Public Policy Communication: Engaging Citizens in Legal Issues

Ahairwe Frank

Faculty of Business Administration and Management Kampala International University Uganda
Email: ahairwefrank56@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Effective public policy communication is critical in bridging the gap between citizens and complex legal systems. This paper explores the theoretical and practical foundations of public policy communication, with an emphasis on enhancing citizen engagement in legal discourse. Drawing on agenda-setting theory and interdisciplinary perspectives, the study categorizes existing literature into communication strategies and policy impacts. It examines how citizens can be better informed and engaged through accessible language, media platforms, digital technologies, and participatory mechanisms. The paper also highlights key challenges, including limited public understanding of legal issues, trust deficits, and the complexities of institutional processes. Through international case studies and policy recommendations, the paper argues for a more inclusive, transparent, and technologically adaptive approach to public communication. This work contributes to the growing field of public policy communication by outlining an agenda for future research and evaluating the role of media, technology, and governance in shaping effective civic engagement.
Keywords: Public Policy Communication; Legal Literacy; Citizen Engagement; Agenda-Setting Theory; Media and Governance; E-Government; Trust and Transparency.

INTRODUCTION

Public policy communication connects citizens to legal issues, informing them about relevant policy developments and enhancing their understanding and engagement through various media. Despite growing interest in this area, research remains limited, and there's a gap between public policy communication studies and those in fields like health policy and political communication. This article has three aims: it examines public communication and social engagement's role in public policy from multiple perspectives, discusses public policy communication's theoretical foundation concerning agenda-setting theory, and suggests research agendas and methods to further this field. The article categorizes literature on policy communication's roles into two main areas: communication strategies and policy impacts. The first category focuses on three subcategories: who can inform and engage, how to inform, and how to engage. The second category addresses impacts, examining how to enhance the effectiveness of communication and engagement and their effects on policy agendas, content, formulation, implementation, and outcomes [1, 2].

The Role of Citizens in Public Policy

The idea of citizen participation in the political process is not new. Citizens have always expressed their desires and concerns about governance through various means, and regulatory agencies at all levels have sought to use their policy choices to foster full and informed citizen participation. The public generally sees rulemaking as a major avenue for participation in government, and public comments provided by the citizenry are an important form of expression. However, there are fundamental differences between citizens and the affected industry. Citizens typically lack knowledge of the subject and the process, whereas large organizations weigh in on the impacts of the proposed rule and what the agency should or should not do. Thus, citizens need assistance from policy experts to comment on rules competently. Such assistance can involve the use of new technologies. Government agencies employ a variety of tactics to elicit comments. A recommendation often made in rulemaking literature is to simplify the process of commenting and close the gap between agency expectations and citizen capability. New technologies offer new possibilities for assisting citizens in the commenting process, and therefore enhancing meaningful

public participation in policymaking. Effectively facilitating citizen drafting will likely require some combination of simplicity of expression and tracking agency motivations. Different approaches merit experimental assessment, and it is plausible that automated exploratory tools would be effective at improving citizen commentary input. A concern relevant for this project is whether such tools can reveal agency motivations without containing so much technical jargon that they become effectively unusable [3, 4].

Understanding Legal Issues

Successful public policy communication starts with a well-crafted message. This is true whether preparing a policy paper, writing a media-ready op-ed, or giving a speech. It is a skill that lawyers must possess. After public policy experts and lawyers have identified matters they wish to communicate to non-lawyers, they must use the communication tools at hand to make their argument. In doing so, however, they must remember the basic premise of any communications project: one must know the audience. A lawyer postulating on a legal issue only understood by a handful of specialists will likely fail to engage a broader public audience. Having identified the "take away" or core message, one must organize the remainder of the communication product around that message. Law is complex, and there are many rules, laws, and regulations governing everything from day-to-day matters, such as what jurors and witnesses may and may not do, to big-picture public policy issues, such as the regulation of open governments and antitrust law. The U.S. system of federalism leads to countless different layers of law, from local ordinances to federal laws. Moreover, interpreting and applying the law to specific facts can even consume the entire careers of highly trained professionals. The complexity of the law makes it impossible for most social scientists, public policy experts, or citizens to know or understand the law, problems to which lawyers can, in theory, develop solutions by formulating legal opinions and analyses [5, 6].

Communication Strategies for Engaging Citizens

Countries face challenges balancing high immigration levels with the needs of migrants, and understanding international experiences could aid policymakers. People in South Sudan, Nigeria, Sudan, and Somalia tend to support pro-immigration views, while others adopt more restrictive stances. Examining public attitudes towards asylum and immigration across ten countries in 2021 reveals diverse perceptions, from accepting to neutral and restrictive. The study also assesses how communication and media environments differ among these nations, influencing public conversations about immigration. Media coverage on asylum applications and processes, along with expert opinions, helps address citizen concerns, fostering a belief in the right of asylum despite calls for stricter border control. Sweden's communication strategy highlights the number of asylum applications, showcasing testimonials from former seekers. The strategy includes leveraging national resources, such as engagement from high-profile politicians and training media for effective messaging. Continuous scenario modeling ensures that civil service representatives and media promote this policy to maintain public confidence regarding immigration [7, 8].

Barriers to Citizen Engagement

Citizen engagement in public policy and legal issues encounters significant social and psychological challenges. Efforts to improve engagement may falter without addressing trust, confidence, and accountability. The influence of trusted intermediaries is crucial. Public trust in authority and institutions has become increasingly critical, especially after recent changes in social media which fueled distrust, particularly following the removal of right-wing hate speech. Confidence in systems, be it the stock market or electoral integrity, depends on the legitimacy of processes and the perception of verifiable outcomes. Law, politics, and policy create challenges regarding faith in systems, as they can heighten anxiety over complex processes and the understanding of detailed information. This knowledge is essential for citizens to recognize issues affecting their lives and grasp the demands of various systems. In law, it helps define the "knowable" input space, while in public policies, it relates to the complexity of deliberation and the local policy challenges citizens face. The challenges in these areas are stratified. To rebuild trust and enhance citizen engagement, accountability must emphasize transparency and extend the analysis within the social sciences. Transparency in law often focuses on case law accessibility, but activism frequently overlooks how cases evolve into litigation costs and benefits, impacting firms' decisions on compliance. Information plays a vital role in engagement, referencing citizen knowledge about issues, which is bounded by both data and social paradigms [9, 10].

Case Studies in Public Policy Communication

A variety of case studies were examined to produce the commentary, including Windsor Canada's Municipal Strategic Plan, Carouge in Switzerland, and Kirkland and Lethbridge in Canada. Common success factors for encouraging citizen engagement in legal issues were identified, such as public consultations on local legislation and mechanisms for re-engaging with existing laws. Windsor's

approach involved a public consultation aimed at understanding citizen concerns. Strategies like school visits, interviews, forums, and surveys were incorporated, promoted via local radio. Innovative consultation mechanisms encouraged reflection on shared visions for the city, while group divisions based on personality types enhanced diversity and accessibility. In Lethbridge, the engagement mechanisms were program-based, facing methodological challenges. A survey on public policy limited opportunities for expression, and although consultation on the Space Port was relevant, it often lagged behind RBC's streaming coverage. Uneven local capacities for public engagement raised questions about citizens' ability to effectively participate. In Kirkland, the absence of facilitation techniques and deadlines risked irrelevant questions dominating discussions, yet direct public consultations worked well with participatory budgeting, reputation-building campaigns, and consistent community updates. The town prioritized resident engagement, showcasing its commitment to citizen involvement through detailed rules and processes to support participation efforts [11, 12].

The Impact of Technology on Engagement

As governments utilize new media for communication with the public, the effectiveness of these strategies in engaging citizens in policy discussions remains uncertain. States that have successfully used digital tools to address challenging issues share principles that may guide the use of new media in legal deliberation. Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) have revolutionized communication, making instant global interaction accessible. E-government initiatives typically aimed at providing online government information are now evolving to focus on participatory engagement. There is growing interest in harnessing technology to involve the public in policy development. This interest raises questions about public interaction with online platforms and what information is relevant at different government levels. A fresh perspective views technological introduction as part of an ongoing digital continuum rather than a transformative event, emphasizing that the policy space is dynamic and culturally influenced. This approach could illuminate underexplored areas of communication policy, particularly regarding public involvement in shaping old and new media's roles and meanings. While scholarship on e-government and public engagement often emphasizes technology, less attention has been given to how differing perceptions of government functions across nations shape civic expectations. Moreover, while accessibility and user-friendliness are important, perceived openness of officials and the significance of engagement issues often hold more weight. Additionally, only certain aspects of social media and discussion platforms notably influence trust perceptions, which vary by country [13, 14].

Evaluating Engagement Outcomes

Public engagement initiatives aim to inform stakeholders about scientific concepts, service options, or organizations, hoping to influence attitudes. Few rigorous evaluations of such initiatives are published, largely due to challenges in defining success, which varies based on diverse, self-interested perspectives. These issues must be addressed before routine evaluations can occur. Even standard post-initiative evaluation approaches, like those from the US National Association of Schools of Public Affairs and Administration, face complications from the political landscape of public engagement and unclear definitions of stakeholder involvement. The empirical literature on public engagement evaluation is limited, with only three examples identified, highlighting the need for more research. Nonetheless, there is an emphasis on existing empirical work and a call for further analysis of the evaluative literature. Discussions at workshops by policymakers and public engagement organizations recognize evaluation as a priority, as doubts about the effectiveness and justification for public engagement initiatives challenge analysis frameworks and methodologies. Considering the resources dedicated to these initiatives, such concerns are valid, yet they only partially address the reasons to evaluate. Additionally, public engagement organizations face pressure to invest more in engagement efforts as opportunities expand. They must demonstrate the significance of evaluating these initiatives in their funding decisions [15, 16].

Policy Recommendations for Improved Communication

In order to promote effective communication of public policies and legal issues, it is vital to address certain challenges that may arise. For instance, most statutes, laws, and rules are written in legal jargon that is inaccessible to the average citizen. A citizen's first experience with the legal code is often when they run afoul of it. While there is a practical need to maintain a certain level of esoterica in laws, in terms of specificity and ensuring all contingencies are considered, the great majority of a legal statute or rule can be put into plain English without losing any substantive meaning. Furthermore, there is a glaring lack of promotional materials for many important codes and rules. At the same time, it is important to ensure they are concise and easily understood, as an overly complicated legal statute does not necessarily make for an overly effective or enforceable one. In addition, there are often perverse disincentives for communicating laws. If you are a public agency, attorney, or government official, and you have knowledge that a legal code will not be enforced or applied to a certain issue, you still may be acting

within strict legal parameters (on account of being a regressive practice that is nevertheless not criminal). Publishing the issue may invite scrutiny, criticism, and ultimately lead to difficult decisions regarding a future course of action. Under some circumstances, employing communications only to catalyze discussion of some legal issues may be advisable. Furthermore, governmental offices may have many issues that would not necessarily meet a legal opening for a bill, but could be addressed or resolved by some public agency, office, or forum. However, finding a suitable venue for the issue may take time, and better legal codes or laws may need to be enacted beforehand [17, 18].

The Role of Media in Public Policy Communication

The media plays an essential role in informing the public about relevant issues. The relationship between political actors and the media is widely examined in both academic and public discussions. Much research focuses on the rise of tabloid news and reality entertainment's impact on democracy. National surveys indicate that while many people are indifferent to tabloid journalism, they seek a mix of 'serious' public affairs news. However, these studies highlight a decline in trust regarding political scandals, celebrity culture, anti-globalization protests, and governmental responses to terrorism, showing the negative effects of news coverage on civic engagement. Recently, media scholars advocate a broader view of the media's impact on political engagement, noting news media's capacity to raise civic awareness, mobilize action, and sustain interest. Despite this emphasis, the media's intersection with public policy remains underexplored in public policy literature. Major texts scarcely mention public policy communication, which is surprising given the media's role in agenda-setting, issue framing, and shaping public opinion. Current literature includes various topics, with many specific studies, but limited cross-national research or clear theoretical framing. Broadening research perspectives, especially from information processing studies and recognizing the value of spoken news formats, may enhance further inquiry [19, 20].

Ethical Considerations in Communication

Ethics play a crucial role in communication, particularly in public relations and CSR activities. Practitioners must uphold ethical principles in all communication, whether during crises or in routine situations, to enhance the organization's credibility and foster stakeholder trust. The veracity model emerges as a significant tool for ethical decision-making in public relations, improving practices during societal crises and being adaptable across various levels of communication. Its thorough discussion includes initial applications and expanded frameworks for organizations. It's essential to consider citizens' perspectives on communicative ethics in environmental and risk communication, as few studies have explored this area from the viewpoint of ordinary citizens. Effective risk communication should align with the public's normative ethical judgments, emphasizing criteria like transparency, acknowledgment of lay understanding, acceptance of scientific uncertainty, and fundamental values. Ensuring political justice in access to information is vital for most citizens, establishing that adherence to ethical principles, though not always enforced, is crucial for effective risk communication [21, 22].

Civic Education and Legal Literacy

Discussions of public policy are essential to deliberative democracy, where the public engages with experts like politicians and social scientists. However, significant gaps exist between these groups, causing frustration. To address this, many countries are enhancing civic education and promoting legal literacy programs. The Hagukumi Project in Japan aims to improve legal capabilities among students and foster pro-democratic values through moral education, despite facing implementation challenges. Civic education in Japan is still developing, while the U.S. has established legal literacy programs, though with varying success due to resource availability and limited evaluations. The longstanding Hobbes-Rousseau problem persists in both nations, complicating deliberative democracy involving youth. Furthermore, discussions on who qualifies as a citizen remain challenging, particularly in pluralistic democracies where ethnicity and class impact citizenship. Selection of legal literacy and civic education programs must consider both national and multinational contexts to promote inclusive citizenship [23, 24].

International Perspectives on Public Policy Communication

In 1999, a study found that public policy documents, such as white papers and green papers, on many different policy issues, were badly written and difficult for the public to access. In 2002, the Department for Transport decided to adopt a plain English transparency policy in its formal consultations. People involved thought that this was an audacious, if not reckless, undertaking. Eventually, high quality plain English documents were produced and positive feedback was received through survey forms. Over the next three years, the strategy was implemented for a number of big policy issues, such as a comprehensive review of the bus subsidy policies. When new policy initiatives were put in place following a positive health policy review, a public consultation was held. Here too, in the midst of detailed rules about the procedure a completely new consultative document was produced working with a plain English consultancy. Hope that the requirements of the public policy communication style would be satisfied.

Engagement would have pre-policy meaning, that is, having the public part of the policy formulation itself and was originally seen as being at the same inflammatory time. They were as a basis for public input to scrutiny of draft policy documents at the detailed and technical level. The more detailed the rules were written, the less engaging technical documents were viewed in the public consultations dealing with public views on formal submissions written by lawyers. Consequently, all later public consultations started with a new round of public interest polling exercises, trying to focus on what issues really mattered. Consequently, a new hope for properly public consultations via pre-policy activities was born. This is where the doing public policy communication style now sits over a decade after the Brighton statement [25, 26].

Future Directions in Public Policy Communication

The convergence of information and communication technologies (ICTs) and Internet abundance has transformed how societies, especially democracies, create, share, and challenge information. These shifts positively influence public discussions about political issues previously limited to elites, while also facilitating the spread of propaganda, misinformation, and conspiracy theories. Progress in Internet use enables public debates around topics such as leadership selection that were once dominated by traditional political parties. Conversely, ICTs foster the emergence of new political issues alongside the circulation of false information. Understanding the political landscape requires being informed and articulate, regardless of the truthfulness of narratives. A growing field of research examines how the new media environment affects democratic functioning, echoing earlier studies on the foundational aspects of democracy. Future research should investigate how this evolving ecosystem impacts pluralistic societies. Current conditions for effective political deliberation are too complex for conventional methods, necessitating a shift from outdated communication models to context-specific, multi-level, interactive, and decentralized approaches that reflect the diverse nature of modern discourse [27, 28].

CONCLUSION

Public policy communication plays a pivotal role in enhancing democratic participation by making legal and policy matters accessible and actionable for citizens. This paper has shown that while legal frameworks are inherently complex, targeted communication strategies ranging from plain language summaries to digital engagement platforms can foster meaningful public involvement. Key barriers such as lack of trust, legal esoterica, and institutional opacity must be addressed through deliberate transparency efforts, inclusive public consultation, and investment in civic education. Media and technology offer promising tools for expanding engagement, but their effectiveness depends on cultural contexts and perceptions of government responsiveness. Ultimately, integrating communication best practices into policymaking processes is not merely a logistical necessity but a democratic imperative. Future research must further explore cross-national models, evaluative frameworks, and interdisciplinary methods to support sustained, equitable citizen participation in legal and policy issues.

REFERENCES

1. Repette P, Sabatini-Marques J, Yigitcanlar T, Sell D, Costa E. The evolution of city-as-a-platform: Smart urban development governance with collective knowledge-based platform urbanism. Land. 2021 Jan 2;10(1):33. mdpi.com
2. Jamil S. From digital divide to digital inclusion: Challenges for wide-ranging digitalization in Pakistan. Telecommunications Policy. 2021 Sep 1;45(8):102206.
3. Döring M, Madsen JK. Mitigating psychological costs—The role of citizens' administrative literacy and social capital. Public Administration Review. 2022 Jul;82(4):671-81.
4. Al-Tarawneh A, Al-Badawi M, Hatab WA. TRANSLATING GOVERNANCE AND LEGAL COMPLIANCE: EXPLORING THE ROLE OF TRANSLATION IN FACILITATING CORPORATE REPORTING AND POLICY IMPLEMENTATION. Corporate Law & Governance Review. 2024 Sep 1;6(3). [HTML]
5. Cheong BC. Avatars in the metaverse: potential legal issues and remedies. International Cybersecurity Law Review. 2022 Dec;3(2):467-94.
6. Leheza Y, Pisotska K, Dubenko O, Dakhno O, Sotskyi A. The essence of the principles of Ukrainian law in modern jurisprudence. Revista Jurídica Portucalense. 2023 Jan 5:342-63. rcaap.pt
7. De Coninck D, Duque M, Schwartz SJ, d'Haenens L. Public attitudes towards immigration, news and social media exposure, and political attitudes from a cross-cultural perspective: Data from seven European countries, the United States, and Colombia. Data in Brief. 2021 Dec 1;39:107548.
8. Bahtić-Kunrath B, Gebauer C. Narratives of crisis vs. Narratives of solidarity. Analyzing discursive shifts in Austrian media coverage of refugee movements from an interdisciplinary perspective. DIEGESIS. 2023 Dec 31;12(2).

9.   Jamatia PL. The role of youth in combating social inequality: Empowering the next generation. International Journal of Social Science Educational Economics Agriculture Research and Technology (IJSET). 2023 Jun 27;2(8):229-38. mch.al

10. Moslimany R, Otaibi A, Shaikh F. Designing a holistic curriculum: Challenges and opportunities in islamic education. Journal on Islamic Studies. 2024 Jun 10;1(1):52-73.

11. de Medeiros JF, Garlet TB, Ribeiro JL, Cortimiglia MN. Success factors for environmentally sustainable product innovation: An updated review. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2022 Apr 15;345:131039. [HTML]

12. Manes-Rossi F, Brusca I, Orelli RL, Lorson PC, Haustein E. Features and drivers of citizen participation: Insights from participatory budgeting in three European cities. Public Management Review. 2023 Feb 1;25(2):201-23. tandfonline.com

13. Tejedo-Romero F, Araujo JF, Tejada Á, Ramírez Y. E-government mechanisms to enhance the participation of citizens and society: Exploratory analysis through the dimension of municipalities. Technology in Society. 2022 Aug 1;70:101978. sciencedirect.com

14. Perez-Morote R, Rosa CP, Cortes EA. Exploring the Relation Between the Digital Divide and Government's Effort to Develop E-Participation: A Global Longitudinal Analysis. InResearch Anthology on Citizen Engagement and Activism for Social Change 2022 (pp. 997-1018). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. researchgate.net

15. Rowe G, Horlick-Jones T, Walls J, Pidgeon N. Difficulties in evaluating public engagement initiatives: reflections on an evaluation of the UK GM Nation? public debate about transgenic crops. Public Understanding of Science. 2005 Oct;14(4):331-52.

16. Haenssgen MJ. New impulses from international development for more comprehensive and balanced public engagement evaluation. Global Health Action. 2019 Dec 13;12(sup1):1680067.

17. Garner BA. Legal writing in plain English: A text with exercises. University of Chicago Press; 2023 Sep 6.

18. Dwight TW. Columbia College Law School New York. Green Bag. 1889;1:141.

19. O'Neill B. The media's role in shaping Canadian civic and political engagement. Policy and Society. 2010 Jan;29(1):37-51.

20. Ridlo IA. The Intersection of Journalism and Health Policy in Indonesia's Political Campaign. Center for Open Science; 2024 Feb 4.

21. Austin L, Jin Y. Approaching ethical crisis communication with accuracy and sensitivity: Exploring common ground and gaps between journalism and public relations. Public Relations Journal. 2015;9(1):2.

22. van Oudheusden M. Ethical Principles for Common Ground in Science Communication Theory and Practice (Roundtable): Reflections on Risk Communication in the Aftermath of the 2011 Fukushima Nuclear Disaster. InPublic Communication on Science and Technology (PCST): Creating Common Ground 2023 Apr 14.

23. Ahranjani M, Medearis C, Shook J. Evaluating High School Students' Constitutional and Civic Literacy: A Case Study of the Washington, DC Chapter of the Marshall-Brennan Constitutional Literacy Project. Denv. UL Rev.. 2012;90:917.

24. Alfieri AV. Educating Lawyers for Community. Wis. L. Rev.. 2012:115.

25. Babor TF, Casswell S, Graham K, Huckle T, Livingston M, Rehm J, Room R, Rossow I, Sornpaisarn B. Alcohol: no ordinary commodity—a summary of the third edition. Addiction. 2022 Dec;117(12):3024-36.

26. Hill M, Hupe P. Implementing public policy: Governance in theory and in practice. Sage; 2002 Sep 16.

27. Budiarto MK, Rahman A, Efendi A. Proposing information and communication Technology (ICT)-Based Learning transformation to create competitive human resources: A theoretical review. Multidisciplinary Reviews. 2024 Feb 9;7(4):2024076-.

28. Bonab SR, Haseli G, Ghoushchi SJ. Digital technology and information and communication technology on the carbon footprint. InDecision Support Systems for Sustainable Computing 2024 Jan 1 (pp. 101-122). Academic Press. [HTML]