The Influence of Street Art on Urban Culture

Nabirye Amina Okwir

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the multifaceted evolution and impact of street art within urban environments, tracing its journey from subversive graffiti to a recognized component of city identity. Drawing on historical analyses, theoretical frameworks, and diverse case studies, we examine how street art shapes and reflects urban public spheres, contests power structures, and engages with processes of gentrification, commodification, and community formation. Key characteristics such as its rhetorical practices, site-specificity, and temporality are analyzed alongside legal and ethical debates concerning preservation and surveillance. Comparative perspectives illuminate how street art functions across global contexts, while profiles of influential practitioners demonstrate its role in social movements and technological innovation. We argue that street art not only reclaims public spaces and amplifies marginalized voices but also catalyzes critical dialogues about belonging, memory, and the contested nature of urban life. Finally, we consider the tensions between authenticity and institutionalization, offering insights into the future trajectories of this dynamic urban phenomenon.
Keywords: Street Art, Graffiti, Urban Culture, Public Space, Gentrification, Urban Identity.

INTRODUCTION

Urbanity, distinguishing the city from the countryside, has evolved significantly within urban culture. The traditional Western functionalist view, showcasing urban culture as specialized knowledge for the elite, is shifting towards a more open blend of diverse cultural arenas, including popular culture. This transition enriches urban culture while preserving its foundational structures and forms, leading to a vast array of artistic expressions. Among these, graffiti has transformed from a subculture regarded as vandalism into a major topic in urban culture discussions. Initially marginal, it challenged power structures by claiming public space for expression. Ongoing discussions, legitimization, and commodification now position graffiti as a vital aspect of urban culture, prompting vibrant debates over aesthetics, democracy, and creativity. Street art occupies a unique position in these discussions, representing urbanity as democratic and creative, a grassroots form advocating for the inclusion of the marginalized. However, since the early twenty-first century, street art has entered a new phase, reshaping urbanity and providing an alternative vision of the city. This study examines this evolution, focusing on two contrasting forms, muralism and paintings, which illuminate the transformations occurring within street art and hint at the unexplored realms of urban culture [1, 2].

Historical Context of Street Art

Street art is an increasingly ubiquitous part of urban landscapes, often overlooked unless it becomes a prominent mural or garners media attention. While the term "graffiti" serves as a broad descriptor for public writing and illustrations, "street art" is a more precise terminology for scholarly discussion. It greatly reflects historical contexts and sociopolitical dynamics, demonstrating its significance within urban culture, particularly through in-depth case studies conducted in cities such as Seoul and New York. This unique form of expression can dramatically alter environments through both collective and individual actions and initiatives. Typically considered temporary, street art can prevail and last for several years, which often draws urban tourists and art enthusiasts alike. Urban culture encompasses the complex lifestyles of city dwellers, which are influenced by diverse socioeconomic, political, and technological contexts. These factors are shaped by layered histories and current management strategies, which manifest in urban culture and its ongoing evolution. Furthermore, globalization and transnationalism actively drive the creation of post-national cities, where diverse flows of capital, people,

goods, and culture converge to generate a rich global urban culture. Alternatively, they can also contribute to dislocation and disorder within these urban environments, highlighting the complex interplay between artistic expression and the changing dynamics of city life [3, 4].

Key Characteristics of Street Art

With a focus on street art, this essay presents a discussion of these genres. A theoretical apparatus is offered which examines the impact of street art on the urban public sphere with respect to its rhetorical practices. Seven characteristics of street art are suggested, with guidelines offered to the effect that street art may work best when it conforms to those practices. It is argued further that such practices operate among groups of artists, with a contest of who can capitalize on a particular street art trend or style first. Street art can communicate information and crowd perceptions of the world and how to construct built space—its aspects are never just morphologies of visual forms. Street art flourishes in a social and media ecology that favors its aesthetic and rhetorical practices, but new media and other new designs may threaten to crowd out this discourse. Street art as graffiti may flourish best on rough neighborhood streets, where it is old, worn, or battered. But street art can try to speak fresh truths within a thoroughly curation society in which the new erodes access and tastes leave-to-roam to art experience unabridged. Each public performance of street art stands on borrowed signs as much as it co-opts stolen signs. In each case, an expropriated form produces a discourse of street conditions currently unruly and which perplex in their unexpected consequences. Further, any location disposed to elaborate signaling may encode signs of its dwelling moods such that the informational and relational valences are unintelligible. Street art is in such cases erased undercover, residing in huddled séances of coloring and marking up over already settled territorial markings that coordinate psychological migration from the location where built art is quiescent [5, 6].

Influence on Urban Identity

Cities cannot evolve without inhabitants understanding their urban space, which requires a shared language and identity. Over time, this bond has diminished due to the uniformization of locales, leading to identical streets and a loss of local culture and history. Containers cannot replace the essence that has been reduced to mere material. Street art emerges in this context, giving voice to the unvoiced and highlighting neglected issues, thereby enhancing urban identity. Although cities have histories and cultures, many fail to incorporate their diverse inhabitants, alienated by cultural homogenization. In response to this commodified imagery, street art utilizes blank spaces to depict current issues and provide counter-narratives, reclaiming the essence of a culture fractured by representation crises. The voices of legitimate participants challenge commodification, bringing attention to the socio-economic exclusion that homogenized culture has created. The erasure of historical layers and the presence of oppressive structures obscure the social suffering experienced by many residents. In these neglected areas, artistic expressions thrive alongside their legal recognition. Battles for identity and visibility are enacted throughout the city, as each piece of art asserts legitimacy and engages with larger cultural concerns [7, 8].

Street Art and Gentrification

Street art and graffiti have become a global phenomenon in urban areas, evolving from an 'illicit' art form to recognized public art that engages communities and fosters economic development. Many cities now integrate street art into their cultural identity. However, this acceptance brings controversy, especially in gentrifying neighborhoods like Berlin, Chicago, and Melbourne, where it intersects with community identity and displacement issues. While some residents appreciate street art for its inclusivity, others feel alienated as neighborhoods transform into tourist attractions catering to wealthier citizens. Policies targeting illegal street art often serve as tools for urban cleansing, suppressing not only tags and stamps but also public expressions of identity. Consequently, street artists navigate changing norms, sometimes reverting to undercover methods as social legitimacy fluctuates. Graffiti, which originated as an informal expression in cities like Philadelphia, Kansas City, and New York in the late 1960s, evolved from simple tags to elaborate works on various urban canvases. The global spread of this visual communication mirrors the rise of graffiti as a voice for marginalized communities, reflecting social conditions and dissatisfaction, especially as hip hop culture emerged in the 1970s. This pivotal moment marked graffiti's transformation into an influential street art form, attracting attention from governments and corporations for sanctioned murals while impacting its traditional presence in different cities [9, 10].

Legal and Ethical Considerations

Street art is primarily defined by its mode of production rather than a particular art form, style, or content. It is a particular way of producing art, a mode of production in which art is made outside of the institutions of the art world, the market, and/or the state. Street art may take the form of paintings, paste-ups, stencils, stickers, installations, or performances. Street art is a normal fact in various cities but

faces a growing number of threats as cities employ increasingly sophisticated surveillance and policing technologies to surveil, investigate, and fine artists. The removal of street art is heavily criticized. In many cities, the removal of murals, tags, or posters is considered a damaging act to a community, history, and culture. Communities are looking for creative ways to protect street art from being destroyed or removed from their neighborhoods or political muralism that addresses local issues. Some communities are doing so by granting it legal protection under preservation ordinances similar to those that exist for historic buildings. While these ordinances have the potential to protect local street art from commercial interests, it is arguable that they neglect the fact that street art benedictions in the first place are stigmatized as deviant trespass over property regimes and that their status as unauthorized graffiti would be premised on violation, possibility, and remoteness thereof. Thus, street art protection raises larger questions about the complex relationship between public space, street art and graffiti, and property regimes, as both the source of graffitist criminalization by local governments and the pedestal of legal orders through which it is validated and made legitimate. Indeed, the result of these ordinances is a coercive, exclusionary one whereby street art that had previously resisted regulatory forces becomes indistinguishable from advertising as it is legitimated by a suite of punitive civil and criminal mechanisms [11, 12].

Street Art in Different Cultures

Street art serves as a vital tool for reclaiming public spaces amidst the rise of corporate urban environments. The United Nations recently addressed the spread of hate speech amplified by social media, highlighting the importance of street art in fostering public expression and dialogue. As cities have become increasingly commodified, defined by corporate branding and surveillance, the essence of public forums has diminished. With corporate sponsorships prevailing in parks and public areas now monitored by private security, street art emerges as a counter-narrative, displayed indefinitely until removed. It interacts with urban environments, revealing societal tastes, beliefs, and taboos, while igniting discussions on politically charged topics often overlooked in conventional settings. Distinct from the commodified art world, street art challenges societal and institutional norms with a playful yet critical approach, reflecting and resisting the commercial influences that dominate contemporary culture. Through this lens, street art becomes a means to explore and push back against neoliberal ideologies, asserting the importance of unregulated public expression [13, 14].

Case Studies of Influential Street Artists

Many renowned street artists have created iconic public murals that represent significant contributions to public art in their hometowns. This discussion highlights several muralists, particularly those in Philadelphia, detailing their backgrounds, how they achieved recognition, and their transformation into brand names. Individuals may notice their work in the current media. Some murals embody artistic movements, while others showcase unique styles. Jansky, a Philadelphia-based character artist, started with skateboarding and b-boying. He began tagging with "JR," reflecting a cartoon version of himself, often depicting characters that illustrate whimsical lifestyles. Key themes in his work highlight disconnection from and affection for surroundings. His playful yet melancholic style captures humor in various situations. El Toro Loco, a recognizable giant bull character, has traveled widely, illustrated against bright backgrounds with the tag "JANSKY." Often, his characters find themselves in relatable scenarios, revealing personal themes about professional success and addressing feelings of insecurity and the need for recognition. Mural Arts, founded in 1984, began as a community service initiative for restitution murals in Philadelphia. It has evolved into a larger organization, engaging 285 muralists and completing over 1900 murals. Their efforts include creating public art throughout neighborhoods, attracting tourists for mural tours, and transforming crime hotspots into vibrant murals [15, 16].

Street Art and Social Movements

Social movements often utilize urban art to gain attention, accountability, and power. Public art installations serve as effective tools for activists to inform and motivate action. This form of art empowers audiences to engage on their own terms, creating a unique approach to media. However, sanctioned public art can lack the subversive quality desired by many activists. Consequently, urban protest has increasingly embraced street art as a legitimate and enduring means to drive change. Historically, marginalized communities have contributed to street art, which has gained recognition amid the rise of surveillance and militarization. As the significance of street art grows, concerns over its permanence and integration into mainstream culture arise. Recent political street art's coverage highlights how its increasing fame affects both content and audience interactions. Influential 20th-century street artists inspired the broader street art movement, employing various strategies to critique the capitalist system. A notable aspect of contemporary street art involves a focus on place, benefiting local artists through global visibility. However, street art's legitimization and commodification risk diluting its original message. The

transformative power of graffiti emerges when it exists outside of authority's constraints, allowing art to serve as agency. Street art effectively challenges institutions and reinterprets public spaces. Without this deeper understanding, using graffiti as a mere visual representation can misrepresent a city's essence [17, 18].

The Role of Technology in Street Art

Technological advances have enhanced urban life by improving access to education and healthcare and reducing safety issues. TV, the internet, and mobile devices are particularly influential, especially in teaching street art techniques. Street artists utilize online videos for skill improvement, while others learn how to legally alter or remove street art. The availability of information fosters diversity in art styles and locations, supported by the rise of digital photography through mobile phones and accessible cameras. Street artists gain from inexpensive ways to document their work, allowing for free promotion on blogs and art sites. A subculture of street art tourists has emerged, motivated by online content to visit renowned cities. However, the public's access to digital images raises concerns of appropriation and commercialization among street artists. Image manipulation software enables novices to replicate styles, threatening the originality of artists' works. While unexpected street art can captivate viewers, anticipated pieces often lack shock value, leading to disinterest in commercial exhibitions. Street artists respond to digital threats by creating negative art, like derisive posters, shortly before capturing and sharing them online. Although technology has positively influenced street art, it has also led to a perceived decline in artistic ethos and aesthetics. Technology enables instant replication and global sharing, but it also allows careless replicators to mimic styles without genuine creativity. This digital art is often viewed as inferior, lacking the depth and interpretation of original works [19, 20].

Street Art Festivals and Events

Former European capital of culture Lille is hosting the latest big street art festival and claims to set a new standard: the first three-week-long painting marathon in the city center of a European town. A lot is at stake: on the one hand, every festival aims to raise the profile of the town and to produce a big hit artwork that will be promoted internationally. On the other hand, Lille is struggling with a 40 million Euro deficit for 2010, mainly stemming from this cultural event. Lille 3000 is believed to serve as the police's means to diminish the critical glare by placating the public with colourful artworks. With a stringent performance evaluation in mind, Lille hopes to conduct a success story about street art that counterbalances failures related to a recent similar event in Berlin. The organization's instability and problems between Lille 3000, the city administration, and the police often create new hesitations. No step-by-step scenario exists; actions are necessarily improvised at short notice and often eaten up by nasty distrust and quarrel. In addition, when one thinks the actions are successful enough, people raise even more critical comments and questions. The festival series "Urban Art" is filmed, which serves as a commentary for the hypothetical anthology on street art [21, 22].

The Future of Street Art

In some instances, street art struggles to maintain its original form. Five years after a parody of a British soldier appeared on a Gaza wall, it was whitewashed. Tel Aviv, famed for its graffiti, has become a target for gentrification. Urban developments, like the renewal of the Florentin district, typically negate uniqueness, targeting so-called vandalism to promote expensive lofts and boutiques lacking artistic authenticity. As gentrification accelerates, various rebuttals emerge across the city. Supporting these movements through legislation is vital to prevent fears of an unregulated open-air museum. Direct actions, such as shutting down street art areas, often bring negative consequences. Organizing large festivals allows authorities to control the art landscape. Cultural organizations protect muralists from fines, hoping to legitimize grassroots artists while sanitizing their work. Artists who paint at festivals may express discontent with the commercial influence, realizing that their creations must be larger or more impactful. The question remains whether significant murals can exist outside marginalized spaces. Conversely, small stickers can be infinitely reproduced, posing challenges to authenticity. Both street art and murals face commercialization by marketing firms. Street art is caught between recognition and fading away legally acknowledged art versus becoming an absolute commodity, struggling between freedom and regulation. It is still feasible to produce pure art, which would require unknown creators and nonsensical messages. Ultimately, such works may find their way into museums, where owners negotiate with surveillance companies. The contrast between past and present persists, yet hope lingers in the illusion of unattainability [23, 24].

CONCLUSION

Street art has undergone a profound transformation from illicit markings to a globally acknowledged art form that both mirrors and molds the pulse of modern cities. Its capacity to articulate counter-narratives, reinvigorate neglected neighborhoods, and foster civic engagement underscores its democratic potential.

Yet, as street art becomes increasingly institutionalized through preservation ordinances, corporate sponsorships, and tourism, it risks losing the very spontaneity and critical edge that define its power. The entanglement with gentrification debates reveals how artistic interventions can both challenge and accelerate urban redevelopment, complicating notions of belonging and displacement. Moreover, advances in surveillance and digital reproduction further test the boundaries of authenticity and ownership. Moving forward, sustaining street art's role as a vehicle for social critique will demand nuanced policies that balance protection with creative freedom, support grassroots initiatives, and resist the commodification that can dilute its grassroots ethos. Ultimately, street art persists as an indispensable urban dialogue, one that continuously redraws the contours of public space, identity, and collective imagination.

REFERENCES

1. Ross JI. Introduction: Sorting it all out 1. InRoutledge handbook of graffiti and street art 2016 Mar 2 (pp. 1-10). Routledge.
2. Das SC. Power of graffiti: Exploring its cultural and social significance. Aayushi International Interdisciplinary Research Journal (AIIRJ), X (IX). 2023:34-5. aiirjournal.com
3. Howell J. Representations of Resistance: Ironic Iconography in a Southern Mexican Social Movement. Street Art of Resistance. 2017:277-300.
4. Stampoulidis G, Bolognesi M. Bringing metaphors back to the streets: A corpus-based study for the identification and interpretation of rhetorical figures in street art. Visual communication. 2023 May;22(2):243-77.
5. Usman B, Eric Msughter A, Olaitan Ridwanullah A. Social media literacy: fake news consumption and perception of COVID-19 in Nigeria. Cogent Arts & Humanities. 2022 Dec 31;9(1):2138011. tandfonline.com
6. 6.Günay M. Design in visual communication. Art and Design Review. 2021 Apr 8;9(02):109.
7. Nogales-Muriel R. Critical approaches for culture and the arts in a context of eco-social transitions. InHandbook of critical perspectives on nonprofit organizing and voluntary action 2024 Aug 22 (pp. 339-355). Edward Elgar Publishing.
8. Chagas V. Memes as vernacular politics. InResearch handbook on visual politics 2023 Jan 13 (pp. 298-309). Edward Elgar Publishing.
9. Balarezo ML, Karimi K. Urban art and place. Spatial patterns of urban art and their contribution to urban regeneration. In24TH ISUF INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE: CITY AND TERRITORY IN THE GLOBALIZATION AGE 2018 (pp. 587-597). Universitat Politècnica de València.
10. Boscaino M. Developing a qualitative approach to the study of the street art world. Street Art and Urban Creativity Scientific Journal. 2021 Dec 30;7(2):8-25.
11. Schacter R. The world atlas of street art. Frances Lincoln Childrens Books; 2023 Jun 15.
12. Myllylä M. The good, the bad and the ugly graffiti. Emotions in technology design: From experience to ethics. 2020:87-104.
13. Slabaugh D, Németh J, Rigolon A. Open streets for whom? Toward a just livability revolution. Journal of the American Planning Association. 2022 Apr 3;88(2):253-61.
14. Schacter R. The world atlas of street art. Frances Lincoln Childrens Books; 2023 Jun 15.
15. Lindeman AN. L'Arte Murale: Modern Italian Muralism in the Age of Fascism, 1932–1945. The Florida State University; 2022.
16. Ponamarchuk I. VLADISLAV GALIMSKIY IN THE CONTEXT OF KYIV ASSOCIATION OF ART EXHIBITIONS (1893–1900). Scientific Journal of Polonia University. 2021;47(4):58-67.
17. Merrill S. Buffing and buffering Blu: the societal performance of street art, heritage erasure and digital preservation in Berlin. International Journal of Heritage Studies. 2021 Jun 3;27(6):601-16.
18. Vella MG. Art activism: Art of dissent. International Journal of education through art. 2023 Mar 1;19(1):11-27.
19. Schumacher P. The metaverse as opportunity for architecture and society: design drivers, core competencies. Architectural intelligence. 2022 Aug 17;1(1):11.
20. Damaševičius R, Sidekerskienė T. Virtual Worlds for Learning in Metaverse: A Narrative Review. Sustainability, 16 (5), 2032 [Internet]. 2024
21. Clyne J. Inside the circle: The spatial dynamics of contemporary street performance in Australia. Viewed on. 2011;22:1-3.
22. Sawan R, Doyen P, Viudes F, Veillet G, Mahfouz C, Amara R. Microplastic pollution in surface waters of urban canals in a highly urbanized city (Dunkirk, Northern France): influence of dry

and wet periods on discharge to the sea. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2025 Apr;32(19):11879-92. [HTML]

23. Russell B, Milburn K, Heron K. Strategies for a new municipalism: Public–common partnerships against the new enclosures. Urban Studies. 2023 Aug;60(11):2133-57.
Sanz T, Rodriguez-Labajos B. Does artistic activism change anything? Strategic and transformative effects of arts in anti-coal struggles in Oakland, CA. Geoforum. 2021 Jun 1;122:41-54.