

# Microplastics: Environmental Impact and Remediation Strategies

Mugisha Emmanuel K.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

## ABSTRACT

Microplastics (MPs), synthetic polymer particles smaller than 5 mm, have emerged as a pervasive pollutant with profound implications for ecosystems and human health. Originating from primary sources like cosmetics and industrial applications, and secondary sources such as the degradation of larger plastic waste, MPs are now ubiquitous across marine, freshwater, and terrestrial environments. This paper examines the lifecycle of microplastics from their generation and environmental distribution to their ecological and physiological impacts. The ingestion of MPs by marine organisms and terrestrial species leads to bioaccumulation, posing serious health threats throughout the food chain, including humans. Furthermore, the presence of MPs in the atmosphere raises concern for inhalation exposure. Despite global initiatives to curb plastic use, effective remediation remains a complex challenge. Current and emerging strategies, including membrane bioreactors, microbial degradation, and nanomaterial-based filtration, are evaluated for their potential in mitigating microplastic pollution. The paper also emphasizes the critical role of public education, policy development, and cross-sector collaboration in addressing this escalating crisis. A call is made for more integrated research to close knowledge gaps, especially regarding human health risks and terrestrial ecosystem impacts.

**Keywords:** Microplastics, Environmental Pollution, Marine Ecosystems, Terrestrial Contamination, Human Health, Nanoplastics, Plastic Degradation.

## INTRODUCTION

Plastics have become an intrinsic part of daily life due to their utility, durability, and versatility. Plastics were commercially produced in 1907, but production surged after WWII. Global production reached 368 million metric tons (MMT) in 2019 and is on track to exceed 1,600 MMT by 2030. Plastics are derived from fossil fuels, and their continuous injection into the environment has raised global concern. Microplastics (MPs) are synthetic polymeric particles with a diameter of 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to 5 mm. They originate from industrial processes, such as resin pellets in production and shipping, or from the breakdown of larger plastic (>5 mm) waste. As plastics deteriorate, they enter the ocean and waterways, affecting aquatic and human health. MPs are harmful to the environment and health. They are widely found in oceans, waterways, and soil, posing health risks to aquatic and terrestrial animals. Despite their small size, MPs remain in the environment for years, delivering pollutant toxins and physical effects harmful to human health. MPs also carry other pollutants, introducing ecosystem change. Plastic litter has a lower density than seawater, allowing it to float and build up in gyres. Although a global treaty prohibiting further plastic generation was proposed at the 2023 UN Environmental Assembly, remediation and prevention will continue for many years. Physical techniques including dredging or skimming particles from the surface, or using nets or booms, have proven ineffective. Protection of the most fragile parts of the environment would be helpful in the short term. Floating drones are planned to patrol ocean debris by moving to lumps and sucking it out from boats [1, 2].

### Sources of Microplastics

Plastics, known for their durability and versatility, are prevalent in the environment due to rising demand and inadequate waste disposal. They fragment into microplastics (MPs) and nanoplastics (NPs) through

mechanochemical processes like erosion. While biodegradable plastics can lessen visible waste, they primarily break down into MP fragments. MPs serve as significant microbial habitats in oceans, where bacteria utilize carbon from plastics. As disposal increased, so did plastic pollution, triggering ecological inquiries. Ocean waste disposal in deep areas sparked interest in sea lettuce near shallow waters (<60 m), with fish in plastic-littered zones consuming it. Potential sources of plastic contaminants in fish include wastewater, solid waste, and agricultural runoff. Microplastics are widespread environmental contaminants, originating from various sources, persisting and accumulating in ecosystems. They range from macroplastics (over 5 mm) to microplastics (under 5 mm) and nanoplastics (under 20 nm). Microplastics arise from larger plastic breakdown, cosmetics, clothing, and tire wear, with "microbeads" specifically relating to paint. These contaminants have been documented from sea surfaces to deep waters, ingested by organisms and causing harmful effects. Nanoplastics are concerning due to their size, being comparable to biological cells and capable of absorbing toxic materials that may impact health [3, 4].

#### **Environmental Distribution of Microplastics**

Microplastics, measuring less than 1 mm, result from plastic fragmentation or are manufactured for use in cosmetics, paints, and other products. Their prevalence has raised environmental concerns, particularly in marine settings, with studies estimating up to 580 million pieces per square kilometer. They harm marine life, ecosystems, and human health by providing surfaces for biofilms and serving as reservoirs for Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs). They can accelerate the spread of harmful microbes and parasites, altering ecosystems. Microplastics can absorb POPs, which enter food webs when ingested by marine species. The dynamics of microplastics at sea are becoming clearer. Ocean currents, upwelling, and wind-driven gyres dictate their distribution over timeframes ranging from weeks to thousands of years. Marine organisms contribute to the transport and accumulation of microplastics, which can be consumed or sedimented onto the seabed. The sedimentation process remains poorly understood and often lacks size measurement for particles smaller than 63  $\mu\text{m}$ . This analysis aims to gather data from global ocean basins, revisiting locations where microplastic samples were collected in the last two years to depict the current marine situation. It proposes a qualitative risk assessment framework to evaluate the risks of accumulated microplastics on coastal ecosystems, integrating exposure and toxicity information across various biological levels. This framework aims to make risk more comprehensible for stakeholders, highlighting critical areas for management [5, 6].

#### **Impact on Marine Life**

The ubiquity of MPs poses a serious threat to aquatic ecosystems and human health, as these particles were ingested by various marine organisms—including zooplankton, crustaceans, and fish—that eventually entered the human food chain. These contaminated organisms can also trigger other self-defense strategies against environmental stresses from chemical and physical pollutants, thus threatening the whole ecological balance. Since there is no route for cleaning up massive displaced MPs, developing effective MP removal technologies has become a critical area of research. In addition, some strategies combining physical and chemical pretreatments with subsequent microbial degradation can be used for decomposing MPs from the environment. For instance, microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, or specific enzymes are leveraged to remove MPs. Furthermore, some recent advancements also focused on some innovative methods, such as membrane bioreactors, synthetic biology, and nanomaterial-enabled strategies. Among these techniques, nano-enabled technologies will be a promising technology with substantial potential for enhancing the efficiency of MP removal. Plastic was invented around 100 years ago and has been widely used in various applications for its advantages. Many plastics have high stability or are not biodegradable under natural environmental conditions; thus, they gradually accumulate in various environmental niches worldwide, becoming persistent pollutants. Microplastics (MPs), which usually range from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to 5 mm in size, have increasingly become a prevalent type of plastic in the environment. Owing to their small size, MPs can easily enter various environmental compartments like air or aquatic systems. In particular, since they could be ingested by aquatic organisms, MPs have received significant attention as pollutants in water bodies. The existence of environmentally relevant micro-nano MPs has raised serious concerns due to their notable impacts on the health of aquatic organisms. In natural aquatic environments, drastic concentration gradients of MPs are commonly observed [7, 8].

#### **Impact on Terrestrial Ecosystems**

The environmental impacts of microplastics received widespread attention after a novel technique was developed in 2004 to sample and analyze microplastics from aquatic systems. Consequently, new and extensive datasets on microplastics in oceans, lakes, and rivers are becoming available, enabling a worldwide overview of microplastic distribution, concentration, and ecotoxicological effects. Although aquatic ecosystems are becoming progressively plastic polluted, the terrestrial environment is

acknowledged to be an essential but poorly understood sink of plastics. After it has been manufactured and used for a range of societal applications, plastic pollution occurs across a spectrum of particle sizes, from macroplastics ( $> 5$  mm) to microplastics ( $1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ - $5$  mm) to nanoplastics ( $< 1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ). Studies of how plastics are allocated and transported in the terrestrial environment are difficult and rare, and to date there is no review of the sources of plastic pollution in terrestrial ecosystems, their transport and storage processes, and the state of knowledge of how plastics affect terrestrial environments. The scope of this review is to provide a critical overview of the scientific evidence that plastic pollution enters and affects terrestrial systems, as well as to identify knowledge gaps for future research and monitoring. Specific questions addressed are where plastic pollution in terrestrial environments comes from and what pathways transport microplastics from source to sink, how and where plastics are retained, and what the state of knowledge is on the environmental effects of plastics. Most of the knowledge of plastic pollution is derived from studies undertaken in aquatic systems, given that they are generally regarded as the sink of plastic pollution. However, there is a rising body of knowledge that terrestrial systems, land, and land use are a critical input, transport, and storage zone for plastics in inland areas [9, 10].

### **Health Implications for Humans**

Recent studies have shown that microplastics circulate in the human body. The most worrying fact is that inhaled microplastics can be translocated from the airway and olfactory cavity to the brain. Overall, the evidence on the risk from microplastics to human health is still rather limited and sizable questions remain to be addressed, such as the ingestion of nanoplastics, the cumulative interactions and toxicological mechanisms of microplastics with other environmental co-exposures, and the consequences of climate change on the microplastic problem. Trends in the scientific literature pattern reveal that as a newly emergent environmental pollutant, there is increasing awareness of microplastics as a potential threat to both environmental and human health. Chemical status, size and shape distributions, habitat and uptake routes, removal mechanisms, transport vectors, health effects of microplastics, and remediation will all be explored in detail. The emerging environmental pollutants microplastics are used to refer to plastic debris with diameter less than 5 mm. Owing to both its ubiquitous presence and imperishable chemical structure, microplastics as one type of anthropogenic multiphase contaminants in the environment have raised worldwide public concerns regarding their potential adverse effects on environmental systems and health. While hunting the sources and behavior of microplastics, studies have started to examine their transport and accumulation in atmosphere, soil, freshwater and marine ecosystems, which consequently raises the question of their potential risk to human health. Although studies on microplastics in the environment and biota have skyrocketed recently, there is still a myriad of knowledge gaps regarding their impact on human health. A major difficulty is to collect sufficient evidence on their occurrence, exposure levels and toxicity in human or related biofluids, which would facilitate the assessment of the potential risk of microplastics to human health. Current background knowledge around the health implications of microplastics for humans is reviewed. Impacts from inhaled microplastics on human respiratory systems and concerns regarding marine microplastics on human digestive systems and health are elucidated. Gaps in knowledge and frontiers for future research directions are also highlighted to inspire further attention and investigation [11, 12].

### **Regulatory Frameworks**

The impact of plastic pollution on the environment is undeniable, prompting government action to establish laws aimed at reducing plastic use. Recent legislation targeting single-use plastics has sparked debate over their social, environmental, and economic benefits. Regulatory authorities must foster constructive dialogues on these issues that affect human and planetary health. Microplastics (MPs) have gained public attention due to their association with ecological problems, but the link between media attention and policy enforcement remains unexplored. This study analyzes media focus on MPs through news articles from major sources and quantitatively assesses the relationship between attention and regulation by collecting extensive public policy documents. While MPs attract less media attention than other debris, their regulation has been notably influenced by media coverage over time. Legislative proposals related to science policy and sustainable development goals have raised awareness among scientists. This finding shed light on the media-politics-science interface in scientific matters, potentially inspiring timely policy updates. Plastics and MPs are persistent pollutants increasingly found in environments worldwide, causing significant harm to aquatic life and humans. Three main plastic types include polyolefins (polystyrene, polyethylene, polypropylene), polyvinyl compounds (PVC, PVA), and polyesters (PLA, PET, PBS). More than 70 plastic types are documented in water bodies, with polyethylene, polypropylene, and PVC being the most prevalent [13, 14].

### Current Remediation Strategies

Membrane technology, particularly ultrafiltration, has shown promise in microplastic removal due to its ability to filter microparticles and high-water flux capability. Crossflow filtration systems improve water treatment efficiency, and recent improvements in operational costs and membrane life extension have been developed. Membrane fouling, however, affects stability, resistance, and permeability. Fouling mechanisms depend on factors like membrane properties and microbial community, and different cleaning strategies can be applied to restore membrane performance. Membrane bioreactor (MBR) technology combines biological and membrane filtration processes to treat municipal and industrial wastewaters. MBRs have advantages over conventional processes, including high pollutant and suspended solid removal rates, limited sludge production, and lower land area requirements. However, MBRs are not widely accepted in wastewater treatment due to poor understanding of pore structure, fouling prediction, and high operational costs. Recent research focuses on MP concentration effect on MBR performance parameters. The dominating mechanism for improving MBR performance is believed to be microbial floc size enlargement and lower permeate water resistance [15, 16].

### Innovative Approaches to Remediation

Microplastics (MPs) are a significant environmental concern in both aquatic and terrestrial systems, consisting of plastic particles smaller than 5 mm. They can originate from the weathering of larger plastics or be produced intentionally for use in various consumer products, including cosmetics and household items. With hydrophobic surfaces and large surface areas, MPs attract persistent organic pollutants (POPs), toxic metals, and pathogens, which can adversely affect ecosystems and human health. Despite global bans on certain plastics, many developing countries continue to use plastic bags, contributing to fragmentation and degradation of plastics into MPs, which enter water bodies. Additionally, wastewater irrigation of agricultural lands can introduce MPs into soil. MPs serve as transport media for POPs and human pathogens, posing risks to the biosphere. Accurate detection and characterization of MPs in environmental samples are essential to understand their environmental fate. MPs, often in the sub-micron range, are difficult to detect using conventional light microscopy due to their similarity to natural organic particles. Advanced techniques such as mass spectrometry (Raman, FTIR, LDI-MS) and microscopy methods (SEM, AFM, TEM) are utilized for the quantification of MPs in terms of size, number, density, and surface properties. Research is ongoing to study the release of MPs from various products and the effectiveness of biological treatment processes in wastewater treatment plants. This includes investigating the fate of MPs during sewage treatment, the mechanics of filtration processes, and exploring adsorbent modifications for enhanced MP removal from contaminated environments. Although there is a growing body of research on MPs in environmental matrices, comprehensive studies on their entry routes and detection methods remain limited [17, 18].

### Public Awareness and Education

Public awareness and education are crucial in combating microplastics. Although many studies address related issues, few focus on broad public awareness. These studies highlight microplastics in aquatic systems and provide reassurance about potential solutions. Various disciplines are converging to create an Online Resource Hub for Microplastics and Aquatic Systems, serving as a comprehensive knowledge base. Schools and community programs should receive support akin to climate change initiatives to encourage individual actions. Demonstrating that plastic pollution can be solved is vital. Plastics enter aquatic environments through multiple pathways and undergo weathering, making them more appealing to marine life due to low density and increased surface area. As they degrade, plastics can accumulate toxins and microbes. Biodegradation, viewed as the ultimate fate of plastics, is complex, leading to more unchanged or altered microplastics. Although biodegradable plastic pathways and their aquatic effects are not well understood, this review proposes a conceptual framework to better grasp this emerging issue. It recommends laboratory and field studies to enhance groundwater and water treatment designs and solid waste management methods. The review concludes by suggesting ways to measure and mitigate microplastics, advocating for high-level research to overcome existing knowledge gaps and effectively address this pressing societal challenge [19, 20].

### Future Research Directions

The research field of microplastics is rapidly progressing. Much attention has been devoted to embedding, accumulation, toxicology, and transport of microplastics. With regards to methods, future studies need to harmonise protocols for extraction and detection of microplastics. A complete guide of sampling, extraction, and detection methods for microplastics is presented, with discussion on their advantages and disadvantages. A set of guidelines should be laid in terms of matrix and location combined, and it should include time, size, quantity, and other factors expressed here. Before designing the method, the following key points should be given utmost importance and consideration: goal of the

study; scientific hypothesis; experimental factors: dependent and independent variables, repeatability, and reproducibility; time efficiency; cost of the procedure and reagents; environmental health and safety: toxicity of reagents; sample size; large-scale applicability; long-term goal; qualitative and quantitative aspects. From the results above, the researchers hope for the microplastics research community to come together. It is recommended that raw data be shared among researchers on a common platform to assure that the findings can be replicated, as MP research is highly variable across space and time. Another aspect is to have experts in bioinformatics, ML, AI, and deep learning work closely with environmental scientists, chemists, and biologists to write excellent research regarding the phenomenological aspects of microplastics in the environment. Moreover, it is a top priority to control the sources of plastic particularly in developing countries, and to regulate land-based sources of microplastics runoff and leaching. Thus, a dialogue in developing innovative, specific, accessible, and scalable remediation approaches involving the collaboration of engineering and social scientists is needed. On another hand, proof-of-concept studies need to be encouraged as a strategic framework to help environmental and analytical researchers to make decisions about future research and monitoring to develop models to help understand the implications of research on MPs and below-aquifers [21, 22].

### **Case Studies**

Microplastic research studies focused on their presence in textiles highlight a significant environmental issue, as washing textiles releases substantial microplastic fibers daily. Initial studies investigated synthetic microplastics, particularly fibers, which were dissolved for identification and analyzed using various methods. Techniques such as FTIR and Raman Spectroscopy were utilized, with detailed explanations of the procedures. Plastic pollution has garnered attention from researchers, policymakers, and organizations worldwide, recognized as a pressing global challenge. Microplastics, prevalent across ecosystems, can be categorized by source, shape, size, and density. They may adversely affect organisms through direct interactions and serve as carriers for pollutants and pathogens. Although microplastics are widely detected in the environment, clear regulations for monitoring and controlling them remain lacking. Several methods are employed for sampling microplastics, and selection should align with the matrix of interest. This study aims to summarize extraction methods for microplastics from complex environmental samples, considering sample types and complexities while addressing current knowledge gaps and suggesting future research directions and recommendations [23, 24].

### **Challenges in Microplastics Research**

Despite rising concerns about microplastics, understanding their fate, transport, and degradation is still inadequate. This hasn't stopped a surge in research on their environmental impact, largely disconnected from the mechanisms involved. The growing public awareness of plastic pollution has triggered numerous legislative efforts to limit plastic use, like banning microbeads. Diverging views between scientists and legislators can lead to misguided precautionary measures and ineffective remediation strategies for microplastics in waste management. Addressing these knowledge gaps is vital for ecology, conservation, and toxicity studies. A critical review could outline essential research directions to better inform regulatory policies on microplastics and inspire similar approaches in related fields like chemistry and toxicology. In upstream research, models predicting microplastic release during the plastic lifecycle have been developed, but data gaps remain in understanding the means and locations of releases. This has led to prioritization of categories and field trials aimed at reducing microplastic pollution. Reviewing the processes of microplastic generation for various plastics like PE, PVC, PS, and PP has highlighted reduction opportunities and existing knowledge deficiencies, suggesting the potential for strategies similar to those used for other marine pollutants [25, 26].

### **Global Collaboration and Initiatives**

Plastic pollution is an urgent global issue that has led to calls for clean-up actions in aquatic and terrestrial environments, as part of the global solution to mitigate plastic pollution. To address this pressing crisis, the United Nations Environment Assembly is currently moving towards the establishment of an international legally binding treaty to address plastic pollution by 2024. Although plastic clean-up technologies hold great potential to mitigate plastic pollution, there is a growing need for research on their deployment. Plastic remediation technologies have been developed and deployed globally, with an increase in interest and investment by governments and businesses during the past few years. A mapping study was conducted to understand the diverse landscape of plastic clean-up technologies. Based on the most recent available knowledge, this text covers plastic remediation technologies focused on clean-up of plastic litter already in the environment and lists examples of mitigation technologies that tackle the plastic problem through a reduction in waste. The text provides recommendations for evaluation, data collection and research needs for future actions to understand the effectiveness of clean-up technologies. The most commonly deployed plastic clean-up technologies are (i)

passive (fixed) clean-up systems, which use barriers to collect plastics and (ii) mobile clean-up systems, which seek to collect plastics from the water column. Other technologies also target plastics on the surface, in sediment or in water column within aquatic environments, including (i) interventions, which involve changes in the environment to prevent plastics from accumulating, and (ii) plastic-processing clean-up systems, which are designed to remove plastics post-collection. A small but growing number of technologies have been deployed in non-aquatic environments, including (i) filtration for sand, (ii) mobile ball-cleaners, (iii) vacuums for undeveloped areas and (iv) incinerators aiming to process and convert litter into fuel and energy. However, different development stages and locations of deployment render it difficult to assess and compare the effectiveness of the varied plastic clean-up technologies [27, 28, 29]

## CONCLUSION

Microplastics have transitioned from a localized pollution issue to a global environmental crisis with long-lasting ecological and health repercussions. Their minute size allows them to permeate air, water, and soil, where they act as vectors for toxic compounds and pathogens, infiltrating food webs and human biological systems. While research has illuminated the environmental footprint of microplastics, critical knowledge gaps remain especially concerning their long-term health implications and behavior in terrestrial environments. Although promising remediation techniques such as membrane bioreactors, microbial treatment, and nanotechnology have emerged, they require further optimization and scaling. Addressing microplastic pollution demands a multipronged strategy: stronger regulatory action, innovation in waste management, and proactive public education. Collaborative global efforts must be intensified to reduce plastic production, enhance environmental monitoring, and invest in sustainable alternatives. Only through an integrative approach can we mitigate the pervasive threat of microplastics and protect ecological and human health for future generations.

## REFERENCES

1. Yu RS, Yang YF, Singh S. Global analysis of marine plastics and implications of control measure strategies. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 2023 Dec 11;10:1305091.
2. Gautam BP, Qureshi A, Gwasikoti A, Kumar V, Gondwal M. Global scenario of plastic production, consumption, and waste generation and their impacts on environment and human health. In *Advanced strategies for biodegradation of plastic polymers* 2024 Apr 11 (pp. 1-34). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
3. Xiang Y, Jiang L, Zhou Y, Luo Z, Zhi D, Yang J, Lam SS. Microplastics and environmental pollutants: key interaction and toxicology in aquatic and soil environments. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2022 Jan 15;422:126843. [HTML]
4. Kinigopoulou V, Pashalidis I, Kalderis D, Anastopoulos I. Microplastics as carriers of inorganic and organic contaminants in the environment: A review of recent progress. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*. 2022 Mar 15;350:118580. [HTML]
5. He S, Jia M, Xiang Y, Song B, Xiong W, Cao J, Peng H, Yang Y, Wang W, Yang Z, Zeng G. Biofilm on microplastics in aqueous environment: Physicochemical properties and environmental implications. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2022 Feb 15;424:127286. [HTML]
6. Sooriyakumar P, Bolan N, Kumar M, Singh L, Yu Y, Li Y, Weralupitiya C, Vithanage M, Ramanayaka S, Sarkar B, Wang F. Biofilm formation and its implications on the properties and fate of microplastics in aquatic environments: a review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials Advances*. 2022 May 1;6:100077. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
7. Narayanan M. Origination, fate, accumulation, and impact, of microplastics in a marine ecosystem and bio/technological approach for remediation: a review. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*. 2023 Sep 1;177:472-85.
8. Khant NA, Kim H. Review of current issues and management strategies of microplastics in groundwater environments. *Water*. 2022 Mar 23;14(7):1020.
9. Su L, Xiong X, Zhang Y, Wu C, Xu X, Sun C, Shi H. Global transportation of plastics and microplastics: A critical review of pathways and influences. *Science of the total Environment*. 2022 Jul 20;831:154884. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
10. Stubbins A, Law KL, Muñoz SE, Bianchi TS, Zhu L. Plastics in the Earth system. *Science*. 2021 Jul 2;373(6550):51-5.
11. Bai CL, Wang D, Luan YL, Huang SN, Liu LY, Guo Y. A review on micro-and nanoplastics in humans: Implication for their translocation of barriers and potential health effects. *Chemosphere*. 2024 May 23;142424.
12. Li Y, Chen L, Zhou N, Chen Y, Ling Z, Xiang P. Microplastics in the human body: A comprehensive review of exposure, distribution, migration mechanisms, and toxicity. *Science of The Total Environment*. 2024 Jun 22;174215. [HTML]

13. Falk-Andersson J, Rognerud I, De Frond H, Leone G, Karasik R, Diana Z, Dijkstra H, Ammendolia J, Eriksen M, Utz R, Walker TR. Cleaning up without messing up: maximizing the benefits of plastic clean-up technologies through new regulatory approaches. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2023 Aug 28;57(36):13304-12.
14. Ali SS, Elsamahy T, Al-Tohamy R, Sun J. A critical review of microplastics in aquatic ecosystems: Degradation mechanisms and removing strategies. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology*. 2024 Apr 25:100427.
15. Al-Asheh S, Bagheri M, Aidan A. Membrane bioreactor for wastewater treatment: A review. *Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental Engineering*. 2021 Dec 1;4:100109.
16. Bera SP, Godhaniya M, Kothari C. Emerging and advanced membrane technology for wastewater treatment: A review. *Journal of Basic Microbiology*. 2022 Mar;62(3-4):245-59. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
17. Arif Y, Mir AR, Zielinski P, Hayat S, Bajguz A. Microplastics and nanoplastics: source, behavior, remediation, and multi-level environmental impact. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 2024 Apr 1;356:120618. [\[HTML\]](#)
18. Kefer S, Miesbauer O, Langowski HC. Environmental microplastic particles vs. engineered plastic microparticles—a comparative review. *Polymers*. 2021 Aug 27;13(17):2881.
19. Garcia-Vazquez E, Garcia-Ael C. The invisible enemy. Public knowledge of microplastics is needed to face the current microplastics crisis. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*. 2021 Oct 1;28:1076-89. [uniovi.es](https://www.uniovi.es)
20. Omoyajowo K, Raimi M, Waleola T, Odipe O, Ogunyebi A. Public awareness, knowledge, attitude and perception on microplastics pollution around lagos lagoon. *Ecological Safety and Balanced use of Resources*. 2022;2(24):35-46. [academia.edu](https://www.academia.edu)
21. Hampton LM, De Frond H, Gesulga K, Kotar S, Lao W, Matuch C, Weisberg SB, Wong CS, Brander S, Christiansen S, Cook CR. The influence of complex matrices on method performance in extracting and monitoring for microplastics. *Chemosphere*. 2023 Sep 1;334:138875. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
22. Mitrano DM, Diamond ML, Kim JH, Tam KC, Yang M, Wang Z. Balancing new approaches and harmonized techniques in nano-and microplastics research. *ACS ES&T Engineering*. 2023 Jun 7;3(7):906-9. [acs.org](https://www.acs.org)
23. Celik S. Microplastic release from domestic washing. *Avrupa Bilim ve Teknoloji Dergisi*. 2021(25):790-5.
24. Eze VH, Uche CK, Chinyere U, Wisdom O, Chukwudi OF. Utilization of crumbs from discarded rubber tyres as coarse aggregate in concrete: a review. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Applied Science (IJORTAS)*. 2023 Sep 26;5(2):74-80.
25. Šaravanja A, Pušić T, Dekanić T. Microplastics in wastewater by washing polyester fabrics. *Materials*. 2022 Apr 6;15(7):2683.
26. Sun K, Huo X, Zhang Y, Zong C, Liu C, Sun Z, Yu X, Liao P. Mechanistic insights into the co-transport of microplastic degradation products in saturated porous media: The key role of microplastics-derived DOM. *Science of The Total Environment*. 2024 Dec 20;957:177597. [\[HTML\]](#)
27. Ren Z, Gui X, Xu X, Zhao L, Qiu H, Cao X. Microplastics in the soil-groundwater environment: aging, migration, and co-transport of contaminants—a critical review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2021 Oct 5;419:126455.
28. Vansintjan A. The Anthropocene debate: Why is such a useful concept starting to fall apart. *Entitle Blog*. 2015 Jun 26;26.
29. Denta SM. Preventing plastic pollution with a global plastic treaty and public-private Partnership for the climate. *Eur. Procurement & pub. Private Partnersh L Rev*. 2022 Oct 1;17:211.

**CITE AS: Mugisha Emmanuel K. (2025). Microplastics: Environmental Impact and Remediation Strategies. IDOSR JOURNAL OF COMPUTER AND APPLIED SCIENCES 10(2):15-21. <https://doi.org/10.59298/JCAS/2025/1021521>**