A Comparative Analysis of Global Diabetes Screening Protocols and Their Applicability in Rural Nigeria

Kintuza Lumwako Tebulo

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Diabetes mellitus is a significant global health concern, with increasing prevalence in both high-income and low- and middle-income countries. In Nigeria, particularly in rural areas, early detection of diabetes remains a challenge due to inadequate healthcare infrastructure, financial constraints, and low awareness levels. This review provides a comparative analysis of global diabetes screening protocols, including those of the American Diabetes Association (ADA), the World Health Organization (WHO), the United Kingdom's National Health Service (NHS), and the Indian Diabetes Risk Score (IDRS). It examines the feasibility and challenges of implementing these protocols in rural Nigeria, highlighting infrastructural limitations, affordability issues, and cultural factors. The study further proposes adaptations such as community-based screening models, cost-effective diagnostic approaches, public awareness campaigns, and task-shifting strategies to enhance diabetes detection and management in underserved areas. By contextualizing global screening practices to local realities, this review aims to inform policy and healthcare interventions that improve early diabetes diagnosis and reduce associated complications in rural Nigeria.
Keywords: Diabetes screening, rural healthcare, global health, Nigeria, diabetes mellitus.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic, non-communicable disease (NCD) that has emerged as a significant public health concern globally, with its prevalence increasing steadily over the years [1]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the global burden of diabetes has nearly doubled in the past few decades, with an estimated 463 million adults living with the disease worldwide in 2019 [2]. This alarming trend is not restricted to high-income countries but is also expanding in low- and middle-income nations, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa. In this region, diabetes is rapidly becoming a major public health issue, alongside other NCDs like hypertension, cancer, and cardiovascular diseases [3].

In sub-Saharan Africa, diabetes is often underdiagnosed and undertreated, especially in rural areas, due to a variety of factors such as limited healthcare resources, low awareness, and inadequate healthcare infrastructure [4]. This presents a serious challenge to managing the disease and preventing its complications. Nigeria, the most populous country in Africa, is particularly affected by this trend, with diabetes rates steadily rising among both urban and rural populations [5]. A large portion of Nigeria's population resides in rural areas where access to healthcare services is minimal, and the capacity of healthcare systems to effectively address chronic diseases like diabetes is often insufficient [6].

Effective screening programs are critical in the early detection of diabetes, as they can prevent complications such as diabetic neuropathy, retinopathy, kidney failure, and cardiovascular diseases [7]. Early intervention and lifestyle modifications can significantly reduce the risk of these complications, ultimately improving patient outcomes and reducing healthcare costs. However, despite the growing recognition of diabetes as a major health issue, the adoption of standardized global screening protocols in Nigeria, especially in rural regions, faces significant barriers [8]. These barriers include limited healthcare infrastructure, inadequate workforce capacity, financial constraints, and cultural challenges that influence both healthcare delivery and patient behavior [9]. This review aims to examine the various

diabetes screening protocols available globally and assess their applicability to the unique healthcare landscape in rural Nigeria. By analyzing these protocols, this study seeks to identify which models can be adapted to the local context, with the goal of improving early diabetes detection and management in rural areas [10]. In Nigeria, particularly in rural regions, there is a significant gap in the early detection and management of diabetes. While the country's healthcare system is gradually evolving, rural areas continue to face numerous challenges, including poor infrastructure, limited access to healthcare services, and a shortage of trained healthcare professionals [11]. These issues contribute to a delayed diagnosis of diabetes, often when patients present with advanced complications. As a result, the healthcare burden of diabetes is disproportionately high in rural Nigeria, and the associated costs of treating advanced complications further strain the already burdened healthcare system. Although the importance of screening for diabetes is widely recognized, rural Nigeria lacks an effective, widespread screening program that aligns with global standards. Current diabetes screening efforts in these regions are fragmented and often insufficient, leaving many cases undiagnosed [12]. There is a critical need for evidence-based solutions that tailor diabetes screening protocols to the specific challenges of rural Nigeria. This problem requires a comprehensive understanding of the various screening strategies that could be implemented in rural areas, considering the local context of healthcare infrastructure, cultural factors, and financial constraints [13]. This study aims to evaluate global diabetes screening protocols and their applicability in rural Nigerian healthcare settings. It evaluates the effectiveness of these methods in identifying individuals with undiagnosed diabetes, assesses the challenges to implementing these screening programs in rural Nigeria, and proposes context-specific solutions. The research questions include the key diabetes screening protocols currently in use globally, the primary barriers to implementing these protocols in rural Nigeria, how global diabetes screening protocols can be adapted to the unique healthcare, cultural, and financial context of rural Nigeria, and potential strategies for improving early detection and management in rural Nigeria through enhanced screening programs. The study's significance lies in its potential to improve healthcare delivery in rural Nigeria by providing insights into how global diabetes screening protocols can be effectively adapted to local contexts. Rural areas in Nigeria are home to a large proportion of the country's population, and the lack of access to reliable and efficient healthcare systems has led to delayed diagnosis of diabetes and higher incidence of complications. By evaluating these protocols and identifying the barriers to their implementation, the study aims to contribute to the development of more effective, contextually appropriate strategies for diabetes detection. Improving diabetes screening in rural Nigeria could not only improve patient outcomes but also alleviate the financial burden of diabetes-related complications in the healthcare system. The study will also contribute to the growing body of knowledge on the intersection of public health, chronic disease management, and healthcare access in sub-Saharan Africa.

Global Diabetes Screening Protocols

Global diabetes screening protocols vary across countries and organizations, each tailored to specific healthcare systems and population needs [14]. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) guidelines emphasize early detection and prevention, with recommended diagnostic tests including Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG), Glycated Hemoglobin (HbA1c), and Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT). However, challenges in rural Nigeria include limited laboratory access, cost constraints, and shortage of trained personnel [15]. The World Health Organization (WHO) advocates for simple and cost-effective diabetes screening methods, particularly in low- and middle-income countries [2]. Primary screening methods include FPG and OGTT, targeting individuals over 40 years, those with a family history of diabetes, and people with obesity or hypertension. Challenges in rural Nigeria include inconsistent availability of healthcare facilities, low awareness levels, and resource limitations. The United Kingdom National Health Service (NHS) employs a risk-based screening strategy that integrates digital tools and community-based outreach. Risk stratification is conducted using online tools and electronic health records, and HbA1c is the preferred test due to its ability to reflect long-term glucose control [16]. However, challenges in rural Nigeria include limited digital infrastructure, training requirements, and cultural barriers. The Indian Diabetes Risk Score (IDRS) is a simple, cost-effective screening tool designed for resource-limited settings, consisting of four parameters: age, physical activity, waist circumference, and family history of diabetes. It does not require blood tests, making it feasible for rural settings. Overcoming challenges such as healthcare infrastructure, affordability, and trained personnel remains crucial for improving diabetes screening and early detection efforts in underserved communities.

Challenges of Implementing Global Screening Protocols in Rural Nigeria

Global diabetes screening protocols vary across countries and organizations, each tailored to specific healthcare systems and population needs [17]. Key global diabetes screening guidelines include the American Diabetes Association (ADA) guidelines, which emphasize early detection and prevention, and the World Health Organization (WHO) screening criteria. These guidelines recommend diagnostic tests such as Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG), Glycated Hemoglobin (HbA1c), and Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT). However, challenges in rural Nigeria include limited laboratory access, cost constraints, and shortage of trained personnel.

The United Kingdom National Health Service (NHS) employs a risk-based screening strategy that integrates digital tools and community-based outreach. The preferred test is HbA1c, which can reflect long-term glucose control. However, challenges in rural Nigeria include limited digital infrastructure, training requirements, and cultural barriers. The Indian Diabetes Risk Score (IDRS) is a simple, cost-effective screening tool designed for resource-limited settings. It consists of four parameters: age, physical activity, waist circumference, and family history of diabetes. It has been validated in multiple studies for its effectiveness in identifying high-risk individuals. However, several challenges hinder the effective implementation of these protocols in rural Nigeria. These include limited healthcare infrastructure, financial constraints, cultural and educational barriers, and inconsistent healthcare access [18]. While global diabetes screening protocols offer varied approaches suited to specific healthcare landscapes, the IDRS provides an affordable and accessible alternative for resource-limited settings like rural Nigeria. Addressing challenges such as healthcare infrastructure, affordability, and trained personnel remains crucial for improving diabetes screening and early detection efforts in underserved communities.

Recommended Adaptations for Rural Nigeria

Global diabetes screening protocols vary across countries and organizations, each tailored to specific healthcare systems and population needs. Key global diabetes screening guidelines include the American Diabetes Association (ADA) guidelines, World Health Organization (WHO) screening criteria, United Kingdom National Health Service (NHS) screening approach, and Indian Diabetes Risk Score (IDRS). These guidelines emphasize early detection and prevention, but face challenges in rural Nigeria due to limited laboratory access, cost constraints, and shortage of trained personnel. The ADA recommends screening for individuals based on specific risk factors, such as age, obesity, family history of diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and physical inactivity [19]. The preferred diagnostic tests include Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG), Glycated Hemoglobin (HbA1c), and Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT). However, challenges in rural Nigeria include limited healthcare infrastructure, low awareness levels, and resource limitations. The UK's NHS employs a risk-based screening strategy that integrates digital tools and community-based outreach. IDRS is a simple, cost-effective screening tool designed for resource-limited settings, but it faces challenges in rural Nigeria, including limited digital infrastructure, training requirements, and cultural barriers. To overcome these challenges, recommendations for rural Nigeria include community-based screening models, affordable diagnostic approaches, public awareness campaigns, and task-shifting strategies [20]. These approaches can significantly improve diabetes detection and management in underserved communities. Implementing community-based screening, affordable diagnostic methods, public awareness campaigns, and task-shifting strategies can significantly improve diabetes detection and management in underserved areas.

CONCLUSION

Diabetes is a growing global public health concern, with screening protocols playing a crucial role in early detection and management. However, their implementation in rural Nigeria faces challenges such as infrastructural limitations, financial constraints, cultural barriers, and inconsistent healthcare access. To address these challenges, community-based screening models, affordable diagnostic approaches, public awareness campaigns, and task-shifting strategies are necessary. Leveraging primary healthcare centers, mobile clinics, and community health workers can enhance accessibility, while cost-effective screening alternatives like fasting plasma glucose and risk-based assessments can mitigate financial barriers. Integrating diabetes awareness into public health initiatives and training non-physician healthcare workers can expand outreach and strengthen early detection efforts. Addressing diabetes screening challenges in rural Nigeria requires a multifaceted approach that aligns global best practices with local realities. By implementing context-specific solutions, Nigeria can improve early diabetes detection, reduce complications, and enhance public health outcomes, bridging the gap between global standards and local healthcare needs.

REFERENCES

1. Adonu C. C, Ugwu O. P. C, Bawa A, Ossai E. C, Nwaka A.C (2013). Intrinsic blood coagulation studies in patients suffering from both diabetes and hypertension.IntJournal of Pharmaceutical Medicine and Bio Science, 2 (2), 36-45.
2. Diabetes prevention, care challenges in Africa | WHO | Regional Office for Africa, https://www.afro.who.int/news/diabetes-prevention-care-challenges-africa
3. Egba S I, Alum E U, Ugwu O P C, Obeagu E I, Uti D E, Alum B N. Managing the Dual Burden: Addressing Mental Health in Diabetes Care. Elite Journal of Medical Sciences, 2024; 2(6):1-9
4. Ugwu, O.P.C., Kungu, E., Inyangat, R., Obeagu, E. I., Alum, E. U., Okon, M. B., Subbarayan, S. and Sankarapandiyan, V. Exploring Indigenous Medicinal Plants for Managing Diabetes Mellitus in Uganda: Ethnobotanical Insights, Pharmacotherapeutic Strategies, and National Development Alignment. INOSR Experimental Sciences.2023; 12(2):214-224. https://doi.org/10.59298/INOSRES/2023/2.17.1000.

5.   Mbanya, J.-C., Ramiaya, K.: Diabetes Mellitus. In: Jamison, D.T., Feachem, R.G., Makgoba, M.W., Bos, E.R., Baingana, F.K., Hofman, K.J., and Rogo, K.O. (eds.) Disease and Mortality in Sub-Saharan Africa. The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank, Washington (DC) (2006)

6.   Ugwu, O. P. C., Alum, E. U. and Uhama, K. C. (2024). Dual Burden of Diabetes Mellitus and Malaria: Exploring the Role of Phytochemicals and Vitamins in Disease Management. Research Invention Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 3(2):38-49.

7.   Peer, N., Balakrishna, Y., Durao, S.: Screening for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020, CD005266 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005266.pub2

8.   Alum, E. U., Ugwu, O. P. C., Obeagu, E. I., Aja, P. M., Ugwu, C. N., Okon, M.B. Nutritional Care in Diabetes Mellitus: A Comprehensive Guide.International Journal of Innovative and Applied Research. 2023; 11(12):16-25.Article DOI: 10.58538/IJIAR/2057 DOI URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.58538/IJIAR/2057.

9.   Endalamaw, A., Khatri, R.B., Erku, D., Zewdie, A., Wolka, E., Nigatu, F., Assefa, Y.: Barriers and strategies for primary health care workforce development: synthesis of evidence. BMC Prim Care. 25, 99 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-024-02336-1

10. Gupta, S.K., Lakshmi, P.V.M., Chakrapani, V., Rastogi, A., Kaur, M.: Understanding the diabetes self-care behaviour in rural areas: Perspective of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and healthcare professionals. PLoS One. 19, e0297132 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0297132

11. Alum, E. U., Ugwu, O. P. C., Obeagu, E. I. Beyond Pregnancy: Understanding the Long Term Implications of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus.INOSR Scientific Research. 2024; 11(1):63-71.https://doi.org/10.59298/INOSRSR/2024/1.1.16371

12. Pastakia, S.D., Pekny, C.R., Manyara, S.M., Fischer, L.: Diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa – from policy to practice to progress: targeting the existing gaps for future care for diabetes. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 10, 247–263 (2017). https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S126314

13. Ezema G. O, Omeh N. Y, Egba S. I, Ejiofor C Agbo E, Adachukwu A. I., Obeagu E. I (2023) Evaluation of Biochemical Parameters of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Based on Age and Gender in Umuahia (2023) Asian Journal of Dental and Health Sciences 3(2):32-36

14. Rahim, N.E., Flood, D., Marcus, M.E., Theilmann, M., Aung, T.N., Agoudavi, K., Aryal, K.K., Bahendeka, S., Bicaba, B., Bovet, P., Diallo, A.O., Farzadfar, F., Guwatudde, D., Houehanou, C., Houinato, D., Hwalla, N., Jorgensen, J., Kagaruki, G.B., Mayige, M., Wong-McClure, R., Larijani, B., Moghaddam, S.S., Mwalim, O., Mwangi, K.J., Sarkar, S., Sibai, A.M., Sturua, L., Wesseh, C., Geldsetzer, P., Atun, R., Vollmer, S., Bärnighausen, T., Davies, J., Ali, M.K., Seiglie, J.A., Manne-Goehler, J.: Diabetes risk and provision of diabetes prevention activities in 44 low-income and middle-income countries: a cross-sectional analysis of nationally representative, individual-level survey data. Lancet Glob Health. 11, e1576–e1586 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(23)00348-0

15. Diabetes Diagnosis & Tests | ADA, https://diabetes.org/about-diabetes/diagnosis

16. Salway, R., Sillero-Rejon, C., Forte, C., Grey, E., Jessiman, P., McLeod, H., Harkes, R., Stokes, P., De Vocht, F., Campbell, R., Jago, R.: A service evaluation of the uptake and effectiveness of a digital delivery of the NHS health check service. BMJ Open. 14, e091417 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2024-091417

17. Okoh, O. S., Yakubu, A., Adegboyega, A. E., Uti, D. E., Obeten, U. N., Agada, S. A., Oluwaloni, F., Johnson, G. I., Mela, L. P., Asomadu, R. O., Iwaloye, O., Johnson, T. O., & Orji, O. U. (2023). Identification of some bioactive compounds from Trignonella foenumgraecum as possible inhibitors of PPARγ for diabetes treatment through molecular docking studies, pharmacophore modelling and ADMET profiling: An in-silico study. PLOS ONE, 18(5), e0284210. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0284210.

18. Obeagu E. I., Scott G.Y, Amekpor F, Ugwu O. P. C, Alum E. U (2023).
     Covid-19 Infection and Diabetes: A Current Issue.International Journal of Innovative and Applied Research, 11,(1), 25-30.

19. Alum, E.U. Optimizing patient education for sustainable self-management in type 2 diabetes. Discov Public Health 22, 44 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12982-025-00445-5

20. Adler, A.J., Trujillo, C., Schwartz, L., Drown, L., Pierre, J., Noble, C., Allison, T., Cook, R., Randolph, C., Bukhman, G.: Experience of living with type 1 diabetes in a low-income country: a qualitative study from Liberia. BMJ Open. 11, e049738 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049738