

Engineering Sports Equipment: Enhancing Athlete Performance

Abdullahi Abdirahim Bashiir

Faculty of Engineering Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

The evolution of sports is deeply intertwined with advancements in engineering, particularly in the design and innovation of sports equipment. This paper examines the multifaceted role of engineering in developing equipment that enhances athlete performance while considering comfort, safety, sustainability, and ethical concerns. Through an examination of traditional and modern sports, the impact of material science, design principles, and technological innovations is evaluated. Case studies highlight how specific equipment improvements influence athlete outcomes, while discussions on sustainability and future trends provide a roadmap for responsible innovation. Ethical considerations are critically analyzed to ensure technology remains a tool for enhancement rather than domination. This review underscores the necessity for multidisciplinary collaboration to sustain the spirit and fairness of sport amidst rapid technological advancement.

Keywords: Sports Engineering, Athlete Performance, Materials Science, Equipment Design, Technological Innovation, Sustainability, Ethical Considerations

INTRODUCTION

Although indigenous and traditional games occur all over the world, few have been recognized as official sports. For example, in many parts of Asia, Chanbara, Bo-taoshi, and Pencak Silat games are popular, but they have not been accepted by the international sports community. Therefore, it is still necessary to study and develop such exercises into sports to make them more systematic and popular. In general terms, sports, traditional games, and indigenous games can be defined and understood through one or more of the following four dimensions (the Rule dimension, the Player Practice dimension, the Sport Community dimension, and the Learning dimension). Many European folk games defined in folklore academies involve a very simple environment and are played with more than 1.5 meters to 500 meters long. Once the people in the community find the arsonists, they hit back with the same materials, thus building their own "sports." The criticisms made by scholars and educators on the overly commercialized sports sometimes also hold in such folk games. The electronic ball field is round, from a few inches to a few feet in diameter, and is embedded in the electronic board playing field. Red balls fly into the holes first locked by camera identification. The players with perfect cooperation and smoothness win. It also means that, somehow, their plan and intention had an unexpected variation. The two-people and four-paddle rapacious siege game evolving from China through the West is braced up by scimitars, large block cores, and high-molecule modified palladiums [1, 2].

The Role of Engineering in Sports

Sports are a fundamentally human activity that comes in various forms, from casual games to competitive matches seen by millions. Equipment is essential for most sports; for instance, running needs shoes and a suitable surface, while tennis, volleyball, and squash require a ball and rackets. Swimming and cycling are defined by their equipment, where specifics like swimming lanes and swimsuits play a role in the discipline. Sports without equipment, known as "equipment-free" sports, encompass athletics, martial arts, and dance, relying solely on the human body. Equipment can shape the evolution of a sport; for example, running evolved from sandals to modern shoes. Early sports equipment often consisted of simple materials, like stones or wood. While some games only need a ball and space, the line between various

sports can be blurry due to the development of equipment as the sport advances. Motor sports, for instance, have rapidly evolved in tandem with engineering. The evolution of sports equipment parallels human development in society. Misuses of technology, like early photography records, reflect the challenges faced in sports history, with more alarming incidents documented in competitive settings despite the limitations of past technology [3, 4].

Materials In Sports Equipment

Athletes often use equipment to help them achieve optimal performance, as strict regulations on ball and athlete dimensions lead to equipment design being an active area of research and development. The resultant sporting goods need to be manufactured from materials possessing different properties to meet performance and safety requirements. As a key aspect of sports equipment design, materials selection has received relatively little attention in the literature. Despite being a multi-billion-dollar industry employing thousands of people and having a wide remit, there is a limited amount of literature surrounding the materials used in the design of sports equipment. This review, therefore, aims to summarise the materials with the greatest applications in sports equipment design, accessibility, and use in engineering education, addressing that sports equipment designers should be actively aware of the materials available to them. It would be beneficial for future efforts to consolidate a wider range of sports equipment, as well as highlight the scientific principles behind common sports materials to enrich the engineering education of designers working on sports equipment. Auxetic materials, a class of materials that when expanded in one direction they contract in perpendicular directions, are being researched and increasingly applied to sports equipment. Auxetic foams have the potential to outperform traditional hyper-elastic foams in sports equipment such as helmets and knee pads, as they have slower stress increases with indentation, superior energy absorption at high rates of indentation attacks, reduced human skull peak acceleration, and the capacity to dissipate higher levels of impact energy over a wider area. Their cellular structure can be designed to be more compliant in sport-specific directions whilst being mechanically anisotropic to resist lateral loads. Auxetic textiles have potential for improved fit, breathability, and temperature regulation in sports garments and can be used similarly in footwear. Other auxetic structures, such as fillings and coatings, can be incorporated into the manufacture of sports garments, equipment, and footwear. Numerical tools such as finite element modelling are useful for the design and evaluation of auxetic materials in sporting devices, with continued refinement of modelling fidelity. A wide range of materials is available to the designer of sports equipment, and details of the most common ones have been provided. The physical principles governing the use of these materials, from basic physics to atomic vibrations in bulk solids, have also been outlined. These existing materials and scientific principles address many sporting behaviours, however, in situations for which they cannot be employed, new considerations must be sought to enable sporting endeavours to continue [5, 6].

Design Principles for Sports Equipment

To enhance athletes' performance, sports equipment design focuses on two main goals: (1) aligning mechanical performance with athlete capabilities to create supportive products, and (2) ensuring comfort for use during training and competitions. This design process is complex, as usability is influenced by both mechanical performance and comfort, often overlooked due to variations in athlete usage. To effectively design sports equipment, the dynamic behavior of athletes and products must be parameterized according to the category. These parameters reveal how usability varies under different conditions, where even minor performance deviations can lead to significant outcome changes (1%). Preliminary models for a rubber shoe and bicycle illustrate this, providing a usability map that indicates when these products align with athlete performance and comfort. Future research should delve into more detailed models and reductions applicable in design stages, potentially offering fresh insights into optimizing sports equipment. An array of innovative engineering societies has produced new materials, enhancing strength-to-weight ratios and chemical resistance, enabling performance improvements (1%). Advanced design techniques better predict equipment specifications pre-manufacture, with numerical simulations assessing performance under extreme conditions. Widely adopted finite element techniques enhance design refinement in various engineering fields and can now predict sports equipment performance without extensive laboratory tests. Successful models for gadget deformation have been adapted for running shoes, tennis rackets, and golf clubs, aiding in superior equipment design and its integration into vehicles [7, 8].

Technological Innovations in Sports Equipment

In the world of competitive sports, enhancing athletes' performances through the development of innovative sports equipment has gained tremendous vigour. Recently, as concerns about athletes' health and safety have increased and mainstream sport has become more popular, research on the protection of athletes from injury through sports equipment has gained traction. Consequently, there is a growing interest in developing new equipment that can better protect athletes and improve sporting performance, while preventing long-term health issues caused by concussions and other injuries. There is no clear boundary on what innovations are permitted, although novel designs may need to be reviewed, regulated, or banned by sporting bodies. Recent forward-thinking innovations include the design of competitive swimsuits to improve performance outcomes and a study of a wearable sensor system capable of measuring a wide range of variables related to athletic performance. These innovations have raised questions regarding a fair playing field, regardless of intent. If an athlete fails to abide by the conventions of a sport, they are labelled a 'cheat' or 'doper'. If an athlete makes use of innovations, they may be labelled a 'fraud' or 'having an unfair advantage'. Some equipment modifications have blurred the boundary of what is an acceptable change, with the potential to directly impact the sporting contest. The sudden emergence of a competitive swimsuit capable of reducing aquatic drag made its introduction into major competition controversial. Similar complaints and claims of unfair advantage arose surrounding the design of running blades for the disabled athlete 'Blade Runner'. Such innovations raise questions about the level playing field and how far modifications may go before ceasing to be a 'normal' part of the equipment. Additionally, there may be consequences for the development of new sports or the perception of sports legitimacy if the equipment becomes an obsession with technology rather than a battle of physical prowess [9, 10].

Case Studies of Enhanced Sports Equipment

Today, a variety of high-end sports equipment is commercially available, but these can be expensive and offer no guarantee of improved performance. This study provides some insights into enhancing the properties of such equipment to make it more effective and transferable. The analysis here focuses on several important examples: the tennis ball (velocity), the golf club shaft (twist/tension), a helmet (concussion factors), and a javelin (lifting). Notably, most tennis and javelin comparisons rely on numerical models, as the spin velocity is exploited and, as this is not flexible in testing, it is difficult to measure directly. Other evaluations rely on experimental measurements. Evaluating a golf shaft's twist modulus analytically is feasible, but requires further testing. Hence, the emphasis here is on the other three pieces of equipment. Apart from implementing these evaluations, the key findings are stated in summary form. No assumption concerning the athlete's physical relationship with the equipment is made, hence no insights concerning imperfections. These evaluations check the equipment performance against the rules of the sport, broadly measured performance ranges, some known physical effects, and simple design principles. Furthermore, as equipment rules differ significantly, and performance analysis was often delicate, suggestions for further studies applicable to other equipment are included. The objective is to stimulate further analysis of the microphysical interaction between the athlete and equipment. The properties of the well-known sporting goods and basic high school physics are combined in unusual ways to yield new insights. The ideas here are not intended to suggest drastic personnel changes are in order or to denigrate the athletic community in any way. Rather, it is hoped that some consideration of the mechanics involved in sports equipment with respect to basic physics will be of interest and will spur further thought in pursuing these topics. Further, it is hoped that advances in understanding may be gained that, in turn, will stimulate additional equipment developments enabling enhanced athletic performances [11, 12].

Impact of Equipment on Athlete Performance

In sport, equipment design and manufacture are paramount across all levels of play. Even small changes in technology can offset considerable gains in performance. This armed everyone with innumerable opportunities to improve performance. Whether concerning footwear specifications or computational fluid dynamics, each sport has its unique challenges, but all also share much common ground. In design, athletes can state requirements or specifications, but it is often onerous, if not impossible, for them to design their solution. Considerable expert knowledge, experience, computer power, and numerical analysis capability are requirements for efficient and effective design. The advent of new materials and manufacturing methods opens new possibilities for materials used in sports equipment manufacture. However, there is a trade-off concerning the material's expense and performance. Equipment can have a

significant positive or negative effect on performance. Understanding an athlete's biomechanics is vital for effective sports equipment design. A design challenge, therefore, is customizing equipment to the athlete's performances. This can be achieved through adjustable equipment tailored based on models. An approach for the design of bespoke tech suits in swimming has been built using computational fluid dynamics. In each of these sports, a diverse range of equipment has significant potential to improve athletic performance. Each sport has unique challenges concerning the simulation and optimization of equipment design; challenges specifically tackled in these case studies. All sports equipment should meet performance standards and athlete regulations, but beyond that, the norm is individualized "bespoke" equipment rigged to the performance characteristics of the individual athlete. A global view of many disparate fields is taken in describing not only the new possibilities but also the new challenges that accompany changing technologies. Research in these areas is therefore of broad relevance across all levels of sport from elite to amateur [13, 14].

Sustainability In Sports Equipment Engineering

The growing focus on sustainability in sports equipment design, especially footwear, arises from increasing consumer and governing body concerns about environmental impact, alongside a recognition among sports scientists and engineers of insufficient scientific examination of sustainability claims. A survey among sports engineering representatives revealed significant interest and a gap in understanding sustainability in the field. At a conference, sustainability examples and challenges were shared to guide evaluation and inspire discussion among researchers and practitioners about enhancing sustainability. A guide on sustainability for sports equipment engineering was developed, detailing principles, frameworks for assessing environmental impact, and identifying opportunities for improvement in sport venues and equipment. The guide outlines ten challenges to guide future sports engineering research. Target audiences include industry professionals, academic researchers, and students involved in sports equipment development. A workshop will further present this guide and gather feedback for enhancement and future sustainability discussions. Despite an increase in sustainability promotions by footwear companies, skepticism exists regarding the motivations behind these claims, as reviews indicate most sustainability assertions in footwear lack a scientific basis [15, 16].

Future Trends in Sports Equipment Engineering

Sports equipment is crucial for athletic performance, enhancing fitness and skills. Engineers design innovative equipment, impacting the current definition of 'Sport.' Significant advancements in human diet, training, and coaching have enabled athletes to surpass previously thought physiological limits. To address modern sports challenges, engineers with applied scientific knowledge are needed to enhance performance and safety in sports equipment. Research is essential for developing market-ready solutions, demanding analytical methods that combine experimental work and design modeling. These models elucidate the biomechanics of movement, considering muscle interactions, joint mechanics, and material deformities. In the UK, over 40,000 participants engage in events under a sponsorship model that controls spare parts distribution due to the high costs associated with car alignments and bearing replacements. Consequently, many players opt for new frames prematurely. Innovations in frame designs utilize exoskeleton structures and granular pads to enhance ball transport mechanisms. The materials used often exhibit anisotropic properties, necessitating advanced modeling approaches. Variations in elastic properties linked to shear could lead to novel constructions integrating nano and micro materials. Strategies focusing on hierarchical structures aim to tailor mechanical properties and predict failure modes effectively. Understanding the mechanics of natural products and applying theories for non-homogeneous materials provides a framework for optimizing sports equipment by mimicking biological systems [17, 18].

Ethical Considerations in Sports Equipment Development

As innovation leads to increasingly high-performance equipment is an appealing idea for both athletes, trainers, and manufacturers. This would prevent injury and even improve performance. However, will that always be a good idea? Luckily, many of these ideas are prohibited in top-level sport and governed by agencies. This protects athletes from their ambition and reduces the number of possible outcomes. However, it does pose ethical dilemmas for other sports segments. The dilemma might arise for the technology, either used in an abusive or injurious way for the athlete, or in a way that completely overshadows others rather than enhancing small aspects. Before being introduced in top-level sport, there was little to no governance. Take last year, together with COVID-19, for example, the surf world cups moved to a league-based sporting model. Surfing IQ started tracking live weather conditions and the

following changes in water. Due to the tempestuous nature of the ocean, this leads to riveting shows with the opportunity for a good day surfing almost every day, with more than acceptable swells. However, in the 1.2 km areas, the surf competitions would be held professional athletes watched guided graphs, waves, and positions in real time. With two beach engineers on the spot, they could approach the judging panel with an explanation of the surf conditions. This led to the disqualification of the top-ranked surfers as they surfed the best waves, where the others were on the scores of trying to explain to the judging panel in a contradictory heat on smaller, less favourable waves. Going even further still, DeepMind has been working on AI coaches who'd be fed big data and monitor the athletes. While one can argue the obligation to make every technological effort possible in the interest of the athlete, the possibility does exist that if AI coaches were allowed, the friendships and goals shared would be opposed, and the whole sport might become too widespread for the Olympic committee to still accept it as an official sport? Similar to horse racing, in which views on betting widely differ, but its continuous profit has thus far postponed governance. Will surfing remain surfing without the integrity of waters everywhere, and an antitrust agency? [19, 20].

Regulatory Framework for Sports Equipment

The legitimate framework of sports apparatus is complex and challenging, as it is a crucial component of the legal structures in many countries, including traditional groups. Nations are urged to adopt methodological sports, leading to the enactment of statutes at national or provincial levels, establishing regulatory bodies to conduct research and enforce these laws. Various sports apparatus statutes cover issues like destructive plays, tool measures, sports boycotts, post-event brokering, and detailed areas like cycloport. Ruling systems are typically centralized and focused on creating measurable apparatus codes. Some countries enforce stringent parliamentary statutes on sports apparatus due to behavior-based accusations, often lacking thorough information processes. Independent administrative placements of sports apparatus with globally binding measures often surpass other players relying on broad rather than geographically based regulations. The enforcement monitoring lies in the governing bodies that possess the apparatus globally. An independent sports authority should strongly control the conduct of involved sports. Where ethics and malpractices are significant concerns, sports governing bodies are necessary to mitigate monopolistic practices. A vigilant monitoring mechanism is essential, being performance-neutral, scientifically rigorous, and locally integrated. With increasing awareness of youth and amateur sports' roles in development, national, regional, and private sports organizations have increased, alongside programs in parent groups and educational institutions, operating in largely independent and uncertain regulatory environments [21, 22].

Market Analysis of Sports Equipment

The American sports goods market was divided into two parts: 1) equipment and apparel, and 2) footwear. Data were available for the first. Total gross domestic product (GDP) for those sectors amounted to about 10.39 billion dollars in 1994 and 12.85 billion dollars in 2004, corresponding to an annual growth rate of nearly 2.33%, taking into account inflation. In the non-inflation-adjusted OECD value terms, the annual growth rate was close to 2.50%. The breakdown of this total for the equipment and apparel segment was \$ 8.77 billion in 1994 and \$ 9.57 billion in 2004, corresponding to 15% of the total sporting goods market. Coming to the personal sporting goods market, in 1994, the leading item was fitness equipment (8% market share), even larger than the apparel and footwear segments. As of 2004, the largest item was fitness equipment with a 31% market share. Only the fitness items and swimwear had over 25% market share, while items such as racket sports equipment had less than 2% market share in 1994. On the contrary, there were quite differences between the two periods. Following fitness were general sports items (13%), golf (7%), swimwear (6%), apparel (4%), and sailing (3%), and the rest was less than 2%. Athletics had the smallest share (around 0.3%) in 1994. The public spending for driving the sports goods market never ceased to exist, and the government spending was on the increase as a whole during the sample period. Different trend lines were estimated for the two periods, corresponding to 0.33% and 2.83%, respectively. National accounts data provided by the government were used to interpolate the quarterly expenditure data based on the new accounting principles. The resulting quarterly total expenditure data were fitted to the experience and on-sale spending series. Experience spending series from the sales of films and tapes was used to capture the deviations of the total from the trend. Interested in adjacent or newly opened historical places that were less attended by tourist groups and were on sale. Historical places that underwent better on-sale behavior were modeled

with a deterministic smooth trend and the Poisson process error due to more tourist groups attending during traditional festivals or holidays [23, 24].

Collaboration Between Engineers and Athletes

Society imposes performance demands on sportspeople during contests. These demands can be conceptualised in terms of the physical, technical, tactical, and psychological factors that influence success. To control for these factors, experimental comparisons of performance can be made using an array of different technologies. This paper aims to provide a reference and rationale for sport coaching academics and researchers looking to monitor performance in a field-based context. Understanding performance in any controlled setting consists of detailing both the affordances and exploitations made in behaviour. This can be attempted via tactical, technical, or biomechanical analysis, however, the typical performance measure is a video recording, with the evaluation of this video footage occurring post-event for most sports. However, on-field technologies are now becoming available that allow for real-time performance evaluations, which can immediately inform post-event discussions and during-event interventions. This paper details some of the more common technologies that are available to step outside the box and explore a wider range of performance measures. A particular focus is on the performance measures that are afforded (not exploited) as a part of typical coaching practices, and how computational approaches could be implemented to solve coaching problems. Past reviews of performance analysis have focused on the means and influences behind videogames or have detailed some of the available technical analysis tools. This review will address other means of performance analysis in a coaching context. Along with video footage for tactical assessment, performance analysis potentially could include stopwatches, accelerometers, heart rate monitors, and laptop recording devices [25, 26].

CONCLUSION

Engineering has transformed the landscape of sports, enabling athletes to achieve new heights of performance through advanced equipment designs. Material innovations, such as auxetic foams and anisotropic composites, have enhanced safety and functionality, while computational tools allow for precise equipment optimization. However, these advancements come with ethical responsibilities, as unchecked innovation can threaten the integrity of competition. Furthermore, sustainability must be prioritized to reduce environmental impacts associated with equipment production. As technology continues to evolve, a balanced approach—grounded in fairness, athlete welfare, and ecological mindfulness—is essential to preserving the true spirit of sport. Future efforts should foster interdisciplinary research, rigorous regulatory frameworks, and a shared commitment to both human achievement and ethical stewardship.

REFERENCES

1. Maguire J. Sport and globalization: Key issues, phases, and trends. In *Handbook of sports and media* 2009 Mar 4 (pp. 470-481). Routledge.
2. Pereira AS, Venâncio L. African and Indigenous games and activities: a pilot study on their legitimacy and complexity in Brazilian physical education teaching. *Sport, education and society*. 2021 Sep 2;26(7):718-32.
3. Chmait N, Westerbeek H. Artificial intelligence and machine learning in sport research: An introduction for non-data scientists. *Frontiers in sports and active living*. 2021 Dec 8;3:682287.
4. Liu J, Huang G, Hyypä J, Li J, Gong X, Jiang X. A survey on location and motion tracking technologies, methodologies and applications in precision sports. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2023 Nov 1;229:120492. [HTML]
5. Negri M, Cagno E, Colicchia C, Sarkis J. Integrating sustainability and resilience in the supply chain: A systematic literature review and a research agenda. *Business Strategy and the environment*. 2021 Nov;30(7):2858-86. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
6. Lei SI, So AS. Online teaching and learning experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic—A comparison of teacher and student perceptions. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Education*. 2021 Jul 3;33(3):148-62.
7. Rebelo A, Martinho DV, Valente-dos-Santos J, Coelho-e-Silva MJ, Teixeira DS. From data to action: a scoping review of wearable technologies and biomechanical assessments informing injury prevention strategies in sport. *BMC sports science, medicine and rehabilitation*. 2023 Dec 14;15(1):169. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)

8. Zhang X. The Role of Integration of Sports and Medicine, Training Processes, and Physical Fitness in Athlete Performance and Athlete Sports Success. *Revista de Psicología del Deporte (Journal of Sport Psychology)*. 2023 Sep 3;32(3):142-53. [rpd-online.com](#)
9. Qaxxorovich SG. TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS IN SPORTS EQUIPMENT. *AMERICAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND LEARNING*. 2025 Mar 19;3(3):567-76. [advancedscienti.com](#)
10. Kumaran T, Baig MK, Avvari RK, Arunachalam T. Injury prevention and improving the performance of athletes. In *Advances in computational approaches in biomechanics 2022* (pp. 100-120). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. [\[HTML\]](#)
11. Huang G, Guo Y, Lee B, Chen H, Mao A. Research Advances and Future Perspectives of Superhydrophobic Coatings in Sports Equipment Applications. *Molecules*. 2025 Jan 31;30(3):644.
12. Liu Q, Liu Y, Liu S. Application Scenarios and Promotion Strategies of High-Quality Development of Sports Service Industry Empowered by Digital Technology. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. 2022;2022(1):1416948.
13. Duarte AL, Santiago Scarpin MR. Maintenance practices and overall equipment effectiveness: testing the moderating effect of training. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*. 2023 Apr 5;29(2):442-59. [\[HTML\]](#)
14. Ruskin KJ, Ruskin AC, Musselman BT, Harvey JR, Nesthus TE, O'Connor M. COVID-19, personal protective equipment, and human performance. *Anesthesiology*. 2021 Jan 6;134(4):518. [nih.gov](#)
15. Okur N, Saricam C. The impact of knowledge on consumer behaviour towards sustainable apparel consumption. *Consumer behaviour and sustainable fashion consumption*. 2019:69-96.
16. Balasubramanian M, Sheykhmaleki P. Comprehending the consumer behavior toward sustainable apparel. *Sustainability*. 2024 Sep 13;16(18):8026.
17. Molavian R, Fatahi A, Abbasi H, Khezri D. Artificial intelligence approach in biomechanics of gait and sport: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Biomedical Physics & Engineering*. 2023 Oct 1;13(5):383. [nih.gov](#)
18. Maiden N, Lockerbie J, Zachos K, Wolf A, Brown A. Designing new digital tools to augment human creative thinking at work: An application in elite sports coaching. *Expert Systems*. 2023 Mar;40(3):e13194. [wiley.com](#)
19. Sotiriadou P. Digital Innovation in high-performance sport. In *The Routledge handbook of digital sport management 2022* Dec 1 (pp. 91-99). Routledge. [\[HTML\]](#)
20. Cossich VR, Carlgren D, Holash RJ, Katz L. Technological breakthroughs in sport: Current practice and future potential of artificial intelligence, virtual reality, augmented reality, and modern data visualization in performance analysis. *Applied Sciences*. 2023 Dec 4;13(23):12965.
21. Koller DL. Putting Public Law into "Private" Sport. *Pepp. L. Rev.*. 2015;43:681.
22. Duncan O, Shepherd T, Moroney C, Foster L, Venkatraman PD, Winwood K, Allen T, Alderson A. Review of auxetic materials for sports applications: Expanding options in comfort and protection. *Applied Sciences*. 2018 Jun 6;8(6):941.
23. Jaswal I. Can Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership Alter the Direction of Global Textiles and Clothing Trade? A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis. *Vision*. 2023;09722629231195478.
24. Pugh E, Drost EA. Los Angeles Apparel: Locally Made in a Global Market. *Entrepreneurship Education and Pedagogy*. 2021 Nov;4(4):778-96. [\[HTML\]](#)
25. Yuan B, Kamruzzaman MM, Shan S. Application of motion sensor based on neural network in basketball technology and physical fitness evaluation system. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*. 2021;2021(1):5562954. [wiley.com](#)
26. Phatak AA, Wieland FG, Vempala K, Volkmar F, Memmert D. Artificial intelligence based body sensor network framework—narrative review: proposing an end-to-end framework using wearable sensors, real-time location systems and artificial intelligence/machine learning algorithms for data collection, data mining and knowledge discovery in sports and healthcare. *Sports Medicine-Open*. 2021 Dec;7(1):79. [springer.com](#)

CITE AS: Abdullahi Abdirahim Bashiir (2025). Engineering Sports Equipment: Enhancing Athlete Performance. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH, 7(2):17-24
