https://rijournals.com/law-communication-and-languages/

RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF LAW, COMMUNICATION AND LANGUAGES 5(2):14-20, 2025

©RIJLCL Publications ISSN 1597-8605

https://doi.org/10.59298/RIJLCL/2025/521420

Emerging Technologies and their Impact on Legal Communication

Kagaba Amina G.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

The legal profession is undergoing a profound transformation driven by emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, cloud computing, and virtual/augmented reality. These innovations are reshaping traditional modes of legal communication between attorneys, clients, and institutions, challenging the profession to adapt while upholding core ethical principles. This paper examines the evolving landscape of legal communication in light of technological change, examining how these tools influence ethical responsibilities such as confidentiality, competence, and informed consent. It highlights regulatory updates, such as changes to the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct, and assesses how these reforms interact with new communication tools. By identifying both the opportunities and ethical pitfalls presented by these technologies, the study proposes a preliminary framework to help legal practitioners balance innovation with compliance and professionalism. Ultimately, the integration of technology must be approached with deliberate safeguards to ensure the integrity of attorney-client interactions and the preservation of public trust in the legal system.

Keywords: Legal communication, Emerging technologies, Attorney-client privilege, Legal ethics, Artificial intelligence in law, Blockchain and smart contracts.

INTRODUCTION

Legal communication, or communication between members of the legal profession and clients, must conform to the ethical rules as well as statutory and case law governing attorney ethics. Among the topics to be addressed are the ethical duties of communication between lawyers and clients; keeping clients informed and advising them regarding the legal ramifications of their decisions; and communicating in a manner reasonably designed to permit clients to make informed decisions regarding the representation. Ethical duties of communication between lawyers and clients also include the duties of confidentiality, competence, supervision, and use of technology. In general, the legal profession has been relatively slow to adapt to new technologies, primarily because of concerns regarding the ethical obligations of lawyers using technology to communicate with clients. However, the advancement of technology comes with concerns for both lawyers and clients, particularly concerning usability and additional ethical duties lawyers may be required to comply with when using technology. In 2012, revisions to the Model Rules of Professional Conduct referencing technology for the first time were adopted. Model Rule 1.1, Competence, was revised to require that, in addition to attending continuing legal education programs, lawyers now "shall keep abreast of the changes in the law and its practice," including "the benefits and risks associated with relevant technology." Model Rule 1.6, Confidentiality of Information, was revised to recognize the need to consider the use of technology when making "reasonable efforts" to prevent the inadvertent or unauthorized disclosure of information relating to the representation of a client. Model Rule 5.3, Responsibilities Regarding Nonlawyer Assistants, was created requiring lawyers to make reasonable efforts to ensure that nonlawyer assistants' conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer. Standard 4-1.5, Communication with the Victim, provides that "The Prosecutor should make reasonable efforts to notify victims of any right conferred by applicable law." Model Rule of Professional Conduct 1.0, Comment 7 on necessity, effective September 2018, provides that "A lawyer will be held to the standard of care of a reasonable and prudent lawyer in the same or similar circumstances" [1, 2].

Overview of Emerging Technologies

Like many fields, legal communication is evolving in response to emerging technologies and changing client expectations. Baby boomers, aging out of the workforce, with their usual voicemails and faxes in hand, leave Millennials and Gen Z steeped in social media, text messages, emails, video calls, and the need for speed. These generations grew up with technology as an integral part of their lives, and clients now expect faster, clearer, and more concise interactions. To meet client expectations and stay competitive, firms have started relying heavily on new technologies. Emerging technologies also create risk for the legal profession. As technology advances, it often develops faster than the underlying legal and ethical frameworks, leading to new concerns regarding privacy, cybersecurity, and compliance with rules governing client communications. In many cases, firms may move too fast, neglecting client and firm-level privacy concerns, or move too slowly, risking falling behind on new technology that comes their way. Either way, these technology gaps lead to lost business, bad press, harm to clients, and even tarnished reputations and lawsuits. Thus, firms must strive to reconcile their reliance on emerging technology with clients' inherent rights to privacy and confidentiality over sensitive communications. However, this firm and client-level balancing act enables updating communications is complicated further by the role of attorneys in the communications process and the ethical obligations this entails. Consequently, this attempts to introduce emerging technologies and their application in legal communication, identify emerging ethical challenges resulting from the increasing reliance on these technologies, and propose a preliminary framework for reconciling these ethical obligations with emerging technologies. It is ultimately hoped this framework will enable law firms and attorneys to continue utilizing new technologies while respecting important ethical values over privacy, confidentiality, and unconflicted representation [3, 4].

Artificial Intelligence in Law

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly concerning lawyers and law firms as automation technologies are adopted in the legal profession. Interest is rising in regulating these new technologies, particularly systems for automating law discovery and data mining techniques that forecast potential outcomes in legal disputes. While there are risks, such as technologies acting against the common good, these tools promise increased efficiency and productivity in legal services. To ensure a smooth integration, dialogue among regulatory and public institutions is crucial regarding the values society expects from the legal profession. Given their education and resources, lawyers can recognize and assess the impacts of emerging technologies on social order before they widely diffuse. History shows that law has guided the integration of innovations, from the printing press to current technologies like GMOs and AI. Understanding new technologies is essential for society to impose effective legal frameworks that contain or shape their influence. Various academic disciplines are exploring socio-political properties of information technologies, including self-organization and the emergence of globality. Such technologies may have complex social consequences stemming from simple rules, resulting in opaque and non-linear effects. The ability of social intelligent agent models to adapt to changes raises the risk of significant socio-political shifts and impacts from rapid technological advancements [5, 6].

Blockchain Technology

Blockchain technology consists of a distributed ledger system, meaning that transactions are documented and verified in a decentralized manner. The data persists even if the original network participants drop out. A blockchain is a continuously growing ledger of records, called blocks. Each block has a cryptographic hash of the previous block to link them chronologically into a chain. This chain of blocks cannot be altered without redefining all subsequent blocks and obtaining the consensus of a majority of the network. Transactions are grouped into blocks. Miners propose the blocks to the entire network, and if it is valid, it is added to all ledgers. Bitcoin has been the most famous blockchain network, and mining bitcoins is why a miner is rewarded with a certain number of bitcoins. Blockchain technology has the potential to automate the law to the extent that it describes an order that is to be self-fulfilling, foreclosing opportunities for positive law or verbal argumentation. This may lead to a situation in which code grandeur dominates any symbolic law and in which legal positivism loses its footing. To cope with such a profound shift in the construction of society, it appears more pertinent to question one's reliance on thoroughly known symbolic codes, the foundations of which are inescapably deprecated. How societies discuss ordering is strongly tied to their interactions with explosively extending technologies. Thinking about law as a symbolic interaction is potentially displaced and in need of thorough repair, as the distance between the non-symbolic and the symbolic quickly grows. Blockchain technology is set to disrupt every industry, including legal systems. It has been said to have more far-reaching and potentially disruptive implications than the internet itself. It is decentralized, restricting an intermediary from switching itself,

and offers immutability. Digital identification and custody will no longer involve third parties. Currently, many contractual obligations depend on the reliability of third parties. Ignoring this fact only creates loopholes for fraud, which charlatans exploit time and again. Contracts will be run on the Blockchain. In no way could anyone tamper with the bonded contracts, preventing fraud. In the future, if a consumer desires to buy a car, they can use a smart contract. It will record the change in the car's ownership as soon as the transaction is completed. Since the transaction will be time-stamped on the Blockchain, the procedure is irrevocable, and the entire network can authenticate its legality [7, 8].

Virtual and Augmented Reality

Augmented Reality (AR) creates a digital layer over the real-world environment by adding 3D animated computer-generated imagery, video, and other data on a view of the environment in real time. These holograms function as though they exist in the user's physical setting and can maintain a fixed position and orientation in the room. Of course, the current AR systems do not work like those shown in science fiction cineplexes. Some components still need further development, and others do not currently exist at all. Nevertheless, today's most capable AR is more potent and effective than yesterday's fully functional counterparts. This technology has already been introduced to a considerable portion of the public. Today, technology giants like Apple and Google are focusing considerable attention on augmented reality. As AR advances in its capabilities and its expansion into the larger marketplaces, so does its potential for application by communication centers. Indeed, AR is still in its infancy, and many communication centers will be bewildered by the flood of options for employing this potentially world-changing communication modality. However, communication centers in the early adopter phase could structure their approach in the years ahead. Virtual Reality (VR) is perhaps the most promising. VR is a technology that presents the user with an alternative, computer-simulated reality. VR, therefore, is a highly immersive and interactive experience. Virtual Reality can be an artificial, computer-generated simulation of a three-dimensional domain. VR systems typically employ head-worn devices with an embedded screen that replaces the user's perception of actual reality. While the primary mode of this reality is visual, many VR technologies also employ aural and haptic output. Through these devices, a user can navigate and interact with this virtual territory in a nearly tangible fashion. Various software conditions can then present this data and structure feedback to the user, thereby establishing a communicative system with very few, if any, constraints on modality. Virtual Reality, as a platform for more realistic user experiences, has an unprecedented ability to transform student perceptions of communication centers [9, 10].

Cloud Computing Solutions

In the past decade, seemingly overnight, cloud computing has entered the mainstream marketplace, completely reframing the way both providers and consumers regard access to electronic information. Cloud computing refers to the concept of outsourcing data processing and storage to a third-party service provider, and it encompasses familiar applications such as Microsoft's Hotmail and Google's Gmail. These services are used to send and receive e-mail, but offer much more. They allow the user to take his or her mail client and service with them anywhere they go, and all that is needed to access them is a compatible browser and Internet connection. In the cloud paradigm, users access their customer records stored on distant servers via the Internet. Once connected, they can manipulate those records remotely. In this respect, these service providers do not possess the records in the traditional sense. Instead, they allow users to manipulate records in their custody. For litigators, this potential conflict custody of records can raise tricky issues. If cloud computing is the way of the future, much of discovery will involve documents in the custody of nonparties. At the more granular level, cloud computing refers to the paradigm shift to third-party service providers that allow their users to process and store electronic data. The historical paradigm is simple: individualized storage areas connected to, but separate from, an internal server. Each user may store or access information only from their computer or network, subject to the information's residency on internal or external servers. In either case, data and data processing would belong to the member organization. If a particular information set did not touch a member organization's storage area, whether in a personal computer or on the server, it was not believed to belong to that organization [11, 12].

The Role of Technology in Legal Practice

The emergence of technology in law practice has affected the way lawyers and clients communicate. Technological systems and devices enable communication across great distances instantaneously or nearly instantaneously. For the same reasons, with greater reliance on technology, there are greater risks of interception and interception of such communication. This Section discusses the risks of certain forms of communication as well as some new technologies. One aspect that must be considered when adopting new communication technologies is whether clients might use them. The medium of communication may

be chosen by the lawyer only, but the lawyer must ensure that the medium selected is appropriate, given the attorney-client privilege, confidentiality, and relevant ethical rules. Text-based communications using email, text, instant messaging, and chat are commonly relied upon in legal communication. The risks of these technologies stem from interception, typically by unintended actors. Common problems with text-based communication include accidental copying of unintended recipients, dissemination of information to unintended recipients, and retention of messages longer than intended. Risks of misuse by mistaken reception, inadvertent forwarding, and indiscriminate use of the "reply all" button can arise in both text-based and voice communications. Safeguards, such as in the case of text-based communication, double-checking before sending, and/or using a phone call follow-up, can mitigate these risks. Excessive use of certain types of text communications—especially automated or bulk messages—can annoy recipients and lead to misunderstanding or misinterpretation of important information. There is typically no record of voice messages, so in terms of both memorability and access, they lessen but do not eliminate the misunderstandings due to the derivation of meaning through clues other than text. Face-to-face, real-time video communication has become increasingly common. Like voice-based communication, certain safeguards can mitigate the risks of misunderstanding or miscommunication associated with a large degree of derivation of meaning through clues other than text. Coverage and quality problems are common in some settings—e.g., household wireless internet service in the Western United States, where many lawyers live and work. Time zone differences may complicate scheduling. Even simply deciding what medium to use for communication can be complicated [13, 14].

Impact on Legal Communication

Although emerging technologies offer advantages, law firms may hesitate to adopt wholly new tools for information gathering, storage, and communication until they are deemed necessary. As pioneers introduce new technology into the legal market, firms often wait to evaluate the outcomes of initial implementations. Over time, as technologies mature and gain broader industry acceptance, they become less novel. Even if a technology is simply an improvement of an existing one but used innovatively, law firms may conduct inquiries on regulatory implications. Factors constraining new technology adoption by law firms persist, although some eventually realize the technology's impact on legal communication. Emerging tech can outperform prior methods in efficiency, cost, and speed. For instance, if blockchain replaces or complements electronic signature services, it can reduce transaction costs. Similarly, text-generative AI can streamline document drafting, saving time and effort. Nevertheless, a disconnect often exists between legal and business market adoption rates. Many law firms continue to prefer physical agreements despite widespread electronic contract use in business for over a decade. This time lag, arising from the technology's nature or institutional resistance within firms, can delay realizing the benefits of new technologies [15, 16].

Ethical Considerations

The shift in communication methods due to evolving technology necessitates a reexamination of the ethical rules concerning attorney-client privilege and confidentiality, including how lawyers ethically communicate using modern technology. Years ago, an attorney merely had to keep asset transfer documents secure at home. Now, potential exposure arises if, for instance, the attorney's ex-wife has access to crucial information stored on shared computers. Such technologies foster risks where confidential communications may be seen by unintended parties, whether intentionally harmful or not. The evolving methods and rules governing attorney communications must change alongside client communication practices, ranging from complicated emails to modern text and chat platforms. Ethical standards for client communication may change as technology evolves. Attorneys who fail to stay informed about these ethical practices face risks, including potential violations of undeveloped rules. This situation complicates matters for junior associates and new lawyers, necessitating that firms allocate resources for training on communication technologies and ethical considerations. Additionally, the rapid pace of changes in communication methods makes adhering to proposed standards increasingly challenging [17, 18].

Challenges in Adopting New Technologies

Despite advanced technology, some humans try to outsmart it. A New York law firm recently faced issues when a partner mistakenly uploaded a draft agreement with sensitive information to a financial news service. This incident illustrates that egos can interfere with professionalism. Communication technology introduces the same malpractice concerns as traditional legal practice, but in high-speed contexts, these concerns become more pressing. Key issues include duty, breach, causation, and damages. A survey of technology-based tools for attorney-client communications is essential, focusing on widely used technologies even in non-legal settings. Understanding these tools can enhance predictability and

compliance. As the legal profession evolves, so does the technology supporting it. Language barriers, individualism, distractions, and malware are now common in daily life. Acknowledging this revolution means lawyers must adapt. Law schools should recognize and incorporate technological and communication advancements into their curricula to address the ethical challenges they present. Regular curriculum updates are essential to balance the tasks lawyers perform with the technologies available [19, 20].

Case Studies

Most North American law schools provide an overview of law office technology in a one-semester, three-credit course. Professors use a combination of theoretical texts and practical texts to illuminate the procedural and substantive law aspects of technology in the law office. Students are encouraged to think critically about the law and technology, and to apply their newly acquired professional skills and ethical duties to their use of technology. Furthermore, professors urge students to conduct legal research to ascertain which technologies apply to them, where, and when. Although professors cover matters outside of the application software, students need to have access to the software while learning about it to discover its features and interface. Legal research and role playing in the context of litigation or transactions prompt students to apply the skills and knowledge that they are learning in other courses. Students are assigned a case for 'The Notebook Assignment' as if acting as junior associates, wherein they start with a quick glance at the spreadsheet provided by the lead associate that includes information about the matter, including a list of all parties, their contact information, and a list of the key documents identified by the lead associate. They must gather more information to fill in the information gaps and must organize the information to make it usable for drafting the memorandum that will synthesize the case's strengths and weaknesses for the supervising partner. Law students themselves work on an actual case provided by a local organization that cannot afford to hire a lawyer, wherein low-income clients learn about their rights and responsibilities through brief legal sessions with law students. Law students then work in teams and canvass the clients at assigned sites to help them fill out a two-page legal questionnaire. Subsequent work on the case includes organizing the information contained in the questionnaire and drafting a template letter to the organization that will review and respond to the client. The cited literature does not discuss the explicit legal communication learning outcomes resulting from these assignments; however, communication is an important part of the assignments [21, 22].

Future Trends in Legal Communication

The legal profession has been increasingly adopting emerging technologies, and their usage is expected to increase in the future. Some of the identified future trends in legal communication include “law chatbots,” “virtual law offices,” and “artificial intelligence (AI) in understanding the law”. Law chatbots are automated tools programmed to engage users in conversations through messaging interfaces. Organizations are using chatbots as legal resources to target low-profile but high-volume legal queries. Given continuous advancements in machine learning, it can be expected that chatbots’ conversation quality will improve, transforming them into a common first-line resource to access uncomplicated legal advice. Firms have begun codeveloping their chatbots with community organizations and courts to assist clients in locating relevant resources and answering simple legal queries. Despite concerns about chatbots providing inaccurate information, anonymity issues, and ethical queries about whether chatbots are acting as nonlawyer providers of legal assistance, attempts will continue to help the lower-income population without access to legal advice, especially in areas such as family law, immigration law, and employment law. Currently, considering litigation is time-intensive, but an automated case evaluation system is likely. The driver for such solutions will be the control over client intelligence provided to telephone companies and market leaders. Clients will allow algorithms that are educated in their case law to conduct their preliminary case evaluations. Given the expected growth of litigation finance, the demand to simplify market entry due diligence is also likely. Over the next two to five years, requests for research assistance to produce lists of cases and parties of interest will coalesce initially around a single software solution and then diverge into two or more solution clusters. Automated preliminary case evaluations will be an outcome. Initiatives likely will come from litigation funders and banks that see a growing sector of information advantage as a niche to exploit [23, 24].

CONCLUSION

Emerging technologies have undeniably revolutionized the landscape of legal communication, offering enhanced efficiency, accessibility, and capabilities for legal practitioners. However, these advances introduce new ethical, legal, and practical challenges that require deliberate navigation. Technologies like AI, blockchain, AR/VR, and cloud computing offer transformative potential but also demand heightened awareness of data security, client confidentiality, and regulatory compliance. The legal profession must

not only acknowledge but also prepare for these changes by revisiting ethical frameworks, updating legal education, and instituting robust safeguards. Law firms and institutions must adopt a proactive and adaptive mindset, ensuring that while technological tools are integrated for client benefit, they do not compromise the foundational values of justice, integrity, and trust. A balance must be struck between innovation and ethical duty to ensure that the digital transformation of legal communication strengthens rather than undermines the profession.

REFERENCES

1. Caserta S. New technologies and law firms-an uneasy relationship: A European perspective. Law, Technology and Humans. 2022 Nov 1;4(2):183-96.
2. Webb J. Legal technology: the great disruption. Lawyers in 21st Century Socities. 2022;2:515-40.
3. Rosário AT, Dias JC. How has data-driven marketing evolved: Challenges and opportunities with emerging technologies. International Journal of Information Management Data Insights. 2023 Nov 1;3(2):100203. sciencedirect.com
4. Manduva VC. Implications for the Future and Their Present-Day Use of Artificial Intelligence. International Journal of Modern Computing. 2024 Dec 7;7(1):72-91.
5. Kunkel RJ. Artificial intelligence, automation, and proletarianization of the legal profession. Creighton L. Rev.. 2022;56:69.
6. Frolova EE, Ermakova EP. Utilizing artificial intelligence in legal practice. InSmart Technologies for the Digitisation of industry: Entrepreneurial environment 2021 Oct 2 (pp. 17-27). Singapore: Springer Singapore. [HTML]
7. Levis D, Fontana F, Ughetto E. A look into the future of blockchain technology. Plos one. 2021 Nov 17;16(11):e0258995.
8. Becker K. Blockchain matters—Lex cryptographia and the displacement of legal symbolics and imaginaries. Law and Critique. 2022 Jul;33(2):113-30.
9. Garzón J. An overview of twenty-five years of augmented reality in education. Multimodal Technologies and Interaction. 2021 Jul 8;5(7):37.
10. Yin K, He Z, Xiong J, Zou J, Li K, Wu ST. Virtual reality and augmented reality displays: advances and future perspectives. Journal of Physics: Photonics. 2021 Apr 8;3(2):022010. iop.org
11. Williamson B, Gulson KN, Perrotta C, Witzenberger K. Amazon and the new global connective architectures of education governance. Harvard Educational Review. 2022 Jun 1;92(2):231-56. researchgate.net
12. Kediya S, Chib S, Chouhan N, Sharma A, Vinchurkar S, Parekh K. Blockchain and Proxy ReEncryption Technology Based Financial Data Sharing Solution. In2023 International Conference on Communication, Security and Artificial Intelligence (ICCSAI) 2023 Nov 23 (pp. 151-155). IEEE. researchgate.net
13. Mehta U, Chougule S, Mulla R, Alone V, Borate VK, Mali YK. Instant Messenger Forensic System. In2024 15th International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT) 2024 Jun 24 (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
14. Annamalai A, Poonia RC, Shanmugasundaram S. Internet of things-based virtual private social networks on a text messaging strategy on mobile platforms. International Journal of Electronic Security and Digital Forensics. 2024;16(1):40-62. [HTML]
15. Engstrom DF, Gelbach JB. Legal tech, civil procedure, and the future of adversarialism. University of Pennsylvania Law Review. 2021 Mar 1:1001-99.
16. Dubois C. How do lawyers engineer and develop legaltech projects?: A story of opportunities, platforms, creative rationalities, and strategies. Law, Technology and Humans. 2021 Jan 1;3(1):68-81.
17. Bresnahan PA, Pera LT. The Impact of Technological Developments on the Rules of Attorney Ethics Regarding Attorney-Client Privilege, Confidentiality, and Social Media. . Mary's J. on Legal Malpractice & Ethics. 2016;7:2.
18. Hazelwood KJ. Technology and Client Communications: Preparing Law Students and New Lawyers to Make Choices That Comply with the Ethical Duties of Confidentiality, Competence, and Communication. Miss. LJ. 2014;83:245.
19. Brobst JA. The Lawyer's Duty to Understand the Disparate Impact of Technology in the Legal Profession. U. St. Thomas LJ. 2024;20:150.
20. Al-adwan M, Hassan M. The Technologies of Remote Communication in The Investigation and Trial and Their Impact on the Requirements of Justice. J. Pol. & L.. 2021;14:138.

21. Brescia RH. Teaching to the tech: law schools and the duty of technology competence. Washburn LJ. 2022;62:507.
22. Susskind R, Susskind RE. Tomorrow's lawyers: An introduction to your future. Oxford University Press; 2023.
23. Rodríguez-Espíndola O, Chowdhury S, Dey PK, Albores P, Emrouznejad A. Analysis of the adoption of emergent technologies for risk management in the era of digital manufacturing. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2022 May 1;178:121562. sciencedirect.com
24. Kumar Bhardwaj A, Garg A, Gajpal Y. Determinants of blockchain technology adoption in supply chains by small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in India. Mathematical Problems in Engineering. 2021;2021(1):5537395. wiley.com

CITE AS: Kagaba Amina G. (2025). Emerging Technologies and their Impact on Legal Communication. RESEARCH INVENTION JOURNAL OF LAW, COMMUNICATION AND LANGUAGES 5(2):14-20. https://doi.org/10.59298/RIJLCL/2025/521420