



<https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.3.1800>

Understanding the Ethical Implications of Steroid Prescription: Balancing Patient Autonomy and Medical Responsibility

Kintuza Lumwako Tebulo

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

The prescription and use of steroids, both corticosteroids and anabolic steroids, present significant ethical challenges in medical practice. While corticosteroids are integral in managing inflammatory conditions, their long-term use is associated with considerable side effects, necessitating careful medical supervision. Anabolic steroids, originally developed for medical purposes, are often misused for performance enhancement, raising concerns about health risks, fairness in sports, and informed consent. This review explores the ethical implications of steroid prescriptions, focusing on the balance between patient autonomy, informed consent, medical responsibility, and societal pressures. Key ethical principles, including autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice, are discussed in the context of steroid use, highlighting the complex dilemmas healthcare providers face. The review emphasizes the need for clear ethical guidelines, stringent regulatory measures, and patient education to ensure responsible steroid use. It also examines the importance of equitable access to steroids for legitimate medical purposes and the role of healthcare providers in preventing misuse. The findings contribute to the broader discourse on medical ethics and inform best practices for steroid prescription, ultimately aiming to safeguard patient health and uphold professional integrity.

Keywords: Steroid prescription, corticosteroids, anabolic steroids, medical ethics, patient autonomy.

INTRODUCTION

Steroids, encompassing corticosteroids and anabolic steroids, have become integral to modern medicine due to their profound physiological effects [1]. Corticosteroids, such as prednisone and dexamethasone, are widely used for their anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive properties in treating conditions such as asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, and autoimmune diseases [2]. On the other hand, anabolic steroids, synthetic derivatives of testosterone, are primarily employed in medical settings for conditions such as delayed puberty, muscle-wasting diseases, and hormone deficiencies. However, anabolic steroids are also frequently misused for performance and muscle enhancement, leading to ethical and legal concerns [3]. The dual nature of steroids—therapeutic benefits versus potential for abuse—raises critical ethical dilemmas in their prescription and use, necessitating an exploration of the ethical considerations that guide medical professionals in balancing patient autonomy with professional and ethical standards [4].

The prescription and administration of steroids have long been subjects of medical and ethical scrutiny due to their wide-ranging effects on human health. While corticosteroids have revolutionized the treatment of inflammatory diseases, their long-term use is associated with significant side effects, including osteoporosis, diabetes, and adrenal suppression [5]. Physicians must carefully weigh the risks and benefits before prescribing these medications, ensuring that their use aligns with evidence-based medical practice. Conversely, anabolic steroids have a more controversial history. Initially developed for legitimate medical purposes, their misuse in sports and bodybuilding has led to widespread concerns about their safety and ethical implications [3]. The use of anabolic steroids for performance enhancement is not only associated with adverse health outcomes, such as cardiovascular diseases, liver

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

damage, and psychiatric disorders, but also poses ethical challenges related to fairness in sports and informed consent in medical practice [6].

The ethical considerations in steroid prescription extend beyond health risks to broader concerns of patient autonomy, medical paternalism, and professional integrity. Physicians often face dilemmas when patients request steroids for non-medical purposes or insist on continued use despite known risks [7]. This study aims to explore these ethical dimensions, focusing on how healthcare providers navigate these challenges while upholding professional and ethical standards.

The prescription and use of steroids present complex ethical challenges in medical practice. Despite their therapeutic benefits, corticosteroids can lead to severe side effects when used inappropriately, raising concerns about patient safety and informed consent. Anabolic steroids, while medically indicated in certain cases, are frequently misused for performance enhancement, prompting ethical debates on medical responsibility and patient autonomy [8]. Physicians are often caught in a dilemma when patients demand steroids for non-medical or potentially harmful purposes. Some patients may downplay the risks associated with long-term steroid use, while others may be influenced by societal pressures to enhance physical appearance or athletic performance [9]. In such cases, healthcare providers must balance respect for patient autonomy with their duty to prevent harm, a principle enshrined in medical ethics. Additionally, the increasing accessibility of steroids through illicit markets complicates the issue. Patients who do not receive prescriptions from ethical physicians may resort to self-medication or obtaining steroids from unregulated sources, leading to further health risks [10]. This situation underscores the need for clear ethical guidelines and professional standards in steroid prescription. This study aims to examine the ethical principles that guide the prescription of corticosteroids and anabolic steroids, investigate the challenges physicians face when patients request steroids for non-medical purposes, explore the role of informed consent and patient autonomy in steroid prescription, assess the impact of regulatory frameworks and medical guidelines on ethical steroid use, and provide recommendations for ethical decision-making in steroid prescription. The study is significant because it contributes to the ongoing discourse on medical ethics by providing insights into the ethical challenges associated with steroid prescription. It also highlights the importance of patient autonomy in medical treatment, as physicians must balance their duty to ensure patient safety with respecting individuals' rights to make informed decisions about their healthcare. The study has practical implications for medical practitioners, policymakers, and regulatory bodies. By identifying gaps in current ethical guidelines and regulatory frameworks, the study can inform policy recommendations that promote responsible steroid use. Clearer guidelines on ethical steroid prescription can help mitigate misuse while ensuring appropriate treatment based on medical necessity. Lastly, the findings will be valuable for public awareness and education, as many individuals who use steroids, particularly anabolic steroids, are unaware of the associated risks and ethical concerns. The ethical dimensions of steroid prescription are multifaceted, involving considerations of patient autonomy, medical responsibility, and professional integrity. By disseminating knowledge on this subject, the study can contribute to more informed and responsible steroid use in medical practice.

Autonomy and Informed Consent

Autonomy and informed consent are crucial ethical principles in medical practice, ensuring patients have the right to make decisions about their healthcare without coercion. In the context of steroid prescription, these principles become particularly significant due to the potential for misuse, dependency, and adverse health effects [11]. Patient autonomy refers to an individual's right to make healthcare decisions without coercion, provided they have the capacity to do so. Healthcare providers must respect patients' autonomy while ensuring their choices are well-informed, acknowledging their preferences and values but balancing them against medical expertise and ethical considerations. Informed consent is a process by which patients voluntarily agree to a treatment after understanding its risks, benefits, alternatives, and potential consequences. In steroid prescriptions, this involves thorough discussions on potential side effects, risk of dependency, long-term health consequences, and alternative treatments. Physicians must ensure patients comprehend this information and are not influenced by misinformation, especially when seeking steroids for off-label or non-therapeutic uses. Challenges in upholding autonomy and informed consent include patient non-adherence, pressure from athletes or bodybuilders, cultural and social influences, and legal and regulatory constraints [12]. Physicians must strive to educate patients about steroid use, mitigate risks, and advocate for evidence-based medical decisions while respecting their rights. Ethical challenges arise when patients request steroids for inappropriate reasons, requiring a delicate balance between respecting autonomy and upholding professional responsibility.

Beneficence and Patient Well-being

The ethical principle of beneficence mandates healthcare providers to prioritize patients' well-being by providing treatments that offer the greatest overall benefit while minimizing potential harm. Steroids, particularly corticosteroids, are effective in managing conditions like autoimmune diseases, severe allergies, asthma, and This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

inflammatory disorders [13]. However, they also carry potential long-term risks, such as osteoporosis and bone fracture, cardiovascular complications, hormonal imbalances, metabolic effects, and psychological effects. Physicians must adopt a patient-centered approach to patient care, which includes personalized treatment plans, dose optimization, monitoring and follow-up, risk mitigation strategies, and exploring alternative treatments when appropriate. However, challenges in upholding beneficence include patient expectations and preferences, non-medical use of steroids, healthcare access and cost barriers, and medical uncertainty [14]. Some patients may demand prolonged steroid use due to immediate relief effects, while others may seek steroids for performance enhancement or aesthetic purposes. Healthcare access and cost barriers can make it difficult for patients with limited access to healthcare to receive alternative treatments, leading to prolonged steroid dependency. Medical uncertainty can also complicate the decision-making process. Beneficence in steroid prescription requires physicians to balance immediate therapeutic benefits with long-term health risks, ensuring treatment strategies promote overall patient well-being [15]. By prioritizing patient-centered care and ethical decision-making, healthcare providers can fulfill their duty of beneficence while safeguarding long-term health outcomes.

Non-Maleficence and Avoiding Harm

The ethical principle of "do no harm" is crucial in medical practice, especially in the context of steroid prescription. It obligates healthcare providers to avoid actions that may cause unnecessary harm or suffering to patients [16]. Steroids, particularly corticosteroids and anabolic steroids, can have significant physiological and psychological effects that compromise patient safety if not properly managed. Ethical concerns surrounding non-maleficence in steroid therapy primarily revolve around preventing harm from misuse, side effects, and dependency. Steroids can have metabolic and cardiovascular risks, such as increased blood sugar levels, elevated cholesterol and blood pressure, muscle atrophy, and hormonal imbalances. Psychological and behavioral effects include mood swings, aggression, anxiety, depression, and steroid-induced psychosis. To prevent overprescription and self-medicine, physicians must avoid excessive prescribing, reserve long-term steroid therapy for cases where benefits significantly outweigh risks, and educate patients about the risks of self-medication [17]. Additionally, strict regulatory measures and ethical guidelines should be enforced to prevent non-medical steroid prescriptions. To uphold non-maleficence, healthcare providers must implement strict monitoring protocols, such as comprehensive patient evaluation, dose optimization, routine monitoring, patient education, and prevention of misuse. By minimizing unnecessary harm, avoiding overprescription, and preventing misuse, healthcare providers can uphold the ethical principle of "do no harm" while ensuring effective and ethical patient care.

Justice and Equitable Access

Justice in healthcare involves fairness, equality, and the equitable distribution of medical resources, including steroids for legitimate medical conditions. In the context of steroid prescription, justice involves ensuring fair access to treatment for all patients, addressing disparities in healthcare distribution, and preventing unethical use of steroids in competitive sports. Fair access to steroid treatment means that all patients should receive it, regardless of their financial background, race, or social status [18]. Healthcare providers must avoid preferential treatment and decisions regarding steroid prescriptions should be based on clinical necessity rather than personal biases or external pressures. Challenges to equitable access include cost and availability, healthcare infrastructure, and insurance coverage issues. Solutions include affordable pricing strategies, improved healthcare infrastructure, and public health policies advocating for universal access to essential medications, including steroids. Addressing disparities in steroid distribution involves ensuring fair prescription practices across different patient demographics, strengthening medical training programs, and increasing global efforts to ensure essential steroid medications are available in developing countries. The ethical dilemma of performance-enhancing steroids in sports raises issues of competitive fairness, health risks, and regulatory enforcement. Regulatory responses include strict anti-doping policies, education and awareness, and medical oversight. Justice in steroid prescription requires ensuring fair access to medically necessary treatments while preventing unethical or unfair use [19]. Healthcare providers and policymakers must work to eliminate healthcare disparities in steroid access, ensure unbiased and evidence-based prescription practices, and combat steroid misuse in sports to uphold competitive fairness.

Ethical Challenges and Future Considerations

Steroid prescription is a complex ethical issue influenced by medical advancements, regulatory policies, patient rights, and public health concerns. Healthcare professionals face ethical dilemmas in balancing individual patient rights with broader societal responsibilities [20]. Regulation and oversight challenges include inconsistent regulations, availability without prescription, and illegal use. Off-label use raises concerns about safety and efficacy, while physician discretion is crucial [18]. Balancing patient autonomy with public health concerns involves balancing patient rights with broader public health risks. Solutions include establishing clear ethical guidelines, increasing clinical research on off-label applications, and strengthening informed consent protocols. Future considerations in steroid prescription ethics include advancements in research and alternative therapies, ethical use. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

of steroids in competitive sports, and strengthening healthcare policies. Future ethical considerations include strengthening healthcare policies, addressing socioeconomic barriers, and global collaboration among medical organizations, policymakers, and research institutions. The ethical challenges surrounding steroid prescription continue to evolve, requiring healthcare providers to navigate complex dilemmas while upholding patient welfare, medical integrity, and public health interests [21]. Future advancements in regulation, research, and education will play a crucial role in ensuring ethical, safe, and responsible steroid use.

CONCLUSION

Steroid prescription ethics are a complex issue that involves medical responsibility, patient autonomy, and societal concerns. Healthcare providers must balance the therapeutic benefits of corticosteroids and anabolic steroids with the potential for harm, misuse, and dependency. By adhering to ethical principles like autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice, medical professionals can prioritize patient well-being while minimizing risks. Informed consent and patient autonomy are crucial in making ethical decisions, especially when patients request steroids for non-medical purposes or are influenced by societal pressures. Healthcare providers must also be vigilant in preventing harm by educating patients, implementing strict monitoring protocols, and avoiding overprescription. Equitable access to steroids for legitimate medical purposes and robust regulatory frameworks are vital for promoting fairness and protecting public health. As the landscape of steroid prescription evolves, clearer guidelines, patient education, and addressing healthcare disparities are essential.

REFERENCES

1. Berning, J.M., Adams, K.J., Stamford, B.A.: Anabolic steroid usage in athletics: facts, fiction, and public relations. *J Strength Cond Res.* 18, 908–917 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1519/14813.1>
2. Alturaymi, M.A., Almadhi, O.F., Alageel, Y.S., Bin Dayel, M., Alsubayyil, M.S., Alkhateeb, B.F.: The Association Between Prolonged Use of Oral Corticosteroids and Mental Disorders: Do Steroids Have a Role in Developing Mental Disorders? *Cureus.* 15, e37627. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.37627>
3. Wenbo, Z., Yan, Z.: The Uses of Anabolic Androgenic Steroids Among Athletes; Its Positive and Negative Aspects- A Literature Review. *J Multidiscip Healthc.* 16, 4293–4305 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S439384>
4. Gavin T, Madeline S, Andrea L H, Jessica A W, Jessica K, Max A, Qing C, Najla E J, Margaret L M, Daniel J W, Bruce R B, Alexander K, Robin R S, Dan K, Shernan G H, Armin R (2021). Shotgun sequencing of the faecal microbiome to predict response to steroids in patients with lower gastrointestinal acute graft-versus-host disease: An exploratory analysis. *British journal of haematology*, 192, 3. Dio 10.1111/bjh.17238
5. Hodgens, A., Sharman, T.: Corticosteroids. In: StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island (FL) (2025)
6. Celalettin U, Jacqueline B, Debra A M, Eris T, Marisa M, Armin R, Mallory W, Ece M, Shernan H, Daniel W, Sunita N (2020). Necessity for treatment of steroid refractory severe GIT GVHD: patience of providers. *Bone marrow transplantation*, 55, (4), 833–835.
7. Varkey, B.: Principles of Clinical Ethics and Their Application to Practice. *Med Princ Pract.* 30, 17–28 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1159/000509119>
8. Leslie, S.W., Rahman, S., Ganesan, K.: Anabolic Steroids. In: StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island (FL) (2025)
9. Pope, H.G., Kanayama, G., Ionescu-Pioggia, M., Hudson, J.I.: Anabolic steroid users' attitudes towards physicians. *Addiction.* 99, 1189–1194 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00781.x>
10. Kimergård, A., McVeigh, J.: Environments, risk and health harms: a qualitative investigation into the illicit use of anabolic steroids among people using harm reduction services in the UK. *BMJ Open.* 4, e005275 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005275>
11. Pietrzykowski, T., Smilowska, K.: The reality of informed consent: empirical studies on patient comprehension—systematic review. *Trials.* 22, 57 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-020-04969-w>
12. Zubair M. F, Oladosu I A, Olawore N O, Usman L A, Fakunle C O, Hamid A A, Ali M S (2011). Bioactive steroid from the root bark of *Psorospermum corymbiferum*. *Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines*, 9, (4), 264–266. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1875-5364\(11\)60062-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1875-5364(11)60062-9)
13. Cheraghi, R., Valizadeh, L., Zamanzadeh, V., Hassankhani, H., Jafarzadeh, A.: Clarification of ethical principle of the beneficence in nursing care: an integrative review. *BMC Nurs.* 22, 89 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-023-01246-4>
14. AlShareef, S., Gokarakonda, S.B., Marwaha, R.: Anabolic Steroid Use Disorder. In: StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island (FL) (2025)

15. Shernan G H, Ashraf S, Armin R, Brian C B, Margaret L M, Celalettin U, Khalid A, Byron V, Justin H, Alexander K, Daniel K, Mukta A, Todd E D, Darrell J, Angela P, Bruce R B, Daniel J W, Jinhua W (2019). RNA Sequencing of Intestinal Biopsies Expands the T Cell-Centric Paradigm of Steroid-Refractory Acute Graft-Versus-Host Disease. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation*, 25, (3), S295.
16. Kornhauser Cerar, L., Lucovnik, M.: Ethical Dilemmas in Neonatal Care at the Limit of Viability. *Children (Basel)*. 10, 784 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.3390/children10050784>
17. Nasereddin, L., Alnajjar, O., Bashar, H., Abuarab, S.F., Al-Adwan, R., Chellappan, D.K., Barakat, M.: Corticosteroid-Induced Psychiatric Disorders: Mechanisms, Outcomes, and Clinical Implications. *Diseases*. 12, 300 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.3390/diseases12120300>
18. Margaret L M, Shernan G H, Armin R, Todd E D, Claudio G B, John E W, Bruce R B, Daniel J W (2018). Validation of minnesota acute GVHD risk score and identification of new factors associated with initial response to steroids: not all GVHD is created equal. *Blood*, 132, 67, <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-99-113085>
19. Henning, A., McLean, K., Andreasson, J., Dimeo, P.: Risk and enabling environments in sport: Systematic doping as harm reduction. *Int J Drug Policy*. 91, 102897 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2020.102897>
20. Hamid A A, Mohammad H, Arjun S, Balakishan B, Prema G V, Jayanta S, Debabrata C, Feroz K, Aiyelaagbe O O, Arvind S N (2014). Synthesis of novel anticancer agents through opening of spiroacetal ring of diosgenin. *Steroids*, 87, 108-118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2014.05.025>
21. Melaku, T., Zeynudin, A., Suleman, S.: Ethical challenges and dilemmas in the rationing of health commodities and provision of high-risk clinical services during COVID-19 pandemic in Ethiopia: the experiences of frontline health workers. *Philosophy, Ethics, and Humanities in Medicine*. 18, 6 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13010-023-00136-6>

CITE AS: Kintuza Lumwako Tebulo. (2025). Understanding the Ethical Implications of Steroid Prescription: Balancing Patient Autonomy and Medical Responsibility. *Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research* 4(3): 60-64. <https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.3.6064>