

Exploring the Role of Augmented Reality in Legal Education

Nyiramukama Diana Kashaka

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Augmented Reality (AR) is rapidly emerging as a transformative tool in higher education, providing immersive, interactive experiences that enhance traditional learning methodologies. In legal education, however, its integration remains in its infancy, despite AR's proven success in disciplines such as medicine, engineering, and social sciences. This paper examines the potential of AR to revolutionize legal education by bridging theoretical knowledge with practical, real-world application. Drawing upon the multidisciplinary Augmented Reality in Legal Education (ARiLE) project, historical pedagogical analysis, current AR applications, and experimental case studies, we assess how AR can be used to foster critical legal skills, simulate courtroom environments, and enhance student engagement. We also examine technological, cultural, and pedagogical barriers to AR implementation in legal curricula and provide recommendations for scalable adoption. The findings suggest that while significant challenges persist, AR offers a compelling opportunity to modernize legal training, support active learning, and better prepare students for the demands of 21st-century legal practice.

Keywords: Augmented Reality (AR); Legal Education; Immersive Learning; Law Pedagogy; ARiLE Project; Educational Technology.

INTRODUCTION

The use of Augmented Reality (AR) in education is an emerging field that, while early in its adoption, is rapidly developing. AR uses digital information and imagery to enhance views of real environments, allowing 'real-world' experiences to be augmented or enhanced via mobile technologies, interactive devices, computer headsets, or glasses. In an educational context, augmented reality experiences can be delivered as stand-alone applications or as part of a networked, multi-user interactive environment. At universities, augmented reality is employed in the education of design, visual art, medicine, architecture, and engineering, wherein content usually resides within a multi-media platform or mobile application. Similarly, augmented reality and augmented reality apps have been gradually incorporated into the education of disciplines including foreign languages, history and social studies, chemistry and mathematics, whereby practical lessons and activities are transformed into interactive animated layers of information. The multidisciplinary Augmented Reality in Legal Education (ARiLE) project seeks to establish a flexible, scalable framework for the design and use of simulated AR scenarios and AR apps to enhance employment-ready skills in legal education at the undergraduate and post-graduate levels. Similar to AR applications in other educational domains, the augmentations would consist of, but are not limited to, audio and 3-D animations. To this end, the ARiLE project aims to develop representatives of legal employment settings based on authentic and relevant practice in specific areas of law, to enhance the development of work-ready skills in a simulated context. Present AR applications in legal education, while employing AR technology to help law students engage with the law, would need to be custom-coded mobile applications, rendering development time and costs prohibitive for widespread adoption, which is the aim of the current project [1, 2].

Historical Context of Legal Education

The formative years of legal education are shrouded in mystery, yet the evolution of English law school education is well-documented, stemming from the Court of Common Pleas arguments. In the late

nineteenth century, the "law school movement" emerged in the U.S., paralleling the broader expansion of American education and universities, which led to the decline of apprenticeship schooling. Langdell's promotion of the case method became the primary teaching strategy in law schools, despite ongoing criticism and calls for reform. While law schools have modified teaching methods and curricula, the case method persists as the dominant instructional approach, showcasing remarkable longevity. Examining the factors influencing the case method provides insights into legal education reform and its limitations. This methodology arises from law's dialectic nature, students' learning styles, and prevailing social science philosophies concerning knowledge and professionalization. Over time, variations of the case method have been integrated into curricula and practices. As legal education faced a crisis at the turn of the century, it responded with measures to protect legal principles and maintain the rule of law. One notable challenge to the case method was the urgency to portray law as a science, driven by public concerns over national changes due to mass immigration, industrialization, urbanization, and war [3, 4].

Technological Advancements in Education

Today, the educational environment is rapidly changing, with advanced technology like Augmented Reality (AR) becoming essential for enriching students' experiences. Schools aim to integrate these innovations into curricula. AR offers an interactive perspective, enhancing the understanding of various subjects. However, students often struggle with concepts in science, mathematics, history, and geography, diminishing their interest. AR's unique approach allows for personal engagement, potentially rekindling enthusiasm in these areas. AR utilizes computer-based techniques to model reality and requires complex geometric transformations to function effectively. An innovative form, perception-based AR, addresses challenges in computer perception. As education evolves in the information society, the concept of how learning occurs is being redefined. Societies seeking to thrive in the information age are modernizing their educational systems to align with developed countries, driving an uptick in information and communication technology utilization in education. Internet-based cloud services enable knowledge retention accessible from various devices. The effectiveness of learning through AR can vary based on students' age, gender, and socioeconomic status, leading to culturally adapted examples. This variation can limit AR applications due to differing curricular needs across societies. Successful integration of AR technologies in classrooms hinges on school administrations addressing hardware acquisition, teachers' technology proficiency, and the inherent nature of these technologies. Furthermore, group dynamics significantly influence the effective application of AR. Successful outcomes generally stem from cooperative efforts, making the assessment of group dynamics vital in AR-enhanced classrooms [5, 6].

Understanding Augmented Reality

Augmented Reality (AR) is often mistaken for Virtual Reality (VR) due to their differences. VR immerses users in a virtual space, whereas AR enhances the real world with additional data or visuals. Thanks to advancements in hardware, AR is becoming more prevalent, especially on mobile devices. There are various types of AR systems, mainly Mobile AR and Sensor-based AR. Mobile AR examples include applications that attach tags to locations and 3D anatomy apps that aid medical students by providing informative content. Sensor-based AR systems utilize marker systems, such as those with trackers that fix positions, enabling content projection on existing visuals. This method has educational applications, combining printed markers and projection for enhanced learning experiences. Another instance of Sensor-based AR is the augmented reality sandbox, which simulates landscapes using projection and 3D effects. AR systems merge computer-generated imagery with real-time views of the physical surroundings, requiring an understanding of both their environment and a 3D model or avatar to interact with it [7, 8].

Augmented Reality in Various Fields

Augmented reality (AR) has gained significant traction across various fields, and education is no exception. As a digital content personalization technology, AR enhances the real world with digital information, allowing users to access supplemental knowledge. While early AR technologies focused on building AR systems for demonstration and creating web-based AR pages, the democratization of AR technologies now empowers ordinary users to produce highly personalized digital experiences that are disseminated online. Social media platforms continue to unlock AR capabilities for users, dramatically reshaping how AR is seen and applied. The proliferation of AR has ushered in a participatory digital culture, where users produce and distribute digital experiences. In this culture, augmented content users are no longer passive recipients of AR experiences but active participatory users creating engaging production and consumption. Recent research advances in AR have opened avenues for exploring AR effects on social behavior and collective interactions. AR avatars can stimulate anti-social behavior in the augmented world, while co-seeing synergies can foster social bonds. Subsequent efforts to capture corresponding psychological phenomena can have direct implications for building new, effective

intervention methods in the field of mental health. On the other hand, AR could simultaneously amplify the polarization of individuals with diverse perspectives. Recent works examined two parallel AR environments in which two smaller groups of individuals with opposing views are isolated. Importantly, skillful agents in different environments produced language, posture, and social signals with highly distinct patterns. Furthermore, to promote participatory AR content creation in schools, efforts have been made to provide teachers with an AR system integrated with support tools. The effects of the support tools and AR system on students' perception of their teacher's understanding and engagement have been measured. A participatory teacher-led AR system has been implemented, allowing teachers to influence students' perceptions of AR scenes. Augmenting real objects with digital 3D models in a near-real and dynamic environment makes it easier for students to understand complex phenomena and processes. Productive failure learning design integrates transient learning intentions with technologies and pedagogies to enhance knowledge co-construction opportunities. These applications indicate the power of AR in promoting student engagement, imagination, and creativity in creating stories [9, 10].

Current Applications of Augmented Reality in Legal Education

The fruits of the current results from in-depth research by legal educators, educators, and not-for-profit organizations will add even more resources to the list of legal writings and common issues that are brought alive to make courses easier to understand through illustrative examples. This gives law schools the opportunity to marry augmented technology with legal literacy, thereby preparing law students to be active, engaged, competent practitioners who possess 21st-century skills in ethics in this new world where technology is deeply embedded in all aspects of social life. Being on the cutting edge of technology can also enhance a law school's reputation. Augmented reality could also be incorporated into written law journals; for example, notes could accompany cases to explain the issues at hand visually as they arise. The use of augmented reality to render legal literature more engaging can fuel public interest to influence social change, as citizens become more educated about the law that governs them [11, 12].

Benefits of Augmented Reality in Legal Training

The benefits of Augmented Reality (AR) in legal training are evident through its interactive features, some already utilized by legal professionals. AR's Embedded intelligence allows objects to meaningfully engage with users for safety, development, or entertainment. In court, judges can use 3D reconstructions of scenes to explain proceedings, even integrating real crime scene photographs into these representations. These reconstructed visuals can also be printed and shared with participants, enabling them to analyze outside of court. Lawyers can leverage downtime to examine legal aspects without distractions. Additionally, AR provides public administrators with the capability to view events in slow motion or from various angles, facilitating the resolution of lingering questions. Another feature allows for freezing frames to measure distances at a crime scene, previously developed within the UNIBO-Group scenario, but not applicable to evidential representations. When questioning possibilities, like whether a victim could be struck, AR enables rotating and adjusting the view to explore different perspectives. In this context, AR proves significantly more advantageous than basic 3D models. These systems may also influence legal professionals' evaluations; for instance, a prosecuting lawyer might intuitively feel that virtual representations could suggest an event's falsity, while simulations that alter evidence representations could mislead interpretations of actual events [13, 14].

Challenges and Limitations

The growing popularity of mobile devices and Augmented Reality (AR) applications has been notable in recent years, but AR has not yet become integral in legal education. Its user-friendliness is driving adoption, though high costs for quality content creation pose a barrier in non-legal fields. Current legal AR applications are scarce, mainly found on mobile platforms within educational institutions. Customized low-fidelity content is more prevalent, with varying results that require significant coding skills or costly software. Scanning AR codes typically yields simple videos and text animations with minimal user interactivity. While a web-based platform allows uploading images for facial expressions, it lacks sound and interactivity, needing a pre-installed program. Legal examples include 3D models of legal buildings and 360-degree courtroom views, which require substantial investment in skilled talent and technology. Simple 360-degree images or videos on social media can become fleeting trends. A robust augmented base is essential for enhancing content, and while photos can be scanned for use, achieving the desired output depends on appropriate prompts and practices, with a single physical item generating multiple outputs [15, 16].

Case Studies of Augmented Reality in Legal Education

Adding Augmented Reality Applications in Legal Education: Augmented Reality (AR) technologies have the potential to provide various innovative experiences in legal education, focusing on the development of

skills rather than the mere acquisition of knowledge. Four examples of proof-of-concept projects currently being developed are introduced. The projects, both technical and conceptual, focus on witness statements, jury selection, trial observation, and historical legal education. Further development and empirical evaluation of the projects will be made possible by the support of an AR equipment budget granted to the presenter and her workgroup by the Faculty of Law. The projects will offer the students actionable, information-enriched snapshots of the different topics of the learning units. The students will engage with and learn the legal processes applied in practice the way they would engage with their new smartphone and the apps related to it. The ultimate goal is that the students will provide a positive and productive learning experience for students, safety providence for the instructor, and sustainable transition to life-long legal education. When defining an Augmented Reality (AR) educational application or learning unit, little suggestions are made available. A possible and necessary first step is the definition of what one expects the learning unit to induce in the students, what its goals are, and which knowledge, skills, and professional attitudes are supposed to be taught and learned [17, 18].

Future Trends in Legal Education

The rise of technology in the 21st Century has brought significant changes to modern life, including legal education, which will increasingly become digital. Online legal education is already present and expected to expand rapidly. Students have a strong preference for technology, particularly digital tools. Laptops, blackboards, and screening rooms are common forms of digital technology in law schools. Customized digital technologies may enhance legal education, but they require careful implementation and understanding of their affordances and limitations. Augmented reality (AR) technology, in particular, shows promise in legal education, framed within the broader "edtech" context alongside virtual (VR) and mixed reality (MR). Future legal teaching materials may increasingly rely on AR-enhanced resources. A review of a 3D/AR legal casebook prototype is discussed, along with its implications for legal education. Schools are urged to keep pace with these advancements and initiate pilot projects. The role of legal education in a future dominated by 3D/AR learning experiences is examined, emphasizing the necessity for legal educators to actively engage with these changes. While major tech companies will lead these transformations, legal educators must strive to create a vibrant environment for legal education that rivals traditional print-based casebooks. Ultimately, AR education is considered the pinnacle of future legal educator and scholarship contributions [19, 20].

Ethical Considerations

Obtaining evidence and retrieving relevant knowledge based on the evidence is a critical responsibility of legal practitioners. Legal practitioners must conduct thorough research using primary and secondary legal sources. It is obligatory for lawyers to ensure that they have the best and most accurate information to present a case. Being ill-informed could jeopardize clients in criminal matters, expose them to substantial civil compensation, or risk conflicts of interest. Lawyers must be aware of all legal situations as those representations cannot be easily forgotten and could result in liability or malpractice claims if there is misrepresentation or misleading information. Information retrieval in legal research must be supported by educated individuals with an understanding of the available sources and the principles of retrieval. Each court has its own rules regarding what laws, judgements, or decisions may be cited in a court of law. It is essential to ensure that the law cited is legally enforceable and binding. A noteworthy case processing with the wrong citation could jeopardize not only the case but also the situation of the lawyer concerned. In this event, it is probable that the lawyer may be subject to civil, criminal or professional ethics penalties. Hence, students must understand all the pros and cons of Legal research, and they should be familiar with both traditional legal research methodologies and more modern materials [21, 22].

Student Perspectives on Augmented Reality

While there are numerous suggestions as to what might prove beneficial for using AR in legal education, knowledge of student perspectives regarding these suggestions is lacking. Consequently, a study was conducted to investigate and report student perspectives regarding the feasibility and desirability of augmenting the first-year torts course with AR. Results regarding participants' assessments of individual AR ideas are presented first, followed by description of participants' general comments regarding the desirability of and barriers to further AR implementation in legal education. With few exceptions, participants expressed positive assessments of AR ideas for the torts course. The suggestion most consistently rated as favorable was the idea of providing AR augmentation in the form of a street view with marker-tracking AR tort records when navigating the torts statutes in a web map. The intangible nature of opportunity cost in tort law may be illustrated by showing a "tutorial" video or animated graphics describing the concept and typical examples. On the other hand, while some students expressed

skepticism regarding feasibility, overall views of AR implementations in legal education appeared favorable; participant assessments regarding the desirability of the proposed AR implementations were largely positive and supportive. Although student perspectives regarding specific AR proposals are the focus of the findings, participants' comments on more general topics regarding AR are also relevant. Several students expressed that the implementations proposed in this study, particularly the web map, were both desirable educationally and technically feasible. The AR would allow them to visualize the concepts better and clarify the learning difficulty of torts. Others expressed specific concerns over the legal aspects of AR applications. Participants considered issues of potential legal risk for the provider if AR is used in conducting legal review, as well as more technical risks such as poor internet speed, which may compromise the user experience [23, 24].

Faculty Perspectives on Augmented Reality

Perspectives on Augmented Reality (AR) in education highlight its transformative potential, though concerns remain. Most respondents view AR as a game changer, with faculty noting its advantages over traditional teaching media. A law professor mentioned AR enhances students' perspectives, allowing them to witness multiple viewpoints simultaneously. Such views reflect a consensus among educators regarding the positive impact of new technologies on learning. However, there are significant caveats. Some faculty express frustration with administrative barriers, particularly regarding costs that hinder innovation. For instance, a professor at UVA noted that financial restrictions prevent exploring AR applications due to liability concerns related to traditional makerspaces. Furthermore, there is a request for AR applications that grant more control to users, with one respondent indicating that current AR experiences do not sufficiently support user-driven content creation due to limited accessibility. Additionally, the necessity for institutional support is emphasized, as past experiences with more conventional technologies have revealed systemic limitations. One respondent highlighted the need for AR viewer software to enable students to connect their devices before class, underscoring the risk of losing valuable instructional opportunities. Overall, while enthusiasm for AR is strong, the path to realizing its full potential in educational settings poses challenges that need addressing [25, 26].

Collaboration With Tech Companies

As the world becomes more digitally educated and reliant on technology, education must adapt. The younger generation is familiar with devices like whiteboards, tablets, smartphones, and the internet, which enhance communication and engagement in learning environments. Augmented reality (AR) is an emerging technology finding its way into education, offering interactive apps on tablets or phones that add digital elements to physical mediums. While many AR applications are available for various educational levels, their use in design education, particularly in architecture and landscape architecture, remains under-explored. This study investigates the landscape of AR tools for design education and establishes parameters to evaluate their effectiveness. An exploration of these parameters reveals that current AR applications for design education are limited, often consisting of simple pre-rendered images with low interactivity. Most applications are developed in-house by universities rather than sourced from tech companies. The findings emphasize the potential impacts of AR tools in design education and advocate for institutions to be proactive in creating or sourcing these applications to enhance their programs [27, 28].

Policy Recommendations

To evaluate AR-tools according to the developed matrix, it is important to first define some measurable attributes. For the definition of attributes and their levels, a selection of AR-tools will be tested. This selection will be different for educational domains. With selection is meant a group of AR-tools which add similar content to a similar context, like anatomy teaching tools for biomedical students. The selected instruments need to be investigated on a selection of measurable attributes. The creation of a group of instruments is important for evaluation, as it scaffolds the creation of knowledge on the topic AR use in education. With AR-tools, it is primarily meant physical tools. The definition that will be used is as follows: AR-tools are physical tools that can be held in one's hand and have been augmented with virtual elements. Examples of AR-tools are: augmented anatomy models showing anatomy with the anatomical structure linking to 3D medical scans, augmented interface cards which augment digital systems supporting the gain of operational knowledge, and augmented city maps which add detail to the geographic areas represented by the map. For educational purposes, it is explicitly chosen for AR-tools that are applied in a formal educational context. The following groups are mentioned: an AR anatomy model for a biomedical sciences bachelor's degree, a traffic system to gain operational knowledge of an electronic traffic management system, and an AR city map that shows the city of Debrecen and its buildings. The presented AR-tools are described with a specification on assignments, a context

description, and an evaluation protocol. The presented AR-tools can serve as a starting point for others interested in researching AR-tools and wellness in education. For the criteria needed to evaluate AR-tools used in education, it is easiest to directly develop a matrix-like that can act as a design pattern. The design pattern provides in points for the AR-tools created in the future and it offers concrete points for future developers of AR-tools [29, 30].

CONCLUSION

Augmented Reality holds transformative potential for legal education, offering novel ways to bridge doctrinal knowledge with experiential learning. By simulating complex legal environments, facilitating dynamic interactions, and visualizing abstract legal principles, AR can enrich the learning experience for law students, making it more engaging, practical, and reflective of contemporary legal practice. The ARiLE project exemplifies how AR applications can be structured to develop employment-ready skills within a scalable, cost-effective framework. Nevertheless, broader adoption faces challenges such as high development costs, a lack of standardized pedagogical models, limited AR content tailored to legal education, and the need for educator training. To fully harness the benefits of AR, law schools must collaborate with technologists, policymakers, and industry stakeholders to integrate AR into curricula thoughtfully and inclusively. As technology continues to shape the landscape of professional education, AR offers a promising avenue to make legal training more adaptive, inclusive, and effective in preparing students for the digital legal frontier.

REFERENCES

1. Thanaraj A, Gledhill K, editors. Teaching legal education in the digital age: Pedagogical practices to digitally empower law graduates. Taylor & Francis; 2022 Oct 14.
2. McCue CP, Prier E, Steinfeld JM. Establishing the foundational elements of a public procurement body of knowledge. *Journal of Strategic Contracting and Negotiation*. 2018 Dec;4(4):233-51.
3. Chicanery C, Create IC. Christian Legal Education: From Bologna to Lynchburg. *LIBERTY UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW*. 2024;19(1).
4. Cotterrell R. A socio-legal quest: from jurisprudence to sociology of law and back again. *Journal of Law and Society*. 2023 Mar;50(1):3-16.
5. Al-Ansi AM, Jaboo M, Garad A, Al-Ansi A. Analyzing augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) recent development in education. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*. 2023 Jan 1;8(1):100532. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
6. Deng W, Wang L, Deng X. Exploring Interactive Learning Environments Based on Augmented Reality Technology. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*. 2024 Jun 15;18(12). [\[HTML\]](#)
7. Rosenberg LB. Augmented reality: reflections at thirty years. In *Proceedings of the Future Technologies Conference 2021* Oct 24 (pp. 1-11). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
8. Arena F, Collotta M, Pau G, Termine F. An overview of augmented reality. *Computers*. 2022 Feb 19;11(2):28.
9. AlNajdi SM. The effectiveness of using augmented reality (AR) to enhance student performance: using quick response (QR) codes in student textbooks in the Saudi education system. *Educational technology research and development*. 2022 Jun;70(3):1105-24.
10. Grinshkun AV, Perevozchikova MS, Razova EV, Khlobystova IY. Using Methods and Means of the Augmented Reality Technology When Training Future Teachers of the Digital School. *European Journal of Contemporary Education*. 2021;10(2):358-74. [ed.gov](https://www.ed.gov)
11. Cieri RL, Turner ML, Carney RM, Falkingham PL, Kirk AM, Wang T, Jensen B, Novotny J, Tveite J, Gatesy SM, Laidlaw DH. Virtual and augmented reality: New tools for visualizing, analyzing, and communicating complex morphology. *Journal of morphology*. 2021 Dec;282(12):1785-800. [ljmu.ac.uk](https://www.ljmu.ac.uk)
12. Apostolakis KC, Dimitriou N, Margetis G, Ntoa S, Tzovaras D, Stephanidis C. DARLENE—Improving situational awareness of European law enforcement agents through a combination of augmented reality and artificial intelligence solutions. *Open Research Europe*. 2022 Jan 21;1:87. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
13. Quqandi E, Joy M, Rushton M, Drumm I. Mobile augmented reality in nursing educational environments. In *2018 10th Computer Science and Electronic Engineering (CEECE)* 2018 Sep 19 (pp. 266-269). IEEE.
14. Feld N. Augmentix--An Augmented Reality System for asymmetric Teleteaching. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.02565. 2021 Jan 7.

15. Kennedy D. The Bitter Ironies of Williams v. Walker-Thomas Furniture Co. in the First Year Law School Curriculum. *Buff. L. Rev.*. 2023;71:225.
16. Wang S, Liu Z, Zhong W, Zhou M, Wei Z, Chen Z, Duan N. From Isat: The progress and challenges of complex reasoning. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*. 2022 Apr 1;30:2201-16. [\[PDF\]](#)
17. Sanmartin AV, Niemi J. Virtual reality in legal education. Challenges and possibilities to transform normative knowledge. In *The European Digital Economy* 2023 Dec 22 (pp. 120-140). Routledge.
18. Yaakob MF, Nawi A, Yusof MR, Kasim M. Augmented reality strategy for understanding legal literacy. *International Journal of Learning and Change*. 2022;14(1):57-68. [\[HTML\]](#)
19. Dolidze T. The Evolving Role of Artificial Intelligence in Legal Education and Research. *Law and world*. 2025 Mar 31;11(33):92-105.
20. Koumpouros Y. Revealing the true potential and prospects of augmented reality in education. *Smart Learning Environments*. 2024 Jan 9;11(1):2.
21. Malik A, De Silva MT, Budhwar P, Srikanth NR. Elevating talents' experience through innovative artificial intelligence-mediated knowledge sharing: Evidence from an IT-multinational enterprise. *Journal of International Management*. 2021 Dec 1;27(4):100871. [\[HTML\]](#)
22. Wang C, Liu X, Yue Y, Tang X, Zhang T, Jiayang C, Yao Y, Gao W, Hu X, Qi Z, Wang Y. Survey on factuality in large language models: Knowledge, retrieval and domain-specificity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.07521*. 2023 Oct 11. [\[PDF\]](#)
23. Tillis GE. Toward a transformative transition: A critical pedagogical approach to social-psychological interventions in first-year seminar. In *Strategies for fostering inclusive classrooms in higher education: International perspectives on equity and inclusion* 2019 Feb 4 (pp. 183-195). Emerald Publishing Limited.
24. Lynch MR, Lower-Hoppe LM, Brgoch SM, Evans JO, Bailey RL, Beattie M, Samad M, Ryder A. Implementation and evaluation of mock trial within undergraduate sport law curriculum. *Sport Management Education Journal*. 2022 Mar 28;16(2):130-41. [\[HTML\]](#)
25. Cevikbas M, Bulut N, Kaiser G. Exploring the benefits and drawbacks of AR and VR technologies for learners of mathematics: Recent developments. *Systems*. 2023 May 14;11(5):244.
26. Rapti S, Sapounidis T, Tselegkaridis S. Investigating educators' and students' perspectives on virtual reality enhanced teaching in preschool. *Early Childhood Education Journal*. 2025 Apr;53(4):1107-18. springer.com
27. Monfared M, Shukla VK, Dutta S, Chaubey A. Reshaping education through augmented reality and virtual reality. In *Cyber Intelligence and Information Retrieval: Proceedings of CIIR 2021* 2021 Sep 29 (pp. 619-629). Singapore: Springer Singapore. [\[HTML\]](#)
28. Dargan S, Bansal S, Kumar M, Mittal A, Kumar K. Augmented reality: A comprehensive review. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*. 2023 Mar;30(2):1057-80. [\[HTML\]](#)
29. Syed TA, Siddiqui MS, Abdullah HB, Jan S, Namoun A, Alzahrani A, Nadeem A, Alkhodre AB. In-depth review of augmented reality: Tracking technologies, development tools, AR displays, collaborative AR, and security concerns. *Sensors*. 2022 Dec 23;23(1):146. mdpi.com
30. Lacoche J, Foulonneau A, Picard SL. Comparative Analysis of AR, VR, and Desktop Tools for Prototyping Augmented Reality Services. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*. 2025 Mar 10.

CITE AS: Nyiramukama Diana Kashaka (2025). Exploring the Role of Augmented Reality in Legal Education. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, 7(2):68-74