©IDOSR PUBLICATIONS ISSN: 2579- 0757

International Digital Organization for Scientific Research IDOSRJCIAH11100
IDOSR JOURNAL OF CURRENT ISSUES IN ARTS AND HUMANITIES 11(1): 6-11, 2025.
https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJCIAH/2025/111611

Robotic Assistants in Elderly Care: Enhancing Quality of Life

Atukunda Lucky

Faculty of Business Administration and Management Kampala International University Uganda
Email:lucky.atukunda@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

As global demographics shift toward an aging population, healthcare systems and caregivers face increasing challenges in providing sustained, high-quality support for elderly individuals. Robotic assistants have emerged as a promising technological intervention to bridge gaps in care by enhancing independence, supporting daily tasks, and reducing caregiver burdens. This paper examines the current landscape of robotic technology in elderly care, focusing on design principles, technological advances, user preferences, ethical considerations, and the socio-economic and regulatory implications. Through a synthesis of case studies, user feedback, and global trends, the research highlights the importance of co-designing robotic systems with stakeholders, particularly elderly users and caregivers, to ensure trust, usability, and safety. The findings advocate for a multidimensional approach that balances innovation with human values, laying the groundwork for future developments in socially responsible and effective eldercare robotics.

Keywords: Robotic Assistants; Elderly Care; Human-Robot Interaction (HRI); Aging Population; Assistive Technology; Ethical AI; Co-Design; Healthcare Robotics.

INTRODUCTION

As the global population ages, the demand for care and support for elderly individuals intensifies. A potential solution lies in developing robots as companions and caregivers. Robotics research has mainly focused on advancing robotic technologies, but real-life applications require considering factors beyond technical abilities. This research looks at robots as assistants, highlighting design considerations to enhance the well-being of elderly users and their caregivers. End-users have specific goals in their interactions with these systems, which necessitate categorizing design considerations as person-focused addressing user needs, or technology-focused. When robots fail to align with user characteristics and expectations, significant issues can arise. A deep understanding of the individuals involved is essential. The research underscores the importance of creating specialized robotic assistants tailored to different users and care contexts, evolving alongside users, social environments, and regulatory frameworks. By grasping these design considerations, designers and developers can better match robotic devices to specific user groups and explore future advancements. Current studies emphasize the robot's design for elderly users, yet addressing informal caregivers' needs and targeting professional caregivers has been largely overlooked. This research serves to outline essential next steps for companies working on robotic solutions for elderly support. Insights gained can also provide guidance for designing other AI companion devices, such as voice assistants. Ultimately, the findings will be shared publicly, along with design guidelines and principles, to benefit both the caregiving profession and the elderly population [1, 2].

The Need for Robotic Assistance in Elderly Care

Elderly care is in high demand, due to an ever-increasing aging population entering their retirement years. In the near future, it is expected that a large quantity of aging individuals will enter this societal category. Given that elder living arrangements span either personal homes or residential care facilities, a greater workforce is required to accommodate the individuals at the later stage of life. However, given that the aging population is growing quicker than the associate work force, there is a simpler and more feasible method in accommodating aging individuals in their day-to-day lives. An extensive amount of research has already been conducted on this avenue, and there is a broad basis of knowledge in developing

intelligent systems that perform these day-to-day chores. Elder care robots can be explained as system that supports elderly people who are unable to take care of themselves anymore. Given that we are living in an ever-growing and evolving world where technology is expanding daily, there have been already many advances in assisting these loved ones in completing their daily tasks that they used to be able to do in their earlier years of life. The society is moving away from a physical and human workforce to a trained technology robotic workforce that enables the elderly to maintain the same quality of life. There already exist many acts that seek to keep the elderly at home as long as possible. Finding how social robots could assist in these efforts includes understanding if social or service robots would indeed be able to assist the elderly by examining three distinct approaches to this product [3, 4].

Types of Robotic Assistants

With advancements in technology, artificial intelligence can aid older adults with at-home tasks. Various robotic systems have been developed to provide physical support through exoskeletons and cognitive support via social robots. This robot assistance enhances the quality of life for older individuals living independently. However, little is known about their preferences for robot assistants and the types of support they desire. Surveys and interviews aimed to reveal the tasks older adults would like assistance with and how demographics influence these preferences. Results showed that independent older adults prefer robotic assistance for at-home routines, favoring physical aid over social or healthcare robots. Additionally, those needing more help expressed a greater preference for robotic support. As the older adult population grows, understanding their preferences for robot assistance is crucial to meet their needs effectively. The preliminary research focuses on older adults' preferences for robot assistance with daily tasks. A survey with 116 participants and interviews with 10 older adults shed light on their desires and user control regarding robot assistance. A total of 55 at-home tasks were identified as preferred, and the Analytical Hierarchy Process was suggested to rank preferences. To aid robot assistant developers, demographics and cognitive questionnaires were included to help understand influencing factors on task preferences [5, 6].

Technological Advances in Robotics

The demand for intelligent systems supporting the elderly has surged due to their increasing population. Robotics technologies are widely used in homes, hospitals, and nursing facilities to help maintain elderly individuals' independence, enhancing their quality of life. Robots assist with daily activities, taking over tasks when elderly people struggle to perform them alone. Support for the elderly can range from simple devices like alarms or telephones to smart environments with intelligent furnishings that monitor health and daily activities. Additionally, various service robots are designed to aid elderly individuals, including those that fetch items, assist in moving tasks, and even robotic pets. Advanced robotic platforms utilize tele-operation and intelligent interaction to monitor users' conditions or provide physical assistance. Android and humanoid robots have also been developed, able to navigate complex environments and interact with users, serving food, providing medication reminders, and engaging in conversation. However, the capacity for physical assistance is limited due to safety concerns; robots may cause accidents because of their strength. Therefore, ensuring the safety and robustness of robotics in homes occupied by fragile elderly users is a top priority in design and analysis [7, 8].

Impact on Quality Of Life

As societies age, the demand for enhanced care for the growing elderly population intensifies, yet resources remain limited. Robotics technologies are being promoted to address unmet needs in elderly care, potentially improving their quality of life. Although elderly individuals generally accept robot companions, deployment in care facilities is still minimal. Collaborative efforts can help define robotics in care, prioritizing the views of older people on robotic systems. It's important that these cognitive robots complement not replace human interactions, effectively supporting elderly health and social engagement. Elderly people prefer robots that operate autonomously, yet remain non-intrusive to avoid feelings of loneliness. Robot interactions should be voluntary, with the elderly initiating contact. There are concerns among the able-bodied elderly about moral implications and robots taking over roles. Therefore, development should involve the elderly through co-development methods. Designers must be chosen based on communication skills and willingness to engage. Gradual, thoughtful involvement will help align interests. Each designer group could tackle specific tasks, with initial programming stages for robots focused on simple actions, allowing for concurrent training during deployment [9, 10].

Case Studies of Robotic Implementation

Designing and developing robots for automated functions to aid daily and self-care activities is increasingly common. This review discusses how different robot types impact nurses' and vulnerable elderly people's mental well-being, emphasizing the challenges of using robots in nursing homes. It introduces several existing international robotic products and six research projects: medication reminder

robot, Kansei-based monitoring robot, Mullin, Pinecone, Sparky, and medical exoskeleton robots. While automation technology dates back to the 700s in agriculture, its application has expanded to transportation, manufacturing, and recently, care sectors. This evolution has enhanced the complexity, functionality, and affordability of technical systems, leading to a variety of commercially available robots, from vacuum cleaners to humanoid robots, facilitating their introduction into elderly care. Aging populations raise pressing issues in many countries, coinciding with a declining number of health professionals. This creates a societal demand for effective solutions to alleviate care burdens. Robotic technologies are recognized as a vital direction in human-robot interaction (HRI) research, showing promise in providing care to the aging demographic while addressing rising caregiver demands. However, most existing robots focus on aiding older adults rather than supporting caregivers directly. Developing robotic solutions for caregivers is essential to meet broader caregiving requirements. Prior efforts concentrated on enhancing robotic capabilities for assistance but overlooked their integration into caregivers' workflows. To inform future designs, a field study employing ethnographic and co-design methods was conducted in a senior living community, resulting in design opportunities organized into three categories: supporting caregiver workflows, adapting to residents' abilities, and providing feedback for all interaction stakeholders [11, 12].

Ethical Considerations

When developing new technologies like robotics, it's crucial to evaluate them from the perspective of potential users. This text will outline a method for understanding the anticipatory perceptions of older adults and their family caregivers regarding a leisure robot designed to support independent living. A longitudinal study has noted concerns about overreliance on robots amidst diminishing physical and mental abilities. Early adopters often had negative perceptions due to unfamiliarity with the robot's functions. These results emphasize the importance of considering user expectations during the development of new robotic technologies to enhance acceptance. As we transition into the "robot" era, advancements in artificial intelligence have led to the creation of more capable robots designed to assist humans across various sectors, including healthcare and transportation. This discussion also addresses ethical concerns surrounding the use of autonomous robots within human communities, advocating for the integration of ethical reasoning into robotic systems. The increasing autonomy of robots necessitates thoughtful decision-making, leading to significant societal debates about robotics and AI ethics, productivity, safety, regulation, and privacy [13, 14].

Future Trends in Robotic Care

Robots have not yet permeated homes and aged care establishments, and those present often fail to meet their intended purposes. They do not provide elderly care at home, assist with errands, or offer cognitive support through games and companionship. Many robots are costly, and few have been independently validated. Key issues like standardization, usability, and ethics remain unresolved. Nonetheless, designing and producing care robots is essential as they can support health services, alleviate labor shortages, and address the increasing demand for elderly care. The aged care sector is well-suited for welfare robots due to its unique demands that extend beyond basic mobility. The discussion suggests that future care robots should emulate traditional caregiving rather than focusing solely on technological advances. More attention should be given to care task design, with an exploration of potential future directions. Current care robots tend to focus on single functionalities, often facing usability issues. Integrative research on varied care tasks performed by collaborating robots may provide solutions. Establishing common metrics among reliable research institutions and emphasizing robust validation are crucial for progress. Smaller experimental groups could streamline development, promoting systematic approaches that expedite advancements. Prototype development should align with lab trials to facilitate broader evaluations in natural settings. The effectiveness of care robots can be improved through co-design with users, enhancing their educational roles to foster nurturing relationships. An ethical framework should be gradually developed for the design and deployment of care robots [15, 16].

Economic Implications

Studies on stakeholder perceptions of technology have surged recently, highlighting public discourse on its societal introduction. While research has examined the views of older adults, caregivers, and healthcare personnel regarding robotic assistants, there is a notable lack of studies focusing on organizations that will deploy these technologies. Care facility management must be assured that robots will effectively meet needs, fill market gaps, and are cost-effective before adoption. Interviews with two care facility management organizations revealed that robots for supporting cognition in dementia patients were viewed as most beneficial. Nevertheless, concerns emerged about financial viability, unintended consequences of human-robot interaction, and long-term sustainability. This underscores the necessity for robot developers to involve management or organizational stakeholders early in design discussions.

While new assistive technologies promise to enhance health and quality of life for older adults in long-term care, ethical and social implications abound. Privacy issues regarding the collection of health data without explicit consent pose significant challenges, potentially leading to the monetization of sensitive information without consideration for older adults' well-being. Additionally, perceptions of aging technology vary: some older adults view monitoring technology as intrusive, while others see it as a safety enhancement. Staff and family concerns also arise from the belief that such technology could control older individuals, reinforcing their anxieties about aging and autonomy [17, 18].

Regulatory and Policy Framework

International and national governments, along with inter-governmental organizations, are increasingly concerned about the impacts of robotics and AI on humanity and controlling autonomous systems. Many countries have established advisory boards, reflecting this growing concern, including entities like the Council of Europe and UNESCO. Global AI ethics strategies are being developed through initiatives by the OECD and UNESCO. The European Commission aims to create ethical standards and regulatory frameworks for robotics and AI, drawing input from various expert groups, and is set to release guidelines in 2022 for compliance by 2025. Many countries are investing in regulatory engagement for AI and robotics, forming departments to develop regulations and prepare legal systems. A call for proposals for an EU legal framework on AI and robotics is anticipated from the Directorate-General for Communications Networks, Content & Technology, which will gauge interest from affected organizations such as law firms, universities, and industry associations. This broad engagement seeks to influence the legal framework's design, with key focus areas including machine learning, vision systems, human-computer interaction, safety, and privacy. Ethical considerations from relevant disciplines are crucial to shaping this framework. Additionally, science communication about generic chatbot AI has surged, indicating the need for a 'robotics/AI literacy' project in collaboration with journalists to address strategic communication in regulatory discussions. While concerns about AI manipulating people dominate the narrative, there is a lack of emphasis on the societal benefits of robotics and AI, which could enhance daily life and promote public welfare. Trustworthy AI could expand the boundaries of trust in personal assistance and social care. Furthermore, co-designing ethical robots in healthcare has heightened awareness among stakeholders of the ethical challenges involved, although issues like transparency and accountability have become more complex post-implementation than in earlier evaluations [19, 20].

User Acceptance and Adaptation

Elderly individuals often feel anxious about becoming dependent on others, driving the need for high-quality life solutions that include early, low-intrusive interventions and automated healthcare services. These approaches aim to save costs for policymakers but face challenges in global acceptance. While many express a desire for assistance in maintaining autonomy, existing assistive technology has seen limited adoption. Current robots designed to assist the elderly struggle with practical tasks, such as managing medications or responding to emergencies, showcasing a gap between expectations and present capabilities. The anticipation of advanced robotic services remains just that anticipation, leaving current offerings inadequate. User acceptability hinges on whether a robotic service meets individuals' needs and expectations. Understanding acceptance requires examining both the user's viewpoint and task-specific perspectives, alongside the technology's ability to perceive its environment and perform required interventions. Factors influencing acceptance include the physical state of users, the functionality of the robots, and how familiarity with the service evolves [21, 22].

Collaboration between Stakeholders

Robots have great potential to aid in caring for the aging population and address caregiver demands. While extensive research has investigated robotic assistance for those with disabilities or age-related issues, it has primarily focused on developing the robots themselves without fully addressing their integration into caregivers' workflows. To explore this integration, an ethnographic and co-design study was conducted within a senior living community, resulting in design opportunities for robotic assistance. These opportunities were categorized into three areas: supporting caregiver workflows, adapting to residents' abilities, and providing feedback to all stakeholders involved. The ACCOMPANY project emphasizes the development of home companion technologies for older adults, focusing on empathic human-robot interaction, robot learning, and activity monitoring. It aims to combine these aspects into the Care-O-Bot®3 robotic platform in a smart-home setting with various sensors. Evaluation cycles will assess the prototype's acceptable behaviors and roles, while aligning user requirements with its development progress [23-29].

CONCLUSION

The integration of robotic assistants into elderly care represents a transformative opportunity to enhance quality of life for aging populations while mitigating pressures on healthcare systems and caregivers.

However, realizing this potential depends on more than technical sophistication—it requires thoughtful alignment with the physical, emotional, and social needs of both elderly individuals and their support networks. User-centered design, ethical foresight, and inclusive policy frameworks are critical to ensuring that these technologies are safe, accepted, and effective. Going forward, collaborative design practices involving elderly users, informal caregivers, care professionals, and organizational stakeholders must be prioritized. Addressing affordability, usability, and regulation will further determine the scalability and long-term success of these innovations. Ultimately, care robots should not aim to replace human interaction, but to empower individuals to age with dignity, autonomy, and well-being.

REFERENCES

1. Stegner L, Mutlu B. Designing for caregiving: integrating robotic assistance in senior living communities. 2022;1–20. Available from: [PDF].
2. Martinez Mozos O, Tsuji T, Chae H, Kuwahata S, et al. The intelligent room for elderly care. 2013;1–18. Available from: [PDF].
3. Dixon A. Why we need to face up to the ageing population? *Health Econ.* 2022;25(4):435–44. Available from: [HTML].
4. Okur E, Akbal Y, Yagci Sentürk A, Daştan B, Kuralay Ç. The willingness of elderly care program students to care for older adults and the associated factors: a multi-centered research. *Educ Gerontol.* 2023 Apr 3;49(4):333–44.
5. Gasteiger N, Ahn HS, Fok C, Lim J, Lee C, MacDonald BA, et al. Older adults' experiences and perceptions of living with Bomy, an assistive daily-care robot: a qualitative study. *Assist Technol.* 2022 Jul 4;34(4):487–97.
6. Nyamboga TO, Ugwu OP, Ugwu JN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Ugwu CN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Biotechnological innovations in soil health management: a systematic review of integrating microbiome engineering, bioinformatics, and sustainable practices. Cogent Food & Agriculture. 2025 Dec 31;11(1):2519811.
7. Khosla R, Chu MT, Khaksar SM, Nguyen K, Nishida T. Engagement and experience of older people with socially assistive robots in home care. *Assist Technol.* 2021 Mar 4;33(2):57–71.
8. Ohneberg C, Stöbich N, Warmbein A, Rathgeber I, Mehler-Klamt AC, Fischer U, et al. Assistive robotic systems in nursing care: a scoping review. *BMC Nurs.* 2023 Mar 18;22(1):72.
9. Kyrarini M, Lygerakis F, Rajavenkatanarayanan A, Sevastopoulos C, Nambiappan HR, Chaitanya KK, et al. A survey of robots in healthcare. *Technologies (Basel).* 2021 Jan 18;9(1):8:1–24.
10. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Medical preparedness for bioterrorism and chemical warfare: A public health integration review. Medicine. 2025 May 2;104(18):e42289.
11. Dosso JA, Martin SE, Ye H, Guerra GK, Riminchan A, Robillard JM. Designing user-centered policy for social robotics: policy analysis and consultation with the aging and dementia community. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol.* 2025 Mar 4;20(2):1–3.
12. Vagnetti R, Camp N, Story M, Ait-Belaid K, Bamforth J, Zecca M, et al. Robot companions and sensors for better living: defining needs to empower low socio-economic older adults at home. In: *Proc Int Conf Soc Robot*; 2023 Dec 3. Singapore: Springer Nature; 2023. p. 373–83.
13. Kirby B. Human robot interaction: applications, challenges and future directions. 2023;1–35. Available from: semanticscholar.org.
14. Panagou S, Neumann WP, Fruggiero F. A scoping review of human-robot interaction research towards Industry 5.0 human-centric workplaces. *Int J Prod Res.* 2024 Feb 1;62(3):974–90.
15. Mansouri N, Goher K, Hosseini S. Ethical framework of assistive devices: review and reflection. 2017;1–20. Available from: [PDF].
16. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
17. Wang J, LaRoche D, Begum M, Tang X, et al. Dementia caregivers' ethical considerations of home-monitoring assistive robots in dementia care. 2023;1–15. Available from: ncbi.nlm.nih.gov.

18. Holland J, Kingston L, McCarthy C, Armstrong E, O'Dwyer P, Merz F, et al. Service robots in the healthcare sector. *Robotics (Basel)*. 2021 Mar 11;10(1):47:1–24.
19. Bradwell HL, Noury GE, Edwards KJ, Winnington R, Thill S, Jones RB. Design recommendations for socially assistive robots for health and social care based on a large scale analysis of stakeholder positions: Social robot design recommendations. *Health Policy Technol.* 2021 Sep 1;10(3):100544:1–10.
20. Ongesa TN, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Optimizing emergency response systems in urban health crises: A project management approach to public health preparedness and response. Medicine. 2025 Jan 17;104(3):e41279.
21. Yuan F, Anderson JG, Wyatt TH, Lopez RP, Crane M, Montgomery A, et al. Assessing the acceptability of a humanoid robot for Alzheimer's disease and related dementia care using an online survey. *Int J Soc Robot.* 2022 Jul;14(5):1223–37.
22. Łukasik S, Tobis S, Suwalska J, Łojko D, Napierała M, Proch M, et al. The role of socially assistive robots in the care of older people: to assist in cognitive training, to remind or to accompany? *Sustainability*. 2021 Sep 17;13(18):10394:1–23.
23. Watch AI. AI for enhancing robotics. 2022;1–8. Available from: europa.eu.
24. Cyman D, Gromova E, Juchnevicius E. Regulation of artificial intelligence in BRICS and the European Union. *BRICS Law J.* 2021;8(2):105–28.
25. Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Ugwu JN, Eze VH, Ugwu CN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB. Harnessing technology for infectious disease response in conflict zones: Challenges, innovations, and policy implications. Medicine. 2024 Jul 12;103(28):e38834.
26. Hassan OO. Social connectedness and psychological adaptiveness as predictors of emotional wellbeing of the elderly in Ibadan, Nigeria. 2023;1–12. Available from: ictp.it.
27. Oliver J. The caring wife. A labour of love. 2022;45–60. Available from: [HTML].
28. Getson C, Nejat G. Socially assistive robots helping older adults through the pandemic and life after COVID-19. *Robotics (Basel)*. 2021;10(4):123:1–15.
29. Dino MJ, Davidson PM, Dion KW, Szanton SL, Ong IL. Nursing and human-computer interaction in healthcare robots for older people: an integrative review. *Int J Nurs Stud Adv.* 2022 Dec 1;4:100072:1–10.

CITE AS: Atukunda Lucky (2025). Robotic Assistants in Elderly Care: Enhancing Quality of Life. **IDOSR JOURNAL OF CURRENT ISSUES IN ARTS AND HUMANITIES 11(1): 6-11. https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJCIAH/2025/111611**