

Legal Communication in Environmental Policy Advocacy

Kagaba Amina G.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the critical role of legal communication in environmental policy advocacy, examining its function as a conduit between legal discourse, public awareness, and policymaking. Legal communication transcends courtroom dialogues, encompassing broader societal conversations on environmental governance. As environmental challenges intensify, advocacy organizations increasingly rely on strategic legal communication to influence policy, shape public opinion, and engage stakeholders. The study investigates the frameworks, rhetorical tools, and ethical considerations involved in environmental legal advocacy. It highlights how effective messaging, media engagement, public participation, and the strategic use of litigation can shape environmental outcomes. Case studies, including legal confrontations with U.S. federal agencies, illustrate how legal communication fosters civic engagement and elevates environmental justice. Finally, the paper underscores the pivotal role of non-governmental organizations in framing international and local environmental policies through legally grounded advocacy. By synthesizing these insights, the paper offers a comprehensive overview of how legal communication empowers democratic participation and advances sustainable development goals.

Keywords: Legal communication, environmental law, policy advocacy, public participation, environmental justice, media strategy, legal frameworks, NGOs.

INTRODUCTION

Legal communication is an evolving area within communication studies, focusing on the processes, types, and societal roles of communication in the legal context. Despite various models, defining it remains challenging. Primarily, legal communication pertains to interactions within the legal system and communications outside of it concerning legal matters. It encompasses discourse on laws, legal institutions, and the legal system across different public spheres. It includes discussions on how these elements affect government and society. Scholars, particularly political scientists, have historically examined the structure and impact of law, the courts, and legislation within governmental processes. Legal communication is crucial as it intersects with studies on the law and its societal implications. Furthermore, for communication to qualify as legal communication, it must occur across diverse public environments. Worldwide, public opinion polls, insightful editorials, investigative journalism, and political dialogues have fostered civil society's role, allowing for a degree of political engagement not found in formal systems. Legal frameworks significantly influence political outcomes by encouraging public discourse, participation, and advocacy [1, 2].

Understanding Environmental Policy

Navigating sustainability and development, policy engagement on environmental issues is vital for advocacy organizations. These decisions stem from years of strategy, learning, and resource investment. Engaging in policy ensures decision makers are informed about the evidence and considerations of an issue, while thoroughly considering possible consequences and stakeholder interests. By providing evidence and analysis, advocacy groups aim to "get the facts right" and prevent poor decisions. Key principles include emphasizing existing decision-making processes, incentivizing climate-conscious decisions, maintaining political neutrality, and partnering with decision makers. Funding often comes

from multi-issue or foundation sources, primarily for capacity-building that encourages political engagement. Engagement typically seeks to avert poor outcomes rather than directly advocating for policies. Many organizations focus on developing sound policy and ensuring specific individuals maintain influence with decision-makers. Evaluating whether internal understandings of issues are effectively communicated is crucial, as recognizing threats to good decisions post-factum is too late. Political change is a gradual process; effective decisions require years of evidence and strategic environmental management. Advocacy groups strive to enhance their capacity to evaluate the impacts of change or lack thereof, using a variety of evidence bases to create responses to these scenarios [3, 4].

The Role of Advocacy in Environmental Law

Environmental harm is a major concern for the public and state governments today. Environmental organizations use advocacy to counteract environmental oppression. This approach, often based on a judicial model, aims to argue for or shape beliefs towards particular policies. Advocacy is a primary focus for many groups due to the perceived need for stronger environmental protection and public welfare. It involves actions to influence the decisions of others, such as lobbying, writing letters to editors, or organizing petitions. It also encompasses less direct activities like report writing, social media outreach, and local organization engagement. Advocacy is performed by civil society and NGOs both directly and indirectly. When communicating with the public about decision-makers, advocacy can target specific officials. Environmental organizations seek to merge legal elements with narrative-driven rhetorical analysis. Although the public lacks legal expertise, they are knowledgeable about environmental issues, necessitating organizations to bridge this gap. Understanding metaphor in legal discourse can aid in public interpretation and highlight the need for a broader understanding of legal communication [5, 6].

Key Legal Frameworks in Environmental Policy

Environmental problems arise from civilization's development. Recognizing the need for rules governing human actions that affect the earth, air, water, flora, fauna, and climate is essential for modern legal systems. Despite numerous environmental laws, questions about their coherence and common core persist. Unlike well-defined fields like tax or criminal law, environmental law lacks a widely accepted concept due to its varied regulations and principles. This absence hinders discussions among legal professionals about common issues and understanding of the field as a whole. Environmental law encompasses complex intellectual terrain but fails to establish a unified framework for articulating its doctrine. My proposed framework identifies two primary characteristics of environmental issues: physical public resources and their pervasive interrelatedness. Physical public resources lead to three defining conditions for conflicts regarding these resources: multiplicity of uses, ownership definitions that characterize these resources as public, and collective management interests that form part of environmental law-making. However, the diverse uses of these resources and their interconnectedness create persistent and challenging conflicts. These use conflicts highlight the policy trade-offs that shape environmental law-making [7, 8].

Strategies for Effective Legal Communication

Legal communication is crucial for developing timely environmental policies. Effective communication strategies are essential for delivering legal arguments that advocate for environmental protections. Advocacy attorneys can frame legal arguments to highlight the significance of proposed rules, moving beyond mere legal interpretations. Targeted questioning can emphasize the consequences of agency decisions, clarifying the need for protections and addressing how modifications to existing regulations align with statutory directives. Additionally, focusing communication on the values or goals behind legal arguments can motivate agency leadership to reaffirm environmental protection mandates, promote environmental justice, or focus on climate change impacts. These strategies can challenge opposing views that frame protections as overreaches or burdens, and they can be tailored for various policy levels while considering legal constraints. Environmental advocates play a vital role even without formal rulemaking authority, and courts support vigorous advocacy as essential for participatory governance. It is beneficial for agencies to consider advocates' positions in their deliberations to ensure a comprehensive record when opting to disregard specific perspectives [9, 10].

Case Studies in Environmental Advocacy

In 2017, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposed amendments affecting local air regulations tied to auto and truck manufacturing, facing legal challenges from New York, California, and other states. These states argued in the U.S. District Court for D.C. that the amendments were arbitrary

and illegal for two main reasons: they claimed the EPA had to strictly follow laws regarding state implementation plans, which had not been met, and that the withdrawal of climate benefits, worth \$16 billion, was irrational. During the litigation, both sides debated policies for socio-economic benefit redistribution and the transparency of co-benefits monetization. The EPA acknowledged the states' claims but declined to vacate the amendments, citing impracticality in reinstating previous conditions. In March 2019, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) amended energy conservation standards for general service lamps, a decision met with opposition from several states, including New Jersey and California, who argued the DOE's actions were arbitrary and legally unsound. The petitioners contended that the rejection of the amendment constituted rulemaking and was not arbitrary. Conversely, the DOE defended its stance, stating that the outcome of emphasizing the final rules was irrational and highlighted that its commitment to thoroughly consider test procedures was unworkable [11, 12].

The Impact of Media on Environmental Advocacy

Almost any action taken by environmental advocates is likely to require some communication with the media. Media coverage can make or break an advocacy effort. Therefore, advocacy strategies should include evaluations of the media landscape in the issue at hand, and strategies tailored to the media. In addition to when and where, it is also important to evaluate the people involved in the media landscape. Not only who are influential journalists, but also how are the stories likely to be framed? Environmental advocates can do research and find out: which outlets use which frames, and whether they are sympathetic to their framing and cause? It is also crucial to identify journalists who are likely to be sympathetic and trustworthy. When the "squeaky wheel" gets coverage, it is often the public finding out about "bad apples" and shaming them into compliance through media stories. Therefore, environmental advocates can be proactive in getting stories told that highlight best practices in allowing the public and media to infiltrate spaces where people expect to be safe, for instance, Academy Award-nominated films about fracking, or thalidomide, or February's exposé of China's pollution. The media can effectively highlight the importance of an issue, but they are also incredibly conservative. It is therefore helpful for the media to illustrate the importance of an issue with the best representations available. These may be proposed changes of conduct, policy, or law, or they could be personified representations, like the visual representation of cars concerning parking policies. Thoughtful design of images is therefore, extremely important, even if they require some investment. It may be worth finding inexpensive ways to employ credible professionals, or even ask them to be involved with preparation of graphics, before handing off the design and control to someone less experienced. Since environmental advocacy often has a complicated storyline erupting from a tendency for evolution over time, preparation of lawn signs and posters may be required prior to any actual resolutions, laws, or designated control authorities [13, 14].

Public Participation in Environmental Decision-Making

In the 1970s, American administrative law entered a notable phase, with environmental issues gaining prominence and leading to the development of environmental law. Initial optimism faded into a sense of defeat over the years. Today, environmental protection remains a pressing public policy challenge, raising foundational legal questions from both domestic and global viewpoints. The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) emerged as a key element of environmental law. However, decades later, many government actions proceed without an EIS. In 1988, only 3 to 5 percent of U.S. construction projects required compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), which included public comment on environmental impacts. Public perception of participation is mixed; it appears to provide influence in decision-making, yet the reality often undermines this belief. Before NEPA, legal challenges were rare, rendering pre-decision public engagement largely ineffective. While agencies must consider public input significantly, decisions often proceed despite comprehensive criticism and impacts. Instances where stricter legal standards lead to different outcomes are uncommon. A key goal of NEPA's public participation is to enhance deliberative decision-making [15, 16].

Ethical Considerations in Legal Communication

Legal communication often follows strict professional conduct standards that can vary by jurisdiction. In the U.S., the American Bar Association's Model Rules serve as a guideline. Environmental advocates in legal contexts should refrain from making false claims and clarify who funds their communication while treating courts with respect. However, they may feel pressured by audiences expecting aggressive rhetoric, similar to criticisms faced by an outdoor journalist perpetuating myths about Northern California lumberjacks. An example is California regulations intended to reduce greenhouse gas emissions

from the transportation sector. These regulations, which could eliminate a million gasoline cars, faced backlash from public advocates when proposed by a corporate lawyer. Over-the-top rhetoric can alienate audiences, particularly in discussions about pressing issues like asthma-related air pollution from diesel trucks. Environmental advocates can also shape discourse; thus, their communications must be impactful. Poor messaging breeds hostility, complicating future negotiations. Outside of courtroom settings, influencing policy can seem futile. While logic should appeal to all interests, understanding values and improving policies is crucial, and sometimes simplistically appealing strategies, as seen in the steel industry, miss the mark [17, 18].

The Role of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOS)

Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) are crucial in environmental policy advocacy. The environmental NGO community encompasses scientists, activists, and concerned citizens who often feel powerless against government and industry. Some groups monitor corporate and governmental activities, while others lobby for change. They participate in public hearings and industry planning sessions, pushing for influence at all levels. On an international scale, NGOs, intergovernmental organizations (IGOs), and governments collaborate to address shared interests, enabling central authorities to enact international law. Environmental NGOs are recognized as tough negotiators, representing transnational interests more effectively than governments. Representatives from marginalized states often engage deeply with NGOs, enhancing their involvement in negotiations. NGOs provide valuable guidance in international forums, supported by parallels to national bureaucracies. In the Great Lakes negotiations, Canadian and U.S. NGOs played key roles in public outreach and technical reviews, facilitating cooperation through established frameworks. Different NGOs faced unique challenges in implementing agreements, with some aiming for cooperative regional management on an international scale. Their technical expertise and political acumen positioned environmental NGOs as credible negotiators, allowing international regulations to be utilized locally, thus broadening decision-making and integrating various governmental entities [19, 20].

Technology and Environmental Advocacy

A growing number of environmental organizations use electronic tools to increase public awareness and mobilize grassroots support for policy-making on Capitol Hill and state legislatures. The Internet offers a cost-effective means for people to engage with their passions. E-mail is an essential medium for disseminating information, petitions, and advocacy. E-mail campaigns allow advocacy groups to send identical messages, or "form letters," to policymakers, leading to thousands of similar letters in one day. Known as mass e-mail campaigns, these initiatives have roots in early electronic networks and are now often used to support legislation on health, civil rights, and environmental issues. They are viewed as effective in activating constituents in state politics, though research is limited on their impact. The sheer volume of e-mails may indicate campaign effectiveness better than individual message content. Public mobilization can bypass administrative processes, with grassroots groups acting independently from national organizations. The American environmental movement originated in grassroots activism, and this legacy continues to inspire local efforts. While contemporary movements feature national organizations and celebrity spokespersons, they often maintain a diverse and layered approach. Electronic advocacy is likely changing public discussions in federal rulemaking, with environmental activism remaining rich and varied, encompassing both national and local organizing efforts [21, 22].

Challenges In Legal Communication for Environmental Policy

Legal communication is essential for effective advocacy in environmental policy, requiring organizations to develop a credible communication style targeting specific audiences. This poses challenges for many environmental groups, particularly those that are traditional or elite, as they must adapt to rapid changes in environmental threats and communication dynamics. The pressing need for effective communication was highlighted during the Earth Summit, where experts gathered to address the issues surrounding 'Communicating the Environment.' Despite the elite nature of these discussions, wider dissemination of insights on effective communication strategies was deemed crucial, prompting calls for partnerships among various stakeholders including public interest organizations, trade unions, scientific institutions, and the media. Climate litigation has emerged as a key avenue for addressing climate change worldwide, necessitating the mobilization of resources and public support. However, the aspects of climate litigation remain underexplored, particularly in developing countries like Indonesia, where it is on the rise. This paper examines how various actors contribute to climate litigation discourse in Indonesia, drawing on

framing theory and focusing on six significant cases. The findings indicate that civil society organizations, academia, and the media frame climate litigation through three main discourses: public awareness, social mobilization support, and political pressure. These discourses involve varied framing strategies and engage diverse networks of actors targeting different audiences, all relevant to climate action in Indonesia. Moving beyond traditional views of environmental communication, eco-feminist perspectives, and the inclusion of local communities as valid knowledge holders offer alternative lenses for analyzing communication within legally challenging contexts. Given the increasing concern for environmental issues in the legal field, further exploration of discursive research benefits for legal communication is needed [23, 24].

Future Trends in Environmental Policy Advocacy

By 2030, environmental policy advocacy may undergo significant changes that benefit advocacy organizations. Emerging smart technologies could integrate advocacy into a participatory landscape, where users' devices gather information and suggest policy changes. Alongside preference aggregation, machine learning could identify patterns in information flow, helping individuals create impactful narratives from their data. This approach may yield a wealth of personal stories relevant to environmental choices, which, if framed appropriately, can draw significant public attention to environmental issues. Additionally, enhanced computational resources may allow advocacy to be increasingly personalized, similar to public relations efforts that pinpoint optimal data sources and inquiries to understand preferences and emotions, enhancing influence. Public opinion is vital, shaping the political agenda and legislative outcomes. Public preferences can highlight areas amenable to advocacy and signal policy options likely excluded from political discourse. When the system is receptive, tools like social networks and media analysis can effectively frame messages and harness individual experiences to raise awareness and concern for environmental challenges [25, 26, 27].

CONCLUSION

Legal communication plays an indispensable role in environmental policy advocacy by translating complex legal concepts into accessible discourse that empowers public participation and policy reform. As environmental threats become increasingly urgent, the ability to strategically communicate legal positions becomes essential for advocacy organizations, NGOs, and civil society. The intersection of legal rhetoric, media influence, ethical standards, and participatory governance enables more democratic, evidence-based environmental decision-making. Legal advocacy not only holds institutions accountable but also mobilizes collective action, ensuring that environmental laws reflect public interest and ecological sustainability. The evolution of legal communication, when embedded within inclusive, transparent, and ethical frameworks, holds the potential to transform environmental governance, making it more responsive, just, and effective.

REFERENCES

1. Ferreira A. Regulating smart contracts: Legal revolution or simply evolution?. *Telecommunications Policy*. 2021 Mar 1;45(2):102081.
2. Liu YL, Huang L, Yan W, Wang X, Zhang R. Privacy in AI and the IoT: The privacy concerns of smart speaker users and the Personal Information Protection Law in China. *Telecommunications Policy*. 2022 Aug 1;46(7):102334.
3. Gautam S, Gautam AS, Awasthi A, N R. Citizen Action and Advocacy. In *Sustainable Air: Strategies for Cleaner Atmosphere and Healthier Communities 2024* Nov 28 (pp. 103-116). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. [HTML]
4. Cologna V, Knutti R, Oreskes N, Siegrist M. Majority of German citizens, US citizens and climate scientists support policy advocacy by climate researchers and expect greater political engagement. *Environmental Research Letters*. 2021 Jan 21;16(2):024011. iop.org
5. Scholl MC. German SMEs and "Home Office": Narrative-Driven Game-Based Awareness Raising with Long-Term Efficacy. In *Reimagining Education-The Role of E-Learning, Creativity, and Technology in the Post-Pandemic Era 2023* Oct 30. IntechOpen. intechopen.com
6. Carlini J. Navigating mutual vulnerability in complex services: co-designing narrative image transformative service initiatives (TSIs). *Journal of Services Marketing*. 2025 Apr 29.
7. Aagaard TS. Environmental law as a legal field: an inquiry in legal taxonomy. *Cornell L. Rev.*. 2009;95:221.

8. Ruhl JB. Climate change adaptation and the structural transformation of environmental law. *Envtl. L.* 2010;40:363.
9. Al-Tarawneh A, Al-Badawi M, Hatab WA. TRANSLATING GOVERNANCE AND LEGAL COMPLIANCE: EXPLORING THE ROLE OF TRANSLATION IN FACILITATING CORPORATE REPORTING AND POLICY IMPLEMENTATION. *Corporate Law & Governance Review*. 2024 Sep 1;6(3). [[HTML](#)]
10. Adanma UM, Ogunbiyi EO. A comparative review of global environmental policies for promoting sustainable development and economic growth. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*. 2024 May 21;6(5):954-77. [researchgate.net](#)
11. Geng G, Zheng Y, Zhang Q, Xue T, Zhao H, Tong D, Zheng B, Li M, Liu F, Hong C, He K. Drivers of PM_{2.5} air pollution deaths in China 2002–2017. *Nature Geoscience*. 2021 Sep;14(9):645-50. [google.com](#)
12. Lark TJ, Hendricks NP, Smith A, Pates N, Spawn-Lee SA, Bougie M, Booth EG, Kucharik CJ, Gibbs HK. Environmental outcomes of the US renewable fuel standard. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2022 Mar 1;119(9):e2101084119. [pnas.org](#)
13. Pickard S. Young environmental activists and Do-It-Ourselves (DIO) politics: Collective engagement, generational agency, efficacy, belonging and hope. *Journal of Youth Studies*. 2022 Jul 3;25(6):730-50.
14. Knupfer H, Neureiter A, Matthes J. From social media diet to public riot? Engagement with “greenfluencers” and young social media users' environmental activism. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2023 Feb 1;139:107527.
15. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. *Medicine*. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
16. Andrews RN. The unfinished business of national environmental policy. In *Environmental Policy and NEPA* 2024 Nov 1 (pp. 85-98). CRC Press.
17. Scott A, Cassidy R, Arnscheidt J, Jordan P. Soil phosphorus, hydrological risk and water quality carrying capacities in agricultural catchments. *Catena*. 2024 May 1;240:107964.
18. Van Loo R. The Public Stakes of Consumer Law: The Environment, the Economy, Health, Disinformation, and Beyond. *Minn. L. Rev.* 2022;107:2039.
19. Ballan B, Czarnezki JJ. Disclosure, Greenwashing, and the Future of ESG Litigation. *Wash. & Lee L. Rev.* 2024;81:545.
20. Laraswati D, Krott M, Soraya E, Rahayu S, Fisher MR, Giessen L, Maryudi A. Nongovernmental organizations as interest groups and their roles in policy processes: Insights from Indonesian forest and environmental governance. *Forest and Society*. 2022 Jun 19;6(2):570-89. [unhas.ac.id](#)
21. Hung LY, Wang SM, Yeh TK. Collaboration between the government and environmental non-governmental organisations for marine debris policy development: The Taiwan experience. *Marine Policy*. 2022 Jan 1;135:104849.
22. Shulman SW. Whither deliberation? Mass e-mail campaigns and US regulatory rulemaking. *Journal of E-Government*. 2007 Jan 1;3(3):41-64.
23. Konkes C. Green lawfare: Environmental public interest litigation and mediatized environmental conflict. *Environmental Communication*. 2018 Feb 17;12(2):191-203.
24. Awewomom J, Dzeble F, Takyi YD, Ashie WB, Ettey EN, Afua PE, Sackey LN, Opoku F, Akoto O. Addressing global environmental pollution using environmental control techniques: a focus on environmental policy and preventive environmental management. *Discover Environment*. 2024 Feb 6;2(1):8. [springer.com](#)
25. Weiss EB. The emerging structure of international environmental law. In *The Global Environment* 2023 Apr 14 (pp. 98-115). Routledge.
26. Chiappetta A. Navigating the AI frontier: European parliamentary insights on bias and regulation, preceding the AI Act. *Internet Policy Review*. 2023;12(4):1-26.
27. Webster SW, Albertson B. Emotion and politics: Noncognitive psychological biases in public opinion. *Annual review of political science*. 2022 May 12;25(1):401-18.

CITE AS: Kagaba Amina G. (2025). Legal Communication in Environmental Policy Advocacy. IAA JOURNAL OF COMMUNICATION 11(1):62-68.
<https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJC/2025/1116268>