EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH
(EEJSAR) ISSN: 2992-4146
©EEJSAR Publications Volume 7 Issue 3 2025

Comparing the Effectiveness of Long-Lasting Insecticidal Nets versus Indoor Residual Spraying in Reducing Malaria Cases Among Children Under Five

Mugo Moses H.

School of Natural and Applied Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Malaria remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality among children under five, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa. Long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS) are two cornerstone vector control interventions recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) to mitigate malaria transmission. However, debate persists regarding their comparative effectiveness in reducing malaria cases among children under five. This review employed a narrative synthesis methodology to evaluate the efficacy, operational feasibility, and cost-effectiveness of LLINs and IRS in malaria prevention. LLINs function as both physical and chemical barriers, significantly reducing malaria incidence through widespread distribution and continuous mosquito control. However, factors such as insecticide resistance, net durability, and inconsistent usage limit their effectiveness. Conversely, IRS provides high efficacy in rapidly reducing vector populations and malaria prevalence, but challenges such as logistical constraints, high operational costs, and community acceptance hinder its scalability. Comparative analyses suggest that LLINs offer a more sustainable and cost-effective approach in most endemic regions, while IRS remains critical in high-transmission settings with pyrethroid-resistant mosquitoes. Integrated vector management (IVM), combining LLINs and IRS, may optimize malaria control outcomes. Strengthening research on novel insecticides and implementing context-specific interventions are essential for sustained malaria prevention among vulnerable children.

Keywords: Malaria prevention**,** Long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs)**,** Indoor residual spraying (IRS)**,** Vector control strategies**,** Childhood malaria reduction.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria remains one of the most significant global health challenges, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, where children under five bear the highest burden of morbidity and mortality [1, 2]. The disease, caused by *Plasmodium* species and transmitted through the bites of infected *Anopheles* mosquitoes, disproportionately affects young children due to their underdeveloped immunity [3, 4]. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends two primary vector control strategies to prevent malaria transmission: *long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs)* and *indoor residual spraying (IRS)*. Both interventions have contributed to substantial reductions in malaria incidence over the past two decades, yet debate persists regarding their comparative effectiveness, particularly in high-transmission settings.

LLINs function as physical and chemical barriers, repelling and killing mosquitoes that encounter the insecticide-treated fabric [5]. Their widespread distribution has been a cornerstone of malaria prevention programs, with studies demonstrating significant reductions in malaria-related deaths. However, challenges such as inconsistent usage, net durability, and insecticide resistance threaten their long-term efficacy.

IRS, on the other hand, involves applying insecticides to the interior walls of homes, killing mosquitoes that rest on treated surfaces post-feeding [6, 7]. IRS has shown high efficacy in rapidly reducing mosquito populations,

particularly in areas with high household coverage. Yet, logistical constraints, high operational costs, and the emergence of insecticide-resistant mosquito strains have limited its scalability.

The comparative effectiveness of LLINs and IRS in protecting children under five, a highly vulnerable group, remains a critical research gap. While some studies suggest that IRS may provide superior protection in certain epidemiological settings, others argue that LLINs offer more sustainable and cost-effective prevention. This review synthesizes current evidence on the efficacy, operational feasibility, and cost-effectiveness of LLINs versus IRS in reducing malaria cases among children under five. By evaluating key randomized controlled trials (RCTs), longitudinal studies, and meta-analyses, this article aims to inform policy decisions and optimize malaria control strategies in endemic regions.

Malaria and its Impact on Children Under Five

Malaria disproportionately affects children under five due to their immature immune systems, making them more susceptible to severe malaria, anemia, and death. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that children in this age group account for over 75% of malaria-related deaths worldwide [8]. Severe malaria in young children often manifests as cerebral malaria, respiratory distress, and hypoglycemia, increasing the risk of long-term neurological impairments and developmental delays.

In endemic regions, repeated malaria infections contribute to chronic anemia, malnutrition, and increased susceptibility to other infectious diseases. The economic burden on households is also considerable, as caregivers must frequently seek medical attention, leading to increased out-of-pocket expenditures and loss of productivity. Given these challenges, effective malaria control measures specifically targeting children under five are essential to reducing malaria morbidity and mortality.

Long-Lasting Insecticidal Nets (LLINs): Mechanism and Effectiveness

LLINs are designed to provide a physical barrier against mosquito bites while delivering a continuous dose of insecticide to kill or repel mosquitoes [9, 10]. The insecticides used in LLINs, primarily pyrethroids, have demonstrated effectiveness in reducing malaria transmission. Unlike conventional mosquito nets, LLINs retain their insecticidal properties for at least three years, even after repeated washing, making them a cost-effective and sustainable intervention.

Impact on Malaria Reduction Among Children Under Five

Studies have shown that LLINs significantly reduce malaria incidence in children under five by preventing mosquito bites and reducing mosquito populations. In large-scale trials, LLINs have been associated with a 50% reduction in malaria episodes and a 20% decrease in child mortality in malaria-endemic regions [11, 12]. The widespread distribution of LLINs has been a cornerstone of malaria control efforts, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, where mass campaigns ensure high coverage.

Factors Affecting LLIN Effectiveness

While LLINs are effective in malaria prevention, their success depends on several factors:

i. **Adherence and Proper Usage:** The effectiveness of LLINs is contingent on consistent and correct use. Studies indicate that in some settings, non-compliance with LLIN use, especially during hot seasons, reduces their protective benefits [13, 14].

ii. **Insecticide Resistance:** The increasing resistance of *Anopheles* mosquitoes to pyrethroids threatens the efficacy of LLINs. This necessitates the development of nets treated with new insecticides or combination insecticides to maintain effectiveness.

iii. **Wear and Tear:** Over time, LLINs become damaged, reducing their efficacy. Regular monitoring and replacement are essential to sustaining protective benefits.

Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS): Mechanism and Effectiveness

IRS involves the application of residual insecticides to the interior walls of homes, killing mosquitoes that encounter treated surfaces. IRS has been a central component of malaria control programs, particularly in high-transmission areas, where it disrupts mosquito breeding cycles and reduces vector density.

Impact on Malaria Reduction Among Children Under Five

Evidence suggests that IRS significantly reduces malaria transmission, particularly in areas with high malaria burden and where LLIN coverage is suboptimal. Studies have demonstrated a 70% reduction in malaria prevalence among children in communities with consistent IRS application [15]. IRS has been particularly effective in epidemic-prone areas, providing rapid control of malaria outbreaks.

Factors Affecting IRS Effectiveness

While IRS is an effective malaria control measure, its success is influenced by:

i. **Insecticide Resistance:** The efficacy of IRS is challenged by mosquito resistance to commonly used insecticides, necessitating periodic insecticide rotation.
ii. **Community Acceptance:** Some households may resist IRS due to concerns about chemical exposure or cultural beliefs, affecting intervention coverage.
iii. **Operational Costs and Logistics:** IRS requires trained personnel, infrastructure for insecticide storage, and regular re-application (every 4-6 months), making it resource intensive.

Comparative Analysis of LLINs and IRS in Malaria Control

i. **Coverage and Accessibility:** LLINs have the advantage of being easily distributed through mass campaigns, allowing widespread coverage [16]. In contrast, IRS implementation is logistically complex and requires household compliance, making it less accessible in some areas.
ii. **Adherence and Sustainability:** LLINs require user compliance for effectiveness, whereas IRS provides passive protection without behavioral reliance. However, the need for regular IRS re-application increases the risk of intervention lapses.
iii. **Cost-Effectiveness:** LLINs are generally more cost-effective than IRS due to their lower implementation and maintenance costs. While IRS may be effective in high-transmission settings, its recurrent operational costs pose financial constraints for long-term sustainability.

Challenges in Implementing LLINs and IRS

Both LLINs and IRS face implementation challenges, including:

i. **Mosquito Resistance to Insecticides:** The emergence of insecticide resistance necessitates new control strategies and the development of alternative insecticidal compounds.
ii. **Climate and Environmental Factors:** Seasonal variations affect mosquito breeding patterns, influencing the effectiveness of LLINs and IRS [17].
iii. **Sociocultural Barriers:** Myths and misconceptions about insecticide safety hinder acceptance of IRS, while improper use of LLINs reduces their efficacy.

Policy Implications and Recommendations

To maximize the impact of malaria control efforts, a combined approach integrating LLINs and IRS may be necessary in high-burden areas. Key recommendations include:

i. **Integrated Vector Management (IVM):** Combining LLINs, IRS, and other malaria interventions such as larval source management can enhance effectiveness.
ii. **Innovative Insecticide Development:** Research into new insecticides and insecticide-treated nets with dual-action properties can mitigate resistance challenges.
iii. **Community Engagement and Education:** Raising awareness about malaria prevention and promoting proper LLIN use, and IRS acceptance are crucial for intervention success.
iv. **Sustainable Funding and Policy Support:** Governments and international donors should invest in long-term malaria control strategies to ensure intervention sustainability.

CONCLUSION

The debate between LLINs and IRS for malaria prevention in children under five does not yield a one-size-fits-all answer. Evidence suggests that LLINs remain the most cost-effective and scalable intervention in most endemic regions, particularly were logistical constraints limit IRS implementation. However, IRS demonstrates superior efficacy in high-transmission zones with pyrethroid resistance, justifying its use in targeted settings.

Future strategies should consider integrated approaches, combining LLINs with periodic IRS in resistance-prone areas, while investing in next-generation insecticides and durable net technologies. Policymakers must prioritize context-specific deployment, guided by local mosquito behavior, resistance patterns, and operational feasibility.

Ultimately, sustaining malaria control gains requires adaptive, data-driven strategies that leverage the strengths of both interventions while mitigating their limitations. Continued research on novel vector control tools such as dual-active ingredient nets and spatial repellents will further enhance prevention efforts. Until then, maximizing coverage of either LLINs or IRS, based on regional needs, remains critical to protecting vulnerable children under five from malaria's devastating impact.

REFERENCES

1. Alum, E.U., Tufail, T., Agu, P.C., Akinloye, D.I., Obaroh, I.O.: Malaria pervasiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa: Overcoming the scuffle. Medicine. 103, e40241 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000040241

2. Alum, E.U., Ugwu, O.P.-C., Egba, S.I., Uti, D.E., Alum, B.N.: Climate Variability and Malaria Transmission: Unraveling the Complex Relationship. INOSR Scientific Research. 11, 16–22 (2024). https://doi.org/10.59298/INOSRSR/2024/1.1.21622

3. Erisa, K., Okechukwu, U., Alum, E.U.: Exploration of Medicinal Plants Used in the Management of Malaria in Uganda.

4. Ogbonnia Egwu, C., Aloke, C., Chukwu, J., Agwu, A., Tsamesidis, I., M Aja, P., E Offor, C., Ajuka Obasi, N.: A world free of malaria: It is time for Africa to actively champion and take leadership of elimination and eradication strategies. Afr Health Sci. 22, 627–640 (2022). https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v22i4.68

5. Tungu, P.: Evolution and evaluation of long-lasting treated nets: from long-lasting insecticide treatment kits to dual active ingredient LLINs to control resistant mosquitoes. (2024). https://doi.org/10.17037/PUBS.04672668

6. Chadee, D.D.: Resting behaviour of Aedes aegypti in Trinidad: With evidence for the re-introduction of indoor residual spraying (IRS) for dengue control. Parasit Vectors. 6, 1–6 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-255/FIGURES/2

7. Alum, E. U. Phytochemicals in Malaria Treatment: Mechanisms of Action and Clinical Efficacy. KIU J. Health Sci.,2024; 4(2):71-84. https://doi.org/10.59568/KJHS-2024-4-2-06.

8. Okell, L.C., Paintain, L.S., Webster, J., Hanson, K., Lines, J.: From intervention to impact: Modelling the potential mortality impact achievable by different long-lasting, insecticide-treated net delivery strategies. Malar J. 11, 1–13 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-11-327/FIGURES/5

9. Paaijmans, K.P., Huijben, S.: Taking the "I" out of LLINs: Using insecticides in vector control tools other than long-lasting nets to fight malaria. Malar J. 19, 1–6 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/S12936-020-3151-X/FIGURES/1

10. Francis, U., Ogbonna, S.: Why the World Health Organization should reconsider long lasting insecticide nets (LLIN) and indoor residual spraying (IRS) in primary mosquito/malaria control in favour of house screening. Bio-Research. 21, 1789–1804 (2023). https://doi.org/10.4314/BR.V21I1.3

11. Dhiman, S., Veer, V.: Culminating anti-malaria efforts at long lasting insecticidal net? J Infect Public Health. 7, 457–464 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JIPH.2014.06.002

12. Deressa, W., Loha, E., Balkew, M., Hailu, A., Gari, T., Kenea, O., Overgaard, H.J., Gebremichael, T., Robberstad, B., Lindtjørn, B.: Combining long-lasting insecticidal nets and indoor residual spraying for malaria prevention in Ethiopia: Study protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial. Trials. 17, 1–16 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1186/S13063-016-1154-2/TABLES/1

13. Debroy, S., Prosper, O., Mishoe, A., Mubayi, A.: Challenges in modeling complexity of neglected tropical diseases: A review of dynamics of visceral leishmaniasis in resource limited settings. Emerg Themes Epidemiol. 14, 1–14 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1186/S12982-017-0065-3/FIGURES/3

14. ADEGBORE, A.K.: Misuse Of Insecticide Treated Net Among Household Heads In Apete Community Of Ido Local Government Area, Ibadan, Oyo State. (2016)

15. Echodu, D.C., Yeka, A., Eganyu, T., Odude, W., Bukenya, F., Amoah, B., Wanzira, H., Colborn, K., Elliott, R.C., Powell, S.E., Kilama, M., Mulebeke, R., Nankabirwa, J., Giorgi, E., Roskosky, M., Omoding, O., Gonahasa, S.,

Opigo, J.: Impact of population based indoor residual spraying with and without mass drug administration with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine on malaria prevalence in a high transmission setting: a quasi-experimental controlled before-and-after trial in northeastern Uganda. BMC Infect Dis. 23, 72 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/S12879-023-07991-W/FIGURES/7

16. Nalinya, S., Musoke, D., Deane, K.: Malaria prevention interventions beyond long-lasting insecticidal nets and indoor residual spraying in low- and middle-income countries: a scoping review. Malar J. 21, 1–13 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/S12936-022-04052-6/METRICS

17. Ossè, R.A., Aïkpon, R., Gbédjissi, G.L., Gnanguenon, V., Sèzonlin, M., Govoétchan, R., Sovi, A., Oussou, O., Oké-Agbo, F., Akogbéto, M.: A shift from Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS) with bendiocarb to Long-Lasting Insecticidal (mosquito) Nets (LLINs) associated with changes in malaria transmission indicators in pyrethroid resistance areas in Benin. Parasit Vectors. 6, 1–12 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-73/TABLES/

CITE AS: Mugo Moses H. (2025). Comparing the Effectiveness of Long-Lasting Insecticidal Nets versus Indoor Residual Spraying in Reducing Malaria Cases Among Children Under Five. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH, 7(3):89-93