



<https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.5965>

Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Advancing Healthcare through Engineering

Mugabo Kalisa G.

Faculty of Engineering Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

The complexity of modern healthcare demands collaborative efforts that bridge disciplinary divides. This paper examines the critical role of interdisciplinary collaboration, particularly between engineering and healthcare professionals, in designing and implementing innovative, human-centered technological solutions. Through a five-year interdisciplinary project, the paper investigates the dynamics, benefits, and challenges of collaboration between engineering students and clinical practitioners. From prototyping safety technologies in hospitals to integrating computational methods in diagnostics and workflow optimization, the study reveals how mutual learning and co-development can yield impactful outcomes. Key challenges—including language barriers, trust-building, logistical coordination, and data privacy—are examined alongside solutions such as structured communication strategies, training frameworks, and institutional support. Case studies, including the M-Safety Lab project, illustrate practical applications of collaborative models. Finally, the paper addresses future trends, policy implications, and the essential role of interdisciplinary education in sustaining such initiatives. The findings support the necessity of a cohesive, interdisciplinary culture for the successful advancement of healthcare through engineering.

Keywords: Interdisciplinary collaboration, Healthcare engineering, Biomedical innovation, Nursing-engineering partnerships, Human-centered design, Healthcare technology, Patient safety, Clinical workflow optimization.

INTRODUCTION

The healthcare environment poses significant challenges, particularly due to the complex interactions between humans and technology. Effective interdisciplinary collaboration is essential to analyze these interactions and generate effective healthcare systems. Healthcare professionals are vital for assessing the needs and potential acceptance of emerging technologies, but their knowledge differs substantially from that of engineering students, highlighting the benefits of teamwork across disciplines. Despite the acknowledged importance of this collaboration, few studies explore its processes and challenges. This study examines an interdisciplinary engineering project aimed at enhancing human-centered, technology-based safety in healthcare settings. The project, ongoing for 5 years, has reached the prototyping phase, utilizing insights from data collection and analysis regarding human-technology interactions and risks in hospitals. The contributions of both engineering students and healthcare professionals underscore the advantages of teamwork in addressing technology's role in healthcare. However, challenges have emerged, categorized into four main areas: building mutual trust, overcoming language barriers, coordinating logistics, and protecting privacy during data handling. This discussion reflects on ongoing

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

work, offering insights into potential solutions, including fostering a collaborative team culture that addresses these challenges while emphasizing the roles of clinical and design experts in enhancing engagement and acceptance of collaborative outcomes [1, 2].

The Role of Engineering in Healthcare

Advances in engineering, science, and computing provide unprecedented opportunities to enhance human health and healthcare delivery. Such advances emerge from academic, industrial, and clinical settings. The term “engineering” is used in this paper in the broadest sense to refer to an interdisciplinary domain that is engaged in the design, fabrication, testing, and deployment of devices, technologies, or processes. Engineers, technologists, and scientists play a central role in recent advances in disease detection, prevention, and treatment, and improvement of healthcare delivery systems. Examples include advances in bioengineering technologies for cancer and cardiovascular disease diagnosis and treatment, image-based surgical navigation technology to enhance endoscopic surgery, and information technology systems to support healthcare operation and management. Innovation and impact with engineering solutions in healthcare, however, require collaboration of diverse knowledge and expertise to address the challenges and complexity of this interdisciplinary nexus. Understanding healthcare including biology, physiology, pathology, new discovery and clinical applications, regulations, implementation, culture, workflow, environment, staffing, belief, and care is critical for successful design, development, and deployment of new devices, technologies, or processes. Moreover, collaboration with clinicians is needed to share and combine knowledge and expertise across profession and disciplines, and co-develop and validate technically, clinically, and economically sound design concepts. Such collaborations are also crucial for successful translation of engineered solutions from research lab to clinic, as lack of adequate effort and involvement in device evaluation, validation, or use is a principal reason for failure of research technologies in future healthcare. Multidisciplinary collaborations between clinical researchers and engineers are a critical approach for developing and evaluating novel devices, technologies, and processes in healthcare. However, building and managing such collaborations can be daunting, while also immensely fruitful. In this section, successful collaboration conditions and key lessons learned in a real-world effort to advance medical care for heart failure patients via scope monitoring are discussed. The experience should provide useful insights for other scholars who would like to engage in interdisciplinary collaborations with a focus on engineering solutions for healthcare challenges [3, 4].

Key Areas of Collaboration

Interdisciplinary collaborations, particularly among nurses, can effectively address longstanding healthcare issues. For instance, in a large tertiary hospital's blood bank, outdated infrastructure and increased specialization led to an excess of blood products and workload for lab technicians. This raised the turnaround time for diagnostic tests and heightened the risk of blood products expiring. Nurses analyzed computer-stored observation data and identified a blood group nearing non-compliance, prompting them to notify clinical and hematological teams, leading to critical clinical care adjustments. However, clinicians often undervalue nurses' contributions, particularly in academic contexts. Nurses are also aware of their expertise limits. Radiologists face similar dynamics, utilizing machine learning to tackle mis-algorithm predictions, which can redefine problems. This still requires radiologists' domain expertise for interpreting results. The integration of Engineering and Medicine offers numerous collaboration opportunities, particularly in enhancing patient safety in central venous lines (CVL). While the existing methods are grounded in solid principles, significant improvements are still possible. A combination of analytic models and computational fluid dynamics revealed hidden issues in volumetric mixing related to CVL-induced backflow, which had seemed insurmountable until a clinician's informed hypothesis. Additionally, clinician-engineer partnerships must navigate vast and evolving data sets. Observational data can self-correct, yielding a high degree of accuracy, yet complexities arise, including differences in terminology, methodology, project timelines, and the balance between subjective experience and quantitative analysis, which can hinder progress [5, 6].

Benefits of Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Interdisciplinary collaboration can enhance healthcare technology development. This study presents a 10-step methodology tailored for nursing-engineering collaboration, facilitating the formation of multidisciplinary teams with adequate theoretical and practical knowledge. The first part of the methodology aids in crafting a healthcare-related research question and identifying corresponding

technology solutions. The latter part concentrates on critically evaluating engineering research evidence for clinical application. The planning and evaluation of the transition from lab to clinical practice through pilot testing is crucial to ensure technology's success. This phase emphasizes an operational context for the initial introduction of new technology into nursing practice for assessment. The study encourages researchers to partake in interdisciplinary collaboration, integrating various expertise to turn healthcare technology concepts into comprehensive projects. Such collaboration bridges diverse backgrounds and enhances collective understanding. Experiences in interdisciplinary research, such as incorporating a 3D virtual learning environment into nursing and technology courses, demonstrate this principle. The involved disciplines include nursing, educational theory, human-computer interaction, and simulation. Faculty collaboration broadens understanding across fields and enriches research effectiveness. Successful interdisciplinary research addressing educational and health improvements requires substantial planning and persuasion to unite diverse faculty. Establishing a unified collaboration sets the foundation for impactful interdisciplinary research, paving the way for future productive initiatives. Enhanced communication and awareness of research's social impact in education and healthcare arise from such collaboration. Nursing and technology faculty worked together to innovate nursing education by integrating a 3D virtual learning environment for skill training and simulation. Nursing faculty provided insights into real-world clinical preparation, while technology faculty contributed technical expertise. These collaborative conversations foster deeper interdisciplinary understanding and fruitful partnerships. Awareness of a university's mission to improve community health reinforces the significance of the research's impact [7, 8].

Challenges in Interdisciplinary Collaboration

The rise of advanced technological solutions in healthcare has led to increased collaboration opportunities among engineers and healthcare professionals to enhance patient safety. The COVID-19 pandemic has spurred interest among early-career researchers, including graduate students and post-doctoral fellows, in interdisciplinary research teams. However, previous research highlights challenges such as unclear goals, communication issues, and the marginalization of engineers that hinder team effectiveness. The study on Engineers in Healthcare Collaboration provides insights into the difficulties of forming interdisciplinary teams and suggests strategies for improving collaboration in biomedical device development and safety solutions. It expands on existing literature by focusing on factors unique to effective interdisciplinary teams with engineers and offering practical recommendations. The M-Safety Lab Research Project has demonstrated the value of interdisciplinary teams in tackling patient safety issues, despite challenges like building trust, language barriers, and varying expertise. To address these challenges, strategies have been crafted, including a comprehensive training curriculum for team members and fostering a culture that values interdisciplinary approaches to complex healthcare problems [9, 10].

Case Studies

Case Study 1: M-Safety Lab Research Project Initiated in 2015; the M-Safety Lab research project aimed to enhance communication between healthcare professionals through effective technological solutions. Teams comprised of healthcare professionals focused on specific research projects, with engineering and computer science students providing prototyping support. These students became integral to research teams, attending meetings, contributing ideas, and collecting data to understand healthcare workflows. However, forming effective interdisciplinary teams posed significant challenges due to differing expertise, work styles, priorities, and terminologies between engineers and healthcare professionals. Language barriers particularly hindered communication, as engineering students lacked familiarity with healthcare terminology. To aid comprehension, the team created a hierarchical design terminology guideline for project managers. Language differences persisted in informal settings, where the students' technical jargon sometimes caused frustration. Additionally, data privacy issues arose due to restrictive contracts healthcare professionals had with their hospitals, limiting data sharing. Software constraints further complicated matters, as medical data videos could not leave their processing workstations. Coordination also proved difficult; large teams struggled to establish effective processes and structures, often sidelining students during meetings that prioritized healthcare workflows and social constructs [11, 12].

Future Trends in Healthcare Engineering

Healthcare engineering applies engineering skills and tools to address healthcare issues, enhancing quality, efficiency, costs, and accessibility. This interdisciplinary approach is recognized by health policymakers and implemented in various countries, leading to the formation of centers combining engineers and healthcare professionals at universities and research institutes globally. Engineers have been employed in healthcare systems as technical experts and researchers, facilitating communication through seminars and resulting in numerous successful interdisciplinary research projects. However, challenges persist, notably the insufficient understanding of healthcare systems among engineers, who often assume their expertise is directly applicable without significant adjustments. Education for engineers is expected to become more advanced, producing graduates better equipped to collaborate with clinicians on patient safety and streamlined care. Funding agencies are focusing on societal impacts of research over scientific milestones, a trend likely to grow due to the increasing significance of healthcare from aging populations and climate change. Engineers are encouraged to prioritize strong partnerships with clinical professionals when addressing real-life healthcare challenges, alongside promoting interdisciplinary education and training to enhance awareness of clinical issues for future research efforts [13, 14].

The Importance of Education and Training

Interdisciplinary interactions are essential for tackling complex healthcare challenges, requiring knowledge from medicine, natural sciences, engineering, and ethics. By merging diverse disciplines, teams can effectively define problems and create solutions. However, collaborations face significant hurdles, including communication issues, differing expectations, and a lack of shared experiences. Such frustrations can strain interactions, and success is not guaranteed despite significant effort and funds. Understanding the motivations and concerns of involved groups is crucial, as is examining how product design and engineering principles apply to interdisciplinary efforts. As scientific advancements expand the scope of complex problems, solutions increasingly necessitate expertise across various fields. However, this shift towards multidisciplinary methods brings challenges in collaboration, requiring a shared understanding. Each discipline views issues through its lens and has unique terminology, traditions, and knowledge. These differences complicate the definition and interpretation of complex systems, leading to discrepancies in questions and expected outcomes. Moreover, varying discipline boundaries can result in the omission of critical aspects of the original problem [15, 16].

Policy Implications

In a world where scientific disciplines increasingly converge by necessity, the discussion might be held of their differences as academic disciplines. Biomedical engineering (BME) is viewed as a professional discipline broadly encompassing the use of engineering principles and design methodologies to analyze and solve health and biological problems. This definition captures the evolution of the discipline over the past two decades and is broad enough to encompass the area of bioinformatics. Interdisciplinary Health-Tech (IHT) is fundamental in nursing and healthcare, as nurses are in the unique position to design and assess new technologies along the research and development process addressing end-user needs. Enhancing awareness and understanding of the principles and methodologies of BME is important for nurses and should be an educational goal in both pre-service and on-going professional graduate education. The budding field of IHT embraces a number of important areas including, but not limited to, telemedicine, tele-nursing, computer-assisted decision support, simulation training systems, robotics, and medical devices such as personal glucose meters and hundreds of other potentially life-saving devices. Collaboration between nurses and engineers within IHT areas can improve care quality and create important new career and job opportunities for nursing graduates. The principles of IHT are mutually encouraging for nursing and biomedical engineering graduating students. As for engineers, a background in laboratory medicine, anatomy, health management, or any other healthcare and nursing field with a keen interest in technology would greatly simplify their entry point to the nursing profession. In fact, to solve human-body-related problems, physicians and healthcare requester are in the best position to understand what to solve bio-engineering-wise. On the other hand, these applicants would have little chance to compete with skilled nurses at the same age in a pure bedside setting. Thus, a PhD in relevant healthcare expertise with requisite research experience would greatly enhance their ability to interface with patients and design effective health-control solutions [17, 18].

Ethical Considerations

Multidisciplinary project teams (M-PROs) are prevalent in academia and industry, where professionals from diverse backgrounds collaborate on complex challenges, including healthcare research. However, integrating individuals from varied academic cultures and lexicons can be difficult, particularly in unfamiliar settings. Prior research on M-PROs has emphasized defining interdisciplinary research and factors that drive effective collaboration, alongside the risks and benefits of teamwork. Key antecedents include assembling the right experts, a dedicated leader, a supportive physical environment, and institutional receptivity to interdisciplinary work. Important processes involve team member maturity, flexibility in knowledge, relationship building, and publication efforts. Desired outcomes encompass the creation of innovative ideas, integrative models, and institutional reforms. Challenges in surgical device development highlight the need for shared mental models, common goals, clearly defined roles, and a culture of cooperative learning. A case study illustrates the successful implementation of essential factors identified in previous research. Unique aspects of the M-PRO context, particularly for industrial engineers and engineering students, are also examined. Strategies and suggestions to address challenges are provided, including a practical guide that is relevant across diverse healthcare scenarios. This guide features a comprehensive checklist for managing the clinical, regulatory, data collection, and educational requirements necessary for orienting multidisciplinary team members in similar research initiatives [19, 20].

Stakeholder Engagement

The Interdisciplinary Health and Professional Education (IHPE) initiative at the University of Calgary addresses the health needs of Canadians, aligning with the goals of the 20 Health Strategy for person-centered care. This initiative fosters a stakeholder-engaged, outcome-focused research unit integrated with the university's academic and administrative frameworks. IHPE research, backed by operating funds, encompasses designing, implementing, and assessing multi-disciplinary health education, services, and research. The funding strategy draws from the five responsibilities of this diverse research unit and insights from other initiatives, emphasizing engagement from early and executive stakeholders and including independent experts in advisory groups. An adaptive approach enhances capacity and ensures long-term research sustainability while planning for knowledge translation. IHPE's research design considers health education as a long-term, complex process, utilizing a quantitative and qualitative multi-case study approach for ongoing development. There is a pressing need to reconfigure Canadian health services to enhance public health outcomes and tackle equity gaps, necessitating broad stakeholder engagement in health education and scholarly career shifts. Multi-disciplinary perspectives across sectors are essential for addressing these complexities. Effective management of educational content and processes is vital; a Deputy Director will oversee daily operations, with potential eligibility for director responsibilities while collaborating with the Health College leadership [21, 22].

Measuring Success in Collaboration

Collaboration in a successful partnership, whether contractual, academic, public-private, or otherwise, is how each member of the collaboration contributes and usually means that each partner receives more value than they contribute. A common misalignment of values is that most engineer-researchers are accustomed to having credit for their own research ideas whereas clinicians usually expect acknowledgement for clinical input that leads to new technologies but feel that the ensuing technical innovations should not be "owned" by the clinician. There are also differences in the metric of success—engineers might look only to obtain a high-impact research publication, whereas clinicians usually are not rewarded for such a publication in that the engineering work and skills are the core value of the academic insurers. Starting off with clear goals of the project and how each member team can generate or profit from project results is critical in enabling collaboration. Typically, the most time-consuming aspect of engineering and clinical collaboration is being able to communicate and understand common problem definitions or methods of experimentation. For example, designing an experiment to test whether a new clinical device can capture patient data may have multiple crucial symptoms that are either neglected by engineering prototype designers or miscommunicated initially and accounted for only later. A time-consuming effort in understanding nuances in workflow, language, and translational context generally is required to resolve misunderstandings in specificity. Differences in workplace culture, such as work hours, approachability for questions or teaching styles also can lead to conflicts. At its core, an

interdisciplinary collaboration involves researchers with similar interests from differing disciplines sharing knowledge, expertise, and methodology in order to work towards a common goal. In health care, this goal is generally to develop new patient treatments or diagnostic tools, with engineering researchers focusing on smooth translational transfer to feed new ideas into studies. While past articles focus on strategies or obstacles for interdisciplinary collaboration in general terms, few specifically evaluate how collaborations develop across educational, cultural, and experience backgrounds when engineers and health field clinician's partner [23, 24].

CONCLUSION

Interdisciplinary collaboration stands as a cornerstone for the future of healthcare innovation. This study highlights how partnerships between engineers and healthcare professionals can drive meaningful advancements in medical technology, safety, and service delivery. The journey from concept to clinical implementation is enriched by diverse expertise but also fraught with communication, coordination, and cultural challenges. Addressing these requires deliberate strategies—establishing shared goals, fostering mutual understanding, and integrating interdisciplinary education into curricula and training programs. Successful case studies underscore the importance of institutional support and structured collaboration models. As global health systems grapple with increasing demands, aging populations, and emerging threats, the synthesis of engineering ingenuity with clinical insight will be pivotal. Embracing interdisciplinary frameworks not only accelerates innovation but also ensures that technological solutions are relevant, adoptable, and sustainable in real-world clinical environments.

REFERENCES

1. Malakhata E, Wiberg M, editors. *Human-Technology Interaction: Interdisciplinary Approaches and Perspectives*. Springer Nature; 2025 May 10.
2. Magliocca P, Canestrino R, Carayannis EG, Gagliardi AR. Understanding human–technology interaction: evolving boundaries. *European Journal of Innovation Management*. 2024 Oct 10.
3. Smye SW, Frangi AF. Interdisciplinary research: shaping the healthcare of the future. *Future healthcare journal*. 2021 Jul 1;8(2):e218-23.
4. Sguanci M, Mancin S, Piredda M, Cordella F, Tagliamonte NL, Zollo L, De Marinis MG. Nursing-engineering interdisciplinary research: A synthesis of methodological approach to perform healthcare-technology integrated projects. *MethodsX*. 2024 Jun 1;12:102525. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
5. Din BR, Anjum F, Malik A. Cross-disciplinary collaboration in healthcare: Enhancing outcomes through team-based care. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Healthcare (MJH)*. 2024 Mar 10;1(1):11-9. researchcorridor.org
6. Mohanasundari SK, Kalpana M, Madhusudhan U, Vasanthkumar K, Rani B, Singh R, Vashishtha N, Bhatia V, Mohanasundari SK, Kalpana M, Madhusudhan U. Can artificial intelligence replace the unique nursing role?. *Cureus*. 2023 Dec 27;15(12).
7. Hawthorne B, Simmons JK, Stuart B, Tung R, Zamierowski DS, Mellott AJ. Enhancing wound healing dressing development through interdisciplinary collaboration. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 2021 Dec;109(12):1967-85. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
8. Yelne S, Chaudhary M, Dod K, Sayyad A, Sharma R. Harnessing the power of AI: a comprehensive review of its impact and challenges in nursing science and healthcare. *Cureus*. 2023 Nov 22;15(11).
9. Lokhtina IA, Castelló M, Lambrechts AA, Löfström E, McGinn MK, Skakni I, van der Weijden I. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on early career researcher activity, development, career, and well-being: The state of the art. *Studies in Graduate and Postdoctoral Education*. 2022 Oct 4;13(3):245-65. uclan.ac.uk
10. Kuhn KA, Stahly A, König MF, Carandang K, Herndon C, Backman C, Callahan LF, Schulert G, Fraenkel L, Ogdie A, American College of Rheumatology Committee on Research. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Early Career Investigators in Rheumatology: Recommendations to Address Challenges to Early Research Careers. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 2023 May;75(5):947-55. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
11. Sankaran RR, Ameling JM, Cohn AE, Grum CM, Meddings J. A practical guide for building collaborations between clinical researchers and engineers: lessons learned from a

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

- multidisciplinary patient safety project. *Journal of patient safety*. 2021 Dec 1;17(8):e1420-7. www.com
12. Milton J, Erichsen Andersson A, Åberg ND, Gillespie BM, Oxelmark L. Healthcare professionals' perceptions of interprofessional teamwork in the emergency department: a critical incident study. *Scandinavian journal of trauma, resuscitation and emergency medicine*. 2022 Jul 15;30(1):46. springer.com
 13. Mishra AR, Rai A, Ansari MD, Singh RP. Technical trends in public healthcare and medical engineering. *Privacy Preservation of Genomic and Medical Data*. 2023 Oct 17:45-71. [\[HTML\]](#)
 14. Lanier OL, Green MD, Barabino GA, Cosgriff-Hernandez E. Ten simple rules in biomedical engineering to improve healthcare equity. *PLoS Computational Biology*. 2022 Oct 13;18(10):e1010525. plos.org
 15. Heiss L, Kokshagina O. Tactile co-design tools for complex interdisciplinary problem exploration in healthcare settings. *Design Studies*. 2021 Jul 1;75:101030.
 16. Lepore D, Dolui K, Tomashchuk O, Shim H, Puri C, Li Y, Chen N, Spigarelli F. Interdisciplinary research unlocking innovative solutions in healthcare. *Technovation*. 2023 Feb 1;120:102511. sciencedirect.com
 17. AKHTAR Z. Biomedical engineering (bme) and medical health science: an investigation perspective exploration. *Quantum Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*. 2024 Aug 1;3(3):1-24.
 18. Egbo MK. A fundamental review on composite materials and some of their applications in biomedical engineering. *Journal of King Saud University-Engineering Sciences*. 2021 Dec 1;33(8):557-68.
 19. Tariq S. Breaking Boundaries: How Multidisciplinary Research Fuels Innovation Across Fields. *Physical Education, Health and Social Sciences*. 2024 Sep 30;2(03):15-28. journal-of-social-education.org
 20. Herekar A. The Role of Multidisciplinary Teams in Advancing Healthcare Innovation. *Kashf Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*. 2024 May 20;1(05):250-62.
 21. Fitzpatrick JM, Bianchi LA, Hayes N, Da Silva T, Harris R. Professional development and career planning for nurses working in care homes for older people: A scoping review. *International journal of older people nursing*. 2023 Jan;18(1):e12519. wiley.com
 22. Jessani A, Athanasakos A, Peltz R, Hussain R, Radhaa A, McIntosh M, Lathif A, McLean S. Training Socially-Conscious Dentists: Development and Integration of Community Service-Learning in Dental Curricula in Ontario, Canada. *International Dental Journal*. 2025 Feb 28.
 23. Kaplan S, Milde J, Cowan RS. Symbiotic practices in boundary spanning: Bridging the cognitive and political divides in interdisciplinary research. *Academy of Management Journal*. 2017 Aug;60(4):1387-414.
 24. Love HB, Cross JE, Fosdick B, Crooks KR, VandeWoude S, Fisher ER. Interpersonal relationships drive successful team science: an exemplary case-based study. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*. 2021 May 6;8(1):1-0. nature.com

CITE AS: Mugabo Kalisa G. (2025). Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Advancing Healthcare through Engineering. *Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research* 4(2): 59-65. <https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.5965>