www.idosr.org Kakembo, 2025

©IDOSR PUBLICATIONS

ISSN: 2579-0773

International Digital Organization for Scientific Research IDOSRJAH11100
IDOSR JOURNAL OF ARTS AND HUMANITIES 11(1):39-45, 2025.
https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJAH/2025/1113945

Sustainable Leadership: Ethics in Corporate Governance

Kakembo Aisha Annet

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

In an era marked by globalization, rapid technological change, and escalating environmental and social challenges, sustainable leadership grounded in ethical corporate governance has become a critical necessity. This paper examines the evolving relationship between sustainable leadership and ethics within corporate governance frameworks. Drawing upon historical, theoretical, and practical perspectives, the study examines how ethical decision-making, stakeholder theory, corporate social responsibility (CSR), and regulatory frameworks shape organizational culture and long-term business performance. Case studies of ethical failures and reforms highlight the necessity of integrating altruistic leadership models, stakeholder inclusion, and transparent governance principles. Ultimately, this paper argues for a redefined leadership paradigm, one that embeds ethics at its core, fostering sustainable practices that serve not only shareholders but all societal stakeholders.

Keywords: Sustainable Leadership, Corporate Governance, Ethics, Stakeholder Theory, CSR, Transparency, Accountability, Altruistic Decision-Making.

INTRODUCTION

Businesses today face challenges from rapid globalization and technological advancements. Corporate leaders must develop emotions, attitudes, behaviors, and values that resonate throughout their organizations. Ethical leadership aligns closely with servant leadership, emphasizing the protection of long-term benefits and well-being. Recent financial scandals have highlighted the importance of ethics, as unethical behavior can lead to damaging reputational and financial consequences. While service firms often prioritize customer satisfaction and retention, they sometimes overlook the necessity of establishing a strong behavioral culture that fosters positive experiences. Achieving a reputation for ethical leadership is a significant task requiring the commitment of all personnel. Without ethical leadership, fostering a culture of ethics becomes nearly impossible. To nurture a distinctive ethical culture, organizations need to invest in a guiding code of conduct that is disseminated and utilized for performance evaluations. Clearly defining ethical versus unethical behavior is essential. Additionally, organizations should hold presentations to enhance knowledge of ethics and inspire ethical leadership. Leadership should appoint individuals with strong ethical principles to lead divisions and create an environment where employees feel safe reporting unethical practices. Implementing anonymous reporting systems ensures that communications are handled sensitively. Finally, accountability must be established at all levels, making it clear that ethical progress depends on the decisions and actions of every individual within the organization [1, 2].

The Importance of Ethics in Corporate Governance

The recognition of corporate governance's importance is rising, with most professionals acknowledging it today. A few years ago, only a small number understood its value; many still view it as unnecessary or are unaware of it, despite experiencing crises linked to poor governance. The necessity for good governance is emphasized at all levels, as seen in the vast literature supporting governance codes. Corporate governance directs and controls companies, with the board of directors tasked with establishing strategic goals, providing leadership, overseeing management, and reporting to shareholders. It encompasses various management aspects, including plans, controls, performance assessment, and disclosures. Increasing market risks for investors demand strict corporate governance principles, which should center on shareholders and be approved during Annual General Meetings. With effective corporate governance, legal regulations become secondary, especially concerning shareholders. Governance reports attract investors to sustainable ventures alongside disclosures about operations and social initiatives. In today's globalized and rapidly changing economy, good corporate governance is vital for sustainability, capturing the interest of managers, stakeholders, auditors, and policymakers. The rise in governance statutes and literature reflects its growing significance, with over 2,000 related works indexed on Google Scholar. Most studies indicate that sound corporate governance leads to enhanced company performance and reliability. Transparency is essential for the survival of listed and non-listed companies alike. To ensure accountability, companies must meet reporting requirements, publish annual accounts online, and disclose fundamental changes, addressing stakeholders' expectations. Four principles define good corporate governance: accountability, fairness, transparency, and responsibility. These principles guide the entitlements of shareholders, directors, employees, and other stakeholders. Effective governance balances economic and social objectives, aligning stakeholder needs with broader societal goals, emphasizing efficient resource management, and company conduct towards employees, suppliers, and customers [3, 4].

Historical Perspectives on Corporate Governance

Scholars identify two main schools of thought on corporate governance: agency/stakeholder structures and soundness/prudence involving legality and ethics. Corporate governance encompasses the rules, processes, and practices through which a firm is directed and controlled. It involves stakeholders, self-governance rules, and mechanisms ensuring compliance. It establishes rules for firm management, distributing rights and responsibilities, and enabling the monitoring of adherence. Essentially, corporate governance is a system of checks and balances, characterized by enforcement and compliance metrics. Understanding its structures, perspectives, and moral justification enhances comprehension of ethics within corporate governance. While corporate governance is a nebulous term lacking strict definitions, it includes legal and ethical dimensions. Politically and legally, it concerns how owners protect property from managerial expropriation. Morally, it focuses on the just distribution of entitlements, responsibilities, rewards, and risks. Its normative content is linked to fundamental societal institutions, such as capitalism and property rights. From a role-based moral perspective, corporate governance is assessed based on the legitimacy of its rules. Ethics in corporate governance relate to the system's prescriptions rather than predictions [5, 6].

Key Principles of Sustainable Leadership

The principles outlined here are ordered by generality, with the first three affecting the last three. These last critical items suggest ways to foster sustainable leadership and work environments based on experience as an English teacher and researcher. The challenge posed is "How can we learn from each other?" to promote implementation driven by interest and motivation. Principal categories range from "Clarifications" to "Be inspired by open spaces." Sustainable leadership is complex but recognizable and presents a continuous challenge. This invitation encourages co-authoring and co-designing the implementation process, fostering co-development that increases involvement and the likelihood of achieving significant results. A collective of like-minded individuals could serve as co-creators, and expanding global support through additional stakeholders, organizations, and research partners could facilitate this. In the advanced stage, the process may yield valuable data sources and tools for regular sustainability assessments. Ultimately, this collaborative process aims to clarify matrices relevant to diverse contexts and principles, inviting further discussion and exploration of shared experiences [7, 8].

Ethical Decision-Making Frameworks

The critical importance of altruistic, integrated thinking by organizational leaders encourages environmentally and socially responsible decision-making. This approach enables leaders to navigate complex decision-making by considering various perspectives, positively influencing evaluation and reform within the organization. However, literature on decision-makers' integrated thinking in sustainability contexts remains limited, particularly regarding altruistic integrated thinking. To meet present needs without compromising future generations' ability to meet theirs, leaders must adopt an integrated approach encompassing environmental, social, and economic dimensions. It is crucial to explore how leader cliques demonstrate altruistic integrated thinking to promote eco-centric and socio-centric decisions. This model fosters a holistic passion among decision-makers, allowing collaborative efforts to thrive in harmony with Earth's components. It elicits emotional responses, including joy, surprise, worry, and shame, providing comprehensive theoretical perspectives to investigate the social and emotional dimensions of altruistic integrated thinking within organizations. Existing literature highlights how this thinking, combined with social and emotional aspects, stimulates eco-centric decisions. Collective altruistic integrated thinking manifests through behavioral changes among decision-makers and enhances checks and balances among leaders. Bottom-up nudges target individual leaders to facilitate sustainability decision-making, with mid-level leaders initiating altruistic nudges based on this integrated thinking [9, 10].

Stakeholder Theory and Corporate Governance

It is no coincidence that the most recent tensions, and indeed the most persistent tensions, in management scholarship have arisen between the shareholder and stakeholder models of corporate governance and theory. The shareholder model is dominant in the Anglo-American world; it argues forcefully that the corporation is a legal entity that is distinguished from the individuals who constitute it. Accordingly, the directors of the corporation are the agents of the shareholders and their paramount duty is to make maximal returns to the shareholder-investors in the corporation. A vast body of law, regulation, and scholarship demarcates a fixed boundary around the assertion of this argument; ongoing financial oscillations in corporate investing behavior show that belief in this model is deeply rooted in the practices, regulations, and worldview of Western capital markets. The stakeholder model is based on a very different set of ontological and epistemological assumptions. It argues that all executives, managers, and other agents within the corporation are trustees of a public trust, which comprises all entities that the corporation affects. Accordingly, the directors of the corporation have fiduciary duties not only to the shareholder-investors but also to all those entities on whom the corporation impact. An enormous and expanding corpus of literature now explicitly explores stakeholder theory based on a very different and expansive intellectual apparatus. It would seem a banal truth to note that the contemporary world is increasingly globalized in ways that challenge and transcend the applicability of the shareholder model of corporate governance. There is, however, an implicit telos entailed in this argument; according to the theory here presented, stakeholder analysis and theory are (or should be) redoubled dimensions of work within management scholarship. It seems logical to defocus corporatism in favor of candidly exploring the behaviors of all types of non-corporate enterprises, such as sole traders, partnerships, cooperatives, and public entities [11, 12].

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) reflects an organization's commitment to ethical and social business practices. CSR initiatives are voluntary and address societal, environmental, and ethical issues beyond legal requirements. Companies engaging in CSR aim to positively impact society while being accountable to stakeholders. Over recent decades, CSR has become a recognized norm and market expectation, assessing the moral and ethical aspects of business decision-making. An organization is deemed socially responsible when its actions align with the social and ethical expectations of stakeholders and the environment. Various theories inform CSR. Stakeholder Theory argues that organizations have ethical obligations to consider the interests of all stakeholders affected by their operations, including customers, employees, and suppliers. CSR requires managing these relationships to create sustainable value. The Triple Bottom Line (TBL) framework guides CSR efforts, focusing on economic, social, and environmental performance. Legitimacy Theory suggests that organizations pursue CSR to gain legitimacy and enhance their reputation by aligning with societal norms. Similarly, Instrumental Theory indicates that CSR generates tangible benefits, such as improved competitiveness, customer attraction, and long-term profitability. By addressing societal and environmental challenges, organizations foster employee morale and mitigate risks, ensuring lasting success [13, 14].

The Role of Leadership in Ethical Governance

Many failing organizations are plagued by corporate governance scandals tied to unethical leaders, as seen in cases like Enron and WorldCom. While ethical leaders aren't inherently virtuous, they actively reflect on the morality of their actions and consciously uphold their standards. They aim to foster a positive decision-making environment rather than a negative one. Though factors like organizational culture and structure play roles in ethical decision-making, the influence of leadership is paramount. Corporate governance systems aim to control ethical behavior; boards hold CEOs accountable, and internal auditors enforce checks to reduce fraud. However, these controls tend to identify unethical behavior post-facto rather than prevent it. A strong ethical culture, driven by top management's ethical conduct, is essential. Without ethically acting senior leaders, it's challenging to mitigate ethical risks effectively. Financial fraud often arises from a culture that values short-term gains over ethical practices. Such environments thrive on leaders who neglect their ethical responsibilities. Organizations seeking simple fixes for ethical issues are unlikely to succeed. Continuously assessing the ethical climate requires ongoing vigilance, implementation of governance standards, and a commitment to learning from both personal and external missteps [15, 16].

Regulatory Frameworks and Ethical Standards

Proponents of ethical corporate governance advocate for regulations prioritizing ethical conduct and integrity, essential for business success. Regulators must embed ethical behavior as a primary goal in laws and rules, focusing on compliance not just with regulations but also on the ethics of corporate actions and the related conflicts of interest arising from market power. Corporate leaders need to embed this regulatory focus into their organizations' cultures. Without ethical governance, businesses risk spiraling into failures and scandals. The regulatory framework for ethical conduct necessitates special care and responsibility toward the community. Stakeholders, including analysts and journalists, often lack the internal insights needed for effective stewardship. Business leaders are responsible for cultivating a culture that promotes integrity rather than profit-driven excuses. Over the last three decades, relying solely on compliance departments to uphold ethical culture has proven ineffective. Additionally, research and literature on ethical corporate governance are lacking. Assessing its success in the 21st century requires acknowledging that cultural, market, and social attitudes can hinder the adoption of new norms. Ultimately, the differences in corporate governance quality stem from cultural and market influences, raising questions about what drives better governance in certain contexts and what challenges others face in achieving ethical standards [17, 18].

Case Studies in Ethical Leadership

Recent years have seen numerous corporations embroiled in financial malfeasance, corruption, and questionable ethics, significantly harming their reputation and market value. In reaction to this capitalist excess, there are calls for a new era of ethical leadership and corporate governance. Leadership discussions largely emphasize success, neglecting the crucial parameters that define sustainable achievement. Sustainability must foster a virtue-free perspective, akin to Aristotle's notion where virtue represents sustainable success, centered on "the good," "excellence," and "flourishing." Thus, sustained success is linked to overall well-being and prosperity. In corporate governance, various ethical initiatives have emerged, including the 1997 call for US corporations to issue explicit statements of ethics, costing over ten billion dollars to implement. There's a need for corporate America to reaffirm private sector values related to "ethics," defined as "right doing." This calls for a rigorous examination of the moral culture within corporations, viewing ethics as dynamic virtues tied to organizational practices. Comparison with effective governance indicates a need for clarity in ethical terminology. An anatomical analogy illustrates the disconnect in efforts to improve ethical governance, likening ineffective strategies to misdirected surgical attempts. To truly enhance ethical frameworks, corporate America must optimize its intellectual resources, aligning talent with purpose. Recent failures in corporate ethics and finance could worsen without significant reforms in the Miltonian governance paradigm. A rapid shift from ignorance to awareness and eventual embodiment of these insights is essential for progress [19, 20].

Challenges in Implementing Ethical Governance

It seems that ethical guidance in corporate governance is more likely to be generated by external factors than by self-imposed disciplines and conventions. Compared to those in such countries as Norway or Malaysia, who have governance codes anchored in sustainable development considerations, many countries considered to be at the forefront of sustainability governance have been reactive rather than proactive. The UK and the US lack consumer and other stakeholder-driven accountability mechanisms in the public sphere that are commonly found in Malaysia and Norway. Ad hoc attempts to persuade companies to practice self-regulation without compliance mechanisms, as attempted in the UK by the previous Labour government, have failed. Companies have begun to participate more actively in sustainability governance, for example, by entering into partnerships with a variety of stakeholders. Internationally, having to implement the G20 and OECD Action Plan on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting and the international framework on transparency issued by the Global Forum has helped to generate compliance and allied mechanisms. The proactive preparation of guidelines for ethical oversight in corporate governance by the Institute of Corporate Governance will be another step in overcoming the issue of ethical rigidity in corporate governance processes. Lastly, some governance codes have been criticized for not having compliance mechanisms, for example, by being “principles-based” rather than “rules-based”. The UK’s Combined Code, which has remained largely unchanged since the late twentieth century, cannot adequately address present challenges. Many Western scholars have found it increasingly perplexing to explain ethical stock market practices in socio-cultural contexts antagonistic to those in Anglo-Saxon societies and capitalism. Ethical business conduct is are issue of corporate governance and company law, particularly in countries without CSR legislations. CG codes, which provide a framework for companies to comply with, have been regarded as alternatives to legal provisions. However, as regulations are increasingly bypassed or ignored, ethical improvement of CG codes is imperative. A review of past literature reveals that recommendations have focused on either the demand-side or supply-side, with few addressing the mechanisms and practices from multiple perspectives. Practical suggestions and pilot studies are required to help future researchers conduct comparative studies on CG and CSR codes beyond a particular country or region. Encounters of ethical predicaments may also demand attention in the future [21, 22].

The Future of Corporate Governance

Corporate governance has become a prominent issue since the mid-1980s, drawing global attention. This formerly infra-structural concept has gained importance due to its relevance across various fields, including management, economics, law, accounting, and finance. The focus has largely been on non-governmental institutions, emphasizing the separation of ownership and management. Key concerns involve controlling managers to align their actions with the interests of stockholders. Recent corporate scandals have highlighted the broader societal impact of corporations, shifting discussions towards how corporations govern their behavior in the interests of stakeholders and society as a whole. This shift in discourse has evolved from agency problems at a micro-level to considerations of legitimacy and social responsibility at a macro-level, extending beyond national boundaries. The contemporary debate encompasses global and societal dimensions, as institutions are established to clarify the roles of governments, corporations, and societal actors in maintaining economic sustainability. Additionally, various ideologies of good governance emerge, presenting differing perspectives on corporate governance [23, 24].

Measuring Ethical Performance

The corporate governance crisis of 2008 resulted in the collapse of major companies like Enron, WorldCom, and Lehman Brothers due to poor ethical standards in judgment, transparency, and accountability, along with regulatory disregard. Rogue trading and insider dealings led to results beyond the reach of management, damage limitation, or defensive legislation. The need to evaluate long-term responsibility highlights the necessity for ethical considerations. Corporate leadership can benefit from existing measurement structures that facilitate preliminary analysis of ethical performance. A three-dimensional matrix based on accountability principles evaluates companies' ethical conduct through metrics. These performance measurements examine how companies use ethical metrics to benchmark their performance in relation to goals and means. Additionally, legal compliance is assessed as a distinct measure of ethical conduct. The overall rankings reflect the measurable dimensions of corporate conduct concerning time and resource allocation. It seems unjust for companies to incur significant costs for ethical measures without translating those costs into sales and market share gains. Hence, executive management's focus is on the accounting of ethical conduct, and decisions that dismiss costs may suggest conduct outside accountable definitions [25, 26].

Training and Development for Ethical Leadership

Training and development for ethical leadership is an actionable vision aimed at enhancing discussions around ethics and leadership. It necessitates an interdisciplinary approach, engaging practitioners and scholars across corporate governance and organizational life. Bridging the gap between ethics, virtue, and decision-making requires practical, philosophical, and epistemological cross-fertilization rooted in social sciences and humanities. Ethical leadership perceptions involve moralized leadership, with systematic examinations focused on the social effectiveness of leaders and their followers. Prominent theories suggest the perception of ethical leadership can be tailored to specific competencies across three analytical levels. Factors like gender, hostility, performance ratings, task interdependence, exposure to moral contagion, and moral judgment are theorized predictors of ethical leadership. Investigations into leader behaviors, employment modalities, and professional networks assess their effects on followers' emotions, interpersonal warmth, and performance. Such research could yield insights into leadership dynamics and broader behavioral contagion beyond organizations, affecting societal levels. Ongoing efforts in emotional leadership aim to identify unique emotional parameters and utilize techniques like fMRIs and social media data to enhance existing models or explore various leadership types. This may coincide with investigations into mental processes in leadership [27, 28].

The Role of Transparency in Governance

Opinions on the government's role vary significantly among stakeholders. Corporations, some business organizations, and economists argue for minimal government intervention, believing that markets self-regulate effectively as long as corporations operate legally. However, this stance is considered naïve by the authors. Ordinary citizens and the media cannot monitor corporate actions thoroughly, which undermines accountability and transparency. While some companies release annual social responsibility reports, stricter regulations are necessary to redefine corporate charters and stock exchange rules. Currently, stock exchanges require prompt disclosure of major ownership changes, but there are no similar requirements for social responsibility changes. This gap presents a lucrative opportunity, as corporations' public images are regularly assessed through various research methods. A list of frequently discussed corporations is generated, which can be financially beneficial for large multinationals.

Awareness of this service is expected to grow, potentially extending to national analyses and even local media. The media serve as crucial watchdogs in corporate governance, freely presenting data and fostering interest in accountability, as they remain influential platforms that corporations cannot overlook [29, 30].

CONCLUSION

Sustainable leadership cannot exist without a firm ethical foundation in corporate governance. As businesses confront complex global challenges, the need for leaders who prioritize long-term value over short-term gains becomes increasingly urgent. Ethical leadership must move beyond compliance, embedding values into organizational DNA through deliberate culture-building, stakeholder engagement, and strategic decision-making. The interplay between ethics, governance, and leadership requires a holistic framework that supports transparency, fairness, accountability, and social responsibility. Regulatory support, stakeholder collaboration, and educational initiatives are essential in fostering this transformation. By cultivating a culture of ethical awareness and responsibility at all levels, organizations can achieve resilience, reputation, and sustainable growth in an interconnected and scrutinizing world.

REFERENCES

1. Mbonu NM, Worlu EK. Ethical leadership in organisations: a synthesis of literature. 2018;1–25 pp.
2. Langseth N. Beyond ethical leadership: striving for authentic leadership in a new corporate context. 2004;1–30 pp.
3. Braun B. Exit, control, and politics: structural power and corporate governance under asset manager capitalism. *Politics Soc.* 2022;50(3):345–72.
4. Alkaraan F, Albitar K, Hussainey K, Venkatesh VG. Corporate transformation toward Industry 4.0 and financial performance: the influence of environmental, social, and governance (ESG). *Technol Forecast Soc Change.* 2022 Feb;175:121423:1–12.
5. Lund DS, Pollman E. The corporate governance machine. *Colum L Rev.* 2021;121(4):789–834.
6. Naciti V, Cesaroni F, Pulejo L. Corporate governance and sustainability: a review of the existing literature. *J Manag Gov.* 2022;26(3):491–526.
7. Liao Y. Sustainable leadership: a literature review and prospects for future research. *Front Psychol.* 2022;13:842650.
8. Sajjad A, Eweje G, Raziq MM. Sustainability leadership: an integrative review and conceptual synthesis. *Bus Strategy Environ.* 2024 May;33(4):2849–67.
9. Karami A, Gorzynski RA. Connection to nature and sustainability in small- and medium-sized environmental organizations: a dynamic strategic thinking approach. *Bus Strategy Environ.* 2022 Jan;31(1):371–89.
10. Barnabè F, Nazir S. Conceptualizing and enabling circular economy through integrated thinking. *Corp Soc Respons Environ Manage.* 2022 Mar;29(2):448–68.
11. Puchniak DW. No need for Asia to be woke: contextualizing Anglo-America's "discovery" of corporate purpose. *Rev Econ Dév.* 2022;19(1):55–79.
12. Callanan G, Tomkowicz SM, Teague MV, Perri DF. Juxtaposing the "shareholder" and "stakeholder" views of corporate governance: a pedagogical structure for classroom discussion. *J Int Educ Bus.* 2023 Nov;16(3):247–65.
13. Chatzopoulou EC, Manolopoulos D, Agapitou V. Corporate social responsibility and employee outcomes: interrelations of external and internal orientations with job satisfaction and organizational commitment. *J Bus Ethics.* 2022 Sep;179(3):795–817.
14. Kumari K, Abbas J, Rashid S. Role of corporate social responsibility in corporate reputation via organizational trust and commitment. *Rev Manag Sci.* 2021;15(2):567–89.
15. Elbæk CT, Mitkidis P. Evidence of ethics and misconduct in a multinational corporation: motives for growth of corrupt environments in today's business world. *Int J Bus Govern Ethics.* 2023;17(1):50–78.
16. Sama LM, Stefanidis A, Casselman RM. Rethinking corporate governance in the digital economy: the role of stewardship. *Bus Horiz.* 2022;65(5):567–76.
17. Iloka PC. Teaching integrity: strategies for fostering ethical behaviour in students. *Unizik J Educ Res Policy Stud.* 2025 Mar;19(1):15–28.
18. Manginte SY. Fortifying transparency: enhancing corporate governance through robust internal control mechanisms. *Adv Manag Financ Rep.* 2024 May;2(2):72–84.
19. Ugoani J, Ibeenwo GI. External audit process failures: unethical practices and business demise. *Bus Manag Econ Res.* 2022 May;8(1):1–11.

20. Arbogast SV. Resisting corporate corruption: practical cases in business ethics from Enron through SPACs. 2022;1–45 pp.
21. Karwowski M, Raulinajtys-Grzybek M. The application of corporate social responsibility (CSR) actions for mitigation of environmental, social, corporate governance (ESG) and reputational risk in integrated reports. *Corp Soc Responsib Environ Manag*. 2021 Jul;28(4):1270–84.
22. Safdar S, Khan A, Andlib Z. Impact of good governance and natural resource rent on economic and environmental sustainability: an empirical analysis for South Asian economies. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. 2022 Nov;29(55):82948–65.
23. Guluma TF. The impact of corporate governance measures on firm performance: the influences of managerial overconfidence. *Future Bus J*. 2021;7(1):1–15.
24. Velte P. Meta-analyses on corporate social responsibility (CSR): a literature review. *Manag Rev Q*. 2022;72(3):455–92.
25. Lu J, Rodenburg K, Foti L, Pegoraro A. Are firms with better sustainability performance more resilient during crises? *Bus Strategy Environ*. 2022 Nov;31(7):3354–70.
26. Almashhadani M, Almashhadani AA. Corporate performance and corporate governance system: an argument. *Int J Bus Manag Invent*. 2022;11(3):45–60.
27. Newstead TP, Dawkins S, Macklin R, Martin A. The virtues project: an approach to developing good leaders. 2019;1–30 pp.
28. Fyke JP, Buzzanell PM. The ethics of conscious capitalism: wicked problems in leading change and changing leaders. 2013;1–28 pp.
29. Zaid B, Shin DD, El Kadoussi A, Ibahrine M. Watching the watchdogs: a conceptual model for media accountability in a non-Western country. *Journal Pract*. 2023;17(4):567–89.
30. Okocha DO, Okereafor SC, Dyikuk JJ. Oxygen of democracy: exploring the status of journalists as watchdogs of good governance in Nigeria. 2023;1–22 pp.

CITE AS: Kakembo Aisha Annet (2025). Sustainable Leadership: Ethics in Corporate Governance. IDOSR JOURNAL OF ARTS AND HUMANITIES 11(1):39-45. https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJAH/2025/1113945