



Integrating Patient Feedback into Personalized Care Strategies

Nyiramana Mukamurera P.

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

As healthcare systems increasingly shift toward patient-centered models, the integration of patient feedback into personalized care strategies has become crucial. This paper examines the practical and ethical dimensions of using patient feedback to inform care delivery, aiming to enhance quality, safety, and satisfaction. It reviews the types and methods of collecting patient feedback, including patient-reported experience measures (PREMs), interviews, and social media data. By analyzing case studies and real-world applications, the paper examines how actionable feedback can drive tailored interventions, especially in primary care and surgical contexts. Challenges such as professional resistance, limited resources, and feedback validation are also discussed. Ultimately, this study proposes a structured framework for effectively incorporating feedback into individualized care plans, emphasizing collaborative care, system-level adjustments, and the need for continuous learning. The integration of patient voice not only humanizes clinical practice but also reinforces health equity and outcome effectiveness.

Keywords: Patient-centered care, patient feedback, personalized healthcare, healthcare quality improvement, PREMs.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, patient-centered care has received heightened attention from various stakeholders. This approach prioritizes patient values, preferences, and needs, engaging patients as collaborators in healthcare design and delivery. Advocates argue that such care improves health outcomes, patient satisfaction, quality of life, and reduces work absenteeism, patient errors, and malpractice claims—benefiting health systems significantly. However, clinical settings present challenges to implementing patient-centered care, such as time constraints, staffing issues, professional hierarchies, disease-focused practices, limited problem-solving, and varied patient perceptions. Research has generated tools to aid in implementing and evaluating this care model, but the transition from theory to practice remains slow and complex. This “translation gap”—the divide between theory and practice—is a critical issue for global healthcare systems. Similar gaps are also evident in other social innovations. The existing health services research has primarily concentrated on conventional healthcare practices, which, while somewhat relevant, has not sufficiently identified mechanisms for translating research into practice. A scientific approach that examines healthcare professions may help identify variables that support the effective implementation of patient-centered care [1, 2].

Understanding Patient Feedback

Directly asking patients about their health, care experiences, and provider performance can enhance the feedback received. Four key types of patient information are critical for healthcare organizations focusing on safety, effectiveness, and service objectives. These characteristics include: time- and broad-framed insights, “for the record” details regardless of patient judgment, and “sharing geographies” that extend beyond traditional boundaries. Proactive requests yield two main feedback types—“time- and broad-framed” events and “for the record” items—which are vital for continuous quality improvement. The advancement of “always-on” real-time mechanisms allows healthcare organizations to effectively integrate

systematic patient feedback into personalized care strategies. A comprehensive feedback map can assist organizations in understanding and enhancing care experiences. It clarifies how relevant patient feedback is viewed, why it matters to patients, and the concerns it raises. This understanding sheds light on the prevalent underutilization of patient feedback and informs communication strategies and institutional adjustments necessary for effective feedback collection. Moreover, adapting care processes based on anticipated feedback effects can standardize expectations across care interactions. An analysis of feedback from three U.S. health systems between 2010-2013 indicated that, despite diverse emotions voiced by patients, most feedback was seen as irrelevant to priorities like care quality and safety. Patients showed a preference for feedback relating to actionable issues rather than immediate care concerns [3, 4].

Types of Patient Feedback

Patient feedback on healthcare experiences is crucial for quality improvement (QI). This feedback includes information from patients and caregivers about their experiences, covering care delivery, receipt, and design. It aims to inform collaborative QI efforts. Two key uses of this feedback exist: first, it can systematically drive QI by compiling feedback into reports for analysis, using surveys and online platforms to create summarizing documents with numerical scores and comments. Second, there is an increasing expectation for these reports to guide the design and implementation of better care strategies. However, many existing studies on using patient feedback overlook crucial factors and ethical issues. Although piloted in individual hospitals, comprehensive inquiries into using patient feedback for QI from a normative perspective are lacking. Notable areas of inquiry include the presence of institutional incentives to maximize feedback utilization, current QI strategies applied using patient feedback, and the moral risks associated with unequal development and reinforcement of feedback within health systems. This study aims to highlight the ethical need to consider health inequities reflected in social media and user-generated content when developing future feedback systems. There remains a gap between the strong support for patient feedback systems and their inconsistent implementation, alongside existing silos between information, communication, and health QI systems [5, 6].

Importance of Patient Feedback

Through the evolution of healthcare delivery, trying to access the ‘truth’ about care quality has been an arduous task. Traditionally, such information was strictly the purview of professionals. Complaints were generally brushed aside as confrontational, addressing them would mean close scrutiny of care practices and possible “loss of face.” As time went by, with the advent of patient movements, often fueled by reports of poor healthcare in hospitals, patients started voicing their concerns and organizations monitored ‘complaints’. But these complaints rarely had a systemic overview, and gaps in care quality persisted. Patients used to passively receive the ‘system’s’ view of quality care, expressed solely in numbers of disciplinary committees and their action. Patients found the ‘complaints’ reports boring and felt they weren’t informed about safety events important to them. Proponents of patient safety have recommended that organizations seek patient feedback on various aspects of care, by soliciting patients’ assessment of care. While traditionally feedback on care was sought by studying charts, reading notes, or taking patients’ demographic data, presently feedback is sought via surveys, interviews, focus groups, and public dialog—including anecdotal comments and patient narratives. Healthcare organizations and providers already strive to understand why patients think ill of them and how to change that perception. Surveys requesting patients to rate their facilities and care providers and post responses along with discussion forums were among the earlier attempts to ‘hear the patient’s voice’. Wave after wave of complaints followed as patients, discontent with service delivery, petty issues surging unbidden through cyberspace. Many organizations labored to systematically collect such complaints, understand and analyze them, infer meaning, and take remedial steps. But the adverse fall outs persisted, fed by the burgeoning grievance industry. There was a growing doubt if these complaints, the fruition of an unrefined endeavor, were sound [7, 8].

Personalized Care Strategies

In any industry, one can find mass service delivery, be it a premier restaurant, an automotive company, or a physician’s office. Each of these has a somewhat unique service delivery system involving some variation of an extensive set of shared decisions and patient choices that occur sequentially. In healthcare, the clinical pathway relies upon a host of interventions delivered by a diverse set of professionals from a variety of organizations. While the results of each intervention must fit within strict clinical protocols and scientific evidence, the specifics of the service delivery system are largely custom designed within constraints imposed by resources, technology, and reimbursement. In addition, the raw material for the

service delivery system is the patient to be served, and almost all interactions are generated by the patient, both fully accepting care or rejecting parts of it. This duality of medical services is said to be largely neglected in healthcare research literature. To personalize the details of sick patients' care, a better understanding of the nature of service customization in healthcare is needed including available options and variables and their possible implication on outcomes and patient satisfaction. On a second level, consideration must be given to an extension of this implementation of widespread care paths with customization of primary care paths to further improve the totality of the healthcare pathway. Finally, by considering a wider array of options and care paths and including the patient more, knowledge of what is possible, available, and useful can better be shared between the care providers and patients, leading to better choices and improved patient satisfaction. On the other hand, while effectiveness is the main consideration regarding healthcare systems, patient satisfaction is the main expectation regarding services in many sectors. The degree to which the organization of care matches the expectations of the patient concerning the characteristics of the service to be received defines how the care organization will be perceived in terms of quality. The adaptability of medical services to the patient, that is, the personalization of care delivery system is a construct that needs to be defined and measured [9, 10].

Methods For Collecting Patient Feedback

There are a variety of methods researchers and health care providers can use to solicit patient feedback regarding specific health care experiences to incorporate feedback into personalized care strategies. This paper discusses methods used to collect patient feedback, including patient-reported experience measures (PREMs), key informant interviews, and social media. The research team used PREMs to evaluate the impact of team-based primary care provisions of frontline health care textual information on patient key health conditions, and these semi-structured key informant interviews of team members to provide important context and qualitative feedback about the impact of their team-based care approach. In addition, to study the professional use of social media by health care providers, the research team examined public Twitter feeds of the primary care teams involved in the initiative feedback to characterize key discussions related to personalized care strategies within the co-design research initiative. PREMs (or measures of the patient experience of care) are the patient experience complement to patient-reported outcome measures (PROs). There is increasing interest in developing and validating PREMs that capture experiences across the entire continuum of care settings, ranging from inpatient hospital stays through specialty care visits and, increasingly, to outpatient clinics and emergency departments. As a comprehensive measure of patient experience of primary care, the Patient Experience of Primary Care Instrument (PEPC) was selected to assess equity-enhancing care strategies in PHC settings for socially vulnerable populations. In addition to PEPC, other feedback means were used: semi-structured key informant interviews with team members and social media analysis on Twitter involving public feeds from Alberta primary care teams [11, 12].

Analyzing Patient Feedback

Patient feedback to help tailor care to individual needs has increased front-and-centre over the last few years. Even outside of healthcare, the power of "listening to the customer" is well recognized for creating products and services that fit consumer need, but how could healthcare organizations act on unsolicited patient input? A key question is whether unsolicited patient feedback could lead to better personalization of care for non-emergency surgery patients. Would this help to improve patient perceptions about their care experiences? Therefore, consideration will be given to previous uses of patient feedback in primary/secondary care evidence that using patient feedback increases personalization of care, works towards improving patient perception of their experiences and is appropriately achievable for this surgery with existing resources. Research by shows how medical teams use data from patient experience surveys and the ways they act to adapt or tailor their care. They report that both groups used patient feedback to increase attention to, improve or empower individual patient choice, understanding of care catering or tailoring to those that find the recommendations irrelevant. This is heartening news as previously it was not known how feedback shaped patient's care experiences. Health organizations have a plethora of unsolicited client feedback to draw on from social media, email, or postal complaints and compliments. Surgery patient feedback was analyzed as it was felt that qualitative information surrounding patient satisfaction with a care experience from unsolicited sources could allow for tailoring of that care, similar to what has curatorial intent in other industries. Individual patient feedback on experiences from before, during and after surgery has been known to be suitable for text mining, and patient factors contribute to personalized care in this area. It follows then that analysis of unsolicited patient feedback would allow

healthcare organizations to act on that feedback to tailor their care. In turn this should help to see improvements in patient perceptions about their care experiences and health outcomes [13, 14].

Implementing Feedback into Care Strategies

Patient feedback is crucial for enhancing patient-centered care. Implementing this feedback into clinical strategies offers a notable chance to personalize care. Utilizing feedback on experiences and outcomes can inform personalized strategies, including care plans and tailored decision-making by care teams. Key objectives include enhancing ownership, ensuring the effective use of feedback, and evaluating its integration into personalized care. Primary care teams will incorporate feedback data to create individualized care plans with patients at pilot site clinics, following training for clinical teams. These plans will outline health behavior goals, strategies to achieve them, and progress metrics, focusing on behaviors most likely to improve based on team assessments. Outcome measure data will guide care team decision-making regarding behavioral health issues, aided by new analytic tools to detect significant declines in patient-reported health behaviors, which will be part of team training. This training emphasizes motivating patients to manage their health behaviors and follows straightforward personalized strategies. These efforts aim to amplify the role of patient feedback in customizing interventions for better behavioral health outcomes. Care team approaches will be determined by health professionals, with patient outcomes serving as a standard for assessing personalized care quality. A randomized controlled trial will explore these concepts and inform strategies for implementing feedback from higher-risk community-dwelling patients [15, 16].

Page | 20

Challenges In Integrating Feedback

The rise of online reviews and patient feedback platforms offers opportunities for better understanding patient experiences, values, and preferences by health systems and policymakers. However, to leverage these benefits, actionable insights must be delivered at the point of care. Text mining and predictive modeling can enrich feedback and improve usability for end users, but they need validation and refinement to overcome contextual challenges in clinical settings. Key concerns include the validity of patient experience data, the varying information needs of caregivers and administrators, and resource constraints for acting on insights. These challenges are prevalent across industries, and developing best practices can enhance actionable patient insights and improve personalized interventions. Delivering patient feedback to caregivers at the point of care influences its perceived importance and impacts clinical decision-making and patient perceptions. Utilizing both direct and indirect delivery channels can boost engagement, as each has distinct advantages and limitations. The effect of timing on direct delivery is complex; delays can make insights more relevant, while immediate feedback might discourage caregivers from using potentially awkward or negative comments. Research is needed on the effectiveness of visualizations and the introduction of predictive elements, which could revolutionize personalization in both patient feedback and intervention pathways [17, 18].

Case Studies

Using Patient Feedback to Inform a Personalized Care Plan for Oni Oni (32 months old) underwent a multidisciplinary evaluation, including speech-language and occupational therapy assessments. Various scoring methods measured typical and atypical behaviors in language use, articulation, social interaction, and mobility. Results indicated low scores in areas like visual stimuli engagement, visual attention, shared focus, and turn-taking. A summary report was created, outlining Oni's behaviors, skills, family priorities, and future goals. Revisions included recommendations for classroom support and enhancing report accessibility. Feedback was gathered from educators, family, and participants, prompting changes to classroom behaviors, articulation, and social-pragmatic language clarity. Proposed changes were highlighted in the document. Using Patient Feedback to Infer a Treatment Plan for Jae Jae (70 years old) presented with irritability, ruminating thoughts, low mood, sleep issues, and forgetfulness, supported by her wife. The assessment reviewed Jae's current functioning, relationship history, and insomnia. Informant questionnaires were given to assess cognitive decline and depression. Caution was advised with low-risk sleep medications due to Jae's depression and possible confusion. A summary report was generated after the initial appointment, detailing her functioning, assessment history, and its implications. The treatment plan included psychopharmacological options, individual therapy recommendations, and reporting protocols. Revisions to the report and treatment plan focused on adding details, restructuring content, and clarifying terminology. Feedback types included content-driven suggestions for changes and readability-driven comments on phrasing and format [19, 20].

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Future Directions in Personalized Care

A personalized healthcare framework is essential for guiding the design and implementation of patient-centered systems of care. Health reform spans various countries but must collectively tackle rising costs, an aging population, and chronic disease prevalence. A promising method involves fostering shared responsibility between patients and healthcare professionals. Delivering high-quality, personalized healthcare while managing resource allocation is a complex interaction between patient demand and healthcare supply within budget constraints. Unfortunately, patients' needs and concerns are often inadequately addressed in care decisions. Analyzing personalized healthcare through bargaining game principles offers insights into co-designing and evaluating health systems, potentially informing future research. Access to timely, relevant patient information is gaining importance alongside routine monitoring of patient needs and experiences, despite its limited implementation. Recent efforts focus on predicting healthcare use and integrating patient feedback into everyday care decisions. Many health systems have started to pursue healthcare personalization, promoting consumer empowerment through policies and tools. Significant investments in telehealth and artificial intelligence aim to enhance care access through home-care technologies, while others strive to improve service quality by learning from consumer feedback [21-25].

CONCLUSION

Integrating patient feedback into personalized care strategies holds transformative potential for modern healthcare systems. While the theoretical value of patient-centered care is well-acknowledged, realizing its promise requires bridging the persistent translation gap between research and real-world application. This paper has outlined how diverse feedback mechanisms—from structured surveys to unsolicited social media input—can enrich care design, improve outcomes, and enhance patient satisfaction when appropriately analyzed and applied. However, the road to effective implementation is marked by ethical, logistical, and cultural barriers. Overcoming these requires a systemic commitment to feedback responsiveness, caregiver training, technological adaptation, and policy support. By empowering patients as co-creators of their health journeys, healthcare institutions can foster more equitable, responsive, and sustainable care environments.

REFERENCES

1. Matlhaba K. Essential Clinical Skills. In: Enhancing Clinical Competence of Graduate Nurses 2025 Jan 31 (pp. 113-134). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
2. Alshammri A, Almalki A, Alasmay M, Alshihre M, Al-Qarni H, Alqahtani T, Alshalawi F, Alotibi M. The impact of patient-centered care at work: make a difference to the patient experience. *International Journal for Scientific Research*. 2022;1(2):171-87. vsrp.co.uk
3. Elkhwesky Z. A systematic and major review of proactive environmental strategies in hospitality and tourism: Looking back for moving forward. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. 2022 Nov;31(7):3274-301.
4. Okeke NI, Alabi OA, Igwe AN, Ofodile OC, Ewim CP. Customer journey mapping framework for SMEs: Enhancing customer satisfaction and business growth. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;24(1):2004-18. researchgate.net
5. Baldie DJ, Guthrie B, Entwistle V, Kroll T. Exploring the impact and use of patients' feedback about their care experiences in general practice settings—a realist synthesis. *Family Practice*. 2018 Feb;35(1):13-21.
6. Powell J, Atherton H, Williams V, Mazanderani F, Dudhwala F, Woolgar S, Boylan A, Fleming J, Kirkpatrick S, Martin A, Van Velthoven M. Using online patient feedback to improve NHS services: the INQUIRE multimethod study. *Health Services and Delivery Research*. 2019 Oct 30;7(38).
7. Escobedo LE, Cervantes L, Havranek E. Barriers in healthcare for Latinx patients with limited English proficiency—a narrative review. *Journal of general internal medicine*. 2023 Apr;38(5):1264-71. springer.com
8. Adams C, Walpola R, Schembri AM, Harrison R. The ultimate question? Evaluating the use of Net Promoter Score in healthcare: A systematic review. *Health Expectations*. 2022 Oct;25(5):2328-39. wiley.com
9. Brajković M, Babić D. PERSONALIZED HEALTHCARE AS AN INDICATOR OF PATIENT RESILIENCE. *Health Bulletin/Zdravstveni Glasnik*. 2024 May 1;10(1).

10. Čupić M, Babić D. PERSONALIZED HEALTHCARE AS AN INDICATOR OF PATIENT RESILIENCE. *Zdravstveni glasnik*. 2024 May 31;10(1):136-52.
11. Cu A, Meister S, Lefebvre B, Ridde V. Assessing healthcare access using the Levesque's conceptual framework—a scoping review. *International journal for equity in health*. 2021 May 7;20(1):116. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
12. Ferreira DC, Vieira I, Pedro MI, Caldas P, Varela M. Patient satisfaction with healthcare services and the techniques used for its assessment: a systematic literature review and a bibliometric analysis. *InHealthcare* 2023 Feb 21 (Vol. 11, No. 5, p. 639). MDPI.
13. Frayn M, Fojtu C, Juarascio A. COVID-19 and binge eating: Patient perceptions of eating disorder symptoms, tele-therapy, and treatment implications. *Current Psychology*. 2021 Dec;40(12):6249-58.
14. Johnson AM, Brimhall AS, Johnson ET, Hodgson J, Didericksen K, Pye J, Harmon GC, Sewell KB. A systematic review of the effectiveness of patient education through patient portals. *JAMIA open*. 2023 Apr 1;6(1):ooac085. [oup.com](https://www.oup.com)
15. Kwame A, Petrucka PM. A literature-based study of patient-centered care and communication in nurse-patient interactions: barriers, facilitators, and the way forward. *BMC nursing*. 2021 Sep 3;20(1):158.
16. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. *Medicine*. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
17. Yu C, Xian Y, Jing T, Bai M, Li X, Li J, Liang H, Yu G, Zhang Z. More patient-centered care, better healthcare: the association between patient-centered care and healthcare outcomes in inpatients. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2023 Oct 19;11:1148277. [frontiersin.org](https://www.frontiersin.org)
18. Anawade Sr PA, Sharma D, Gahane S. Connecting Health and Technology: A Comprehensive Review of Social Media and Online Communities in Healthcare. *Cureus*. 2024 Mar 1;16(3).
19. Elendu C, Amaechi DC, Okatta AU, Amaechi EC, Elendu TC, Ezech CP, Elendu ID. The impact of simulation-based training in medical education: A review. *Medicine*. 2024 Jul 5;103(27):e38813. [lww.com](https://www.lww.com)
20. Marsh M, Lauden SM, Mahan JD, Schneider L, Saldivar L, Hill N, Diaz C, Abdel-Rasoul M, Reed S. Family-centered communication: A pilot educational intervention using deliberate practice and patient feedback. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2021 May 1;104(5):1200-5. [\[HTML\]](#)
21. Ongesa TN, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Optimizing emergency response systems in urban health crises: A project management approach to public health preparedness and response. *Medicine*. 2025 Jan 17;104(3):e41279.
22. Longmuir F. Leading in lockdown: Community, communication and compassion in response to the COVID-19 crisis. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*. 2023 Sep;51(5):1014-30. [\[HTML\]](#)
23. Nneoma UC, Fabian O, Valentine EH, Paul-Chima UO. Innovations in Renewable Energy for Health Applications. *system*. 2025;1:2.
24. Arbelaez Ossa L, Starke G, Lorenzini G, Vogt JE, Shaw DM, Elger BS. Re-focusing explainability in medicine. *Digital health*. 2022 Jan;8:20552076221074488. [sagepub.com](https://www.sagepub.com)
25. Wu TC, Ho CT. A scoping review of metaverse in emergency medicine. *Australasian emergency care*. 2023 Mar 1;26(1):75-83.

CITE AS: Nyiramana Mukamurera P. (2025). Integrating Patient Feedback into Personalized Care Strategies. *Research Output Journal of Public Health and Medicine* 5(2):17-22. <https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJPHM/2025/521722>