

EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH	
(EEJSAR)	ISSN: 2992-4146
©EEJSAR Publications	Volume 7 Issue 2 2025

Wearable Technology for Performance Monitoring in Athletes

Kato Jumba K.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Wearable technology has emerged as a critical tool in modern athletic training and performance monitoring, offering real-time data on biomechanical, physiological, and environmental parameters. This review examines the integration of various wearable devices such as smartwatches, heart rate monitors, GPS trackers, and fitness bands into elite and recreational sports. Emphasis is placed on their applications in injury prevention, performance enhancement, and health management, particularly in high-stakes environments like the Tokyo 2020 Summer Olympics. The review also discusses the technological, ethical, legal, and logistical challenges associated with wearable technologies, including concerns over data privacy, regulatory inconsistencies, and device reliability. Despite these challenges, wearable technologies continue to evolve, promising deeper insights into athletic performance and recovery strategies. Standardization of data protocols and ethical frameworks remains essential for their responsible and effective use in sports. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of current technologies, their benefits, and the considerations necessary for their sustainable implementation in athletic contexts.

Keywords: Wearable technology, performance monitoring, athlete health, sports analytics, smart devices, GPS trackers.

INTRODUCTION

Wearable sensors measuring various biomechanical, physiological, and contextual parameters are being increasingly utilized by sports teams and governing bodies for monitoring player workloads, enhancing performance, and preventing injuries. With the rise of these technologies, it's vital to assess their effectiveness within a technological, historical, and athlete-centered lens, particularly regarding safety, legal, and ethical issues. This review discusses innovations in wearable technology and their implications for performance monitoring and athlete protection, particularly during elite sports events like the Tokyo 2020 Summer Olympics. Advances in wearable sensor technology, capable of automatically analyzing and transmitting biomechanical and physiological data to vendors, are reshaping elite sports monitoring. Despite their potential for enhancing insights into player performance, concerns persist about risk, costs, logistics, and data privacy. Moreover, existing regulations on wearable technology usage during competition must adapt to ensure athlete safety and fair competition. The review also outlines a timeline of wearable innovations in elite sports and their implications for athlete performance monitoring. It highlights state-of-the-art sensor technologies for tracking athletes' performance metrics, while also addressing the legal and ethical challenges that may hinder practical deployment in sports settings [1, 2].

Types of Wearable Devices

Wearable devices now play a prominent role in monitoring, assessing, and analysing different assets. Different types of devices act in unique ways to offer a variety of usage. An increasing number of companies developing these wearables are receiving attention from the sporting and biomedical community. Drones, smart shoes, smart shirts, belts, patches, and smart eyewear are being developed for various purposes. Also, revenue from wearables is expected to grow inversely with the unit price of each item. Some wearable devices are inexpensive and ubiquitous; some can only be used in a controlled laboratory environment at a relatively higher price; some devices can monitor the bio-signals and movement of different body parts and even of the outside world; some only require the athletic

performance data from an individual athlete. There is a wide range of advantages from wearable devices, ranging from performance monitoring to the protection of health, including the area of psychological well-being of athletes. However, as with novel technologies, there are concerns regarding the application of wearable devices. From the service providers, the questions involve quality assurance of hardware and data, information overload, and exaggerated marketing claims. From the sporting community, it is worth reviewing the sporting rules and regulations, testing in a controlled competitive environment, and conformity assessment. As for the individuals, privacy and data security concerns are also paramount. The vast majority of wearable devices in sporting applications are sensors that can monitor, assess, and analyse different performance attributes such as speed, heart rate, and muscle activity, among others. Wearable technologies incorporate an array of miniature sensors on athletes to continuously monitor both motion and physiological parameters. These devices incorporate microcontrollers, multi-sensor fusion systems, and communication transceivers, among others, to filter, process, and wirelessly transmit information. Accelerometers measure the acceleration acting on the x, y, and z axes. In addition to this, by utilizing a Kalman filter, they can detect the changes in azimuth, elevation, and roll, which further allow the tilt angles to be determined. The fusion of angular velocity data from the gyroscope can be used to determine the body part's spatial orientation. Together, these sensors suit the measurement of acceleration in two different frames over the three-dimensional space, i.e., body coordinate frame (3D – local motion) and earth coordinate frame (3D – global motion) [3, 4].

Fitness Trackers

Recently, the consumer market has seen advances in wrist-worn fitness trackers, which offer low-cost, affordable optical continuous heart-rate (HR) monitoring devices. The focused data acquisition of physical activity and fitness assessment of wrist track devices has a lot to offer as a supplement to goal-oriented activities. Nowadays, some wrist trackers provide easy device-data access with services for collecting and visualizing personal data as fitness and health insights via smartphone applications. They also offer a window of opportunities for robust policy interventions or support, for academic researchers and practitioners who aim to install or design unobtrusive solutions addressing a range of physiology-aware applications beyond the current functionality. Fitness tracker devices capable of continuous 24/7 assessment of physiological parameters (e.g, HR, Physical Activity) have recently entered the consumer wearables market. These affordable optical wrist devices aim to deliver day-long monitoring of fitness, health, and sleep behavior in daily life. They collect self-reported data for visualization and data access. Palpitation and Fear of Public Speaking provides a window of opportunity to assess and visualize public speaking apprehension. However, they currently include a limited set of devices and only data. There is a general lack of scientific knowledge about the fitness tracker ecosystem regarding investigational settings, data accuracy, and informed design choices. Thus, this paper sets out to inform settings to wear devices, assess physiological parameters, and provide application-ready solutions. For the implementation of such a system, procuring off-the-shelf consumer hardware, keeping costs low is essential. Devices worn on wrist structures that integrate and visualize the collected data and also allow for assessment of additional self-reported variables, are suggested. Off-the-shelf fitness trackers that offer data access and approach the necessary parameters. Designed, piloted & finalized on both devices are two ready-to-use applications. By assessing physiological parameters with high precision, these applications assess and visualize fear of public speaking in daily life via a pressurized pragmatics approach on the wrist fitness tracker [5, 6].

Smartwatches

Wearable technology, including smartwatches, is increasingly being adopted in both elite and recreational sport settings, with reports of various benefits or concerns. In addition to tracking performance and promoting healthy lifestyles, many of these devices also monitor heart rate, sleep, blood oxygen levels, and other health metrics. In elite sport, these devices are a source of growing interest, with the increasing ubiquity of watches, rings, and wristbands in competition settings, alongside questions over the performance monitoring capabilities of these devices. Using a case-study approach, this review provides a current overview of the commercial landscape of smartwatches in elite sport, their performance monitoring capabilities, regulatory considerations, and, where possible and pertinent, their effect on performance. Adding to the current 22 contracts in use directly from manufacturers, data has been sourced from open-access public domain materials. Where delineated, the performance monitoring capabilities of each device have been outlined and compared. Recommendations for future research, such as commercial monitoring contracts, performance monitoring limits, and potential performance benefits

of wearables against commercial considerations, are proposed. Smartwatches are widely used by community athletes. In addition to fitness tracking, smartwatches can monitor sleep, heart rate, and blood oxygen concentrations, and alert the wearer to abnormal heart rhythm or elevated noise. Such features come with technological concerns, including accuracy, data overload, battery life, and reliability; however, a recent systematic review found numerous benefits for health improvement, especially in physical inactivity and sleep. Smartwatches are also becoming increasingly common in elite sport, though there is comparatively limited knowledge of performance monitoring capabilities. Their integration helps to review the commercial landscape of smartwatches from manufacturers operating at the highest levels of sport [7, 8].

Heart Rate Monitors

The heart rate (HR) measures the beats of the heart within a selected period of time, which is usually expressed as beats per minute. The exercise intensity can be expressed through the use of the HR, since it directly correlates to the physiological exertion and metabolic demand. Therefore, the analysis of the HR can provide quantifiable information about the overall training load (TL) accumulated during any training session and recovery time. The HR can also be used to evaluate the intensity of each exercise session in Olympic, high-level professional, and amateur sports. In the global era of work and leisure, HR monitoring, thanks to the PPG, has become widespread. Various devices include chest straps and wrist-worn devices. The chest straps have a large battery life, accurate training heart rate, and heart rate variability (HRV) monitoring. The wrist-worn devices measure training HR by using PPG, the working principle for measuring pulse oximetry via light obstruction of the skin. However, some PPG wearables monitor the HRF at resting heights, according to the manufacturer's specifications. The wrist-worn PPG devices are biomechanically sensitive to motion artifacts. During intense physical exertion or physical activity, some activity wrist-worn devices may exhibit a phenomenon known as signal drift that alters the PPG signal, affecting the accuracy of HRF prediction. Inaccurate athlete monitoring could disrupt the training process. Therefore, the monitoring of HR and HRV in free-living, uncontrolled, and real-world conditions needs to be accurately portable, cost-effective, and user-friendly. Studies on the accuracy of these detection methods are needed [9, 10].

GPS Devices

GPS devices have been widely used in various games and sports, particularly in outdoor team games. This is due to the ability of these devices to track and monitor athletes' performances in fairly large playing fields, such as soccer, rugby, cricket, basketball, and American football. Practically all major leagues in the world for these sports use these devices throughout the world to corroborate the physical team and individual players' performance data. The data captured using these devices is invaluable to both coaches and players. GPS data includes an athlete's velocity and acceleration information and the distance traversed at different speeds (i.e., walking, jogging, fast running, sprinting). GPS devices are also capable of providing other important variables, such as physiological workload variables and players' tactical positions, which are of great importance to coaches and players. The newer devices have also started tracking athletes' heart rate using sensors. Useful loads of monitoring variables can be tracked on a device, ranging from a handful to thousands of different variables, depending on the strength of the device and the operational time required. In general, commercially available devices for sports use a combination of GPS, accelerometer, gyroscope, and magnetometer for calculations. The GPS used in conjunction with Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) constellations performs trilateration to track velocity and distance travelled by capturing time-stamped Signals of Opportunity (SOOs) from the satellites in the geostationary orbit. The onboard Geographical Information System (GIS) server calculates the exact location of the device on the Earth's surface from this data [11, 12].

Applications in Sports Performance

Wearable sensor technologies have expanded in recent years, impacting everyday users and elite athletes alike. These sensors monitor, analyze, and transmit data for real-time feedback on aspects like motion, performance, sleep, and biometrics, aiding in training and competition strategies. Concerns arise in two main areas: the sporting environment, which relates to data privacy, sensor validity, and fair competition regulations, and the societal environment, focusing on regulatory responses to technology advances. The AIC consensus group and the IOC identified upper respiratory infections and heat illness as critical issues for sporting bodies. Innovations like electronic eyeglasses now provide athletes with real-time performance and environmental data. During the pandemic-impacted Summer Olympics, athletes' biometrics were monitored to ensure health and safety. However, the variety of sensors and standards

complicates understanding the athletic decision-making process. Some leagues regulate sensor use to maintain fairness; for instance, professional cycling mandates shared data access among teams, while player tracking data may be restricted. Proposed legislation aims to prevent the misuse of performance data and ensure that advancements are not stifled by slow regulation processes [13, 14].

Data Collection and Analysis

The sports community can invest in a dedicated data collection and integration platform to hold and display information streams from all key hardware. Besides continual legacy monitoring of worn sensors, teams should access direct and immediate streams where wireless communication is permissible and effective. Data should be transported and stored centrally or edge-wise with a computing hub in each stadium. This program builds an appropriate architecture to effectively collect and quantify different information streams. It automatically, or semi-automatically, negotiates with remote data sources to access information and establishes a common time frame for the varied signals. Streams that will be collected throughout the event include physiological and biomechanical performance, position and movement monitoring, as well as meteorological data. Telematics data findings, along with contextual information like elapsed time since the last race, running distance, and recent training load, can benefit trainers, team managers, and medical personnel immediately before and after races. Heavy alert criteria can be canceled if there is a total data loss yet some data remain, as the health status of the athlete cannot be completely unknown. Care professionals can also analyze the health burden data transmitted from all sensors to help make an objective evaluation of athlete health, as well as adapt the care plans of athletes based on individual conditions [15, 16].

Benefits of Wearable Technology

The primary goal of wearables in athletic performance monitoring is injury prevention and effectively characterizing athletic movements and technique. Wearable sensors and their associated software tools are expected to help coaches and sports scientists analyze the biomechanical metrics of athlete performance more efficiently. Innovations in wearables are anticipated to increase automation in data acquisition and report generation, allowing for readily interpretable summary statistics of performance. Fitness wearables are anticipated to continue to extract all-day, continuous measures of activity, aerobic fitness, body composition, and sleep. Wearable technology is expected to provide better methods for characterizing athletic performance and technique and monitoring recovery from training loads. There is a delicate balancing act for coaches and athletes between periodizing training loads to optimize the beneficial adaptations and dual risks of tweaking the training too little, thereby impairing readiness, or too much, leading to a heightened risk of injury. Safety concerns and limited interpretation of physiological data have hindered the integration of performance monitoring into elite sports. Nonetheless, future innovations in wearable technology are anticipated to provide an insightful concatenation of physical, technical, and biomechanical data, enabling a holistic understanding of performance. Performance monitoring is expected to become a ubiquitous element in athletic training shortly [17,18].

Challenges and Limitations

Smart wearable devices provide valuable insights into training loads and performance trends of athletes, but there are technical challenges associated with their validity, reliability, feasibility, scalability, and data interoperability. Although a great number of commercial devices and applications are available on the market nowadays, they vary considerably in terms of signal sensitivity, performance accuracy, and data analytics algorithms. Many performance researchers expect data-driven decision-making to gain popularity in a wider range of sports in the future. However, concerned stakeholders wish to see more developments in performance data standardization, data reliability, and data interoperability, which could set guidelines concerning commercial device benchmarking. Debate exists as to whether smart wearables might be used as a censorship mechanism, especially concerning elite athletes. It has been flagged that as smart wearable devices are integrated into the training assessment protocols of young amateur coaches or organisations may develop complete control over these athletes and even use them as informants against each other. Despite their popularity in sports practices, much work remains to establish the validity and feasibility of smart wearables in sport, especially in team-based sports. For every smart wearable device a standard consensus proposal could be established in four main measures (1) end-user requirements including device usability and reliability, (2) data validity including accuracy of data analytics algorithms, (3) ethics of data ownership and privacy, and (4) a standardised process to achieve such requirements. Attorney General opinion and a new law regarding data ownership and sharing in sports may be welcome

developments in the long run, but interim regulations and recommendations are requested for good practices in sports when consumer data and artificial intelligence technologies are involved. “Anonymization” laws on data and its sharing, as applied in finance and telecom, could be a starting point. Making ownership contracts, regulation sharing, and providing higher thresholds of data access for consumers and athletes may be adjusted. Although the recommendation could be a first step, there is still a potential risk of referee bias and abusive use of data. In general, legislative action or intervention is required to set the right good practices and standards in the future at the global level [19, 20].

Future Trends in Wearable Technology

Recent advances in materials science, microfabrication, and circuit miniaturization are paving the way for a radical transformation in wearables. Smartwatches, wristbands, and chest-worn straps will give way to discreet knitted sensing garments worn on any body part like normal clothing. Flexible electronics will make it possible to weave, print, or embroider circuitry or sensors onto fabrics like cotton or wool. When worn, these knitted e-textiles will be unobtrusive like clothing, lightweight, user-friendly, and rechargeable. Improved sweat and water resistance, design life, and washability will increase the lifespan of the electronics. A key technology that needs to be integrated with e-textiles is flexible, low-power microcontrollers, which are being developed to facilitate the real-time performance assessment of athletes on the field. Anthropometric shape modelling of e-textiles could improve comfort, fit, and measurement repeatability in sports applications. This would require femtosecond laser ablation for low-cost manufacturing. It is important to note that not all textiles are equal. The knitting yarn, fabric structure, and post-processing can affect the comfort and elasticity of the textiles, which affects the electronics incorporated into them. Work is underway with commercial textiles and available knitting machines. Care must be taken in the choice of fabrics to ensure good sensor performance. Despite significant advances, seamless knitted e-textiles for sports performance monitoring are still at the laboratory stage. For this reason, commercial wearable technologies in practice are still low-fi, and no fabric-based sensors are currently available on the market. Where polymeric sensors are too rigid or bulky for seamless garments, commercial sensors require careful attachment to clothing. The benefit of such commercial wearable technologies is that they are not only sensitive to standard biomechanical parameters, but also user-friendly and widely available [21, 22].

Case Studies

The widespread use of wearable technologies is relatively new across most sports and health and fitness applications at the grassroots and elite levels. A similar trend is evident in some team games, fighting sports, and endurance events across Europe. These developments have been federally regulated—and in some cases, restricted or banned entirely—by a given sport's international governing body due to concerns around fair play, athlete/competitor welfare, health, and data privacy. For example, competitor/athlete use of technologies providing real-time biometrics, athlete tracking, decision aids, and a wide range of sporting events officiating and player support technologies has been not permitted or allowed only under specific conditions in archery, athletics, badminton, baseball, basketball, boxing, cricket, equestrian sports, formula racing, football, golf, ice hockey, modern pentathlon, racquet sports, rugby union, sailing, skiing, snooker, swimming, table tennis, and tennis, among others. To this end, the burgeoning use of wearable technologies incorporates miniature sensor/instrument clusters, processing hardware, and machine learning/AI systems to surveil athletes and disclose an athlete's biometric and psychometric state in, and propensity for, injury, overtraining, and/or underperformance. There is ongoing debate about the validity and appropriateness of the information revealed by wearables on individuals/stratified groups of athletes of differing race, gender, training status, and/or experience, the consequences for athlete welfare, and its potential use in optimizing athletic performance/exploiting competitive advantage. Debate also exists on the need for integrated sensor systems to normalize and calibrate biometric signals before displaying them in real-time in a sporting context. These issues require urgent attention from scientists to inform sport regulation/federation considerations of how to safeguard fair play, athlete health and welfare, data privacy, and equitable access/operation in elite, coached sport [23, 24].

Ethical Considerations

The emergence of wearable technologies for performance monitoring in athletes raises a host of ethical challenges. Performance-monitoring technologies are set to revolutionise athletes' training and performance in elite sport. Already in a matter of years, these technologies have moved from being rudimentary data collection devices to sophisticated systems aimed at monitoring, analysing,

transmitting, and/or receiving data. The burgeoning acceptance and use of these bio-wearables interrogate the notions of data ownership, data privacy, data collection and usage, the notion of 'consent', and the principles of protecting athletes from harm and irresponsible use of data and technology. Only a small minority of elite sporting rules and regulations currently address considerations around safety issues and the use of technology during training and competitions in relation to data management. All sporting codes will need to address these issues in the next few years to ensure a more level playing field and to protect athletes from the exploitation of their data by other parties. It should be considered whether rules and regulations should prohibit competing parties from accessing athlete data and knowledge not intrinsically owned, developed, and transmitted by the individual athlete. Why are the current governing sporting authorities lagging behind sports technology? Have their limits on what is permissible in sporting competition and what is considered outside of competition changed in the light of this change? Notably, while one might be able to access certain technologies for training, the interpretation of the rules around those technologies for performances on the pitch would vary from code to code. There lies a moral responsibility for sporting governing authorities to ensure that the integrity of competitions is not compromised and that technologies are employed with the spirit, principles, and intent of sport in mind [25, 26].

CONCLUSION

Wearable technology is revolutionizing athletic performance monitoring by offering dynamic, real-time insights into an athlete's physical and physiological state. From optimizing training loads to detecting early signs of injury or fatigue, wearables have become indispensable in modern sports science. However, despite these advantages, challenges related to data accuracy, ethical use, and regulatory governance persist. As wearable devices become more integrated into elite and amateur sports, stakeholders—including athletes, coaches, medical personnel, and regulatory bodies—must prioritize transparency, standardization, and privacy. Moving forward, the development of universally accepted standards and ethical guidelines, alongside continued innovation in sensor technology and data analytics, will be critical in ensuring that wearable technology serves to enhance rather than hinder athletic potential.

REFERENCES

1. Guppy F, Muniz-Pardos B, Angeloudis K, Grivas GV, Pitsiladis A, Bundy R, Zelenkova I, Tanisawa K, Akiyama H, Keramitsoglou I, Miller M. Technology innovation and Guardrails in elite sport: the future is now. *Sports Medicine*. 2023 Dec;53(Suppl 1):97-113.
2. Adesida Y, Papi E, McGregor AH. Exploring the role of wearable technology in sport kinematics and kinetics: A systematic review. *Sensors*. 2019 Apr 2;19(7):1597.
3. Migliaccio GM, Padulo J, Russo L. The impact of wearable technologies on marginal gains in sports performance: An integrative overview on advances in sports, exercise, and health. *Applied Sciences*. 2024 Jul 30;14(15):6649.
4. Romagnoli S, Ripanti F, Morettini M, Burattini L, Sbröllini A. Wearable and portable devices for acquisition of cardiac signals while practicing sport: a scoping review. *Sensors*. 2023 Mar 22;23(6):3350. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/s23063350)
5. Subramaniam S, Majumder S, Faisal AI, Deen MJ. Insole-based systems for health monitoring: Current solutions and research challenges. *Sensors*. 2022 Jan 7;22(2):438.
6. Stuart T, Hanna J, Gutruf P. Wearable devices for continuous monitoring of biosignals: Challenges and opportunities. *APL bioengineering*. 2022 Jun 1;6(2).
7. Guppy F, Muniz-Pardos B, Angeloudis K, Grivas GV, Pitsiladis A, Bundy R, Zelenkova I, Tanisawa K, Akiyama H, Keramitsoglou I, Miller M. Technology innovation and Guardrails in elite sport: the future is now. *Sports Medicine*. 2023 Dec;53(Suppl 1):97-113.
8. Ash GI, Stults-Kolehmainen M, Busa MA, Gaffey AE, Angeloudis K, Muniz-Pardos B, Gregory R, Huggins RA, Redeker NS, Weinzimer SA, Grieco LA. Establishing a global standard for wearable devices in sport and exercise medicine: perspectives from academic and industry stakeholders. *Sports Medicine*. 2021 Nov;51(11):2237-50.
9. Staunton CA, Abt G, Weaving D, Wundersitz DW. Misuse of the term 'load' in sport and exercise science. *Journal of science and medicine in sport*. 2022 May 1;25(5):439-44. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2022.05.005)
10. Passfield L, Murias JM, Sacchetti M, Nicolò A. Validity of the training-load concept. *International journal of sports physiology and performance*. 2022 Mar 8;17(4):507-14. [humankinetics.com](https://doi.org/10.1177/1746134121102111)

11. Li B, Xu X. Application of artificial intelligence in basketball sport. *Journal of Education, Health and Sport*. 2021 Jul 8;11(7):54–67.
12. Akyildiz Z, Yildiz M, Clemente FM. The reliability and accuracy of Polar Team Pro GPS units. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part P: Journal of Sports Engineering and Technology*. 2022 Jun;236(2):83-9. [\[HTML\]](#)
13. Anikwe CV, Nweke HF, Ikegwu AC, Egwuonwu CA, Onu FU, Alo UR, Teh YW. Mobile and wearable sensors for data-driven health monitoring system: State-of-the-art and future prospect. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2022 Sep 15;202:117362. um.edu.my
14. Tariq MU. Advanced wearable medical devices and their role in transformative remote health monitoring. In *Transformative approaches to patient literacy and healthcare innovation 2024* (pp. 308-326). IGI Global. researchgate.net
15. Prakash C, Singh LP, Gupta A, Lohan SK. Advancements in smart farming: A comprehensive review of IoT, wireless communication, sensors, and hardware for agricultural automation. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*. 2023 Nov 1;362:114605. [\[HTML\]](#)
16. Greco L, Ritrovato P, Xhafa F. An edge-stream computing infrastructure for real-time analysis of wearable sensors data. *Future Generation Computer Systems*. 2019 Apr 1;93:515–28.
17. da Silva L. Wearable technology in sports monitoring performance and health metrics. *Revista De Psicologia Del Deporte (Journal of Sport Psychology)*. 2024 Jul 2;33(2):250-8. rpd-online.com
18. Seçkin AÇ, Ateş B, Seçkin M. Review on Wearable Technology in sports: Concepts, Challenges and opportunities. *Applied sciences*. 2023 Sep 17;13(18):10399.
19. Hong H, Dai L, Zheng X. Advances in Wearable Sensors for Learning Analytics: Trends, Challenges, and Prospects. *Sensors*. 2025 Apr 25;25(9):2714.
20. Ju F, Wang Y, Yin B, Zhao M, Zhang Y, Gong Y, Jiao C. Microfluidic wearable devices for sports applications. *Micromachines*. 2023 Sep 19;14(9):1792.
21. Berg MF, Døsvik H, Skjølsvik KØ, Pedersen TS, Aasan V, Steinert M, Eikevåg SW. Wireless sensor system for real-time performance monitoring in sports. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*. 2023 Nov 27;5:1305117.
22. Kos A, Tomažič S, Umek A. Affordable Wearable Devices with Low-Latency Wireless Communication. In *2023 IEEE AFRICON 2023 Sep 20* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
23. Shei RJ, Holder IG, Oumsang AS, Paris BA, Paris HL. Wearable activity trackers—advanced technology or advanced marketing?. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2022 Sep;122(9):1975-90. springer.com
24. Eitzen I, Renberg J, Færevik H. The use of wearable sensor technology to detect shock impacts in sports and occupational settings: A scoping review. *Sensors*. 2021 Jul 21;21(15):4962.
25. Oviedo-Caro MÁ, Sanchez-Trigo H. Special issue on innovative approaches in the implementation of technology in sports science and engineering. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part P: Journal of Sports Engineering and Technology*. 2024 Jun;238(2):115–6.
26. Suyudi I. The Digital Revolution in Sports: Analyzing the Impact of Information Technology on Athlete Training and Management. *Golden Ratio of Mapping Idea and Literature Format*. 2023 Jun 30;3(2):140–55.

CITE AS: Kato Jumba K. (2025). Wearable Technology for Performance Monitoring in Athletes. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH, 7(2):71-77
--