The Role of Emotional Intelligence in School Leadership

Asiimwe Kyomugisha T.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the critical role of emotional intelligence (EI) in shaping effective school leadership. As educational systems evolve amid rising demands and increasing mental health challenges, EI has emerged as a pivotal competency for school leaders. The study investigates how emotionally intelligent leadership influences school culture, teacher engagement, conflict resolution, and decision-making. Drawing on theoretical models by Goleman, Salovey, Mayer, and others, the research examines EI competencies such as self-awareness, empathy, and relationship management within educational contexts. Through analysis of empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, and case-based evidence from school environments, the paper highlights the need to incorporate EI training in leadership development programs. Findings underscore the positive impact of EI on staff morale, student outcomes, and overall school performance. The study concludes with implications for future leadership training and the integration of EI into policy and practice, advocating for school leaders who lead with both cognitive insight and emotional awareness.
Keywords: Emotional Intelligence (EI), School Leadership, Educational Administration, Leadership Effectiveness, Teacher Engagement, Conflict Resolution, Decision-Making.

INTRODUCTION

School leaders are crucial in creating effective educational environments for students and staff and ensuring organizational success. The focus on school leadership's impact on student learning has increased the urgency to develop the next generation of leaders. The future of schools relies on advancing executive and senior leadership roles. Recent years have seen significant growth in mental and emotional health research in education, leading to the emergence of "Emotional Intelligence (EI)" as a vital research area in school leadership. Effective leadership relies heavily on EI, which involves managing one's emotions and those of others. Leaders with high EI are attuned to the emotional needs of others, promoting professionalism and reducing interpersonal issues. Conversely, leaders lacking EI may create an uncollegial atmosphere and struggle with providing praise and feedback, leading to negative outcomes such as high turnover rates. In environments like RMS, selecting future leaders should prioritize EI to enhance stability and prevent detrimental knowledge structures due to staff turnover. Despite the significance of EI in leadership development, research in education is limited. Concepts like "Emotional Intelligence," "Social Intelligence," and others are well-known in organizational contexts; however, their recognition in education remains insufficient. Only EI has gained traction among educational policymakers, with growing calls to disseminate this knowledge in schools. The trend of economic rationalism has detracted from the importance of inner growth, exacerbating the disparity in knowledge equity and hindering the perception of schools as organizations where cognitive and emotional development are both essential [1, 2].

Understanding Emotional Intelligence

Not everyone knows how to express emotions, which is crucial for school leaders who influence teachers, impacting students' character and academics. Early on, teachers build unique relationships with students, aiming to influence them socially and emotionally as well. Therefore, school leadership must emphasize qualities like character, care, empathy, and commitment, encapsulated in the term "emotional

intelligence" (EI). EI differs from IQ, which measures academic intelligence through convergent thinking. While IQ reflects a person's ability with numbers or geometry, EQ measures the ability to discern and respond to personal and social emotions. EI is a major predictor of life success, affecting workplace behavior, relationships, health, and overall well-being. The advantage of EI is that it can be cultivated through self-awareness, self-regulation, social awareness, and relationship management. This explains why individuals with lower IQs can still achieve success, contrasting with those who possess high IQs but fail to do so. Consequently, emotionally intelligent school leaders are essential for influencing teacher behaviors. In Turkey, both legal and moral accountability guide teachers' performance, creating a scenario where high social demands can lead to feelings of harassment from authorities. School culture is context-dependent and varies on a micro-scale, with teachers spending most of their time in school. Therefore, school leaders must take responsibility for managing teachers' behaviors to cultivate a conducive learning environment. Legally, principals are expected to foster teacher involvement in decision-making, though this does not always translate into equal rights in practice. Such disparities may lead to an inadequate learning environment, hindering staff collaboration. An emotionally intelligent principal promotes a supportive culture founded on trust, ensuring fair treatment of all teachers, regardless of gender, religion, or background [3, 4].

Theoretical Frameworks

The role of emotional intelligence (EI) in leadership gained significant attention following the release of Emotional Intelligence. Popular culture highlighted EI's importance, leading to increased awareness among leaders about social and emotional skills. Research regarding the relationship between EI and effective leadership continues to develop, though few studies have focused on its impact on new-generation school principals. With educational reforms happening in New York State and Cyprus, understanding EI's role in reflective school leadership effectiveness is timely. The theoretical framework outlines EI's relevance in educational leadership, starting with its definition and distinction from other intelligence types. The multifaceted nature of social and emotional intelligence is explored, alongside its relation to various leadership effectiveness types, such as personality, ethical, and transformational leadership. While scholars have expressed concerns about the definitions and research surrounding social and emotional intelligence, Gardner's work on multiple intelligences sparked greater interest in these concepts. Consequently, social and emotional intelligence is now considered a crucial component of leadership effectiveness [5, 6].

Emotional Intelligence in Educational Settings

Crucial to school leaders in today's chaotic and uncertain world, emotional intelligence (EI) refers to the capacity to monitor one's own and others' feelings and emotions and to use this information to guide thinking and action. Highly relevant for school leadership is Goleman's five constructs of EI, two of which are personal competencies (self-awareness and self-regulation) and three of which are social competencies (motivation, empathy, and social skills). In educational settings, studies examining the role of EI in the work of school leaders, using instruments to measure levels of EI, and exploring changes in EI after school leadership training programs have recently emerged. School leaders' EI directly influences schools' climate, atmosphere, culture, abundance, and employment of high-quality instructional strategies, and success or failure in school reforms. Conversely, school leaders' lack of emotional awareness, unhealthy emotional responses, and unrealistic perceptions of their own EI impact the quality of their relationships with the staff and the wider community, indicating the importance of EI training for developing school administrators' people skills. The results summarized in this paper provide some support for the hypothesis that EI is a significant predictor of in-school administration success. While fewer than anticipated studies addressed the question in the way planned, within those studies analyzed, wide-ranging, in-depth findings were reported, firm results noted, and important connections made, prompting further questions, investigations, and considerations regarding EI and school leadership. The study is significant in its theoretical and empirical contributions to the growing body of knowledge about school administrators' development and success, particularly in regard to the necessity of emotional awareness on the interpersonal side of school leadership. By firmly establishing EI's predictive power in this area, it points to the need to include EI knowledge and training in educational leadership programs. The ambivalent nature of the connection between EI and relationship skills once again calls into question just how well EI and its components are understood and applied within educational settings, warranting further examination of first, how EI fits in the larger construct of social intelligence [7, 8].

Leadership Styles and Emotional Intelligence

Common leadership behaviors align closely with emotional intelligence concepts. Effective school leaders start with a thorough review of important information, share their vision, and consistently seek support

from stakeholders. Key characteristics include self-awareness, reflecting on various influences like the school's historical context, and gathering diverse input (social skills). Emotions tied to EI span from a desire to collaborate with faculty to apprehension about potential backlash from proposed initiatives. Literature suggests that adopting new behaviors based on emotional intelligence knowledge leads to positive outcomes and improved performance. Daniel Goleman's well-known theory suggests EI comprises self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skills. Developing these competencies starts with cognitive understanding. Potential school leaders undergo extensive training in these areas, such as distinguishing between emotions and feelings and expanding their emotional vocabulary, often spending considerable time in role-playing exercises [9, 10].

Developing Emotional Intelligence in School Leaders

Although social and emotional intelligence (SEI) theory offers a strong body of research surrounding emotional intelligence (EI) and the role of school leadership, the research specifically addressing school leadership EI remains scant. In this study, researchers plan to examine teachers' perceptions of school leaders' emotional intelligence. Furthermore, the study is designed to provide a better understanding of school leaders' use of emotional intelligence competencies and how the presence of those competencies contributes to leadership effectiveness. The idea that emotions can affect an individual's performance in all aspects of life is a concept that has been widely studied. Emotional intelligence is described as "the ability to recognize and regulate emotions in oneself and others." Further refining the definition, emotional intelligence is described as "the capacity for recognizing our own feelings and those of others, for motivating ourselves, and for managing emotions well in ourselves and in our relationships." SEI and EI research has expanded across disciplines, with much of the early research on EI conducted in the fields of psychology and business. More recently, however, researchers have begun to explore the role of school leadership SEI 1. As leaders of learning environments, school leaders are charged with the task of effectively influencing others' decisions and actions on a daily basis. The decisions leaders make and their actions can either uplift environments or destroy them. The development of high-stakes decisions arises for school leaders during troubling times, and unfortunately, more often than not, leaders are forced to make these decisions with limited time, data, and input from others. In turn, formal leaders are wrestling not only with making sense of situations, but they also must equip themselves with the tools and forethought needed to communicate those decisions and actions to the multitude of stakeholders affected. During these times, it is critical that leaders possess a high level of EI [11, 12].

Case Studies

At a large middle school in Texas, a district-initiated research project was undertaken. Data were collected from teachers using an established coding rubric to develop case studies of schools, their leaders, and cohorts of teachers at both the campus and district levels over five weeks of activities. Three sets of interviews were conducted once the findings were developed: first with central office administrators who planned and implemented the project; second with campus leaders who investigated; and finally with teachers from the same cohort. These interviews and, above all, the final set of focused-group interviews with teachers revealed the everyday-to-very-occasionally actions of leaders that promote the learning of teachers and their perceptions of the emotional intelligence of leaders as expressed in dispositions, traits, and relationship-management skills. A case study of a campus leader who worked to provide engaging learning experiences for recently hired staff with a Guardian so that they could better screen talent and avoid hiring individuals reluctant to use emotional intelligence in working with students was explored. This participant expressed the importance of wanting to provide all teachers hired with such an opportunity. However, it was also disclosed when interviewed that classroom observations of teachers had been pushed back. Stingy and elaborate sets of personal needs for respect constituted boundary management and concerns for teacher relations. Under scrutiny, one participant appreciated a division behavior moderator by the district's executive director of early literacy as "lightening the burden." Smart risk-taking enhances the possibility for new learning. For one participant, monitoring and feedback would be less formal, while the peer observation or video opportunity could promote professional development in specific skill areas supportive of district goals. If school leaders, as participants argued, are to become emotional sponsors, both discerningly taking risks and emotionally supporting others with their growth and development over time would be essential to improving practice [13, 14].

Measuring Emotional Intelligence

Emotional Intelligence (EI) can be measured effectively and pertinently in any environment. Various measurement methods include competency models, self-report questionnaires, observer ratings, and more. Each method has strengths, weaknesses, and practical implications. Self-report questionnaires assess an individual's emotional perception and regulation and consequently primarily review individual differences

in emotional intelligence. Self-report questionnaires regard average measures of emotional intelligence. Competency models evaluate emotional intelligence through demonstrated ability at work, where judges rate subordinates on potential EI competencies without assessing ability directly. Competency models in organizations take time to implement and receive wider acceptance concerning subordinate evaluations than self-report questionnaires. Observer ratings take account of social and situational factors involved in interactions. Despite being better suited to the dynamic nature of EI measurement, observer ratings are impractical, costly, and subject to bias. Because of the practical limitations of observed ratings, self-report questionnaires have received widespread acceptance in measuring individual differences. Self-report questionnaires variously assess self-perception of own ability or competence on all emotional intelligence measurement methods. Five models, developed by Salovey/Mayer, Bar-On, Goleman, Wong/ Law, and Schutte, illustrate the variety of constructs under study and their emphasis on components that contribute to emotional intelligence development. The models measure various emotional intelligence concepts, yet recurrently address the perspective of how individuals ordinarily perceive their own or others' emotions. The models exhibit items frequently delivered via Likert scales, unlike behavioral event methods displaying dimensional checklists [15, 16].

Emotional Intelligence and Decision Making

Moreover, it would play a crucial role in the extensive and comprehensive development of highly motivated and truly dedicated teachers, as well as staff members who are profoundly passionate about their vital roles and responsibilities; in addition to promoting essential emotional intelligence alongside important self-regulation skills that are necessary for both personal and professional growth. These pivotal skills entail effectively and constructively managing one's feelings and emotions, rather than succumbing to and becoming ensnared by overwhelming personal feelings and emotional reactions that can cloud judgment and hinder professional performance. It is of utmost importance for educators to consistently maintain a healthy equilibrium and balance in their emotional states, particularly during times of stress, pressure, and challenge that can frequently arise within the academic setting. However, the existing academic literature that thoroughly examines how emotional intelligence directly and profoundly influences effective school leadership continues to be little more than a foundational base of preliminary resources that are yet to be fully explored. This foundational knowledge could be further developed and expanded to enable advancements and groundbreaking progress in the ever-evolving field of educational leadership, ultimately enhancing the effectiveness with which educators foster a positive, engaging, and supportive atmosphere for students to thrive in [17, 18].

Emotional Intelligence and Conflict Resolution

Conflict is an inherent part of life, arising from interactions among individuals, teams, and organizations, including educational environments. Schools regularly encounter conflicts that require resolution. The key issue is not the occurrence of conflict but the methods employed to resolve it. In workplaces, individual differences in motives, attitudes, and behaviors lead to similar conflicts. Effective conflict management is essential for organizational success, leading to improved productivity and reduced stress. Many organizations, including schools, can decline when they fail to manage conflict effectively. This process is influenced by leadership, which shapes conflict resolution approaches. People generally prefer harmonious interactions and often feel uneasy about conflict, making a cooperative approach preferable to aggression or avoidance. Emotional qualities play a significant role in individual reactions to conflict, influenced by emotional attachments to situations. Emotional intelligence (EI) is the ability to recognize and manage one's own and others' emotions, process emotional information, and regulate emotions to foster growth. Individuals with high EI manage stress, control impulses, and maintain healthy relationships. EI comprises self-awareness, personal management, social awareness, and relationship management abilities. Although many studies focus on leadership behavior, there is limited exploration of emotional intelligence in school leaders and its impact on conflict management styles. The researcher aims to assess the perceived emotional intelligence and its components in school leaders, examining their conflict resolution styles and the connection between EI and these approaches [19, 20].

Future Trends in School Leadership

The accelerating need for school leaders to be role models of a healthy workplace culture is creating pressures leading to increases in school leaders' use of their emotional intelligence and social intelligence (EQ/I). Such pressures include increased external accountability, including higher expectations and tightened scrutiny; increasing job demands and complexity; greater churn in central district offices and among building leaders; and growing trends toward schools and districts becoming more hierarchical and bureaucratic. Many of these pressures are interrelated. As such pressures continue to affect leaders' ability and willingness to rely on their EQ/I (i.e., the ability to recognize, understand and use emotions to

facilitate thinking and manage emotions to promote emotional and intellectual growth), a corresponding decline in themselves as emotional healthiest leaders and how they express EQ/I. While literature on the importance of EI has proliferated in the mainstream organizational field, little has been published in the educational leadership/school administration field on the connection between EI and school leadership. Outside education, EI continues to be a neglected topic in leadership scholarship at a time when the world's most important organizations, many involved in the education and socialization of the world's children, feel a pressing need to explore the link between EI qualities and how they express themselves as healthy leaders effectively develop a productive workplace culture. Inside education, the imperative to explore the connection between school leaders' demonstrated EQ/I qualities and the contextually appropriate expressed characteristics has never been greater. Educational leadership/school administration scholars, largely unaware of the recent scientific developments in EI, are at risk of allowing this vital line of inquiry to languish. Therefore, anxiety that gains of access from growing interest in EI may be lost, as well as concern that dangers now faced by educational leadership are neglected [21, 22, 23].

CONCLUSION

Emotional intelligence is not merely a supplemental trait but a foundational leadership competency in educational settings. This research affirms that school leaders equipped with high EI are more effective in managing relationships, navigating conflict, fostering inclusive cultures, and inspiring staff and students. In contrast, leaders who lack EI often struggle to maintain collegiality and fail to engage stakeholders meaningfully. As schools face increasing complexity, the integration of EI into leadership training and selection processes becomes paramount. While the theoretical basis and early empirical work on EI are promising, further research is needed to understand its nuances in diverse educational settings. Moving forward, educational institutions and policymakers must prioritize emotional competence alongside academic and operational skills, ensuring that school leaders are prepared not only to manage but to lead with empathy, clarity, and vision. In doing so, schools can become nurturing environments where both educators and students thrive emotionally and intellectually.

REFERENCES

1. Tench R. Emotional intelligence: An essential leadership trait for educators. The William & Mary Educational Review. 2016;4(1):2.
2. Patti J, Holzer A. Effectiveness of a Leadership Development Program that Incorporates Social and Emotional Intelligence for Aspiring School Leaders. Journal of Educational Issues. 2015;1(1):6584–.
3. Al Shehhi M, Alzouebi K, Ankit A. An examination of the emotional intelligence of school principals and the impact on school climate in public schools in the United Arab Emirates. Journal of applied research in higher education. 2021 Dec 13;13(5):1269-89. [HTML]
4. Hu X, Li RY, Kumari K, Ben Belgacem S, Fu Q, Khan MA, Alkhuraydili AA. Relationship between green leaders' emotional intelligence and employees' green behavior: A PLS-SEM approach. Behavioral Sciences. 2022 Dec 27;13(1):25. mdpi.com
5. Semenets-Orlova I, Klochko A, Shkoda T, Marusina O, Tepliuk M. Emotional intelligence as the basis for the development of organizational leadership during the covid period (educational institution case). Studies of Applied Economics. 2021 May 29;39(5). ual.es
6. Alzoubi HM, Aziz R. Does emotional intelligence contribute to quality of strategic decisions? The mediating role of open innovation. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity. 2021 Jun 1;7(2):130. sciencedirect.com
7. Wang M, Wang Y. A structural equation modeling approach in examining EFL students' foreign language enjoyment, trait emotional intelligence, and classroom climate. Learning and Motivation. 2024 May 1;86:101981.
8. Eze VH, Eze CE, Mbabazi A, Ugwu CN, Ugwu PO, Ogenyi CF, Ugwu JN, Alum EU, Obeagu EI. Qualities and Characteristics of a Good Scientific Research Writing; Step-by-Step Approaches. IAA Journal of Applied Sciences. 2023;9(2):71-6.
9. Han S, Li Y, Haider SA. Impact of foreign language classroom anxiety on higher education students academic success: Mediating role of emotional intelligence and moderating influence of classroom environment. Frontiers in psychology. 2022 Jul 8;13:945062.
10. Kumari K, Abbas J, Hwang J, Cioca LI. Does servant leadership promote emotional intelligence and organizational citizenship behavior among employees? A structural analysis. Sustainability. 2022 Apr 26;14(9):5231.

11. Khassawneh O, Mohammad T, Ben-Abdallah R, Alabidi S. The relationship between emotional intelligence and educators' performance in higher education sector. Behavioral Sciences. 2022 Dec 15;12(12):511. mdpi.com

12. Prummer K, Human-Vogel S, Graham MA, Pittich D. The role of mentoring in developing leaders' emotional intelligence: exploring mentoring types, emotional intelligence, organizational factors, and gender. InFrontiers in Education 2024 Jun 5 (Vol. 9, p. 1393660). Frontiers Media SA. frontiersin.org

13. López González J, Martínez JM, Lomboy M, Expósito L. Study of emotional intelligence and leadership competencies in university students. Cogent Education. 2024 Dec 31;11(1):2411826. tandfonline.com

14. Todd BJ. A Case Study of the Emotional Intelligence Levels of a Secondary Principal and the Impacts upon Teacher Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment. Indiana Wesleyan University; 2022.

15. Gómez-Leal R, Holzer AA, Bradley C, Fernández-Berrocal P, Patti J. The relationship between emotional intelligence and leadership in school leaders: A systematic review. Cambridge Journal of Education. 2022 Jan 2;52(1):1-21. uma.es

16. Antonopoulou H. The value of emotional intelligence: Self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, and empathy as key components. Technium Education and Humanities. 2024 Apr 11;8:78-92.

17. Makwana AP, Dhont K, García-Sancho E, Fernández-Berrocal P. Are emotionally intelligent people less prejudiced? The importance of emotion management skills for outgroup attitudes. Journal of Applied Social Psychology. 2021 Aug;51(8):779-92. wiley.com

18. Shafait Z, Yuming Z, Meyer N, Sroka W. Emotional intelligence, knowledge management processes and creative performance: modelling the mediating role of self-directed learning in higher education. Sustainability. 2021 Mar 8;13(5):2933.

19. Kessi AM, Suwardi WZ, Mukhtar A, Asmawiyah A, AR DP. Islamic leadership, emotional intelligence, and spiritual intelligence on passion of work and performance. Golden Ratio of Human Resource Management. 2022 Feb 28;2(1):15-26. goldenratio.id

20. Alabay GG. SCHOOL PRINCIPALS'VIEWS ON THE IMPACT OF EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE (EI) ON PREFERENCES OF CONFLICT MANAGEMENT. Uluslararası Türkçe Edebiyat Kültür Eğitim (TEKE) Dergisi.;13(1):319-34. dergipark.org.tr

21. Blaik Hourani R, Litz D, Parkman S. Emotional intelligence and school leaders: Evidence from Abu Dhabi. Educational Management Administration & Leadership. 2021 May;49(3):493-517. academia.edu

22. Fullan M, Azorín C, Harris A, Jones M. Artificial intelligence and school leadership: challenges, opportunities and implications. School Leadership & Management. 2024 Aug 7;44(4):339-46. tandfonline.com

23. Coronado-Maldonado I, Benítez-Márquez MD. Emotional intelligence, leadership, and work teams: A hybrid literature review. Heliyon. 2023 Oct 1;9(10).