EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH
(EEJSAR) ISSN: 2992-4146
©EEJSAR Publications Volume 7 Issue 2 2025

Sustainable Agriculture: Innovations in Vertical Farming

Mugisha Emmanuel K.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

As global urbanization accelerates and climate change threatens traditional agriculture, vertical farming emerges as a vital innovation for sustainable food production. This paper examines the history, benefits, technological advances, design strategies, crop selection, and challenges associated with vertical farming. Through analysis of global case studies and future trends, the study evaluates vertical farming's capacity to conserve resources, reduce urban pollution, enhance food security, and create vibrant local economies. However, economic feasibility, regulatory barriers, and energy demands remain significant hurdles. By addressing these challenges with innovative technologies, policy reform, and sustainable business models, vertical farming can play a transformative role in achieving resilient urban food systems and mitigating climate change impacts.
Keywords: Vertical Farming, Sustainable Agriculture, Urban Food Systems, Controlled Environment Agriculture (CEA), Hydroponics and Aeroponics, Urban Planning, Food Security.

INTRODUCTION

Vertical farms, a type of Controlled Environment Agriculture (CEA), resemble stacked greenhouses that enhance plant yields compared to traditional outdoor farms. This innovative approach aims to mitigate climate change in urban areas. In cities with vertical farms, reforestation can help sequester atmospheric carbon. This circular economy in food production conserves water, reduces fossil fuel use, and minimizes reliance on chemical additives, as materials are recycled between growth cycles. The current global landscape of commercial vertical farms is documented, showing dozens operating or in development. These farms may also improve health, as over 80 insect species likely wouldn't thrive in their non-porous environments. The model seeks to establish a sustainable niche economic system for city-grown food by analyzing efficiency metrics and operational success. A study of a six-year-old Ubiquitous Urban Farm examined all revenue and expense options connected to indoor agriculture globally. A modular "supermarket" version of a vertical farm has been proposed, expected to achieve significant efficiencies for mass expansion. However, substantial challenges must be addressed before vertical farms can fully meet urban food demands, including the evaluation of various environmental and production efficiencies and developing sustainable pest and microbial control methods, especially for hydroponic systems [1, 2].

History of Vertical Farming

Vertical farms debuted in Chicago in the late 1990s, and by 2010, the first commercial vertical farm design with hydroponics, climate control, and LED lights emerged. This modular design allowed for updates to existing structures or new builds, with patented inner workings leading to the proliferation of vertical farms in Asia, Europe, and North America. These controlled environment agriculture (CEA) systems minimize space usage compared to traditional farming, crucial in urban areas where land is limited. This saved space could help restore tropical forests and prevent urban water pollution from agricultural runoff. The repurposing of food fed to livestock could also aid marine ecosystem recovery and reduce agricultural runoff. Urban green space loss contributes to climate change and biodiversity issues, negatively impacting population health. The extensive manmade cover on Earth disrupts climate and weather patterns, leading to the loss of green space benefits. Urban vertical farms can mitigate these effects by increasing greenery, providing food, enhancing carbon storage, improving air quality, managing excess water, and promoting biodiversity. Agricultural practices currently yield 90 percent profit from plant input, but they harm freshwater ecosystems due to runoff and residues. Urban waterways face similar pollution challenges, leading to ecological consequences akin to those seen in Lake Erie. Recent floods and droughts have devastated formerly reliable farmland, while market manipulation of farm products has left impoverished nations unable to feed their populations. This model creates socioeconomic chaos, as significant food loss occurs in the process. [3, 4].

Benefits of Vertical Farming

Vertical farms in metropolitan areas could help provide the food needed to sustain an increased population. The current food supply system's weaknesses may be exacerbated by climate change, which could exacerbate water shortages and loss of arable land. Vertical farms can be designed buildings, as architectural blueprints will be discussed here, and the needs of the community should be considered when designing these structures. It will be essential to think about transportation and access concerns, as food access has emerged as a critical issue in recent years. While it may take time for vertical farms to be integrated into a community, they will have a lasting positive impact on building vibrancy and community health once they are established. In the short term, vertical farms can provide employment opportunities to those who live in the vicinity, while in the long term, vertical farms can be established as community hubs. Small maintenance or cooking workshops could be held in the industry sector. A model must be developed to allow the public to go indoors, as it appears that most designed vertical farming companies do not currently consider this. It is predicted that edible plant growing in cities will help minimize fuel consumption costs, air pollution, greenhouse gases, and noise emissions from food transport. The efficiency of spatial use of land per square foot for food productivity favors vertical farming compared to outdoor open lots and rooftop gardens. Meanwhile, indoor vegetable growing will likely be widely accepted by the public as it produces healthy and safe-to-eat food that would not harm consumers and keep them in a premium state. However, because no one spot in climate-influenced growing areas can provide the optimal growing conditions throughout the year, extensive greenhouse use will likely exacerbate the current energy crisis. The construction industry must prepare for greater industrialized construction in response to the emergence of industrial technologies such as modularized, movable, and additive manufacturing systems. Classify risk as public or private risk; public infrastructure cost and policy approach; private variable costs and potential risks to media, technology, and economic strategies. Furthermore, there may be a lack of trained personnel for indoor farming, which entails higher skill levels than outdoor farming. In addition, consumers believe that large-scale indoor farming facilities pose biosecurity concerns, such as overly industrialized and less organic planting. These considerations are particularly pressing for the farming system itself, which must ensure water or environmental protection [5, 6].

Technological Innovations

As cities expand, so does the need for sustainable urban agricultural systems. This outreach seeks to catalyze research and development of competitive, sustainable, and potentially alternative methods of vertical farming that can better meet human demand in food, resources, and a healthier environment. Concepts explored in this outreach focus on soil-less systems but vary in factors such as growing region, choice of crops, and system designs. Despite differences, these systems share one essential feature that brings them vividly to life: plants are exposed to artificial lighting rather than sunlight (for the most part). Thus, growing plants in a controlled environment becomes the primary descriptor of the next generation of agriculture. In regards to innovation, when considering how to grow our food, vertical farming options must be as competitive as possible against the existing conventional choice. Therefore, aluminum foil tubes featuring reflectivity >95% for wavelengths 400nm-700nm are described. To effectively use artificial lighting as the primary energy source, the choice of lighting technology becomes important. Here, Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs), specifically custom grow light bars featuring a mix of warm white, narrow band red, and narrow band blue chips are described. This palette was chosen based on knowledge of photosynthetic action spectra, flowering spectrum, and plant image perception. As light is the limiting factor for plant growth in vertical farms, user intervention technologies such as motion trackers are described that enable a sustainably-competitive and wholly new lighting technology offering to local stakeholders. These technologies have potential to improve lighting energy efficiency far beyond current systems. Ultimately to be effective in practice, these innovative designs must transfer easily into application. Therefore a number of deployment and delivery options are explored, starting with one that is an open-source technology suite written for the popular platform [7, 8].

Design and Architecture of Vertical Farms

Vertical farms are a potential solution to the challenges of urban development and agricultural product consumption. Located in city centers, they bridge the gap between agriculture and consumers by growing vegetables and herbs on-site. The rise of high-rise buildings and modular construction systems further supports vertical farms. Modern technologies in greenhouses and regulatory changes regarding zoning also contribute to this growth. Urban environments leverage building and vegetation systems to combat air pollution and eliminate the need for cooling and heating water. The concept of vertical farms is often discussed in relation to architecture and urban planning, prompting debates about their aesthetic and functional aspects, technological requirements, and potential effects on employment and emissions. Vertical farm buildings should focus on sustainable systems and ensure the efficient circulation of energy, water, and materials, ideally operating waste-free. They must be designed beyond current legal regulations, utilizing entirely renewable energy and functioning independently of external energy supplies. These structures should integrate with surrounding buildings, addressing food industry needs by maintaining proximity to consumers. The limited footprint of vertical farms can be enhanced by adding layers of agricultural surfaces, such as vertical gardens on southern facades or incorporating green space into the overall design, including roof gardens and parks [9, 10].

Crop Selection for Vertical Farming

When selecting crops for vertical farming, farming methods and crop types should be prioritized to maintain high-yield agricultural innovation and urban agriculture methods. In vertical farming, a large cultivation area is required for crop types with large leaf area and volume such as cabbage. Alternatively, farming techniques can be considered for climbing plants such as vines, beans, and curl melons. Especially, climbing food plants such as vegetables and fruits are more profitable and competitive crops with selling prices of the higher valuated products than cabbage and leafy vegetables. In urban areas, a study on the feasibility of green commercial vertical farming systems for climbing food plants such as 'Raja Patani', long beans, and snake gourd using aeroponic, hydroponic, and soil was conducted. Vegetable food crops with climbing or scrambling growth habits have a high growth rate, high productivity, and low cost that is suitable for city gardens, rooftop green, roof gardens, and vertical gardens with fewer nutrients or aquaponic water such as snake gourd. In the case of climbing food plants, growing on green commercial vertical systems would save more land space, allowing higher density planting than conventional gardening. Vertical farming also helps manage food safety and biopesticide matters for climbing plants that have a sound organic system and require only small Nos. of environmental resources and extra room spaces [11, 12].

Case Studies of Successful Vertical Farms

Within a few years after they made their debut in Tokyo in 2010, vertical farms proliferated throughout Asia, Europe, and North America. Currently, hordes of new ones are being designed worldwide, and nearly several hundred now are in operation. Some grow produce only for restaurants or hotels, while others focus on retail stores and food service operators. One operates remotely, with geographic location not readily known to its end customers. So many new vertical farms have opened, and most with different technologies, that it has become difficult to provide a comprehensive overview of this dynamic new cultivation sector. As a result, there tends to be misunderstanding among city planners, local officials, and potential investors as to their look and location. Vertical farms differ from greenhouses mainly because of their height. Single-floor greenhouses have been common since the time of the Romans, with some being two floors in height. Now a new kind of greenhouse has emerged that is built like a building. Inside it are racks of different sizes, each holding rows of individually potted plants. The plants change their location on the grid of trays as they grow, whose nutrient and water requirements differ with their size. An automatic conveyor system moves trays of small seedlings to areas where they are dosed with more nutrients and treated. LED lights change their positioning every few days so that all plants receive equal light. During harvest, trays of cut micro-herbs are siphoned up a slot in the tray conveyor and fed into a refrigerator where they are dunked in ice water and washed. They are then packaged and shipped to restaurants within a 50-mile radius of the farm [13, 14].

Challenges Facing Vertical Farming

Despite the numerous benefits of vertical farming and widespread optimism surrounding its potential to dramatically reshape farming practices, there are many obstacles that critics have pointed to that stand in the way of integrating vertical farms into urban centers. One of the major challenges facing vertical farming systems in general involves feasibility and the economic costs of construction. In optimizing either production efficiency or resource use efficiency for an existing vertical farm, it is crucial to ensure feasibility so that the trade-off solutions generated for the optimization problem can be employed or implemented. In vertical farming systems, there are multiple possible arcs connecting pairs of consecutive layers. Establishing a minimum spanning tree can guarantee that each node can be reached in a feasible manner when modeling arc utilization in the objective function as continuous variables rather than binary variables would generate infeasible routes as a consequence of continuous approximation. In terms of technology selection for the entire farm, rules about node connectivity must also be taken into account. This restriction on the selected technology ensures that there exist potential paths along which the goods are transported between any pair of nodes. There is also a greater task of identifying and delineating feasible initial operating conditions of the vertical farm, with population-wide optimization methods needing consideration for non-feasible evaluations. Vegetable production is more than in the price of land it takes to grow, and in large metropolitan areas this is a critical issue. Land prices exceeding a million dollars for a city block are not uncommon in areas that would benefit from being supplied fresh produce. Additionally, this produces a situation where produce to be shipped by air freight, producing an additional environmental cost. To circumvent the issues of land prices, exorbitant utility bills, and lengthy shipping routes, more efficient vertical farming systems must be constructed. Currently, more advanced technology exists to that being employed in the industry, but sustainability and practicality need to be reconsidered [15, 16].

Future Trends in Vertical Farming

Sustainability determines the accomplishment of vertical farming. Vertical farms have the capacity for net sustainable food production. Vertical farming is considered sustainable since it encourages local food production and cuts down on food waste and the carbon footprint associated with food transportation. All of this contributes to food security. The prospects of vertical farming options vary from organic food production to precision-beverage cropping opportunities. In order to achieve a sustainable urban food system, it is important to connect the advantages of vertical farming to the sustainability issues. With the recent trends in the sustainability divide, many companies are looking to gross income goals but are often hitting a sustainability wall. The prioritization of sustainability goals on the agenda can mean a substantially more secure long-term future as well as profit margins. Over the last 10 years, there has been a strong belief that vertical farming will stabilize the food insecurity issues in the coming decades. At the same time, relentless investor enthusiasm for urban food production has caused inflated land values, enormous rent prices, and high expectations of growth. With decreasing growth rates and many companies failing to deliver profit, the sustainability concerns included in the investment rationale have largely vanished from public discussion. These macroeconomic trends have consequences on how the community of vertical farming needs to behave and think in order to follow up on those ambitious early visions of changes in urban food systems. Market acceptance for vertical farming is still developing. Ripe opportunities for sustainable vertical farming are locally driven and need further investigating. The review summarizes the state-of-the-art in the vertical farming field and provides insights into the review of sustainable vertical farming and how vertical farming fits into urban food systems. Various opportunities for vertical farming are categorized into food production and innovative control systems. And with the help of various multi-actor scenarios regarding the fruit, vegetable and fish production in the Netherlands in 2040, the opportunities are put to the test and future research opportunities are given for both stakeholders and academia [17, 18].

Regulatory and Policy Framework

Much of the regulatory and policy framework is established, but its presentation through a calendar format complicates understanding of its workings and deadlines. Regulations are dispersed across various documents, often challenging to locate in English, incurring high administrative and legal fees. There's a strong need for a cohesive overview that illustrates the interconnectivity of these regulations and clarifies the significance of all prerequisites. A 5–10 year timeline highlighting upcoming regulations and their implications for Europe and Sweden could help non-experts grasp future challenges. Additionally, while food safety and carbon neutrality regulations exist, sufficient testbeds for necessary technologies remain absent. Food safety regulations include detailed protocols, such as those governing plastic stickers on produce. Progress is stalled until proposals are reviewed and approved by the Swedish Food Agency and the Swedish-Ecological Agri-Agency, leading to delays. Local municipalities lack clear guidelines on permitting new constructions, introducing uncertainty in timelines for establishing farm testbeds. Environmental impacts, including groundwater and air quality, require costly permits influenced by local authorities, causing varied regulatory pressures and creating an uneven competitive landscape. An example is Plantagons, an Egyptian-Swedish firm that had to abandon plans for a testbed greenhouse in Linköping due to a permit denial driven by aesthetic concerns. Compliance and regulatory testing fall on the firms, often conducted anonymously, which burdens them unduly and raises issues about impartiality and the lack of appealing processes due to absent official documentation [19, 20].

Community Engagement and Education

Contemporary Agriculture Research focuses on sustainable innovations in vertical farming, emphasizing environmental sustainability and food production. Urban agriculture enhances awareness of local food systems and boosts demand for local produce, thereby improving minority employment. Given the limited land in urban areas, maximizing vertical cropping is crucial for efficient land use and increased crop yields. Educational institutions play a vital role in fostering sustainable agriculture, promoting social equity, local food knowledge, employment opportunities, and investments for future generations. Comprehensive education on food systems and their environmental impact encourages local advancement. Strategic partnerships enhance community awareness of green infrastructure through various interactive strategies, including presentations, briefings, films, and surveys. Local organizations and dedicated staff can initiate impactful programs, attracting interest groups in food advocacy and local government to integrate urban farming into economic and land use strategies. Local celebrities and public awareness campaigns can engage community members in initiatives like urban gardens and school gardens. Highlighting successful urban garden examples generates media interest and encourages trends. Establishing demonstration and education facilities can promote gardening as an urban lifestyle. Funded local organizations can collaborate with schools and community centers to provide gardening spaces, resources, and produce distribution to local eateries and food banks. Registered educators can conduct on-site gardening lessons, while accessible composting options address waste issues. Community centers in congested areas can also offer cooking classes to maximize crop use. Building a supportive garden sector necessitates collaboration with experts, community involvement, and partnerships with educational professionals to disseminate gardening knowledge and techniques [21, 22].

Economic Impact of Vertical Farming

The profitability and economic viability of vertical farming that are aided by advanced indoor agricultural technology such as artificial intelligence, advanced robotics, vertical farming equipment, and systems that welcome novel indoor environments are discussed. These technologies create sustainable growth conditions for cultivable plants by addressing their light, CO_2, and environmental requirements. Densely planted vertical farms are locally established farms or greenhouses that allow the sale of edible crops in the retail market while benefiting cities and their inhabitants. Economics of Vertical Farming Micro-scale vertical urban farms (VUF) can be produced using shipping containers or 40 ft. containers housing complex vertical farming installations that provide climate control, energy and water management, and plant management systems. These may be located in cluster farm regions with multiple VUFs looking after the crops and the containers relocated nearby the retail outlet. Economically viable planting processes such as floating, phonic, or vertical irrigation with najas-mounted plants on water droplets are reviewed. The economics of this engineering analysis of planting devices address capital expenditures (CAPEX), depreciation, and errors, unnecessary crop failures, and redirections. It is based on average equipment and service costs (CAPEX & OPEX). The analysis shows the economic viability of floating or phonic planting processes. There are different lighting systems ranging in price, longevity, energy consumption, heating, and installation dimensions. Vertical or disposable horticultural LED lamps, red/orange fluorescent tube lamps, or with open fixtures range in price from $50/1000 lumen, $518.04/2500 lumen, to €120/12000 lumen. Indoor agricultural lighting systems are requested from growing shelves, racks, and vertical solutions to shelf aisle luminaires. They increase shelf height to maximize light distribution or control plant growth; for instance, sit/stand fixtures drop lower ('to the floor') as crops grow taller. Hydroponic systems allow new crops, plants, ratios, or growth times at relatively low hysteresis costs. All reviewed economic analyses suggest a much faster return on investment and new crops than state-of-the-art indoor agriculture productivity data [23, 24].

Vertical Farming and Climate Change

Climate change is an urgent problem, and as world leaders come together to create a united response, society as a whole is taking action, including people working to change their lifestyle choices when it comes to their home, diet, and transportation. All of these changes have a huge impact on how many greenhouse gases are emitted, but arguably, one of the most serious contributors to greenhouse gas emissions, currently receiving less attention than some of the other ones, is agriculture. Global production and use of nitrogen synthetic fertilisers in agriculture produce greenhouse gas emissions. The crucial innovation in urban agriculture is how it is going to be implemented, on a small scale, locally and close to consumers, as an essential part of their food system. Microgreens (young seedlings of edible vegetables and herbs) are popular, nutrient-rich, and relatively easy to produce under controlled environment conditions. To investigate their environmental performance, life cycle assessment (LCA) studies of a real-world microgreen production system located in Rotterdam were completed. The vertical farm design allows for flexible and scalable implementation in existing urban areas, with high demands on microgreen yield per area. Scenario life cycle inventory analysis explored the effects of such measures on microgreen yields and environmental impacts: cultivating the varietal mix Broccoli on 14 trays with a longer harvest period and a sowing density of 25,500 seeds per tray maximised microgreen yields by 84%. However, this also increased freshwater use and resulting impacts, with net positive outcomes on climate change (8.9 kg CO2eq from 100 g of microgreens), freshwater use (95.9 L), and water resource depletion (0.025 m3) when compared to its main agricultural competitors. The smart agriculture industry is accelerating with new applications for existing technologies. AI, Automation Robotics, and IOT are already on display in smart farms around the world, while some technologies like blockchain are still being developed for agricultural use. Climate change has raised environmental concerns about how to farm sustainably to prevent overuse of the land and natural resources needed to produce food. Embracing advanced technologies such as machine learning may provide opportunities to change the face of agriculture. Adopting innovative technologies from other competitive industries is essential and timely for the evolution of smart agriculture, but it may require appropriate educational models that extend beyond AI and robotics [25, 26].

Comparative Analysis with Traditional Agriculture

Vertical Farming (VF) is a revolution in agricultural methods. Nonetheless, traditional farms can grow crops more efficiently even after comparing the depth of innovation in soil-less farming and agro-sensible farming farmers. The debate needs to end. In terms of growing density per hectare, the highest yield is still obtained with the well-test and low-tech traditional farming methods. The discussion and politics of the challenged vertical industry to take over farming are distracting instead of aiding the agricultural transition needed to feed everyone sustainable by 2050. Vertical Farms are the hint and step-stone of a more sustainable production of food, but it might be soil-less, hydroponical, aquaponicals, or aquaculture aquaponically. Instead of each having to fight for their destiny, a unified strategy might offer greater opportunity and understanding of feeding us more nutritious food, produced near where it will be eaten. Soil-less farming at regular (maximum) size measurements can grow crops in tower system densities of 1-15 crops per 100m^3, which is comparable to greenhouse farming with no shading (20 crops per 100m^3) and mining-in-tested old soil. Nevertheless, established farming practices and emerging challenges must be investigated. Some of these are limited availability of land and water, highly variable climatic conditions, volatile weather events and unsafe food chains. An assessment of vertical farms (i.e. soils[-less]) against conventional farms is crucial to evaluate overall sustainability. An experimental facility was established to research a large range of crop types with regard to growth, productivity, water and nutrient use efficiency, and chemical safety of growing methods. Changes in upper greenhouse inputs and underground systems will be reflected in the overall balance of aerial nutrient and irrigation resources as such exposed to understanding greenhouse gas emissions and the overall water footprint. In addition, sustainability indicators such as energy, product and financial margins will be proportionately to reveal the dominance of farming practices, product degree and processing levels. All these dimensions are interactively linked to environmental, societal and economic factors to obtain an overall understanding of an ever-continuous evolving farming domain [27,28].

Consumer Perspectives on Vertical Farming

Urban Agriculture (UA) addresses climate change, food security, and socio-economic inequalities as cities face challenges from urbanization, increasing food distances, and greenhouse gas emissions. Urban planning is critical in reshaping food systems amidst issues like food sovereignty, food deserts, insecurity, and knowledge gaps between producers and consumers. An urban design approach supports initiatives aimed at enhancing food sovereignty and local production. A case study utilizing a survey tool reveals insights from consumer professionals about urban food acceptance and willingness to purchase based on factors like time since harvest, cultivation methods, and environmental impact. Findings show high acceptance and willingness to pay, emphasizing the influence of information on consumers' perceptions and its role in developing local food markets. This research represents the initial phase of understanding consumer acceptance of urban-grown foods and aims to guide producers on consumer expectations. Implications include the need for consumer education regarding production methods and environmental impacts. Future work will focus on integrating quality-assessing markets and improving consumer knowledge on food chain distances and their environmental implications [29, 30].

CONCLUSION

Vertical farming represents a promising solution to the pressing challenges of urban food insecurity, environmental degradation, and the limitations of traditional agriculture. Through innovations in lighting systems, modular construction, crop selection, and system automation, vertical farms can achieve high yields with minimal land and water use. Integrating vertical farms into urban landscapes offers the potential to enhance biodiversity, improve air quality, and foster stronger community health outcomes. However, realizing this potential requires overcoming significant technological, financial, and regulatory barriers. Sustainable success will depend on developing energy-efficient designs, creating supportive policy frameworks, and promoting public-private partnerships. As vertical farming technologies continue to mature, they offer a critical pathway toward more sustainable, self-sufficient, and resilient urban food ecosystems.

REFERENCES

1. Despommier D. Vertical farms, building a viable indoor farming model for cities. Field Actions Science Reports. The Journal of Field Actions. 2019 Sep 24(Special Issue 20):68-73.
2. Chowdhury H, Argha DB, Ahmed MA. Artificial intelligence in sustainable vertical farming. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00030. 2023 Nov 17.
3. Prince A. Urban Agriculture as "Agricultural" Producer. InTranscultural Cities 2013 Feb 11 (pp. 237-249). Routledge.
4. Proksch G, Lee G. Chicago's urban food networks: Mapping the future of a thriving metropolitan foodshed. InUrban Food Mapping 2024 Mar 19 (pp. 286-295). Routledge.
5. Petrovics D, Giezen M. Planning for sustainable urban food systems: an analysis of the up-scaling potential of vertical farming. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management. 2022 Apr 16;65(5):785-808. tandfonline.com
6. Zaręba A, Krzemińska A, Kozik R. Urban vertical farming as an example of nature-based solutions supporting a healthy society living in the urban environment. Resources. 2021 Oct 25;10(11):109.
7. Ahamed MS, Sultan M, Monfet D, Rahman MS, Zhang Y, Zahid A, Bilal M, Ahsan TA, Achour Y. A critical review on efficient thermal environment controls in indoor vertical farming. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2023 Nov 1;425:138923. [HTML]
8. Voutsinos O, Mastoraki M, Ntatsi G, Liakopoulos G, Savvas D. Comparative assessment of hydroponic lettuce production either under artificial lighting, or in a Mediterranean greenhouse during wintertime. Agriculture. 2021 May 29;11(6):503. mdpi.com
9. Benhursha S. An Investigation of Eco Community Tower for Sustainable Living Through Vertical Farming Approach. Available at SSRN 5034447.
10. Parkes MG, Azevedo DL, Domingos T, Teixeira RF. Narratives and benefits of agricultural technology in urban buildings: A review. Atmosphere. 2022 Aug 6;13(8):1250.
11. Song S, Cheong JC, Lee JS, Tan JK, Chiam Z, Arora S, Png KJ, Seow JW, Leong FW, Palliwal A, Biljecki F. Home gardening in Singapore: A feasibility study on the utilization of the vertical space of retrofitted high-rise public housing apartment buildings to increase urban vegetable self-sufficiency. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 2022 Dec 1;78:127755. [HTML]
12. Likitswat F. Urban farming: Opportunities and challenges of developing greenhouse business in Bangkok metropolitan region. Future Cities and Environment. 2021 Jun 18;7(1). futurecitiesandenvironment.com
13. Son YJ, Park JE, Lee N, Ju YW, Pyo SH, Oh C, Yoo G, Nho CW. Copper-or Zinc-Fortified Nutrient Solution in Vertical Farming System Enriches Copper or Zinc and Elevates Phenolic Acid and Flavonoid Contents in Artemisia annua L. Agronomy. 2024 Jan 4;14(1):135. mdpi.com
14. Naresh R, Jadav SK, Singh M, Patel A, Singh B, Beese S, Pandey SK. Role of hydroponics in improving water-use efficiency and food security. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2024;14(2):608-33. researchgate.net

15. Safransky S. Greening the urban frontier: Race, property, and resettlement in Detroit. Geoforum. 2014 Sep 1;56:237-48.
16. Smolka MO. Value capture. The Wiley Blackwell encyclopedia of urban and regional studies. 2019 Apr 15:1-5.
17. Lubna FA, Lewus DC, Shelford TJ, Both AJ. What you may not realize about vertical farming. Horticulturae. 2022 Apr 11;8(4):322.
18. Gumisiriza MS, Ndakidemi P, Nalunga A, Mbega ER. Building sustainable societies through vertical soilless farming: A cost-effectiveness analysis on a small-scale non-greenhouse hydroponic system. Sustainable Cities and Society. 2022 Aug 1;83:103923. [HTML]
19. Latino ME, Corallo A, Menegoli M, Nuzzo B. Agriculture 4.0 as enabler of sustainable agri-food: A proposed taxonomy. IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management. 2021 Aug 26;70(10):3678-96. [HTML]
20. George AS, George AH. Towards a Super Smart Society 5.0: Opportunities and Challenges of Integrating Emerging Technologies for Social Innovation. Partners Universal International Research Journal. 2024 Jun 25;3(2):01-29. puirj.com
21. Kobayashi Y, Kotilainen T, Carmona-García G, Leip A, Tuomisto HL. Vertical farming: A trade-off between land area need for crops and for renewable energy production. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2022 Dec 15;379:134507. [HTML]
22. Kaiser E, Kusuma P, Vialet-Chabrand S, Folta K, Liu Y, Poorter H, Woning N, Shrestha S, Ciarreta A, van Brenk J, Karpe M. Vertical farming goes dynamic: optimizing resource use efficiency, product quality, and energy costs. Frontiers in Science. 2024 Sep 24;2:1411259. frontiersin.org
23. Teo YL, Go YI. Techno-economic-environmental analysis of solar/hybrid/storage for vertical farming system: A case study, Malaysia. Renewable Energy Focus. 2021 Jun 1;37:50-67.
24. Saad MH, Hamdan NM, Sarker MR. State of the art of urban smart vertical farming automation system: Advanced topologies, issues and recommendations. Electronics. 2021 Jun 13;10(12):1422.
25. Menegat S, Ledo A, Tirado R. Greenhouse gas emissions from global production and use of nitrogen synthetic fertilisers in agriculture. Scientific Reports. 2022 Aug 25;12(1):1-3.
26. Gao Y, Cabrera Serrenho A. Greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogen fertilizers could be reduced by up to one-fifth of current levels by 2050 with combined interventions. Nature Food. 2023 Feb;4(2):170-8.
27. Caputo S. History, techniques and technologies of soil-less cultivation. Small Scale Soil-less Urban Agriculture in Europe. 2022 Jun 2:45-86.
28. Rehman A, Islam F, Tariq A, Ul Islam I, Brian J D, Bibi T, Ahmad W, Ali Waseem L, Karuppannan S, Al-Ahmadi S. Groundwater potential zone mapping using GIS and Remote Sensing based models for sustainable groundwater management. Geocarto International. 2024 Jan 1;39(1):2306275.
29. Fantini A. Urban and peri-urban agriculture as a strategy for creating more sustainable and resilient urban food systems and facing socio-environmental emergencies. Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems. 2023 Jan 2;47(1):47-71.
30. Yuan GN, Marquez GP, Deng H, Iu A, Fabella M, Salonga RB, Ashardiono F, Cartagena JA. A review on urban agriculture: technology, socio-economy, and policy. Heliyon. 2022 Nov 1;8(11). cell.com

CITE AS: Mugisha Emmanuel K. (2025). Sustainable Agriculture: Innovations in Vertical Farming. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH, 7(2):40-47