www.idosr.org Kato, 2025

©IDOSR PUBLICATIONS ISSN: 2579-0773

International Digital Organization for Scientific Research IDOSRJAH11200
IDOSR JOURNAL OF ARTS AND HUMANITIES 11(2):36-43, 2025.
https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJAH/2025/1123643

The Future of Work: Navigating Automation

Kato Bukenya T.

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Automation is no longer a distant concept but a driving force reshaping the global workforce and economy. This paper examines automation's evolution from early mechanization during the Industrial Revolution to today's sophisticated artificial intelligence systems. It explores different types of automation hardware, components, and processes and their implications for various sectors, including manufacturing, finance, healthcare, and education. Drawing on historical contexts and current technological advancements, the study highlights both the opportunities and disruptions automation brings to labor markets. Critical attention is paid to the skills required for future workforces, the socio-economic challenges automation poses, and the ethical and regulatory frameworks necessary to safeguard human agency and dignity. By examining successful and problematic case studies, this research underscores the complex interplay between technological progress, labor dynamics, and societal values. The paper calls for proactive governance, inclusive education systems, and international cooperation to ensure that automation enhances human potential rather than replacing it.

Keywords: Automation, Artificial Intelligence, Labor Market, Future of Work, Technological Displacement, Industrial Revolution, Skills Development, Ethical Technology.

INTRODUCTION

Automation represents a transformative advancement, replacing human cognitive processes with mechanical thinking. Today, machines can store extensive information and adapt operations for various processes, showcasing capabilities beyond mere mechanical functions. They can analyze chemical, physical, or electromagnetic processes in machines that think rather than just act. If we can recreate human thought processes in machinery, they could replace not only human roles but human thinking itself. This groundbreaking concept resonates across various perspectives; some view automation as pivotal, while others express concerns or fears regarding its implications. The transition toward automation may revolutionize urban planning, potentially leading to ecologically sustainable cities and diminishing traditional human roles in daily functions. However, the lack of engagement with this emerging reality raises significant questions about humanity's future. A failure to address the implications of automation could result in dire consequences for civilization, especially following economic upheaval. Such events may lead to social unrest, and increasing reliance on technology can redefine human existence, pushing the boundaries of nutrition and power dynamics. The American Standards Association defines automation as processes run by mechanical and electronic systems without direct human oversight, contrasting with mechanization aimed at facilitating operations. This distinction alters our understanding of machinery's role from mere power execution to dynamic control over operations and their environments [1, 2].

Historical Context of Work and Automation

On August 30, 1816, during the British Industrial Revolution, the progressive imagination of the time captivated throngs with visions of a more delightful future. But with it arose fears about the other side of the coin: that machines would take jobs from workers. Luddites smashed textile machines. Fears intensified with the invention of steam-powered machines and railroads during the next several decades. Actors in this debate large-scale industrialists, factory owners, and critics of capitalism—began discussing mass unemployment as a real threat to society: what would happen to workers caught in the tide of mechanization? The history of these troubled inquiries into and fears of automation is more than a parallel narrative for present-day fears. The Luddites' struggle against efficiency presents a crucial

ideological insight: not all efficiencies are created equal. Net gains that arise from energy monopolies, concentrations of wealth, and labor-market dislocations led to political uprisings. The automated future also raises questions about who will maintain the machines and whose labor will be deemed valuable. Nowadays, rather than contemplating the imposition of machines and depersonalization of labor, the issue is rather: when might the machine be considered superior to humans? The question of whether a laborer formulating a patentable invention, a chemist developing a new drug, or a novelist creating an account of human experience would be better than a new generation of artificially intelligent software—or whether the condition of being "cognizant" defines a concept crucial to being human. Since the mid-1950s, there has been an explosion of paper and a strong societal concern over thinking machines, learning machines, communicative machines, and the like. At the same time, progress in technology has put intelligent computational design out of reach for most social scientists, medical practitioners, biologists, and others. The work usually labor-intensive has to date largely evaded automation. But the emergence of public discourse adjacent to the uptake of theory formation, design, and the production of thinking, calculating, and communicative machines raises important questions. What is being constructed, and what will the consequences be? Other important critiques raised in earlier times a fear of depersonalization and bureaucratic machinery have proved baseless. The very machinery itself appears to be in flight, and its goals and motivations are nowhere to be found [3, 4].

Types of Automation

Automation is not a monolithic phenomenon; it encompasses various types, including: *1. The automation of hardware acts directly on the change of matter, resulting in a substitution of physical processes and the reduction of expenditures for labor and raw materials. Hardware automation is made of machines, and its primary aim is programmed production. The intellectual property of the only machine is generally owned by a single company; therefore, there are limits on the diffusion of automating techniques, in the form of patents and licensing. The complete step toward hardware automation is the industrial plant completely managed and controlled by machines. Replacing human work with mechanical work is the last time-consuming activity in the production cycle, and industrial robots mostly work in manufacturing. In the production cycles of cars, for example, specialists are still designing and programming robots for welding, stamping, spraying, and so forth. The automotive industry immediately captured the efficiency potential of the invention and production of automobiles, speeding up the mass production of cars and consequently generating new workers to acquire and read mass media. With hardware automation leaning down in skilled work, looking at the new scenario requires rendering in simpler pieces the great flows of information and know-how toward their absorption across industries and societies. A different measure of the speed of imitation of new sectors across countries can be detected by observing the accumulation of patents, their technological fallouts in highly diversified dead ends, and the diffusion of knowledge throughout companies. *2. The automation of components coerces the digital flow of information, afterward on which creative aspects act. Coping with professional tasks, this dimension implies a substitution of mental processes for the isolation of being's perceptions, enhancing productivity. Components of an augmented mental process acquire a limited per-action software, which takes the form of a know-how in artifice molding of the mind through a machine obeying digital logic. Differences in intellectual property exploitation differently shape labor markets. *3. The automation of processes acts on a complex network of automated functions that make up a great, holistic structure. Expenditures of labor and time are cut by operating on the contour of a labor process. Processes either lie on the other side of a not captured in a know-how by a computerized software, or compose a know-how of being's explicit. The subjection of one or a series of percepts involves losing the work they convey. At least two different possible fates exist for treating workers. In the first, it is transformed into demonstration steps for machines that perform the work; in the second, workers' routines become work components fed into databases for data mining technological solutions. Processes of hardware, components, and processes are experienced across economies either independently or synched. Indirectly or directly, this gradual characterizes the experimental spread of automation technologies by local companies" [5, 6].

Impact of Automation on Employment

As machines improve, there is an economic trade-off between substitution and productivity, leading to decreased labour needs over time. However, initially, new machines increase short-term labour requirements, even in substitution processes. In manufacturing, varying industries and their technical maturity result in inevitable job losses as economic substitution becomes viable. Workers and firms often overlook these shifts, as they are displaced by new technology, affecting production chains. For instance, the Ex Load Dispatch scenario in the Finnish electricity supply has resulted in significant downsizing. Attention is now on digital processes, which vary in maturity, similar to manufacturing. Automation requires basic data collection across sectors, including public services. Over time, efficiency in automated

processes improves, but systemic implementation will lead to considerable job losses in the public sector. A general shift toward automation can be observed, with expectations of net positive job creation alongside major job losses. The impact on existing job security remains uncertain, raising questions about the emergence of new non-technological jobs and the consequences for unprepared sectors facing widespread job losses [7, 8].

Skills for the Future Workforce

This study investigates how work is currently changing and sets out predictions for how it might develop in the future, with a specific focus on the implications for skills, education, and training. It emphasizes that it is not the amount of work that is fundamentally changing, but the relationship between how productive value is created and what work is performed by whom or what the workplace. How humans engage with each other, with machines, and in so doing create value, seems to be changing fundamentally and is expected to continue to do so in potentially disruptive ways. This automated transition does not mean the end of work as such, but it does imply new potential emergent skill gaps and a major reallocation of skill requirements, identities, and associated elements of social structure. The development of education policies that are relevant, equitable, and flexible enough to keep up with fast-changing systems will be crucial if countries are to reap the benefits of the future of work and avoid the significant hardships of transition that will otherwise occur. This study shows how the skills and education systems need to change to match the prospective changes to work over progressive timescales. The continuing emergence of organizations and workers that can creatively combine machines with what they are less good at (in the context of the more general way that work is changing) is essential to increasing shared prosperity globally. It argues that, as for prior revolutions, how well society sorts out these complex social dilemmas will be crucial for human welfare. The automation debate is not about whether employment levels will rise or fall, but about the qualitative nature of the work done by humans with the machines. There are existing jobs that use machines purely as tools, jobs where humans are primarily responsible for the machine itself, jobs that are mixed cases, and tasks that are functionally in the machines' territories. The task of keeping rowdy students in line is currently firmly in the human domain, but there is an educational robot that does this job well. The automation of tasks does not mean the displacement of jobs or the tasks they contain. A job is considered to be at the lowest level of experience and intellectual training for which a person can take on responsibility. Because jobs are formed from numerous complex tasks, it is unlikely that any humans will be displaced by using computers, robots, and AI as tools. On the contrary, low levels of current task automations indicate potential fundamental shifts in jobs performed but not a crisis in employment levels [9, 10].

Automation in Different Sectors

This section examines the impact of automation in sectors such as education, finance, and healthcare. These sectors were chosen not only due to their post-crisis job creation potential but also because of the rapid advancement of technology. Higher education has remained relatively unchanged over the last century, but online platforms are now competing with universities. These platforms profit from users' personal data, which is analyzed by private companies. This trend threatens to sideline traditional higher learning establishments. The share of the workforce potentially automatable within the decade is estimated with degrees awarded within finance, health, and education based on standard occupation classification (SOC) codes. Still applying SOC codes, the share of the workforce potentially automatable within the decade is estimated to be 84 percent in the finance and insurance sector, 64 percent in the education, health, and social services sector, and 45 percent in the retail sector. Roughly one-third of jobs currently held by college graduates could be automated. Among those, the exact share of tasks automatable is derived from estimates based on the project. College-level computer programming, chemistry, and mathematics occupations, which are currently held by roughly two percent of the workforce, are also among those most automatable. The findings suggest that technological advancement is accelerating, but is unable to take place on a massive scale. Education and health services, however, are facing similar challenges to the retail, transport, and logistics sectors. In these sectors, the potential for automation appears to be evolving equally. Reverse mortgage officers or benefits planners at a credit union might find their job eradicated or significantly reduced in volume, as financial advisors at a bank did. Even specialized knowledge in labor and employment law is not a guarantee against automation, given the potential for programmatic replacement of same-state or municipal employment and labor disputes in the face of state funding deregulation and reduction over the past decade [11, 12].

The Role of AI in Automation

Automation has long been part of work environments, evolving from simple technologies to sophisticated systems that alleviate various tasks. However, the rise in automation and digitalization has intensified fears about job security and control. Current automation technologies tackle more complex tasks,

prompting concerns about job losses due to the changing nature of work. Research indicates that higher automation levels may lead to negative outcomes, including loss of control and diminished task variety. Addressing these concerns, models of automation levels (LoAs) aim to establish an optimal automation framework that allows humans to retain control while benefiting from technology. Furthermore, the implementation of new automation systems raises questions about transparency, data protection, and fairness, as existing laws may not fully safeguard worker rights regarding technology's impact on their roles. The integration of these systems presents challenges in determining which tasks remain with human workers and ensuring fairness, a critical ethical consideration for societal interactions. Professional roles, requiring specialized knowledge and education, significantly influence organizations and client relationships. As professions evolve, they strive to maintain unique expertise amidst rapid changes driven by AI. These advanced technologies facilitate a broader spectrum of cognitive functions, transforming professional tasks and redefining the digital transformation of work. The shift toward AI dependency modifies how work is executed and necessitates ongoing adaptation to preserve the integrity and relevance of professional roles [13, 14].

Ethical Considerations in Automation

Today, the rise of digital technology and the automation of tasks carried out by machines, robots, or software pose benefits for the citizens of the 21st century. New technological possibilities in sectors that empty human work and pose challenges for possible scenarios for the future of work. Work can be defined broadly as any productive activity that is considered useful or necessary by the economic, social, and political context. Economic reasons for the emergence and replacement of work vary significantly. Widespread and rapid automation seems to be ahead. The question of whether significant job losses are a consequence of further industrial and service automation cannot be decided. Concerns have been raised on various grounds; issues of consent and whether consent is meaningful if coercive nor autonomous conditions are met. Whether that matters in the case of artificial agents is debated. In particular, ethical issues arise related to bodily aesthetics: technologies producing and interacting with human bodies, enhancing, or degrading their experience, or alternatively on their environmental footprint. There are fears that aesthetic experiences alter dispositions and representations to lower levels of previous moral, humanitarian, and sexual standards. Whether such artifact- or technology-driven changes to experience and thus to human behavior are acceptable is a hard, general, and probably unanswerable question. In the long term, independent of conscious goals of societies, economic growth means the rise of wealth per head. But industrialization means a structural change in labor demand and employment as well. One consequence of these changes has been an increase in individual productivity, which has raised output and wealth per head. Before a long-run growth in GDP per head, consequence of increases in output of goods and services produced per hour of labor. However, in any given sector, it is clear that, ceteris paribus, increased individual productivity means fewer humans for the same output. In principle, output can be kept constant while increasing individual productivity by reducing input; wealth can grow with decreasing labor utilization [15, 16].

Regulatory Frameworks for Automation

Automation technologies that enhance labor productivity are also raising concerns among trade unions and civil society about their possible adverse effects on employment and working conditions, including collective bargaining. An international framework is needed to protect workers from the harmful effects of changing work methods on their jobs and lives. While digital or algorithmic technologies are always subject to existing labor legislation, there are specific aspects relating to their extension and application that merit a fresh look from a workers' rights perspective. Attention is drawn to the damaging implications for workers of data-driven technology and its tendencies toward monopolization, and the necessity of public interest regulation of algorithms and platform providers, with trade unions representing workers in the future of work debates. Jurisdictions have begun to respond to the requirement for regulations governing the growing use of automated decision-making systems in ways that are poorly equipped to ensure truly trustworthy systems. Political organizing among labor unions and non-governmental organizations is being undertaken across states and countries, but with uneven success. The prominent EU Digital Services Act and inspired legislation across states lend basic protections against obviously harmful outcomes and provide some regulatory capacity over content moderation by powerful platforms, whose automatic content moderation systems tend to over-censor. Algorithms with labor market effects, including online job screening and rating systems, are untouched by this legislation. Exemplar labor market regulation in California protects workers from disregard by gig economy firms, but the efficacy of such protections depends on the regulatory capacity of the proposed California government agency, and regulatory bodies elsewhere are hard pressed to match the rapidly accelerating pace of market change. Meanwhile, ground-breaking initiatives to place the power of

controlling algorithms into the public and governmental hands via data trusts have yet to show early promise in practice [17, 18].

Case Studies of Successful Automation

John Lewis's effective use of labor costing is another example of identifying human activities that can be automated. This chain of department stores in the United Kingdom (UK) was one of the few businesses to grow its share of the clothing market during the early 2000s. It has relied on a historic trade union agreement that ensured pay parity across stores over the years. As a result, its most senior workers were compensated at a rate that made them impossible to replace with lower-paid replacements. The company grew but was unable to hire enough people in stores to maintain its core proposition of exceptional customer service. It thus turned to technology for assistance. Following a board-level conversation about automating service tasks in April 2013, an internal project was started to find a supplier that could deliver a suitable solution. As a major supplier to a competitor, SoftBank Robotics was eliminated. After viewing successful implementations in a shopping mall and near a Disney resort, the SoftBank NAOs were chosen. They were first used for a trial around Christmas 2016 before a national rollout over the following three years. Another key part of the implementation strategy was a successful collaborative approach with the union. Removal of lower-level jobs came only after a successful two-year implementation. Such an approach stands in stark contrast to many recent high-profile examples where the organization has "shot first and asked questions later." Amazon provides a good example of when current workers are more productive than an automation technology that is commercially available. Workers would much rather perform work tasks robot arm by crane rather than by a human. This is because the higher elevation that workers must reach to perform tasks results in a 30% productivity drop. Using 2022 sales data, approximately $86bn would have to be spent replacing all shippers with robot arms. This limits Amazon's automation horizon, despite obvious takeovers and a fall in expandability opportunity space. In this regard, during September 2021, Robotic Press Gantry (RPG) arms were filed for patent to assist workers. Unfortunately, the duplicitous descaler was not achievable. Considerable time is still required for fixing homing errors. Additionally, packaging on turntables delays worker action time, forcing cutting stings, wrappers, and tubing to remain manual tasks [19, 20].

Future Trends in Automation

Technological, social, and political factors can either promote or limit automation, determining which segments of the labor force are employed. Each scenario's implications in terms of automation dynamics and broader trends are summarized. An exhaustive description of four futuristic worlds, by definition a utopia and a dystopia, would require a book. This is just a preliminary attempt unveiling the possible limits and risks of a massive technological wave bidding to remodel, totally or partially, the socio-political fabric of many countries. In a first scenario, a fast and vehement automation process takes place, shriveling down the pool of available jobs, along with the wage share of national income and the middle-class purchasing power. This leads to full-fledged Technological Unemployment and a social backlash, as warned by many experts. Technology polarizes the labor market, expanding opportunities for high-skilled workers while drying them up for lower-skilled ones. The employment level plummets, but companies and consumers still, can't find enough jobs that match workers' skills. The labor force is divided into a Blissful, an Automized, and a Stranded one. In the latter two scenarios, a social compromise is reached, and a sort of 'soft' automation starts taking place. Prospective job loss due to digitization and automation has been broadly discussed. In a now-classic paper, a prediction model for the US industry, industries, and occupations produced remarkably level as a core prediction. Their model's accuracy is remarkable, but can be improved. There are some leaps of faith for the model per se ere taking it at face value. Moreover, the cross-European industry and occupation automation outlook analysis shows a wider point of view on the metamorphosis of the future labor market. In conclusion, social and economic welfare can only be preserved with adequate policy measures. A fair and adequate proportion of the benefits of technological advances should be redistributed to workers. Just as the industrial revolution surfaced new wealth, the digital revolution should also offer opportunities for a sustainable quality of life. A weak welfare state. Increases in minimum wages without price inflation. Strong rationalization measures targeting wealth hoarders. The social negotiation must take place at an extraordinary pace, its outcomes remain hidden regardless of the global behemoths [21, 22].

Strategies for Organizations

Workplace automation will be driven by organizational ambitions to use smart technology to change hierarchies, alter cultures, and redesign teams and skills. Understanding automation as an organizational plaything is essential to recognizing the haves and have-nots of the new digital divide. The perils of programmable technology have been greatly exaggerated. Big data, smart technologies, and artificial intelligence (AI) are not dominant, in control, or "working" for capital, and are as often sources of

dysfunction as they are sources of competitive advantage. Viewing automation as an organization-delimited phenomenon means that the aim is not only rarely optimization, it is usually displacement. Software companies do not sell allegiance to magically productive outcomes; they sell computer software that impacts human labour. Such software lifts the lid on the software architecture, competing on ease of use, speed to install, and flexibility. Management consultancies sell projects that embrace business process management software instead of alignment, and organizational "agility" instead of by-the-book procedures. Organizational change, as opposed to optimization, means adopting technologies that alter hierarchies and cultures, pushing work to seller-side platforms, expecting workers to self-assimilate, which often compete with internally governed social interactions. Competitive advantage does not come from the tech itself, but from regime change: a socio-technical reboot that causes transformations of human beings. Such moves rely solely on the organizational playfulness of the technologies rather than on any straight computational improvements that might better be labelled job cuts. Union efforts must turn toward aggregating worker reactions to automated engagement. There are three ways to counter the negative effects of bounded automation. First, to cultivate and protect workers' skills, training to be able to leverage more bargaining power. Without regulation or union participation, skills erode and workers are exposed to brutal pay [23, 24].

The Role of Education in Preparing For Automation

With the disruptive impact of the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR), a major factor in the future of societal landscapes is the degree to which automation and artificial intelligence (AI) penetrate the world of work. The future of work modifies the contours of previously settled sectors. The discussions of AIs and automation and their impact on the world of work are part of popular conversations, but far from settled. The transformations that changes to job tasks might bring about are not new societal formations. Rather, they form part of a continuum accounting for a long history of transformations that expand a profound restructuring of the social division of labor. Today, as in the past, these changes can gather volatility, fierce public discussion, and exciting business propositions as well as phenomenally large and powerful investments in technology companies envisioned to displace human workers and take over tasks that have traditionally belonged to them. Over 100 years ago, the wit of American humorist L. Mencken caught onto some of these. "Eventually, an ideal educational system is to be worked out. When it is fully installed, working in all its departments with absolute smoothness, and in tireless ostentation, all human labor will cease, and man will find himself without a job." Indeed, this fascinating representation of a view of automation as labor substitutive has inspired many. In the present movement towards an artificial intelligence system, it is sought to discover how far consumption processes and the consuming unit might be vulnerable to displacement by some mechanical automaton, and at what point they might remain untouched [25, 26].

Employee Perspectives on Automation

The speed of automation has increased, with the adoption of emerging technologies combining at an unprecedented pace. Canonical inputs in the debate about how this wave of automation will affect workers include perspectives from technology and arguments more socially oriented. Considering workers' points of view and their best interests is still a necessary endeavor, especially since a massive automation wave is predicted to affect every worker on the planet. This largely explains why this analysis is more about what is at stake than what choices or alternatives might better suit workers' needs. Yet, outlining obstacles to a better future is valuable on its own. This analysis presents and explains three scenarios that employ convergence factors and calls. This includes ethical concerns, resentment, new forms of employment, and improvement bundles. Nothing states that those scenarios are not intended to work in parallel. Most contemporary debates on the future of workers seem to plug into aspects of each scenario. It explains that some forms of automation will remain in the industrialised world even as production jobs leave. The danger is that better jobs will not be enough to soak up the unskilled, poorly functioning workforce growing within rich countries. Fully developed scenarios might be disagreed with, yet the objective is to lend them plausibility, ensuring that technology has clear and powerful consequences. Key aspects of automation are debated even by prominent scholars. Technological unemployment, increasing inequalities via capital and winner-take-all mechanics, and shifts in public policies are all drawn from current events and predictions for the near future. Policies are scant as to what workers might want or governments might be willing to concede. More specific manifestations of the scenarios are envisaged, which might be used to evaluate specific policies. Countries will vary in responsiveness and adaptation. The result is consistent with the debates unfolding in the US and Europe on technology's dark side, and it casts automation as a distrustful beast when properly tamed [27, 28].

CONCLUSION

Automation is redefining the nature of work, raising urgent questions about employment, equity, and ethics in a digitized world. While the historical narrative of technological progress reveals both optimism and fear, the current scale and complexity of automation require more nuanced responses than ever before. As machines increasingly perform cognitive, physical, and procedural tasks, society must reconsider not just which jobs will be lost or gained, but how work itself is valued and distributed. The emergence of new sectors and opportunities alongside large-scale displacements demands agile educational systems and lifelong learning strategies. Equally, ethical considerations—ranging from surveillance and data rights to the depersonalization of labor must be addressed through robust policy frameworks and inclusive governance. Regulatory structures must catch up with technological innovation, ensuring fair labor practices and protecting the social fabric from fragmentation. Ultimately, the future of work is not predetermined by machines but shaped by collective human decisions that prioritize dignity, creativity, and equity in the face of relentless technological change.

REFERENCES

1. Gohil MG. A study on the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI), automation and the digital transformation on society. Revista Review Index Journal of Multidisciplinary. 2023 Dec 31;3(4):22-7.
2. Bhadra P, Chakraborty S, Saha S. Cognitive iot meets robotic process automation: The unique convergence revolutionizing digital transformation in the industry 4.0 era. InConfluence of Artificial Intelligence and Robotic Process Automation 2023 Mar 14 (pp. 355-388). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
3. Bassett C, Roberts B. Automation anxiety: a critical history-the apparently odd recurrence of debates about computation, AI and labour. InHandbook of critical studies of artificial intelligence 2023 Nov 14 (pp. 79-93). Edward Elgar Publishing. [HTML]
4. Estlund C. Automation Anxiety: Why and How to Save Work. Oxford University Press; 2021.
5. Chegini H, Naha RK, Mahanti A, Thulasiraman P. Process automation in an IoT–fog–cloud ecosystem: A survey and taxonomy. IoT. 2021 Feb 7;2(1):92-118.
6. Li Y, Su C, Zhu J. Comprehensive review of wire arc additive manufacturing: Hardware system, physical process, monitoring, property characterization, application and future prospects. Results in Engineering. 2022 Mar 1;13:100330.
7. Ranerup A, Henriksen HZ. Digital discretion: Unpacking human and technological agency in automated decision making in Sweden's social services. Social Science Computer Review. 2022 Apr;40(2):445-61.
8. Flechsig C, Anslinger F, Lasch R. Robotic Process Automation in purchasing and supply management: A multiple case study on potentials, barriers, and implementation. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management. 2022 Jan 1;28(1):100718. sciencedirect.com
9. Rawashdeh A. The consequences of artificial intelligence: an investigation into the impact of AI on job displacement in accounting. Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management. 2025 Mar 6;16(3):506-35. [HTML]
10. Tiwari R. The impact of AI and machine learning on job displacement and employment opportunities. International Journal of Engineering Technologies and Management Research. 2023 Jan;7(1):1-9. researchgate.net
11. Chui M, Manyika J, Miremadi M. Where machines could replace humans-and where they can't (yet). The McKinsey Quarterly. 2016 Jul 8:1-2.
12. Katz R, Callorda F, Jung J. The impact of automation on employment and its social implications: evidence from Chile. Economics of Innovation and New Technology. 2023 Jul 4;32(5):646-62.
13. Niehaus S, Hartwig M, Rosen PH, Wischniewski S. An occupational safety and health perspective on human in control and AI. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence. 2022 Jul 6;5:868382.
14. Tredinnick L. Artificial intelligence and professional roles. Business Information Review. 2017 Mar;34(1):37-41.
15. Smith JE, Boggs J. Nowhere to go: Automation, then and now. (De) Automating the Future: Marxist Perspectives on Capitalism and Technology. 2024 Sep 2;321:83.
16. Christensen M, Yunker LP, Shiri P, Zepel T, Prieto PL, Grunert S, Bork F, Hein JE. Automation isn't automatic. Chemical science. 2021;12(47):15473-90. rsc.org
17. Parker SK, Grote G. Automation, algorithms, and beyond: Why work design matters more than ever in a digital world. Applied psychology. 2022 Oct;71(4):1171-204.

18. Bhargava A, Bester M, Bolton L. Employees' perceptions of the implementation of robotics, artificial intelligence, and automation (RAIA) on job satisfaction, job security, and employability. Journal of Technology in Behavioral Science. 2021 Mar;6(1):106-13. springer.com
19. Lacity MC, Willcocks LP. Becoming strategic with intelligent automation. MIS Q. Executive. 2021 Jun 1;20(2):7.
20. Maghazei O, Lewis MA, Netland TH. Emerging technologies and the use case: A multi-year study of drone adoption. Journal of Operations Management. 2022 Sep;68(6-7):560-91. wiley.com
21. Piroşcă GI, Şerban-Oprescu GL, Badea L, Stanef-Puică MR, Valdebenito CR. Digitalization and labor market—A perspective within the framework of pandemic crisis. Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research. 2021 Oct 21;16(7):2843-57. mdpi.com
22. Mondolo J. The composite link between technological change and employment: A survey of the literature. Journal of Economic Surveys. 2022 Sep;36(4):1027-68.
23. Aithal PS. Enhancing Industrial Automation through Efficient Technology Management in Society. International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML). 2023 Dec 16;7(4):184-215. ssrn.com
24. Ghobakhloo M, Asadi S, Iranmanesh M, Foroughi B, Mubarak MF, Yadegaridehkordi E. Intelligent automation implementation and corporate sustainability performance: The enabling role of corporate social responsibility strategy. Technology in Society. 2023 Aug 1;74:102301. sciencedirect.com
25. Rana G, Khang A, Sharma R, Goel AK, Dubey AK, editors. Reinventing manufacturing and business processes through artificial intelligence. CRC Press; 2021 Dec 14.
26. Deranty JP, Corbin T. Artificial intelligence and work: a critical review of recent research from the social sciences. Ai & Society. 2024 Apr;39(2):675-91.
27. Jones P. Work without the worker: Labour in the age of platform capitalism. Verso Books; 2021 Oct 5.
28. Willcocks LP. Automation, digitalization and the future of work: A critical review. Journal of Electronic Business & Digital Economics. 2024 Feb 1(ahead-of-print).

CITE AS: Kato Bukenya T. (2025). The Future of Work: Navigating Automation. IDOSR JOURNAL OF ARTS AND HUMANITIES 11(2):36-43.
https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJAH/2025/1123643