Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 4(2): 79-86, 2025

ROJESR Publications Online ISSN: 1115-9790

https://rojournals.org/roj-engineering-and-scientific-research/ Print ISSN: 1115-6155

https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.7986

Renewable Energy Solutions: Harnessing Algal Biofuels

Ugwu Chinyere Nneoma, Ogenyi Fabian C., Val Hyginus Udoka Eze, Ugwu Okechukwu Paul-Chima

Department of Publication and Extension, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

The global rise in carbon emissions from fossil fuel usage has intensified the need for alternative, carbon-neutral energy sources. Algal biofuels have emerged as a promising solution due to algae's high biomass yield, rapid growth rates, and potential for CO_2 capture. This paper examines the potential of algal biofuels as a renewable energy source by examining the types of algae used, cultivation techniques, harvesting methods, lipid extraction processes, and conversion technologies. Microalgae, macroalgae, and cyanobacteria are evaluated for their biofuel potential, with particular emphasis on their biochemical compositions and economic viability. Various cultivation systems, including photobioreactors and raceway ponds, are analyzed alongside innovative harvesting strategies such as flocculation and electrical-field-based methods. The study also reviews transesterification and liquefaction processes for fuel conversion and assesses the environmental and operational challenges hindering commercialization. Case studies of ongoing algal biofuel projects are highlighted to understand real-world applications. The findings underscore the importance of multidisciplinary collaboration, policy support, and technological advancement in scaling algal biofuel production for sustainable energy futures.

Keywords: Algal biofuels, microalgae, macroalgae, lipid extraction, renewable energy, photobioreactor, CO_2 fixation.

INTRODUCTION

Global anthropogenic activities are generating substantial CO2 emissions from fossil fuel combustion, contributing to the greenhouse effect and climate change. This has prompted scientists to seek carbon-neutral fuel alternatives, leading to significant efforts in biomass conversion to biofuels, which include bioethanol and biodiesel. First-generation biofuels, derived from food crops, raise food security concerns. Other temperature- and pressure-driven biofuels tend to emit CO2, pushing the focus back onto biofuels. Production predominantly employs carbohydrates and lipids through bioprocessing and chemical reactions, facing obstacles in feedstock, efficiency, and environmental impact. Consequently, there is increasing interest in new resources and conversion techniques, particularly microalgae-based biofuels as a viable alternative to first-generation types. Microalgae biofuels, produced through transesterification and fermentation, require lipid-rich feedstocks for economic viability, needing more than 20% (w/w) lipids for profitability. However, current lipid-extraction methods are complex and energy-consuming, hindering profitability. Existing systems are limited to specific strains and often waste too much energy in biomass recovery. Microalgae, which are found globally, can convert CO2 into biomass, but current energy generation processes emit more CO2 than they capture. To improve efficiency, using CO2 as a carbon source for algae cultivation could be beneficial. Various innovative approaches to enhance algal

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

bioengineering have been explored, including bioluminescence, biodiesel production, enzyme secretion, and bioethanol fermentation [1, 2].

Types of Algae Used for Biofuels

Algae are highly diverse, yielding biomass with varying biochemical compositions. These include carbohydrates, proteins, fats, oils, and other biologically active materials. Each class of algae has a unique biochemical composition, which, in turn, has a great influence on economic performance. Microalgae, macroalgae (seaweeds), and cyanobacteria constitute three classes of algae that are currently considered for biofuels production. The first are microscopic organisms with a size of 1–50 μm. The most important strains are: Spirulina, Chlorella, and Scenedesmus. Microalgae have a small cell size. Only a few species are currently cultivated commercially. The major uses of microalgae biomass are nutraceuticals, commodity chemicals, and, more recently, biofuels. Today, microalgae are used as biofuels. All green microalgae have great potential as a sustainable source for biodiesel. Due to a high oil content, a high biomass production rate, and a good quality oil they contain, coccolithophorids have a great potential for biodiesel production. Economic feasibility and sustainability are very important for large-scale microalgal biofuels production. Intelligent and collaborative approaches from multidisciplinary fields are urgently needed to enhance the DOI and reduce the cost of biofuels from polar microalgae. Macroalgae (seaweeds) include algae with a size exceeding 50 μm. They comprise both marine and freshwater species. The major groups are green, red, and brown macroalgae. Macroalgae are currently converted to biofuels using several approaches: i.e. thermal chemical conversion, anaerobic digestion, and acid/enzymatic hydrolysis followed by fermentation. Since the biomass yield is generally larger compared to microalgae, macroalgae have comparable biofuel yields per surface area. At present, macroalgae are produced at much lower rates than is necessary for large-scale biofuels production. Several blue ocean strategies are reviewed to promote current macroalgal farms and develop new marine macroalgae farms. Besides the low biomass productivity, the major problem is the effective disruption of the algal cell wall. The resultant [free sugars and fermentable oligosaccharides] are actively fermented by yeasts and bacteria for bioethanol production. Adopters of temperatures > 180 °C, pressures > 2.1 MPa, or acid addition are generally avoided [3, 4].

Cultivation Methods for Algae

Algae are ubiquitous in natural waters. They can be found in fresh, brackish, and seawater. In most waters, the species composition, biomass, and productivity of algae are governed by major environmental factors, some of which exhibit large variability or variability on different spatial and temporal scales. Such factors include, but are not limited to, light, temperature, pH, salinity, and nutrient concentrations. Most species, including many taxonomically unique ones not represented in culture collections, can be cultivated. All kinds of this simple phototrophic lifestyle organisms can be cultivated. Rates of growth and biomass production vary substantially between species and depend on cultivation methods and the environment. They are affected by the major environmental factors governing their occurrence in natural waters. Among all of them, light is the most critical factor affecting productivity. Effects of light intensity and light wavelength on growth rate and species composition are a major concern in cultivation systems. Light attenuation and the resulting energy limitation in the deeper layers of photobioreactors are one of the major disadvantages of cultivating in large water bodies. For nutrient removal research, algae were cultured in a raceway pond. Nutrients were continuously added to keep the concentration at NH4-N, PO4-P, and NO3-N. For off-gas fixation, algae were cultured in a horizontal tubular photobioreactor. The concentration in the simulated flue gas was controlled by complementing with nitrogen. Most of the nutrients in the oxidized wastewater of the south machine works belonged to deep water, such as NH4-N, CO3-C, NO3-N, and PO4-P, all of which have a concentration of 17 and 42mg L−1. Pure cultured Microcystis aeruginosa was used in the experiment, with fresh water as the main culturing medium. The nutrients were supplemented with activating and sterilizing treatments and were cultivated in a submerged flat-plate photobioreactor in constant light conditions for 7 days [5, 6].

Harvesting Techniques

This paper investigates the harvesting techniques, one of the most challenging jobs in microalgal biomass processing. It also reviews harvest methods based on centrifugal force, flotation, and electrical field applications. The evaluation of minuscule biofuel harvest engineering is presented in. The difficulty of harvesting microalgae makes it the most expensive and energy-intensive stage in producing algal biofuels. Harvesting methods for microalgae and their process efficiency are compared, categorizing these technologies into centrifugal force-based, flotation-based, and electrical field-based methods. It is difficult to harvest microalgae from the cultivation medium due to their small size, which is 1–100 μm, and low

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

biomass concentration, <2 g/L. If microalgae are treated to make them agglomerate or larger, they can be easily harvested. Flocculation, direct compression, and flotation technologies can be used to treat small microalgae. Flocculation has recently gained greater attention because it is cheap, energy-saving, eco-friendly, and an easy operation. Harvesting microalgae by centrifugal force requires an expensive device and causes cell destruction. When flotation technology treats microalgae, its reagents pollute algal oil. Microalgae, with a small size of <50 μm or 5×10-7 m, can be harvested by flotation technologies. However, fine microalgae can escape from flotation technology without getting treatment. Electrode field strength and microalgal behavior in H_2O are the concerns of electrical field-based processes. Harvesting microalgae is the most challenging job in microalgal biomass processing. Harvesting methods for freshwater and marine microalgae, cyanobacteria and diatoms, and filamentous microalgae are also reviewed [7, 8].

Extraction Processes

After harvested from the cultivation systems, algae must be processed (dewatered and biomass pretreatment) and then quantitatively (quantification of total lipids and fatty acids) and qualitatively (lipid composition analysis) analyzed to determine the viability of the extracted products for biofuel production and/or their potential as high-value products. Several methods have been tested for biomass harvesting and concentration, and many of them have proven effective in the laboratory but are still in the trial stage in industrial applications. The preferred mass-development/proliferation harvesting method chosen usually depends on (1) the compatibility of the properties of harvested algae with the subsequent harvesting, and (2) availability, capital cost, and analysis of the relative competitive performance of competitive systems. Algal biomass must be dewatered from the harvest density to around 1–15% for biofuel production. The commonly used techniques for algal biomass dewatering are as follows: [9, 10]. DAC Coagulation and flotation using Moringa oleifera seeds Floc Diatomaceous earth filtration after coagulation Dewatering via accelerated evaporation and to capture freshwater Centrifugation Ultrasonic cavitation Flocculation using starch grafted with polyacrylic acid Centrifugation using an unbaffled disc stack separator Flocculation using a metal salt to aid hybridisation [11, 12].

Conversion Technologies

The most developed algae conversion technologies can be categorized into three main types: transesterification, which transforms triglycerides into biodiesel and glycerin; gasification, which converts various carbon-rich materials into carbon monoxide, hydrogen, and CO_2 gases; and liquefaction processes that turn solids into liquid hydrocarbons with fewer constraints on feedstock properties. Each method has been commercially tested at some scale and serves as a benchmark for assessing algal biofuel's market viability, feedstock-product types, and processing costs. Ongoing investments and technological advancements are expected to improve production economics. Transesterification for biodiesel production is projected to significantly influence algal biofuel supply and pricing. Several independent oil producers have successfully undertaken oil extraction and biodiesel production from lab to pilot scales, with plans for commercial expansion in capital-efficient configurations, including merging algal production with oil refining. Despite expectations that algae-derived biodiesel will cost 1.5 to 2.0 times more than conventional biodiesel from rendered or vegetable oils, advancements in lower-cost strains and efficient systems are likely to enhance cost reductions for algae oil biodiesel faster than for terrestrial sources [13, 14].

Advantages Of Algal Biofuels

Many investigations of algal oils and their products have been conducted with the aim of determining their possible commercial utility. In spite of the serious problems associated with raising and harvesting algae and extracting oils, it has been conceivable to use this energy resource for applied research where lower biomass productivity was acceptable. Although this is an ambitious goal, the recent understanding of the biochemistry of microalgae, particularly eukaryotic, has demonstrated that the phenomenal production potential claimed on these organisms may be feasible. Thus, efforts are necessary to overcome the above problems as algae have great potential for biofuels. Microalgae allow for CO_2 mitigation, which can be sourced from industrial streams or from biomass combustion plants, as a part of a carbon capture and storage scheme. This technology is also useful in improving profitability. CO_2 fixation of microalgae can be improved at sites with elevated levels of CO_2, including the exhaust of coal-fired power plants producing capture CO_2. For existing power plants, it is better to capture and store CO_2 rather than directly utilize in the growth of microalgae. Large number of strains possess the capability to grow with elevated levels of CO_2. The composition of biomass in elements, functional groups, and/or chemical structures should approach that of the desired fuel, so that only minor changes are needed for upgrading. Conversely, less favorable raw materials should be converted to an intermediate that does approximate
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the target compounds. A fast and mild process is desirable; processes that involve harsher conditions and longer duration tend to be less economical. ABD from microalgae can be converted to jet fuel in a single operation that does not require a pre-treatment step [15, 16].

Challenges in Algal Biofuel Production

Despite their significant potential for sustainable fuels, utilizing microalgae for biofuel production poses several issues that must be addressed before the industry can realize its full potential. Among them, algae strains with higher oil content need to be identified and cultivated in a low-cost system. Currently, several strains of microalgae with high oil content are available. Among them, Botryococcus braunii Var. b reticulata and Chlorella protothecoides appear to be the most promising candidates for biodiesel production. However, they are still in the experimental stage. A major hurdle facing commercial success is the extremely high cultivation costs of microalgae compared to other oil crops. To obtain significant amounts of algae biomass for biofuel production, an innovative delivery system must be developed that can grow microalgae and harvest the oil without incurring prohibitive costs. Currently, the most common and most economically feasible process is open-pond cultivation. It has very low capital costs, but as previously mentioned, open ponds have low productivity and experience contamination problems. Microalgae biofuels also face some non-technical challenges before its full industrialization such as feedstock sustainability, social availability, regulatory barriers and environmental impact. Furthermore, operational costs must be lowered during the diagenesis, filtration or dewatering and extraction steps. Several good approaches and suggestions have been introduced to address these issues since algae biofuels was put forth as a promising renewable energy source [17, 18].

Case Studies of Algal Biofuel Projects

In this section, programs around the U.S. that use algae for biofuels, integrated biorefineries, and bioproducts that are under development are reviewed. Most importantly, this section illustrates how biofuels can be produced in conjunction with other high-valued products such as animal feeds. Some of these projects discuss two timelines, one for biofuels and renewable fuels that can happen right away, and one mid-term plan with biopower, bioproducts, and biochemicals. However, these opportunities are not just affected by the technology's readiness. The change from waste or algal biomass to a biobased one requires comprehensive adjustments in facilities and operation. Understanding how to prepare these adjustments in advance will be discussed. Considering ways to make turning waste to feeds possible now and over the next 10 years, questions to consider can include building up own production facilities, outsourcing in the interim, owning production facilities while having short term contracts with other producers, adjusting premix formulations, or producing a more ready-to-use product by flaking. For a bigger picture of how to make NovoBiofuels into a branch, bottlenecks in various stages can be identified. Other than acquiring feedstocks, capital investment in starting production facilities can be a bottleneck too. Existing infrastructure, facilities, or machines can be modified accordingly, or existing plants can be rented or partnered together to reduce costs. When the algae industry grows, prices will decrease making investments less risky. For algae's viability for biofuels in the current system, some integrated biorefineries using algae as the biobased feedstock are being developed. They can be broken into two classes - integration taking advantage of the whole system and modular biorefineries using several strategies working together [19, 20].

Future Trends in Algal Biofuels

Microalgae have potential as sustainable energy feedstock for biofuels, particularly given concerns over limited fossil fuel resources and rising greenhouse gas emissions. With rapid growth rates that don't compete for land, water, or fertilizers, microalgae can help mitigate climate change. However, several factors complicate industrial strain selection, including culture parameters and growth models. Different metabolic pathways for biofuels exist, and enzymatic engineering balances speed and specificity for production. However, challenges persist, such as low biomass production and small cell sizes, which lead to high harvesting costs. Purification requires managing toxic algal effluents, posing significant cost issues. A mixed microbial approach and advanced wastewater treatment could enhance the field's progress. Overcoming existing limitations involves a deeper understanding of algal biology and improved photobioreactors, as well as cost-effective biomass processing techniques. Genetic engineering offers a method to enhance biomass and biofuel output. Additionally, interactions with bacterial biofilms can aid in nutrient provision, co-culturing, and biofertilizer production. Despite these advancements, large-scale applications remain underdeveloped, necessitating further research into both upstream and downstream technologies for viable commercial biofuel production from microalgae [21, 22].

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Comparative Analysis with Other Renewable Sources

Algae are superior to terrestrial plants in other, more fundamental biochemical and physiological aspects. Most algae can utilize carbon dioxide more efficiently than terrestrial plants, as they grow rapidly, and some can double their biomass within hours of optimal environmental conditions. Most algae are less than a hundred micrometers in diameter, making them capable of passive flotation, and they can have triglyceride content as high as 75% on a dry weight basis. Algae may have both a calorific value and a hydrogen-to-carbon ratio (H:C), which are comparable to fossil fuels or even better. Photosynthesis, as the process by which algae capture solar energy, water, and carbon dioxide and use them to synthesize biochemicals, has captured interest for the development of a new generation of solar-energy technologies. On this date, algal biofuels should have a fossil-fuel-equivalent price in the range of US$40-65/b. Consequently, algal biofuels should be produced as industrially as analogous fossil-fuel processes using patented technologies that have been shown to work in actual commercial zero- or positive-energy algae-to-biofuel plants. These processes, adapted with predicted costing, yields, and energy inputs to new algal growth and fractionation technologies, are described here as methods that will yield algal biofuels at the costs and economic viability needed for commercialization. Both macroalgae and microalgae are well suited for biofuels production because of their high conversion efficiencies of solar energy to biomass production, greater than 5 times that of terrestrial plants. They can absorb nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) nutrients faster than macro-organisms and can outgrow pollutants or invasive species. Macroalgae, widely distributed in oceans, can (1) be farmed providing a secure biofuels supply, (2) provide low-cost digestible biomass for biogas production, (3) be pretreated with digestive compounds to produce bio-oils and -fuels, (4) help with fish-stocking of lagoons/oceans, and (5) replace costly aquifer hoods by bioremediation of nutrients. Potential small-scale processes can be run in available facilities by seaweed companies or cooperatives. Plants and adjuncts used in aquifer sustainability, greenhouse gas reduction, and biofuels are also described [23, 24].

Economic Impacts of Algal Biofuels

Algae are a potentially attractive feedstock for biofuels. They can be grown in a wider range of environments than terrestrial crops, have a higher theoretical energy yield per acre than any other known biomass resource, and because algal oils are chemically similar to fossil energy sources, existing infrastructure could be used for production, refining, and distribution. After processing, biofuels produced from algae can directly substitute fossil fuels, but understanding how much energy could potentially be supplied by algae remains challenging. Once algae are harvested, they must be transformed into a biofuel product; options include conversion into biodiesel, production of renewable fuels and petrochemical substitutes through liquefaction, or burning for combined heat and power. Additionally, algal biofuels have a low or negative carbon footprint depending on crop type, cultivation system, and whether the biomass is burned or converted into biodiesel. With land supply unlikely to increase, agricultural systems that enhance productivity while using less land will be critical to meeting increased demand. The development of biofuels, especially those from algal sources, is likely to be pivotal for a sustainable future. There has already been a marked growth in investment in algal biofuels, with more than $300 million invested in various start-ups since 2008. Understanding the economic impacts of algal biofuels is therefore critical. The initial focus of such analyses was on the upstream supply chain, from developments in the extraction of oil from microalgae necessary for biodiesel production to harvesting and processing of the biomass fractions, and how to do life cycle analysis of their production. More recently, the potential for bioconversion of solar energy into organic chemicals by microalgae has been examined more generally, along with examination of the economic and environmental impacts of a fully integrated system. Each of these issues is examined first, and then the effects on the supply chain of oil from microalgae are highlighted. Additionally, how algal biofuels and biofuels in general might affect the economy goes beyond single sectors, which is also discussed [25, 26].

Social Acceptance and Public Perception

Social acceptance of algal biofuels hinges on public support for offshore biofuel infrastructure. Current models of social acceptance fail to account for complex sociocultural factors beyond mere economic interests. This work emphasizes the social dynamics influencing acceptance at various levels, shaped by interconnected networks and ecological policies. It centers on a case study examining stakeholder views of offshore algal biofuels in the UK, specifically focusing on the cultivation of Pelagophycus porra for zero-carbon fuel chains using upwelling pumps and carbon capture. Key themes that emerged from stakeholders highlight the multifaceted influences on societal acceptance of energy initiatives, encompassing public health, environmental concerns, local economic impact, and political interests. These themes are critical for understanding how stakeholder sentiments intersect with broader public views of

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

algal biofuels. The social complexities regarding attitudinal alignments and connections among mid-level actors with sociocultural insights shape public perceptions of alternative energy sources. Algal biofuels, being novel, present uncertainties socially, economically, and technically. To mitigate perceived risks, significant engagement with stakeholders is crucial for building trust. Furthermore, fostering stronger collaborations between scientists and influential mid-level actors is necessary to encourage acceptance and develop supportive social systems around research and development. Neglecting to prioritize these elements may result in algal biofuels being dismissed or unevenly accepted without societal backing. It is essential to consider these factors before promoting biofuels and investing resources, ensuring their development aligns with societal acceptance [27, 28].

Algal Biofuels and Climate Change Mitigation

Climate change, as an inevitable side effect of the industrial revolution, is now a key challenge for humankind. With the increasing amount of greenhouse gases, mainly CO_2, in the atmosphere, the global average temperature is rising. To prevent serious impacts, including abrupt events such as rapid sea level rises and permanent droughts, both political and technological methods are being tried. Invention of a new energy cycle with a low carbon emission credit would be crucial. Capturing carbon to reduce the environmental concentration of CO_2 and promoting renewable energy development are necessary. Ethanol, as a renewable energy resource, either pure or mixed with fossil fuels, has extensive application potential from gasoline to coal. Biodiesels, produced from food crops or recycled frying oil, are expected to be a substitute for petroleum diesel. The biofuels derived from natural and agricultural biomass, such as sugarcane, wood, and corn, could be deployed for power production and transportation needs. But under today's global situation of food deficits, which is due to the doubling population by 2050 and inefficient crop production, it is vital to develop new technologies to convert non-edible feedstock such as aquatic plants, particularly microalgae, into biofuels. Microalgae have distinctive advantages over other candidates of energy crops, including higher growth rates, no need for plentiful freshwater, and the capacity to grow in harsh conditions requiring no light or in unfavorable environments. The resources of microalgae are enormous, covering almost all water bodies. The problem left is on the other side: all biofuels from any feedstock must pay a $3.5/gallon price for post-harvesting and processing, but microalgae are currently far more costly than that. It needs a serious think-tank and sound financing system to develop a batch of new technologies to deal with microalgae above the water cleanly and cheaply. Suggestions to compensate this high cost are proposed, including using ships for large-scale cultivation of microalgae instead of fixed farms to avoid expensive land and water charges, operating three kinds of oceanic biomass productions including warm water photo-biofloc aquaculture, microalgal based fisheries, and Floating-Culture Systems for high-value products to offset fresh water charge, financing subsidies such as taxing on the fossil energy sector to spur up a profitable market of microalgae produced energy feeds, and constituting carbon credit programs to make commercially viable investment on making CO_2 as the feedstock for microalgae cultivation [29, 30].

CONCLUSION

Algal biofuels represent a vital component of the renewable energy landscape, offering a sustainable and eco-friendly alternative to fossil fuels. Despite the numerous advantages—including high lipid yields, carbon sequestration capabilities, and use of non-arable land—their commercialization is currently constrained by technological, economic, and infrastructural barriers. Challenges such as high production and harvesting costs, low biomass concentrations, and complex lipid extraction processes must be addressed through interdisciplinary innovation and policy interventions. Nonetheless, the rapid development of cultivation and processing technologies, coupled with growing environmental concerns, makes algal biofuels a feasible long-term energy solution. Continued investment in research, public-private partnerships, and the development of integrated biorefineries will be key to unlocking the full potential of algae-based biofuels and contributing to a low-carbon global economy.

REFERENCES

1. Thanigaivel S, Priya AK, Dutta K, Rajendran S, Vasseghian Y. Engineering strategies and opportunities of next generation biofuel from microalgae: A perspective review on the potential bioenergy feedstock. Fuel. 2022 Mar 15;312:122827. [HTML]
2. Mathushika J, Gomes C. Development of microalgae-based biofuels as a viable green energy source: challenges and future perspectives. Biointerface Res. Appl. Chem. 2022;12(3):3849-82.
3. Liyanaarachchi VC, Premaratne M, Ariyadasa TU, Nimarshana PH, Malik A. Two-stage cultivation of microalgae for production of high-value compounds and biofuels: A review. Algal Research. 2021 Jul 1;57:102353. marineagronomy.org

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

4. Bhatt A, Khanchandani M, Rana MS, Prajapati SK. Techno-economic analysis of microalgae cultivation for commercial sustainability: A state-of-the-art review. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2022 Oct 10;370:133456. [HTML]
5. Li X, Manuel J, Slavens S, Crunkleton DW, Johannes TW. Interactive effects of light quality and culturing temperature on algal cell size, biomass doubling time, protein content, and carbohydrate content. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2021 Jan;105:587-97. [HTML]
6. Feng F, Li Y, Latimer B, Zhang C, Nair SS, Hu Z. Prediction of maximum algal productivity in membrane bioreactors with a light-dependent growth model. Science of the Total Environment. 2021 Jan 20;753:141922. sciencedirect.com
7. Kim JY, Jung JM, Jung S, Park YK, Tsang YF, Lin KY, Choi YE, Kwon EE. Biodiesel from microalgae: Recent progress and key challenges. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science. 2022 Nov 1;93:101020. [HTML]
8. Gautam R, Patial SK, Singh S. Algae Biomass: Importance, Harvesting Techniques, Extraction Methods, and Associated Challenges. InValue Added Products From Bioalgae Based Biorefineries: Opportunities and Challenges 2024 May 25 (pp. 67-94). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. [HTML]
9. Udayan A, Sirohi R, Sreekumar N, Sang BI, Sim SJ. Mass cultivation and harvesting of microalgal biomass: Current trends and future perspectives. Bioresource technology. 2022 Jan 1;344:126406.
10. Cao S, Teng F, Lv J, Zhang Q, Wang T, Zhu C, Li X, Cai Z, Xie L, Tao Y. Performance of an immobilized microalgae-based process for wastewater treatment and biomass production: nutrients removal, lipid induction, microalgae harvesting and dewatering. Bioresource technology. 2022 Jul 1;356:127298. [HTML]
11. Kumar B, Singh N, Kumar P. A review on sources, modification techniques, properties and potential applications of alginate-based modified polymers. European Polymer Journal. 2024 May 16:113078.
12. Salehi B, Zhang B, Nowlin K, Wang L, Shahbazi A. A multifunctional cellulose-and starch-based composite hydrogel with iron-modified biochar particles for enhancing microalgae growth. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2024 Mar 1;327:121657.
13. Kamkeng AD, Wang M, Hu J, Du W, Qian F. Transformation technologies for CO2 utilisation: Current status, challenges and future prospects. Chemical Engineering Journal. 2021 Apr 1;409:128138. whiterose.ac.uk
14. Krishnan RY, Manikandan S, Subbaiya R, Kim W, Karmegam N, Govarthanan M. Advanced thermochemical conversion of algal biomass to liquid and gaseous biofuels: a comprehensive review of recent advances. Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments. 2022 Aug 1;52:102211. [HTML]
15. Mahmood T, Hussain N, Shahbaz A, Mulla SI, Iqbal HM, Bilal M. Sustainable production of biofuels from the algae-derived biomass. Bioprocess and biosystems engineering. 2023 Aug;46(8):1077-97. springer.com
16. Hoang AT, Sirohi R, Pandey A, Nižetić S, Lam SS, Chen WH, Luque R, Thomas S, Arıcı M, Pham VV. Biofuel production from microalgae: challenges and chances. Phytochemistry Reviews. 2023 Aug;22(4):1089-126. [HTML]
17. Khoo KS, Ahmad I, Chew KW, Iwamoto K, Bhatnagar A, Show PL. Enhanced microalgal lipid production for biofuel using different strategies including genetic modification of microalgae: A review. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science. 2023 May 1;96:101071. sciencedirect.com
18. Hawrot-Paw M, Ratomski P, Koniuszy A, Golimowski W, Teleszko M, Grygier A. Fatty acid profile of microalgal oils as a criterion for selection of the best feedstock for biodiesel production. Energies. 2021 Nov 4;14(21):7334. mdpi.com
19. Kumar B, Verma P. Techno-economic assessment of biomass-based integrated biorefinery for energy and value-added product. InBiorefineries: a step towards renewable and clean energy 2021 Jan 5 (pp. 581-616). Singapore: Springer Singapore. [HTML]
20. Malik S, Shahid A, Haider MN, Amin M, Betenbaugh MJ, Mehmood MA, Haq MA, Syafiuddin A, Boopathy R. Prospects of multiproduct algal biorefineries involving cascading processing of the biomass employing a zero-waste approach. Current Pollution Reports. 2022 Jun;8(2):147-58. [HTML]

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

21. Htet MZ, Ling LY, Yun SH, Olaganathan R. Biofuel from microalgae: a review on the current status and future trends. International Journal of Advanced Biotechnology and Research. 2013 Aug 14;4:329-41.
22. Medipally SR, Yusoff FM, Banerjee S, Shariff M. Microalgae as sustainable renewable energy feedstock for biofuel production. BioMed research international. 2015;2015(1):519513.
23. Ogundele OD, Adewumi AJ, Oyegoke DA. Phycoremediation: Algae as an Effective Agent for Sustainable Remediation and Waste Water Treatment. Environmental & Earth Sciences Research Journal. 2023 Mar 1;10(1). [HTML]
24. Ma M, Yu Z, Jiang L, Hou Q, Xie Z, Liu M, Yu S, Pei H. Alga-based dairy wastewater treatment scheme: Candidates screening, process advancement, and economic analysis. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2023 Mar 1;390:136105. [HTML]
25. Farooqui A, Tripathi G, Moheet K, Dubey P, Ahmad S, Husain A, Shamim A, Mahfooz S. Algal biomass: potential renewable feedstock for bioenergy production. Bioenergy research: integrative solution for existing roadblock. 2021:85-113. researchgate.net
26. Jabłońska-Trypuć A, Wołejko E, Ernazarovna MD, Głowacka A, Sokołowska G, Wydro U. Using algae for biofuel production: a review. Energies. 2023 Feb 10;16(4):1758. mdpi.com
27. Paravantis JA. Socioeconomic aspects of third-generation biofuels. In3rd Generation Biofuels 2022 Jan 1 (pp. 869-917). Woodhead Publishing.
28. Neill AM, O'Donoghue C, Stout JC. Who is talking about bioeconomy? Stakeholder and sentiment analysis using social media. EFB Bioeconomy Journal. 2023 Nov 1;3:100055.
29. Esmaeili A, Moghadam HA, Golzary A. Application of marine microalgae in biodesalination and CO2 biofixation: A review. Desalination. 2023 Dec 1;567:116958.
30. Oliveira CY, Jacob A, Nader C, Oliveira CD, Matos ÂP, Araújo ES, Shabnam N, Ashok B, Gálvez AO. An overview on microalgae as renewable resources for meeting sustainable development goals. Journal of Environmental Management. 2022 Oct 15;320:115897.

CITE AS: Ugwu Chinyere Nneoma, Ogenyi Fabian C., Val Hyginus Udoka Eze, Ugwu Okechukwu Paul-Chima. (2025). Renewable Energy Solutions: Harnessing Algal Biofuels. Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 4(2): 79-86. https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.7986

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.