EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (EEJHSS) ISSN: 2992-4111

©EEJHSS Publications Volume 7 Issue 2 2025

Legal Education and the Digital Divide: Communication Challenges

Kakembo Aisha Annet

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

The digital revolution has fundamentally reshaped legal practice, necessitating a parallel transformation in legal education. However, a persistent digital divide—rooted in socioeconomic, geographic, and demographic disparities—poses a significant challenge to integrating digital competencies into law curricula. This paper explores the multifaceted communication challenges that arise in legal education as a result of unequal access to technology. Focusing on the Australian context with comparative insights from the United States, it examines how the digital divide affects law students' preparedness, especially in developing essential skills such as digital communication, research, and confidentiality management. It also analyzes historical trends, current statistics, and institutional case studies to understand the implications of this divide on access to justice and legal literacy. Finally, it proposes strategic interventions to bridge the digital gap in legal education, emphasizing inclusive pedagogy, infrastructure investment, and collaborative training initiatives. Without addressing the digital divide, legal education risks entrenching inequality rather than equipping future lawyers to serve a diverse and increasingly digital society.

Keywords: Legal education, Digital divide, Communication technology, Access to justice, Online learning, Socio-technical barriers, Educational equity.

INTRODUCTION

It is widely accepted that lawyers must be prepared to work with technology. Technological innovation is transforming the provision of legal services, clients' needs, the role of lawyers, and roles and relationships affecting the practice of law. For future graduates, unfortunately, most Australian law curricula do not adequately develop the requisite skills for digital lawyering. The challenge of embedding technology in legal education intensifies with the increasing economic, social, and cultural digital divide among Australia's regions, which makes addressing this challenge complex. However, failure to address the critical need for digitally competent lawyers invariably impacts access to justice, and this gap will only widen in the future. Technology-enhanced law schooling markedly improves the practical readiness of law graduates. Without admitting that technology fundamentally changes the practice of legal education, a 'technology-as-an-optional-extra' perspective will persist, stunting the pace of reform. Law schools must be cognizant of widely accepted generic skills capable of being enhanced by digital technology, such as written and oral communication, independent research and self-guided inquiry, problem-solving, information literacy, and analytical skills. Scholars have responded to these three overarching aspects of legal practice. There were recommendations on incorporating digital lawyering skills into law schooling long before the COVID-19 pandemic dramatically hastened the advent of digital teaching and assessment. Work allocation and civility issues impacting students' morale in online classes were unexplored. In addition, commonly used, evolving technologies represent a lifelong learning challenge for many students and staff, especially mature-age or parent students [1, 2].

Understanding The Digital Divide

The digital divide is most often used to refer to differential access to the Internet. The term digital divide was first coined during the debate over the Telecommunication Act of 1996. Prior to the Act, few

individuals were online and those that were tended to be young, white, affluent, and male. At that time, government and private enterprise were singled out to bring the marginalized segments of the population online. Still, in 2001, 39% of Americans were online but only 20% of African Americans were online. Studies found the digital divide between urban African Americans and Whites was still large. This phrase was used again in 2000, when the National Telecommunication and Information Administration began to report a new set of statistics on Internet access. Like the previous reports, this inquiry examined trends in Americans' access to, and usage of, the Internet, computers, and telephones. Two notable statistics were the increased online access by a significant number of adult women and the continued racial divide where, again, White Americans are more likely to have Internet access. Other reports emerged at about this same time, indicating that a large segment of society, namely people of color, the poor, and residents of rural and inner city communities, are seriously lagging behind in access to information technology. In the twenty-first century, inequalities in access to and use of IT such as the computer and Internet still recreate, and may exacerbate, existing patterns of social stratification in the United States, though new contours for "haves" and "have-nots" have emerged. Research has linked variability in individuals' levels of access to information technologies to socioeconomic status, educational attainment, gender, age, race, family configuration, disability status, and geographic location [3, 4].

Definition and Scope

The digital divide is the term that has been commonly recognized since the emergence of the Internet and a plethora of ICTs and has therefore made its way into research and discussion. It is now one of the most frequently used buzzwords in the area of international development and Information and Communication Technologies for Development (ICT4D). It is often assumed that less-developed countries and communities have limited access to technology and therefore will be unable to benefit from using it. Consequently, it is assumed that the focus of the ICT for Development agenda should be on building infrastructure, particularly the technological asset base of coverage, computing and networking capabilities. The access divide refers to digital inequalities emerging from unequal access to ICTs and is often stated as one of the most fundamental divides in the information society, alongside the economic divide. The access divide is composed of levels of inequality across a wide array of assets, such as coverage, equipment, and capabilities. There are several reasons for the limited uptake of information and communication technologies (ICTs). Most of them had to do with inadequate training, limited capabilities, cumbersome applications, and a handful of others. The access divide is believed to be easily addressable through social engagement and continued investment, while the capability divide continues to elude all those who try to bridge it. It is recognized that many access advocates who try to ensure that the basic infrastructure is provided often fail to appreciate the conditions within which those ICT assets take hold and develop, and that the cause of these failures should not be laid at the feet of the technology itself, but rather at the expectations of the beneficiaries and the understanding of the very notion of 'access'. Hence, it is clear that the digital divide is not merely about the existence of a gap which fosters a pernicious divide; it is a complex socio-technical phenomenon composed of multiple dimensions, an array of scaleable divides, which is very difficult to assess even within seemingly simple societies [5, 6].

Historical Context

Legal education in America has a rich history, yet its relevance has faced scrutiny recently. Participants in this field—innovators, deans, professors, practitioners, alumni, and students—take pride in past achievements while expressing enthusiasm for future improvements. While there is consensus on a promising future, opinions on specifics and priorities vary. A brief historical overview may provide clarity, detailing successes and limitations in legal education without passing judgment. There is no uniform approach among U.S. law schools, with the American Bar Association's Standards for Approval serving as minimum requirements established by the Commission on the Evaluation of the Legal Profession to ensure a "sound program of legal education." Defining legal education is challenging, as its nature is not widely understood. The modern approach emerged from over 115 years of experimentation, with Harvard Law School pioneering the method of using case books for instruction in the 1870s. This technique, along with robust teacher training, evolved significantly over time. Law schools have expanded from two to about 200 today. Clinical programs initiated at Yale are now prevalent, addressing practical skills alongside legal knowledge. Despite differences in curricula and resources, the end product—J.D. degree holders—must compete for legal practice admission. As there is a single legal profession, a diploma from an ABA-accredited law school provides access to all bars, while graduates from non-ABA schools face barriers in some states [7, 8].

Current Statistics

Statistics on the home internet disadvantage in 2013 varied widely. Black students at an HBCU were more than four times as likely as their White counterparts at a PWI to have no home internet access. As with the gender divide, 24% of men at HBCUs had no home internet access, and 22% of women at PWIs did. The percentage difference between Black students at an HBCU and White students at a PWI was 4% for those who had internet access via dial-up only. Across both types of institutions, national median household income was the best estimate of the difference in home broadband internet access, even though it was jointly related to the gender variable. Although less informative than other models, median home value had the same rank as a good predictor of the same digital divide. However, the field did not make projections about how this discrepancy might change in the future. The TSA with a 19 percent share of total passenger moves from the last 6 months, is well ahead of the others as well. In general, there were similar trends in access to the internet on both types of devices for all student sub-groups. Students aged 31 and over and those who lived in more deprived areas were least likely to be able to access the internet on both types of device. The corresponding rates were around 54% and 62% for mobile devices and around 37% and 43% for desktops. The difference in desktop access between access utilization was greater as well. Moving to the usage variable, only across the two places for personal use across these three student sub-groups did the gap close to zero. Again, these statistical analyses point to a growing access divide overall, wherein richer groups continued to have better internet access. This inequality is alarming given the increasing importance of on-line interaction in everyday life and the likelihood that internet access is already linked to other more downstream social disadvantages. Significant national efforts focused on speed tests and alternative outreach strategy as the only option to avoid the possibly disastrous social consequences this trend might elicit [9, 10].

The Role of Technology in Legal Education

Technology will reshape the teaching and learning of law, enhancing information access and classroom experiences with tools like PowerPoint and computers for trials. Although law schools aim to integrate on-site research as a requirement, the necessary technology remains out of reach. Professors won't adapt their teaching methods until they know students possess sufficient tech skills for MacCrate-centered courses, and consensus on key skills for research and writing remains elusive. Moreover, differences in Internet access between large cities, like New York and San Francisco, and smaller towns may lead to varying understandings of legal materials, complicating education. Economic factors will also impact law schools and faculty; some may lose students who, after waiting for improved Internet access, must prioritize immediate job offers related to local bar exams. This dynamic can trigger significant changes, with some services merging or disappearing altogether. Only schools investing in communications technology can effectively attract out-of-state students again. The push for technological advancement in law education primarily comes from practicing attorneys and students, not educators. Concerns about the potential need for a major shift in traditional law school structures could hinder progress. There's widespread uncertainty regarding the best technological approaches to meet institutional obligations as advancements lag. For many, the idea of eliminating physical law libraries is unsettling, echoing historical anxieties about change in legal education that have persisted for decades [11, 12].

Communication Challenges in Legal Education

Legal educators must prepare students to recognize and address communication obstacles as future lawyers. These obstacles can arise when interacting with individuals who have different communication modalities or accessibility issues, as well as when communicating sensitive information that may be monitored by third parties. Educators should emphasize that the risks of digital communication can outweigh its efficiencies, particularly for sensitive information. Students must learn to avoid using digital means for discussions that may have significant consequences. Lawyers need to communicate through channels that have a high expectation of privacy. Law schools should teach about available communication options and the safety of discussing various topics through different modalities. Legal educators should highlight the importance of using interpreters for those who cannot hear or see, as electronic transcription can be inefficient. Students should understand the advantages of real-time versus asynchronous communication. With texting, they need to evaluate the accessibility and usability of different platforms to ensure effective and confidential exchanges. Unfortunately, law schools are not focused on preparing the next generation of faculty to address these communication issues. While today's students are adept at using digital tools for assignments, they often lack awareness of communication modality distinctions. Law faculty should engage their networks to support mentoring future law educators on these crucial topics. The digital divide and its implications persist, necessitating a commitment from law schools to eliminate barriers. Educators should explore making resources available

to underserved populations, collaborate with legal tech organizations for training at no cost, and create programs to integrate underrepresented groups into the legal field [13, 14].

Case Studies of Legal Education Institutions

The technology gap between Web-1.0 and Web-2.0 has widened, leaving many individuals and groups uneducated on the 21st Century communication technology, i.e. the digital divide. It is a problem for educators in general and law school educators in particular. Teaching students the new communication tools in a) Communication using the new communication technology, b) Communication across culture, and c) Trust building communication language play a part in closing the new gap, but it might take time before they can enjoy the benefit. Law school educators also need to be educated of the civic engagement Democracy 2.0 and be encouraged to participate in exchanging law school knowledge and materials through Cyber-Law School 2.0. The communication challenge faced by the two law education institutions and the messages received by their staff and students is presented at two levels: 1. Messages not received caused by the lack of participation in the new technology by educators, staff and students, and 2. Missed messages using communication technology ineffectively. At the receiver side obstruction, digested information is not available for new on-line users, distribution channels are not same and timing of message sending is not coordinated. At the sender side obstruction, knowledge share holding, improper channel selection and useful Web page design complaints are reported. The international survey of law professors in the US and Thailand is intended to gather information and opinion from them regarding their experience in using new communication technologies. Part time faculty and law practice and information technology practitioners provide the background for using face-to-face discussion and sending written questions via email in case studies of the two law schools. A prospective study of digital divide possibility amelioration and discussion of suggestions and conclusion complete the report on law school education institutions knowledge share and exchange [15, 16].

Strategies For Bridging the Digital Divide

The digital divide in education has been extensively researched, primarily tackling the technical challenges of online learning. While a significant portion of the global population remains offline, insights from this research help in understanding the socio-technical factors needed for inclusive online schools. Previous studies have established a framework that outlines the contexts and challenges influencing students' online engagement. Although students adopt 'wired' identities in online settings, they face cultural and institutional obstacles related to the shift to online education. Various frameworks exist to analyze technology's role in education. This study adopts an ecosystem framework that covers all aspects of education where the internet is integral, involving students, teachers, schools, and government agencies. This ecosystem consists of diverse stakeholders, policies, and priorities. At this micro-social level, significant variations in language, infrastructure, pedagogy, and assessment exist. Hence, even if internet access is uniform, achieving equity remains elusive, often resulting in low-quality access, particularly in developing nations. Prior studies on the digital divide highlight challenges for rural, Indigenous, and low-income students while revealing how online education can alienate these groups. Effective policy development must be paired with pedagogical strategies to address context-specific issues, as much of the enthusiasm for online education technologies centers on predictive analytics, which can lead to unintended inequities by imposing narrow cultural perspectives [17, 18].

The Future of Legal Education in A Digital World

The ability to communicate with technology is crucial for law students and new lawyers to stay ethically compliant while enhancing efficiency and professionalism. Legal practitioners must provide competent representation, but interpretations of this obligation can vary. A vague standard can lead to reprimands for errors in computerized research or filings. As law firms prioritize tech-savvy hires, faculty should focus on teaching software and research tools essential for students' future success. While progress has been made, further emphasis on tech in legal education is needed. Schools must continuously evaluate their curricula to align with legal practice, as failing to bridge the digital divide could result in disciplinary consequences for new lawyers. Education should meet the specific needs of each student, requiring time and resources to adapt teaching approaches. The legal communication landscape is evolving rapidly, underscoring the need for tailored education for forward-thinking students, new lawyers, and educators alike [19, 20].

CONCLUSION

As technology continues to redefine the legal profession, law schools face an urgent obligation to reimagine legal education through a digital lens. The persistence of the digital divide—manifested in unequal access to devices, connectivity, and technological fluency—threatens not only student success but also the equitable delivery of legal services. Communication challenges arising from digital inequality

compromise students' ability to engage, collaborate, and learn in increasingly virtual legal environments. If left unaddressed, these gaps risk producing a generation of lawyers ill-equipped for modern practice and deepening systemic inequities in the justice system. To bridge this divide, legal education must adopt a holistic and inclusive approach—one that goes beyond infrastructure to address cultural, pedagogical, and policy-related dimensions of digital integration. Investing in faculty development, accessible technologies, and context-sensitive learning strategies is crucial. Ultimately, equipping law students with the skills to navigate digital legal landscapes is not a luxury but a necessity for a fair, functional, and forward-looking legal system.

REFERENCES

1. Jones E, Ryan F, Thanaraj A, Wong T. Digital lawyering: Technology and legal practice in the 21st century. Routledge; 2021 Nov 29.
2. Smith M. Technology law in legal education: Recognising the importance of the field. Legal Education Review. 2022 Dec 1;32:19-36.
3. Aissaoui N. The digital divide: a literature review and some directions for future research in light of COVID-19. Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication. 2022 Dec 5;71(8/9):686-708.
4. Van Deursen AJ, Van Der Zeeuw A, De Boer P, Jansen G, Van Rompay T. Digital inequalities in the Internet of Things: differences in attitudes, material access, skills, and usage. Information, Communication & Society. 2021 Jan 25;24(2):258-76. tandfonline.com
5. Magliocca P, Faggioni F, Muto V, Caputo F. Technology readiness and digital gap for depicting socio-economic dynamics in society 5.0: a meso-level observation. The Journal of Technology Transfer. 2024 Dec 2:1-7. researchgate.net
6. Ylipulli J, Hämäläinen J. Towards practice-oriented framework for digital inequality in smart cities. InProceedings of the 11th International Conference on Communities and Technologies 2023 May 29 (pp. 180-190).
7. Hirsh KJ, Miller W. Law School Education in the 21st Century: Adding Information Technology Instruction to the Curriculum. Wm. & Mary Bill Rts. J.. 2003;12:873.
8. Johnson SM. Teaching for tomorrow: Utilizing technology to implement the reforms of Maccrate, Carnegie, and best practices. Neb. L. Rev.. 2013;92:46.
9. Mostafa S, Cousins-Cooper K, Tankersley B, Burns S, Tang G. The impact of COVID-19 induced emergency remote instruction on students' academic performance at an HBCU. Plos one. 2022 Mar 10;17(3):e0264947. plos.org
10. Wade J, Poit ST, Lee A, Ryman S, McCain D, Doss C, Shrestha S, Morgan AA. Navigating a pandemic: a qualitative study of knowledge, sources of information, and COVID-19-related precautions taken by HBCU students. Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities. 2022 Jan 15:1-2. springer.com
11. Androniceanu A. Transparency in public administration as a challenge for a good democratic governance. Revista» Administratie si Management Public «(RAMP). 2021(36):149-64. ase.ro
12. Guha N, Nyarko J, Ho D, Ré C, Chilton A, Chohlas-Wood A, Peters A, Waldon B, Rockmore D, Zambrano D, Talisman D. Legalbench: A collaboratively built benchmark for measuring legal reasoning in large language models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2023 Dec 15;36:44123-279.
13. Jaffe D, Bender KM, Organ J. " It Is Okay to Not Be Okay": The 2021 Survey of Law Student Well-Being. U. Louisville L. Rev.. 2021;60:441.
14. Capers B. The Law School as a White Space. Minn. L. Rev.. 2021;106:7.
15. Chada LM, Parashar HV, Singh I, Rastogi M, Singh S, Raja SP. A comparative analysis of Web 3.0, Web 2.0, and Web 1.0: evolution and implications. International Journal of Learning and Change. 2025;17(1):1-55. [HTML]
16. Tokgöz ÖG, Altin MA. THE DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNET WEB 1.0 TO WEB 3.0 AND ITS EFFECTS ON ARCHITECTURAL EDUCATION. Eskişehir Technical University Journal of Science and Technology A-Applied Sciences and Engineering. 2022;23:144-55. dergipark.org.tr
17. Cheong PH, Martin J. Online outsiders within. InSeventh International Conference on Cultural Attitudes Towards Technology and Communication 2010 Jun 15 (pp. 42-44).
18. Orta N. Becoming College and career ready: Combating the new digital divide–A literature review. InHEAD'19. 5th International Conference on Higher Education Advances 2019 Jul 5 (pp. 323-330). Editorial Universitat Politècnica de València.

19. Kronman AT. The lost lawyer: Failing ideals of the legal profession. Harvard University Press; 1993.
20. Barczentewicz M. Teaching technology to (future) lawyers. Erasmus L. Rev.. 2021;14:45.

CITE AS: Kakembo Aisha Annet (2025). Legal Education and the Digital Divide: Communication Challenges. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, 7(2):116-121