Page | 21

# **Communication Strategies for Influencing Legal Policy**

# **Ahairwe Frank**

Faculty of Business Administration and Management Kampala International University Uganda Email: ahairwefrank56@gmail.com

### **ABSTRACT**

Effective communication is foundational to shaping and reforming legal policy. This paper examines multi-dimensional strategies for influencing legal frameworks through advocacy, stakeholder engagement, cognitive theories, and media integration. The legal system's inherent complexity and its impact on access and comprehension underscore the necessity of inclusive communication strategies. Stakeholders, from public interest groups to policymakers and media organizations, play critical roles in framing legal discourse. The paper investigates how cognitive-communication theories, stakeholder typologies, and strategic messaging affect legal policy outcomes. It further examines the rise of social media as a transformative tool for advocacy and how coalitions and public engagement amplify legal reform efforts. Through case studies such as South Korea's tobacco control legislation, the study demonstrates how coordinated messaging, stakeholder alignment, and media engagement lead to significant policy shifts. Ultimately, this paper offers a comprehensive framework for legal advocates aiming to influence systemic change through targeted, evidence-based, and participatory communication strategies.

**Keywords:** Legal policy communication, advocacy strategies, stakeholder engagement, legal cognition, media influence, social media advocacy, coalition building.

#### INTRODUCTION

The law significantly influences how individuals' access, understand, and engage with legal information, affecting both social and legal contexts. It frames communication as transactional, overlooking how legal systems may strain cognitive resources vital for previous or future interactions. Despite efforts to assist litigants, many feel they lack a fair chance to present their cases, resulting in linguistic inequality and misalignment, often perceived as racism against juvenile litigants. A litigant's narrative structure can influence judicial interpretation, while the types of options presented can affect liability outcomes. Less common eastern formulations regarding legal questions often attribute liability to rule non-compliance. Additionally, specific regulations addressing systemic risks and undisclosed issues faced by financial regulators have drastically influenced the systemic landscape. Recognizing the immense numbers associated with potential collapses complicates the understanding of risk. Interventions assume that establishing rules can address systemic risks, but to effectively address these challenges, any mitigation strategy must consider the role of humor and mutual agreement in organizational structures [1, 2].

## **Understanding Legal Frameworks**

Effective communication strategies are vital for advocacy to influence legal policy. Advocacy is a planned process using information, persuasion, and negotiation to build support and change policies affecting public health. The first step is identifying the issue, which may involve broadening the topic initially before narrowing it to specific concerns. Facilitators may assist by creating a prioritized list through discussion or voting, ultimately articulating the issue in one clear sentence for broader understanding. Four policy goals should guide the advocacy efforts: create awareness about the problem, present viable options for assessment (potentially through conferences with experts), convince policymakers to act on selected options, and monitor compliance and effectiveness regarding tobacco use and health impacts. The

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

plan must clarify who needs information, what they should know, and the best communication methods. Additionally, it should outline priority actions, identify technical assistance and funding needs, and set broad indicators for monitoring progress. This monitoring will evaluate whether objectives are met and should contribute to the advocacy process. Reporting to key decision-makers is essential for accountability, assessing the advocacy's ability to generate interest and effectively communicate the issue locally and nationally [3, 4].

# The Role of Stakeholders in Legal Policy

Stakeholders actively engage in diverse activities to influence legal policy, sometimes sharing conflicting interests and values. The dynamics of stakeholder involvement in the framing process at various stages of legal policy deliberation are critical for law enactment and reform processes. The establishment of the National Assembly in 1948 led to a shift in power from colonial rule to parliamentary democracy, geared towards political independence, national security, and economic growth based on the majority rule. However, most legislation was enacted through executive proposals in the early years of parliamentary governance. In this regard, the explosive growth of public interest advocacy groups in the 1990s expanded the public realm for issues like environmental protection and public health. In tandem, they became paramount stakeholders, competing with the executive for policy initiatives and agenda-setting powers. Despite being pressed to intervene in smoking-related lawsuits in 1990, the government failed to protect public health adequately from the indiscriminate sale of tobacco products. Consequently, the antismoking movement burgeoned. With a novel strategy from the mid-1990s, diverse stakeholders coherently framed anti-smoking policy proposals in a multimedia context, exerting a collective influence that ultimately resulted in the world's most stringent tobacco control law. In light of theories of interactive framing, communicative interaction, and policy network analysis, this study develops a typological framework to categorize stakeholders and then reconstructs the historical sequence of framing processes utilizing qualitative and quantitative sources. As illustrated in the framing process of the South Korean anti-smoking policy case, stakeholder grouping, issue framing, and communicative interaction are critical in influencing policy legitimacy and public opinion. Notably, the policy was characterized by a relatively weak action structure due to competition over ownership and communication structure [5, 6].

## **Communication Theories in Legal Contexts**

Cognitive theories significantly impact legal communication, detailing how thought processes influence behavior and outcomes. These theories highlight the cognitive limits affecting communication in legal contexts, particularly how these limits impact the observation and usage of legal standards. They comprise various cognitive-communication function categories, some directly related to legal events, while others support processing regulation. A key subset examines the cognitive-communication model, focusing on how individual cognitive resources coexist with limits, and analyzing characteristics of resource allocation and leverage. Additionally, theories explore trade-offs between cognitive demands and resources, along with how structural factors shape communication flow and relevance. Causal beliefs about communication influence legal interactions, with regional legal customs and normative beliefs affecting government interpretations and applications of law. Persuasion theories and strategies are essential for understanding the influence of legal beliefs and for shaping communication efforts. These theories explain how individuals and groups organize and label information, while communication expectancy theories elucidate how expectations within legal cases affect persuasion attempts. Consequently, legal influence strategies emerge from these theories, identifying methods to shape jury perceptions, modify information, and regulate legal conduct. [7, 8].

# **Strategies For Effective Advocacy**

For lobbyists who are heavily armed with evidence and research but may have less political or media savvy, it may be helpful to hire or work with a strategist merely to recommend strategies to accomplish the goals. Hiring a PR firm to handle all aspects of the communication can backfire, both in terms of loss of control and wasted time. A PR firm or political consultant with experience in legal strategies generally recommends a careful, staged approach to advocacy. It may be more advantageous to reach out to journalists working on articles about the courts, laws, judges, or lawyers and send them case law research than to do an immediate blast of press releases and backgrounders about particular cases. Effective advocates may make op-eds or letters to the editor and then back them up or give them coverage with interviews or credible witnesses. Transmit general knowledge to minority journalists, ethics experts, and (usually in combination with something else) members of the courts, legislation, or bar; the lobbyist must

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium,

provided the original work is properly cited

consider to whom and how to transmit the information to evoke interest; what potential needs or goals of their own might they be hoping to satisfy with the information? If all or a lot of them become interested, how to maximize further interest? If the governmental decision or pending legislation is hostile, a good way to generate discussion is to transmit advance knowledge of that. If there are pending nominations (bar associations, courts, legislatures, sentencing commissions, executive positions, etc.), a good time to begin discussions is right after the discovery of a candidate's name. Conversely, a threat to slow or block a candidate may encourage other people who are less congenial to your views to interest themselves in the matter. Or, ideally, a wide distribution can enable various discussion partners to handle parts of it individually (e.g., jurisdictions themselves in the case of a statute) [9, 107].

## Media's Influence on Legal Policy

Lawyers have long recognized that their persuasiveness thrives when aligned with public sentiment. Traditionally, law firms and public interest lawyers engaged in public education, but over the last decade, advocacy groups have embraced social media's potential. This has transformed litigation and social media activism into a formidable combination, granting lawyers a robust platform for effective client advocacy. Such efforts tend to address broad societal and cultural issues, complicating litigants' attempts at similar advocacy without seeming self-serving. Social media has shifted this dynamic, enabling participation in advocacy groups to frame efforts as a public good. Marginalized individuals, like alleged criminals or undocumented individuals, often hesitate to voice their challenges publicly. Engaging with broader advocacy allows them to partake in societal discourse that might otherwise be inaccessible. Media-savvy groups can ensure fair representation of causes, leveraging resources and numbers for effective advocacy. This generalist approach can also bolster the social climate before litigation, potentially influencing judicial perspectives. For instance, challenges against unconstitutional same-sex marriage bans likely gained momentum from social media campaigns promoting acceptance. Lawyers and firms hold significant leeway to engage in advocacy while navigating client sensitivities. If clients fear public exposure, attorneys can facilitate their participation as event chairs or spokespeople, allowing them to share their narratives safely. This strategy enables lawyers to highlight pressing issues like juvenile justice reform and funding cuts to public defenders, thus advocating for meaningful change and mobilizing public support [11, 12].

## **Utilizing Social Media for Advocacy**

Social pressures influence voters' beliefs about what policies to support, regardless of their preferences. Social media use is serially related to experiences with online communications that facilitate legal development. Efforts should be made to ensure that moral discourse remains the basis of legal arguments in the public sphere. Human sacrifice is a form and method that allows humanitarian discourse to be audible outside the reduced ambit of legal reasoning. Social media campaigns are characterized by a heavy use of hashtags, posting original content at any time of day, with verbatim messages, and tracks that vary from day to day; posts themselves are rarely repeated. Social media strategists blend marketing expertise with an understanding of the legal and social landscape. Post-event goal setting and reflection should focus on the public's response to social media posts and lateral uses of social media. Attacks from adversarial groups can influence the overall messaging. An affirmative social media presence can preempt and redirect discourse, but messages shared late and far in advance of events may go unnoticed. Social media should be used with caution. It is not a substitute, but rather, an amplifier. It is an optional, enforceable option, unlikely to be ignored, and takes place above all in the public sphere. Determinations about what issues to focus on and how to frame them are political choices that inform citizenship. Online advocates of law reform working under a pseudonymous handle report that it protects the creation and preservation of networks while safely positioning them to influence political processes. Online or off, intermediaries are a reality of political discourse and the nature of current laws. Social media can hearken back, recast, and replay events bound by fear, language, or distance. The punditocracy can make nonexperts into inadvertent public intellectuals. It enables dissent on state secrets, unopposed lifestyles, or disenfranchised majorities. The articulation of new policies can be offered in exchange for contributions made on blogs and wikis. Victories can expand to encompass media coverage and polling numbers [13, 147.

# **Building Coalitions for Policy Change**

Coalitions consist of individuals or organizations working together to advocate for or against policy changes for specific issues. They enhance advocacy efforts by increasing collective resources such as time, money, knowledge, connections, and credibility. With cohesive messaging, coalition members are more

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

likely to gain the attention of politicians and policymakers. Establishing shared values and priorities is crucial, as well as building support from influential stakeholders. Understanding the local policymaking and priorities strengthens collaboration and influences decisions. Highlighting past successes can boost confidence in the coalition. Recognizing the knowledge of partner decision-makers can foster productive interactions. Coalition members should value each other's time, ensuring that the exchanged information is concise and organized. Research findings demonstrated through experience can be particularly valuable. In 2008, innovative asset-building policies included enhancing family asset initiatives, improving financial education, forming cross-sector partnerships, targeting government entities, gathering data on existing asset initiatives, and addressing issues with proportionality. Participants met in smaller groups to brainstorm state-level asset-building policies, revealing a trend among states to focus on governmental responsibility for asset-building and economic security initiatives [15, 16].

## **Public Engagement Techniques**

Engaging the public in health policy issues informs policymakers about health problems and public priorities, fostering accountability in traditionally closed systems. Techniques for engagement include public consultations, citizen juries, polls, roundtables, town halls, and interactive community theatre, with choices depending on the issue size and audience. In many nations, including Canada, the lack of a public engagement tradition poses challenges but also opportunities. Identifying interested individuals and organizations is crucial, ensuring diverse perspectives, including those from marginalized groups. Hiring a consultant with expertise in public engagement and knowledge of health issues may be necessary. The engagement strategy involves steps such as framing the issue, designing the process, selecting techniques, gathering input, analyzing feedback, and sharing findings. Framing the issue should consider its controversy, societal values, scope, policy influence level, and timing. Involving membership organizations can broaden the audience, and the process must clarify how feedback will impact decisions. Various techniques should be used to engage more people at different levels through both small group discussions and large public forums, allowing for substantive deliberation and deeper discussions of viewpoints [17, 18].

### **Crafting Persuasive Messages**

Influence is essential to advocacy in various fields such as political campaigns, social activism, marketing, and public relations. Advocates aim to sway decisions, thoughts, and beliefs, employing strategies to elicit support for their propositions. Although desired results may vary, the methods for achieving them often share similarities. Numerous persuasion models exist, typically focused on advocacy and advertising contexts rather than legal ones. In legal communication, advocates must understand how audiences process messages, as this decision-making process is deeply linked to outcomes. Influencing this process can directly affect results, making it as vital as crafting legal arguments. The decision-making process includes the audience's willingness to agree with the presented propositions, which can differ primarily in two ways: centrality and decision-making routes. Centrality signifies whether the decision-maker engages in critical thought regarding the propositions or processes information thoughtfully. In extreme cases, recipients might assess messages even while believing in their validity, indicating varying levels of certainty from careful analysis to uncritical acceptance of new ideas, making centrality a nuanced aspect of decision-making [19, 20].

## Legislative Lobbying Techniques

Once legislation is drafted, the next step is securing its introduction by a legislator. To achieve this, advocates must assess specific legislators' stakes in the issue, employing targeted lobbying to educate them about the bill. It's crucial to identify legislators who can lead the preparation of new legislation. Effective communication strategies depend on understanding pending matters and legislative priorities. Early engagement with the primary author of any proposed amendments and their committee chair is vital to prevent rejection. The early identification of lobbying targets is essential since opposing amendments can jeopardize a carefully crafted measure. Advocates should share the legislative agenda before a session starts, ensuring that challenges do not surprise or divide them, and enabling common strategies to defend against anticipated attacks. Policy disagreements should be acknowledged and examined publicly, while conflicts over the legislative process may center on who should legislate, potentially distracting from substantive discussions. Such controversies can attract media attention, risking delays in addressing key issues. A direct litigation approach to counter lobbying challenges is generally inadvisable; instead, advocates should emphasize citizens' rights to lobby, outline the public

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

benefits of the proposed measure, and highlight the legislative process's notice and review periods [21, 22].

## Case Studies of Successful Legal Policy Influence

There is a substantial amount of literature examining organizations successful in influencing legal policy, summarized below. Oxfam stands out as an older, more diverse agency focused on advocacy for the marginalized. Its Global Partnership Policy Team, unlike those of other agencies, is large and composed of dedicated advocates who track legal policy processes, provide guidance, and help implement initiatives within Oxfam's Global Advocacy Strategy. Oxfam is committed to supporting advocacy, especially in developing countries, partnering with individuals vulnerable to economic loss from certain policies, which enhances its legitimacy and strength. However, all Oxfam agencies acknowledge a lack of a clear theory of change regarding their influence on legal policy. While Oxfam believes that many hypotheses driving initiatives are reasonable, there's a concern that some successful processes are overlooked. Oxfam Canada, however, is analyzing over 100 successful legal and regulatory policy cases to deduce validated hypotheses about their successes and failures. Similarly, around 15 larger development agencies also engage in advocacy, particularly with local partners, but development is now less clearly viewed as a public good. It increasingly seems to be an attempt by wealthier nations to mitigate the negative impacts of unregulated resource exploitation. Consequently, three of these agencies are seriously contemplating ending their advocacy efforts [23, 24].

## The Ethics of Communication in Legal Advocacy

Legal advocacy involves numerous ethical challenges. Advocates must assess their expertise in communicating causes to the public. Studies indicate that attorney-led advocacy can significantly shape public opinion and policy decisions. However, it is erroneous to assume that a lawyer's voice inherently represents their cause or that they are adept at articulating policy arguments. Engaging in public advocacy can inadvertently harm a client's interests, prompting lawyers to carefully consider their approach before adopting more aggressive strategies. Research shows that media coverage of legal issues is often manipulated, potentially misrepresenting crucial legal distinctions. Effective mass media advocacy may require lawyers to call for fundamental reforms in the legal landscape. Nonetheless, attorney-led campaigns risk distortions, oversimplified narratives, unequal advocacy representation, and limitations in recognizing the applicability and impact of legal policies. The effectiveness of legal advocacy in shaping public policy discussions often relies on the visual elements provided by the media. Lawyers should be cognizant of their limitations in these environments and proceed cautiously with public statements. Their expertise is primarily in legal matters, and there are inherent intellectual constraints within public advocacy. This raises ethical concerns regarding lawyers assuming speaker roles in public discussions. Advocacy efforts must be meticulously designed and benefit from collaboration with multidisciplinary teams advocating for a client's interests. Excluding lawyers from public discourse could alienate critical audiences from the cause. While lawyers might gain from public advocacy, such engagement could also contribute to societal detriments, necessitating a balance between personal advantages and public responsibilities [25, 26].

# **Challenges in Influencing Legal Policy**

Though exerting influence on legal policy decisions in states may at first seem outside the capacities of the professional scholar, there are nonetheless ways to influence the framing of legal policy issues and to develop policies that will be considered better than others if included in the agenda. There are also limitations. Even if a communication strategy is undertaken along optimal lines, certain types of issues and expectations must be abandoned. Designing and implementing an effective communication strategy requires the rigorous application of several disciplines and the talents of specialists. This is the first of a series intended to present general guidelines for those who wish to undertake this task, and it will concentrate on the first two of ten critical questions. There is an anachronistic view of states, law, lawyers, and courts. While this view remains prevalent among historians and scholars alike, it fails to illuminate the realities of state behavior and decision-making, albeit at the cost of an ability to imitate the rhetoric that underlies the worldview. There is also the requisite sophistication to transcend this view. Law is not a body of solid, predetermined propositions resting upon any particularly accepted set of social facts and ultimately crystallized in the canons of an epistemology. This view of legal policy is not only inaccurate concerning the constitution of legal thought and practical reason; it also entails the mistaken presumption that states have monopolies over discourse defining and creating the parameters of legal policy. It may seem obvious to those who work primarily in law that legal policy is subject to dramatically

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

more influence and negotiation than is constitutional policy. Therefore, no matter how certain it may seem to others that they operate only at and before governments, the possibility of matters other than law encroaching upon legally defined matters cannot be ruled out. While legal policy is less legally insulated than constitutional policy (and less insulated in extra-legal terms than either, at least among the main players), that does not imply that it is unembattled ground that anyone with provocative ideas can intrude. States do legal policy and control the legal policy process. Everyone else must realize that they operate only at the margins of engagement [27, 28].

## **Evaluating The Impact of Communication Strategies**

To evaluate the impact of communication strategies on legal policy outcomes, semi-structured interviews will be conducted with key Canberra-based stakeholders and groups, including Members and Senators, Former Parliamentary Officers, Political Advisers, Media Executives, and Public Policy Journalists. The interviews will explore the meanings behind participants' actions, beliefs, and motivations, focusing on how stakeholders shape perceptions and discourse concerning the legislative initiative, the effects of these strategies on policy outcomes, and potential improvements in stakeholder behavior during the policy process. The analysis will identify communication strategies adopted to influence the legislative discourse and highlight the policy context and target stakeholder groups. This research will inform how stakeholders can strategically select communication methods that align with policy context analysis and enhance engagement to influence future legislative processes. Findings will be relevant globally, as the Australian legislative experience provides insights into reconnecting institutions with elected representatives and the public. A framework conceptualizing communication strategy as engagement avenues in policymaking calls for more research. Examining stakeholder responses to operator-centric strategies in various settings, as well as broad engagement in local agendas and addressing regulatory concerns, presents promising research avenues to reinvigorate stakeholder communication studies. This research reevaluates the communication strategies framework's relevance for understanding stakeholder impact on policy processes and highlights new opportunities for bridging gaps in theory and practice [29, 307.

#### CONCLUSION

The evolution of legal policy is not solely driven by legislative intent but is significantly shaped by how effectively issues are communicated to decision-makers, the public, and key stakeholders. This paper highlights the necessity of integrating diverse communication strategies from cognitive framing and stakeholder alignment to strategic media utilization to enhance the influence of legal advocacy. As demonstrated through historical and contemporary examples, effective legal communication transcends the courtroom and legislative halls, requiring coordination, public engagement, and the strategic use of platforms like social media. Coalition-building and the strategic crafting of persuasive messages tailored to specific audiences amplify advocacy efforts, fostering legitimacy and public trust. Ultimately, those seeking to influence legal policy must adopt an interdisciplinary, culturally attuned approach that balances evidence, emotion, and engagement to drive lasting legal and societal change.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Liu YL, Yan W, Hu B. Resistance to facial recognition payment in China: The influence of privacy-related factors. Telecommunications Policy. 2021 Jun 1;45(5):102155.
- 2. Kasri RA, Chaerunnisa SR. The role of knowledge, trust, and religiosity in explaining the online cash waqf amongst Muslim millennials. Journal of Islamic Marketing. 2022 Apr 22;13(6):1334-
- 4. Rieke RD, Stutman RK. Communication in legal advocacy. Univ of South Carolina Press; 2022 Mar 7
- 5. Erismann S, Pesantes MA, Beran D, Leuenberger A, Farnham A, Berger Gonzalez de White M, Labhardt ND, Tediosi F, Akweongo P, Kuwawenaruwa A, Zinsstag J. How to bring research evidence into policy? Synthesizing strategies of five research projects in low-and middle-income countries. Health Research Policy and Systems. 2021 Dec;19:1-3. <a href="mailto:springer.com">springer.com</a>
- 6. Obembe D, Kolade O, Obembe F, Owoseni A, Mafimisebi O. Covid-19 and the tourism industry: An early stage sentiment analysis of the impact of social media and stakeholder communication.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

- International Journal of Information Management Data Insights. 2021 Nov 1;1(2):100040.  $\underline{\text{sciencedirect.com}}$
- Rieke RD, Stutman RK. Communication in legal advocacy. Univ of South Carolina Press; 2022 Mar 7.
- 8. Roy S. Theory of social proof and legal compliance: a socio-cognitive explanation for regulatory (non) compliance. German Law Journal. 2021 Mar;22(2):238-55.
- 9. Ryoo Y. CSR as a buffer or backfire in brand transgressions: a motivated reasoning perspective. European Journal of Marketing. 2025 Jan 28;59(2):185-240.
- Cisila P, Verma GG. Disrupting Fairness: How DEI (Diversity Equity Inclusion) Backfire Challenges Meritocracy in Organizations. InProceedings of Sustainability, Entrepreneurship, Equity and Digital Strategies (SEEDS 2024) 2025 May 5 (pp. 64-86). Atlantis Press. <u>atlantis-press.com</u>
- 11. Riwanto A. Construction of Legal Culture Model for Corruption Prevention Through Social Media in Indonesia. Jurnal Hukum Dan Peradilan. 2022 Dec 1;11(3):385-404.
- 12. Akhila KP, John J. Deliberate democracy and the MeToo movement: Examining the impact of social media feminist discourses in India. InThe Routledge International Handbook of Feminisms in Social Work 2024 Jun 3 (pp. 513-525). Routledge. [HTML]
- 13. Lu H, Limniou M, Zhang X. Exploring the metacognition of self-directed informal learning on social media platforms: taking time and social interactions into consideration. Education and Information Technologies. 2025 Mar;30(4):5105-32.
- 14. Chen MH, Agrawal S, Lin SM, Liang WL. Learning communities, social media, and learning performance: Transactive memory system perspective. Computers & Education. 2023 Oct 1;203:104845.
- 15. Cullerton K, Donnet T, Lee A, Gallegos D. Effective advocacy strategies for influencing government nutrition policy: a conceptual model. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2018 Dec;15:1-1.
- 16. Cullerton K, Donnet T, Lee A, Gallegos D. Playing the policy game: a review of the barriers to and enablers of nutrition policy change. Public health nutrition. 2016 Oct;19(14):2643-53.
- 17. DeSalvo K, Hughes B, Bassett M, Benjamin G, Fraser M, Galea S, Gracia JN. Public health COVID-19 impact assessment: lessons learned and compelling needs. NAM perspectives. 2021 Apr 7;2021:10-31478. nih.gov
- 18. Smith RW, Jarvis T, Sandhu HS, Pinto AD, O'Neill M, Di Ruggiero E, Pawa J, Rosella L, Allin S. Centralization and integration of public health systems: Perspectives of public health leaders on factors facilitating and impeding COVID-19 responses in three Canadian provinces. Health Policy. 2023 Jan 1;127:19-28. nih.gov
- Yang L, Xu M, Xing L. Exploring the core factors of online purchase decisions by building an E-Commerce network evolution model. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services. 2022 Jan 1:64:102784.
- 20. Pavlenchyk N, Pavlenchyk A, Skrynkovskyy R, Tsyuh S. The influence of management creativity on the optimality of management decisions over time: An innovative aspect. Journal of Eastern European and Central Asian Research (JEECAR). 2023 Jun 5;10(3):498-514. ieeca.org
- 21. Rutere DW, Simiyu KN. Evaluating the Role of Public Relations in Communicating Legislative Processes to the Public in Embu County. African Journal of Empirical Research. 2024 Nov 15;5(4):1055-65.
- 22. Koop C, Reh C, Bressanelli E. Agenda-setting under pressure: Does domestic politics influence the European Commission? European Journal of Political Research. 2022 Feb;61(1):46-66. wiley.com
- 23. Alesani D, Missoni E. Coordination in humanitarian response and the nexus with development. InManagement of International Institutions and NGOs 2023 Aug 31 (pp. 201-223). Routledge.
- 24. Bah I, Kossivi FD. Land Governance in French-Speaking Africa: Comparative Analysis of Legal and Institutional Reforms for Sustainable Management of Community Lands. Land. 2025;14(2):276.
- Osuch MR. Presidential Oversight of Independent Agency Rulemaking: A Literature Review. Notre Dame Law Review Reflection. 2025;100(2):111.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Open Access

- 26. Salerno JM, Campbell JC, Phalen HJ, Bean SR, Hans VP, Spivack D, Ross L. The impact of minimal versus extended voir dire and judicial rehabilitation on mock jurors' decisions in civil cases. Law and Human Behavior. 2021 Aug;45(4):336. <a href="mailto:ssrn.com">ssrn.com</a>
- 27. Metz F, Lieberherr E, Schmucki A, Huber R. Policy change through negotiated agreements: the case of greening Swiss agricultural policy. Policy studies journal. 2021 Aug;49(3):731-56. wiley.com
- 28. Paquin S. Trade paradiplomacy and the politics of international economic law: the inclusion of Quebec and the exclusion of Wallonia in the CETA negotiations. New political economy. 2022 Jul 4;27(4):597-609.
- 29. Adeoye-Olatunde OA, Olenik NL. Research and scholarly methods: Semi-structured interviews. Journal of the american college of clinical pharmacy. 2021 Oct;4(10):1358-67.
- 30. Al Hudib H, Cousins JB. Understanding evaluation policy and organizational capacity for evaluation: An interview study. American Journal of Evaluation. 2022 Jun;43(2):234-54.

CITE AS: Ahairwe Frank (2025). Communication Strategies for Influencing Legal Policy. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, 7(2):21-28