, like double-strand nicks. Moreover, coin reporter systems that enable genomic alterations may not fit current regulations. As biotechnology evolves, unexpected regulatory cases are likely, leading to a persistent tension between unrestricted product releases and regulation. This imbalance is heightened by the minimal changes resulting from in vitro random sequence variations, which often escape concerns regarding intellectual property. CRISPR edits tend to behave like wild types, lacking noticeable differences at first glance [9, 10].

Ethical Considerations in Genetic Engineering

The ability to alter human genetic make-up has sparked fascination and concern due to its potential to eliminate congenital diseases and enhance human capabilities. A significant breakthrough in genetic engineering is the CRISPR system, which uses RNA sequences to scan and cut DNA segments. This technology opens new avenues for biological research but raises ethical issues, particularly regarding misuse. The accessibility of DNA modification technology poses risks, leading to discussions about bioweapons and bioterrorism. The creation of engineered pathogens could result in catastrophic events, prompting the need for regulations to prevent such bio-crimes. However, DNA manipulation also holds potential benefits for curing diseases and improving agriculture, as seen in advancements like cholesterol-lowering drugs and disease-resistant crops. Therefore, controlling DNA manipulation is essential to prevent misuse while allowing beneficial research to continue. This monitoring poses challenges that require significant resources, especially with the rapid evolution of technology. A regulatory framework must find a balance between preventing misuse and encouraging beneficial advancements, making this balance vital with the emergence of powerful technologies [11, 12].

Regulatory Framework for Genetic Engineering

The development of gene-editing technologies such as CRISPR/Cas has enabled significant advances in fundamental research and biotechnology. However, these technologies also pose societal risks such as unintended safety issues, and environmental or ethical concerns regarding the allocation of research funds. A regulatory framework should consider which genetic technologies are emerging and how regulation should be implemented to account for the uncertain and rapidly changing pace of science and technology concerning potential societal implications. The framework should consider the global challenges to be addressed in regulating emerging science and technology, e.g., the responsibility to maintain biodiversity and counteract adverse human interventions. The regulation should be international while allowing for local adaptation, especially concerning the ethical considerations involved. Law and regulations must be able to address ethical considerations, such as the right to abstain from technological development on ethical grounds. Precautionary measures must take into account reasonable precaution and proportionality regarding temporary uncertainty about risk. Precautions must never be so strict as to preclude scientific development except, perhaps, in the most extreme cases of risk. Regulations can be applied that are less strict than the suggested ones, including market-based ones, but then there must be individual and collective evaluations of the risk versus the benefits of the emerging technology. Safety issues of emerging technology should also be evaluated through collective risk versus benefit evaluations, for which voted legislation applies, for unsuccessful legislation to be amended by gradual improvement. The regulation of technology should also include reviews of the concrete implementation of safety measures, as well as mechanisms to bring it about. Finally, the flexibility of regulation is crucial while aiming at preserving the precautionary function of regulation [13, 14].

Future Prospects of Genetic Engineering

CRISPR/Cas9, discovered in 2013, revolutionized genome-editing-based approaches and made genome manipulation a common laboratory tool. The CRISPR/Cas9 is one of the most extraordinary, flexible, versatile, programmable, and efficient tools invented to date, which has grown faster than any sequencing platform and has given birth to different CRISPR-based approaches. Various high-throughput CRISPR methods have evolved rapidly to facilitate screenings for the identification of genes involved in different aspects of biology and disease modeling. Another important focus of high-throughput CRISPR applications is large-scale library preparations for multiplexed genome editing, modification of mRNA, RNA-guided transcriptional activation or suppression, screening of rare allele germline transmission, mating mouse lines, multiplex editing in 3D or organoid models, and more. Bioengineering approaches have also created efficient CRISPR delivery methods. The CRISPR technology, since its discovery, has

come a long way. CRISPR has the potential to transform gene editing approaches in medicine and biotechnology from human gene therapy to deepening understanding of the genome architecture and gene regulation. CRISPR is being used by researchers from diverse scientific areas such as agriculture, biotechnology, medicine, pharmaceutical drug discovery, molecular biology, synthetic biology, and many other fields in science to promote their investigations. This rapidly evolving field is likely to develop and witness exciting applications on the horizon. Though ethical concerns about gene editing applications in humans, bioengineering, and gene drives have emerged, the ingenious nature of the living world is the most amazing puzzle for scientists. Great scientific discoveries challenge our understanding of the natural world, its processes, and implications. The current knowledge of the molecular biology of the living world favors making unprecedented changes by the knowledge that is obtained through conditioned approaches and logic, and neuroscience is believed to be the basis of cognition in any living organism that might also harbor a perception of God, skepticism, actions, and freewill. These questions may shake the understanding of life in its current form. The role of God and free will in a world governed by mathematics and deterministic physical laws is the most pondered paradox. Conversely, life as information stored in a bit in a complex way is emerging to challenge the questions: What happens to that information after death? Would that information be reenacted in another form? Would that form perceive God? How do thoughts, actions, freewill, instincts, emotions, cardias, hormonal responses, ecstasies, aspects of personality, and more originate from a spatially homogenous matrix containing three naturally abundant elements, structural molecules? [15, 16].

Challenges and Limitations of CRISPR

Potential Limitations. With CRISPR, there is excitement surrounding this new genome editing technology born out of several natural systems that offer a revolutionary new path for altering the genome of organisms with high specificity and efficiency. However, there are several limitations posed by this highly potent technology. These range from challenges such as off-target effects, limited range of the nucleases employed, and delivery methods, all of which interfere with the CRISPR technology's ability to fully expand into the areas of mammalian systems and human therapeutics. The CRISPR/Cas9 and RNA-guided systems have gained popularity in recent years as tools for genome editing, but many challenges remain in optimizing their use for a given organism. Moreover, potential off-target effects of these nucleases necessitate a thorough understanding of Cas9 specificity. A general strategy for studying off-targets involves identifying a region around the designed target using sequencing, then removing the Cas9 or gRNA component to isolate the target before comparing it against CRISPR and non-CRISPR controls. While initial work has focused on understanding specificity in E. coli, studies are underway to use ddPCR to quantify off-target cleavage in mammals. However, enough remains unknown about CRISPR/Cas9 off-targets to understand its full concerns, feasibility, and applicability. With CRISPR/Cas9 use in mammalian systems growing, a similar response will be required. Furthermore, new CRISPR systems are being discovered that should broaden the number of organisms that can be targeted. The CRISPR/Cas9 system's widespread use in mammalian cells has provoked significant research into optimizing gRNA design, ranges, and delivery. Several groups have mechanistically probed the CRISPR/Cas9 system in detail, including genome-wide studies that have evaluated the quality of individual gRNAs, synthesis of an ortholog with programmable active range, cellular compartment-based Cas9-RNP delivery, and CRISPR interferences against chromatin architecture formation for high-fidelity gene activation. Additionally, new advances are on the horizon to overcome many of these engineering challenges, paving the way for CRISPR to reach a much broader range of applications. But with unprecedented editing power comes an equal level of responsibility and concern on behalf of the public and governing bodies. A public dialogue is beginning to surround engineered modification of human embryos and germline edits that would alter future generations of humans. Meanwhile, governing bodies must wrestle with how to regulate and legislate such rapidly advancing technologies that touch on ethics, law, and science [17, 18].

Case Studies in CRISPR Research

CRISPR/Cas9 technology has aroused tremendous interest as a highly powerful tool for genome editing and gene regulation. In fact, CRISPR/Cas9 was selected as one of the top scientific breakthroughs in 2013. As with other technologies, CRISPR/Cas9 is now widely investigated in the application of molecular biology, cell biology, developmental biology, and species conservation. This paper presents some of the recent model organisms, species, and application fields where CRISPR/Cas9 has been

employed with an emphasis on the focus of the case studies, including algae, insects, fish, mammals, and microorganisms. Gene editing tools, CRISPR and Cas9, have emerged as revolutionary methods for gene knockout, knock-in, or transcriptional regulation to dissect gene functions in vivo in laboratory animals and thus provide a great opportunity for the study of pain and pain modulation mechanisms. Recent studies showed the successful generation and characterization of gene knockout mouse models of pain-related genes, in which an effective targeting vector was constructed to knock out genes by CRISPR and Cas9 systems and to demonstrate a role for phospholipase C beta 4 in promoting hyperalgesic priming in a mouse model of neuropathic pain. These compiled reviews start from an introduction of the CRISPR/Cas9 technology, providing an overview of the principle and implications of the technology and its application to study pain with a focus on rodent models, and finally provide perspectives towards new avenues to apply non-mammalian animal models or to utilize additional CRISPR/Cas9 components beyond standard Cas9 and Cas9n. This review summarizes the recent progress in the application of CRISPR/Cas9 technology in modeling invertebrate diseases. By providing an overview, the review is highly valuable for the researcher community and would be useful for further applications in vertebrate species, including zebrafish and Xenopus, which are also highlighted [19, 20].

Public Perception of Genetic Engineering

As gene editing of human germline cells and embryos advances, public discussion intensifies. Media coverage fosters ongoing debate driven by fears and hopes. Many perceive gene editing as a Pandora's box that should remain closed, leading to what some describe as a "moral panic." This state arises when emerging technologies threaten valued social norms and lifestyles, though such controversies often fade as science progresses. The disconnect between public and expert perceptions regarding the pace of scientific developments fuels concern. The introduction of bioengineering in gastronomy exacerbates fears about human germline editing. Progress in heritable gene editing pressures policymakers to establish regulations, highlighting the need to study public perception. Individual views shape discourse; if substantial public concerns aren't addressed, discussions among regulators, scientists, and media may miss key issues. Research identifies eight categories of social concerns that hinder acceptance: social justice, diversity, unification, conformity, unforeseen negative consequences, social divide, insider-outsider disputes, and unattainable expectations. Prior studies have noted that some concerns are more pressing than previously thought, focusing on the interplay between humanity and the environment. Emphasizing perceptions of technological possibilities can influence expectations, potentially framing discussions as either utopian or dystopian. Such debates should examine the acceptance and rejection of applications, alongside lay expectations for new technology. Understanding potential outcomes is essential for guiding acceptance discussions, particularly regarding humanity's unique ability to edit genomes and the implications of directing evolution [21, 22].

Education and Outreach in Genetic Engineering

Education on genetic engineering, CRISPR, and/or synthetic biology has largely focused on the bioethics topic. However, educating high school students about the scientific basis of these biotechnologies must also be emphasized. To apply moral reasoning to these issues, students must first understand the science behind the specific technology. This kind of science outreach and education is becoming increasingly important in high school curricula. It can better prepare students to advocate for the appropriate use of these tools. Providing hands-on experiments that model the use of sensitive gene editing biotechnologies in a high school lab setting represents an excellent opportunity to accommodate this need in the educational community. Other topics relevant to genetic engineering education at this level include the types of projects that scientists are capable of pursuing with these new tools, the ethical questions such projects must address, the regulation of access, and patents on a created technology and product. Efforts to educate the next generation of scientists about the newest genome engineering technologies should take seriously students' educational background with regards to CRISPR technologies. CRISPR-based laboratory experiments developed for high school students utilize S. pyogenes Cas9 (SpCas9) to install double-stranded DNA breaks in genes of interest in E. coli. Because BEs don't introduce double-stranded breaks in the genome, this previously developed protocol could be reformulated to demonstrate the workings of a different class of genome editing tools. In addition, the most prominent benefit of the BE class of genome editing tools is their ability to edit genomic content at the single-nucleotide level. However, the facilitators of this program decided to adopt an activity that was more similar to a CRISPR-based experiment with regard to the design of a more basic, proof-of-principle system that accomplishes precision genome editing on the detection level [23, 24].

Interdisciplinary Approaches to Genetic Engineering

The ongoing development of genetic engineering tools raises significant legal, regulatory, and ethical challenges. These debates encompass a range of molecular biology tools beyond just gene editing, including gene delivery vehicles and genome-targeting systems. This has led to multidisciplinary teams addressing the conflicts between scientific advancements and societal concerns. Current discussions highlight the need for alignment between scientific progress and societal implications. The benefits and risks of genetic engineering have sparked political, ethical, cultural, social, and economic analyses and regulatory efforts. Biologists, lawyers, and normative scholars are striving to shape the future of genetic engineering, focusing on enhancing coordination through Multi-Stakeholder Platforms, research guidelines, public engagement, and patenting standards. Additionally, diverse scientific cultures face challenges and potential conflicts regarding the legal and ethical landscapes of these developments. The emergence of new genetic engineering tools prompts fresh inquiries into related legal, ethical, and societal issues. Thus, establishing a common framework for addressing these challenges is essential, particularly when considering the unintended consequences of gene editing, such as cancer risks and herbicide resistance. Determining the regulation of these effects is a crucial question moving forward [25, 26].

CONCLUSION

CRISPR/Cas9 has ushered in a new era of genetic engineering, providing unprecedented precision, efficiency, and accessibility in genome editing. Its applications span medicine, agriculture, environmental science, and basic biological research, offering transformative possibilities to address some of humanity's greatest challenges. However, with such profound capabilities come equally significant ethical, technical, and regulatory responsibilities. As research advances and new CRISPR-based technologies emerge, society must balance innovation with precaution to ensure equitable, safe, and morally sound applications of genetic engineering. The future of CRISPR and related technologies promises extraordinary breakthroughs but also demands thoughtful stewardship to navigate the complex intersection of science, ethics, and society.

REFERENCES

1. Lanigan TM, Kopera HC, Saunders TL. Principles of genetic engineering. Genes. 2020 Mar 10;11(3):291.
2. Abdullayeva A. Genetic Engineering and the CRISPR-Cas9 System: Precise Genome Editing. Eurasian Science Review An International peer-reviewed multidisciplinary journal. 2025 Mar 22;1(3):2610-22.
3. Wang JY, Doudna JA. CRISPR technology: A decade of genome editing is only the beginning. Science. 2023 Jan 20;379(6629):eadd8643.
4. Liu G, Lin Q, Jin S, Gao C. The CRISPR-Cas toolbox and gene editing technologies. Molecular cell. 2022 Jan 20;82(2):333-47.
5. Li T, Yang Y, Qi H, Cui W, Zhang L, Fu X, He X, Liu M, Li PF, Yu T. CRISPR/Cas9 therapeutics: progress and prospects. Signal transduction and targeted therapy. 2023 Jan 16;8(1):36. nature.com
6. Wani AK, Akhtar N, Singh R, Prakash A, Raza SH, Cavalu S, Chopra C, Madkour M, Elolimy A, Hashem NM. Genome centric engineering using ZFNs, TALENs and CRISPR-Cas9 systems for trait improvement and disease control in Animals. Veterinary research communications. 2023 Jan;47(1):1-6. [HTML]
7. Chavez M, Chen X, Finn PB, Qi LS. Advances in CRISPR therapeutics. Nature Reviews Nephrology. 2023 Jan;19(1):9-22.
8. Liu X, Li G, Liu Y, Zhou F, Huang X, Li K. Advances in CRISPR/Cas gene therapy for inborn errors of immunity. Frontiers in Immunology. 2023 Mar 27;14:1111777.
9. Asquer A, Krachkovskaya I. Uncertainty, institutions and regulatory responses to emerging technologies: CRISPR Gene editing in the US and the EU (2012–2019). Regulation & Governance. 2021 Oct;15(4):1111-27.
10. Kuiken T, Barrangou R, Grieger K. (Broken) promises of sustainable food and agriculture through new biotechnologies: the CRISPR case. The CRISPR Journal. 2021 Feb 1;4(1):25-31.
11. Guest Editors: Lu Xiao-Jie and Ji Li-Juan, Ishii T. Germ line genome editing in clinics: the approaches, objectives and global society. Briefings in functional genomics. 2017 Jan 1;16(1):46-56.

12. Brokowski C. Do CRISPR germline ethics statements cut it?. The CRISPR journal. 2018 Apr 1;1(2):115-25.
13. Bayarsaikhan D, Bayarsaikhan G, Lee B. Recent advances in stem cells and gene editing: Drug discovery and therapeutics. Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science. 2021 Jan 1;181:231-69. [HTML]
14. Wang SW, Gao C, Zheng YM, Yi L, Lu JC, Huang XY, Cai JB, Zhang PF, Cui YH, Ke AW. Current applications and future perspective of CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing in cancer. Molecular cancer. 2022 Feb 21;21(1):57. springer.com
15. Klich J. Human enhancement technologies and healthcare policy. Taylor & Francis; 2024 Aug 1.
16. Akram F, Sahreen S, Aamir F, Haq IU, Malik K, Imtiaz M, Naseem W, Nasir N, Waheed HM. An insight into modern targeted genome-editing technologies with a special focus on CRISPR/Cas9 and its applications. Molecular biotechnology. 2023 Feb;65(2):227-42. springer.com
17. Yang W, Yan J, Zhuang P, Ding T, Chen Y, Zhang Y, Zhang H, Cui W. Progress of delivery methods for CRISPR-Cas9. Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery. 2022 Aug 3;19(8):913-26. [HTML]
18. Jain MS, Srikruthi KS, Goudanavar P, Naveen NR. Navigating the frontier: Comprehensive insights into CRISPR technology advancements, delivery strategies, and ethical considerations in cancer research. Oral Oncology Reports. 2024 Feb 22:100224.
19. Peterson A. CRISPR: express delivery to any DNA address. Oral Diseases. 2017 Jan;23(1):5-11.
20. Erdoğan İ, Cevher-Keskin B, Bilir Ö, Hong Y, Tör M. Recent developments in CRISPR/Cas9 genome-editing technology related to plant disease resistance and abiotic stress tolerance. Biology. 2023 Jul 22;12(7):1037.
21. Buchner J, Menrad K, Decker T. Public acceptance of green hydrogen production in Germany. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2025 Feb 1;208:115057. sciencedirect.com
22. Almulhem JA. Factors, barriers, and recommendations related to mobile health acceptance among the elderly in Saudi Arabia: A qualitative study. InHealthcare 2023 Nov 23 (Vol. 11, No. 23, p. 3024). MDPI.
23. Stoltzfus MJ, Workman RE, Keith NC, Modell JW. A dynamic subpopulation of CRISPR–Cas overexpressers allows Streptococcus pyogenes to rapidly respond to phage. Nature microbiology. 2024 Sep;9(9):2410-21.
24. Wollert D. CRISPR for the High School Classroom. InIntroduction to CRISPR-Cas9 Techniques: Strategies for the Laboratory and the Classroom 2025 Feb 18 (pp. 19-50). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. springer.com
25. Aithal PS, Aithal S. Application of ChatGPT in higher education and research–A futuristic analysis. International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML). 2023 Sep 29;7(3):168-94. ssrn.com
26. Oser MG, MacPherson D, Oliver TG, Sage J, Park KS. Genetically-engineered mouse models of small cell lung cancer: the next generation. Oncogene. 2024 Feb 9;43(7):457-69.

CITE AS: Maina Mwaura F. (2025). CRISPR and Beyond: The Future of Genetic Engineering. Research Output Journal of Public Health and Medicine 5(2):52-58. https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJPHM/2025/525258