

# Community-Based Peer Navigation Compared to Standard Outreach in Improving Linkage to Care Among Recently Diagnosed HIV Youth: A Review

Mercy Latricia

Department of Pharmacognosy Kampala International University Uganda  
Email: [atricia.mercy@studwc.kiu.ac.ug](mailto:atricia.mercy@studwc.kiu.ac.ug)

---

## ABSTRACT

Adolescents and young adults newly diagnosed with HIV face multifaceted barriers to timely linkage to care, including stigma, developmental challenges, and inadequate youth-centered services. Traditional outreach models, often passive and generic, are frequently insufficient in overcoming these obstacles. In response, community-based peer navigation has emerged as an innovative strategy designed to bridge the gap between diagnosis and care engagement through culturally and developmentally appropriate support. Peer navigators' individuals with lived experience or shared demographic characteristics offer personalized guidance, emotional support, and system navigation tailored to the unique needs of youth. This review compared the effectiveness of peer navigation versus standard outreach in facilitating timely linkage to HIV care among recently diagnosed youth. A narrative review methodology was utilized, drawing upon evidence from randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, and qualitative evaluations published across diverse healthcare settings. The findings indicate that peer navigation significantly improves initial care engagement, enhances treatment literacy, and contributes to better psychosocial outcomes when compared to standard outreach. Moreover, peer navigation demonstrates promise in cost-effectiveness and scalability when supported by adequate training, supervision, and policy infrastructure. While further research is needed to optimize models and assess long-term outcomes, peer navigation stands out as a youth-centered, equity-driven approach to improving early engagement in HIV care.

**Keywords:** Peer Navigation, HIV Linkage to Care, Adolescents and Young Adults, Standard Outreach, Community-Based Interventions.

---

## INTRODUCTION

Despite substantial progress in the global fight against HIV/AIDS, adolescents and young adults remain a particularly vulnerable and underserved group [1-3]. Among this population, delays in linkage to HIV care following diagnosis significantly hinder timely initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART), which is crucial for viral suppression and long-term health outcomes. Linkage to care is a pivotal component of the HIV care continuum and serves as a critical determinant of subsequent engagement and retention in treatment [4]. In many settings, traditional outreach services rely on passive or generalist approaches that may not adequately resonate with the unique psychosocial, developmental, and cultural needs of youth.

Recently, community-based peer navigation has emerged as a novel intervention strategy aimed at bridging the gap between HIV diagnosis and entry into care [5-7]. Peer navigators typically individuals with lived experience of HIV or who share similar backgrounds with the target population provide personalized, culturally congruent support that can empower youth, reduce stigma, and enhance health system navigation. By fostering trust, modeling positive health behaviors, and offering practical assistance, peer navigation may address key barriers such as fear of disclosure, lack of health literacy, and mistrust of healthcare providers.

This review examines the comparative effectiveness of community-based peer navigation versus standard outreach in improving linkage to care among recently diagnosed HIV-positive youth. Drawing upon evidence from randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, and implementation evaluations, the review synthesizes findings on

linkage rates, patient satisfaction, and long-term engagement. It also explores the operational, contextual, and policy factors that influence the feasibility and scalability of peer navigation programs. Understanding whether and how peer-led interventions outperform traditional models is essential for designing youth-centered HIV care strategies that are both equitable and effective.

### **Barriers to Linkage to Care Among HIV-Positive Youth**

Young people living with HIV face numerous barriers that contribute to delayed or failed linkage to care. These barriers operate at individual, interpersonal, community, and structural levels. At the individual level, psychological responses to an HIV diagnosis including denial, fear, shame, and internalized stigma can lead to avoidance behaviors [8, 9]. Many youths also lack accurate information about HIV, treatment benefits, and the importance of early care engagement.

Interpersonally, fear of disclosure and rejection by family or peers often dissuades youth from seeking care. Adolescents may also struggle with negotiating autonomy in healthcare decisions, particularly in contexts where parental consent is required. At the community level, HIV-related stigma and discrimination remain pervasive, further discouraging care-seeking behaviors.

Structural obstacles, including limited availability of youth-friendly services, inflexible clinic hours, long distances to healthcare facilities, and financial constraints, compound these challenges. Additionally, healthcare systems are often ill-equipped to address the developmental and psychosocial needs of adolescents, resulting in unwelcoming or non-affirming care environments.

Standard outreach services, though well-intentioned, may not adequately address these multifaceted barriers. Generic messaging, lack of individualized support, and insufficient cultural tailoring can render these interventions less effective for youth populations. As such, more responsive, person-centered approaches such as peer navigation are increasingly being explored.

### **Peer Navigation: Conceptual Framework and Core Components**

Peer navigation is rooted in the principles of peer support and patient navigation, integrating elements of mentorship, advocacy, and system navigation [10]. Peer navigators are typically individuals who are either living with HIV or who share key demographic or experiential characteristics with the target population, such as age, race, gender identity, or history of substance use.

Core components of peer navigation include:

- i. **Personalized Support:** One-on-one engagement tailored to the individual's needs and circumstances.
- ii. **Health Education:** Providing accurate and relatable information about HIV, treatment options, and care processes [11].
- iii. **Navigation Assistance:** Helping clients schedule appointments, complete paperwork, and access transportation or other support services.
- iv. **Emotional and Psychosocial Support:** Offering empathy, encouragement, and coping strategies to mitigate anxiety and stigma.
- v. **System Advocacy:** Acting as a liaison between clients and providers to ensure youth-friendly, respectful care.

By building rapport and trust, peer navigators can serve as credible role models and trusted confidants, fostering a sense of belonging and hope. This relational approach is especially powerful for adolescents, who may feel alienated or misunderstood within traditional healthcare systems.

### **Evidence of Effectiveness: Peer Navigation vs. Standard Outreach**

Numerous studies have investigated the impact of peer navigation on linkage to care among youth newly diagnosed with HIV. Randomized controlled trials and cohort studies across diverse geographic settings consistently demonstrate that peer navigation significantly improves linkage rates compared to standard outreach.

One U.S.-based randomized trial found that youth who received peer navigation were nearly twice as likely to attend their first HIV care appointment within 30 days of diagnosis compared to those receiving standard outreach [12]. Similarly, studies in sub-Saharan Africa have shown increased rates of clinic enrollment and ART initiation among peer-supported adolescents [13].

In addition to quantitative outcomes, qualitative evaluations highlight the perceived benefits of peer navigation from the perspective of youth. Participants often cite feeling understood, less judged, and more empowered to engage in care. Peer navigators are seen as relatable and trustworthy, in contrast to clinical staff who may be perceived as distant or authoritative.

Furthermore, peer navigation has been associated with improved secondary outcomes such as mental health, self-efficacy, and treatment literacy. These factors not only facilitate initial linkage but also contribute to sustained engagement over time. By contrast, standard outreach typically involving phone calls, brochures, or occasional

follow-up visits lacks the intensity, personalization, and relational depth that many youths require to overcome initial barriers.

### **Implementation Considerations and Programmatic Challenges**

While peer navigation shows great promise, its implementation is not without challenges. Successful programs require careful attention to recruitment, training, supervision, and support of peer navigators [14]. Given their dual role as both service providers and community members, peer navigators may experience emotional burden, role ambiguity, or boundary issues.

Training programs must equip navigators with skills in communication, confidentiality, cultural competence, and crisis management. Ongoing supervision is essential to ensure fidelity, prevent burnout, and maintain professional standards. Compensation and recognition are also important for sustainability and morale.

Operationally, integrating peer navigators into healthcare teams requires buy-in from clinical staff and administrators [15]. Clear role definitions, inter-professional collaboration, and shared goals help facilitate this integration. In resource-constrained settings, funding limitations may restrict program scope or duration.

Another consideration is the diversity of youth populations. Peer navigation must be adaptable to the needs of various subgroups, including LGBTQ+ youth, racial/ethnic minorities, youth experiencing homelessness, and those involved in the justice system. Tailoring interventions to these contexts enhances relevance and effectiveness.

### **Cost-Effectiveness and Policy Implications**

Emerging data suggest that peer navigation may be cost-effective when considering the downstream benefits of improved linkage to care [16]. Early engagement reduces the risk of disease progression, opportunistic infections, and transmission, ultimately lowering long-term healthcare costs.

Cost analyses from pilot programs indicate that while initial investments in training and salaries may be substantial, these are offset by savings from avoided emergency care and hospitalizations. Additionally, successful linkage enhances health outcomes and quality of life, aligning with public health goals.

Policy support is critical to scale peer navigation programs [17]. This includes integrating peer roles into national HIV strategies, standardizing training and certification processes, and allocating funding through public health budgets or donor support. Reimbursement mechanisms, such as billing codes for navigation services, can further legitimize and sustain these roles.

Digital platforms and mobile health tools offer opportunities to extend peer support virtually, particularly in regions where in-person services are limited [18]. Policy frameworks must also ensure protections for peer workers, including occupational health safeguards and anti-discrimination measures.

### **Comparative Limitations of Standard Outreach Approaches**

Standard outreach efforts, though historically foundational in HIV response strategies, often fall short in addressing the nuanced needs of newly diagnosed youth. These interventions typically rely on health communication campaigns, referral cards, or brief contact with outreach workers lacking specialized training in adolescent development.

Such approaches may not provide the continuity, depth, or immediacy required to support youth through the complex emotional and logistical transition from diagnosis to care. Moreover, standard outreach tends to adopt a one-size-fits-all model, neglecting the diversity of youth experiences and barriers.

Evidence indicates that youth exposed only to standard outreach are less likely to engage in timely care and may be more vulnerable to disengagement due to unresolved stigma, misinformation, or logistical challenges [19]. While these programs serve an important function in raising awareness and normalizing care-seeking, their impact is often limited without supplemental, individualized support.

### **Future Directions and Research Gaps**

While peer navigation holds considerable promise, further research is needed to optimize its implementation and maximize its impact. Longitudinal studies assessing long-term retention in care, viral suppression, and quality of life outcomes are essential. Comparative effectiveness studies across diverse cultural and healthcare settings can inform scalability and generalizability.

Innovations in digital peer navigation, including mobile apps and tele-support, warrant exploration, especially in the wake of increasing telehealth adoption. Mixed-methods evaluations can provide nuanced insights into what components of peer support are most effective and for whom [20].

Understanding the cost-benefit ratios across different models of peer navigation (e.g., volunteer vs. paid, full-time vs. part-time) can aid in strategic planning [21]. Research should also examine the impact of navigator demographics, training intensity, and supervision models on program outcomes.

Finally, youth voices must remain central in designing, implementing, and evaluating peer navigation programs [22]. Participatory research approaches can ensure that interventions align with the lived realities, preferences, and aspirations of young people.

### CONCLUSION

Community-based peer navigation offers a compelling alternative to standard outreach for improving linkage to care among youth recently diagnosed with HIV. By leveraging the power of shared experience, empathy, and personalized support, peer navigators address critical psychological, social, and structural barriers that often impede timely care engagement. Evidence consistently shows that peer navigation enhances not only linkage rates but also treatment literacy, emotional well-being, and overall satisfaction with care. In contrast, standard outreach strategies, while useful for initial engagement, lack the intensity and relational depth required to meet the unique needs of adolescents and young adults. Peer navigation's holistic, youth-centered approach positions it as a transformative intervention within the HIV care continuum. To realize its full potential, peer navigation must be supported by robust training, supervision, and policy frameworks. Integration into healthcare systems, sustainable funding, and adaptive models tailored to diverse youth populations are essential for scalability. Further research is needed to assess long-term outcomes, cost-effectiveness, and digital innovations. As we strive to eliminate disparities in HIV care, community-based peer navigation stands as a promising, equity-driven solution capable of transforming how young people experience and engage with HIV services. Its expansion could mark a pivotal shift toward more inclusive, effective, and compassionate models of care.

### REFERENCES

1. Obeagu, E.I., Alum, E.U., Obeagu, G.U.: Factors Associated with Prevalence of HIV among Youths: A Review of Africa Perspective. *Madonna University journal of Medicine and Health Sciences* ISSN: 2814-3035. 3, 13–18 (2023). <https://madonnauniversity.edu.ng/journals/index.php/medicine>.
2. Alum, E.U., Obeagu, E.I., Ugwu, O.P.C., Egba, S.I., Uti, D.E., Ukaidi, C.U.A., Echegu, D.A.: Confronting Dual Challenges: Substance Abuse and HIV/AIDS. *Elite Journal of HIV*. 2, 1–8 (2025).
3. Govender, K., Masebo, W.G.B., Nyamaruze, P., Cowden, R.G., Schunter, B.T., Bains, A.: HIV Prevention in Adolescents and Young People in the Eastern and Southern African Region: A Review of Key Challenges Impeding Actions for an Effective Response. *Open AIDS J.* 12, 53 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874613601812010053>
4. Pinto, R.M., Witte, S.S., Filippone, P.L., Baird, K.L., Whitman, W.R.: Factors That Influence Linkages to HIV Continuum of Care Services: Implications for Multi-Level Interventions. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2017, Vol. 14, Page 1355. 14, 1355 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3390/IJERPH14111355>
5. Madu, C.V., Alum, E.U., Aloh, H.E., Ugwu, O.P.C., Obeagu, E.I., Uti, D.E., Egba, S.I., et al. The price of progress: Assessing the financial costs of HIV/AIDS management in East Africa. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2025 May 2;104(18):e42300. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000042300. PMID: 40324279; PMCID: PMC12055164.
6. Rocha-Jiménez, T., Pitpitan, E. V., Cazares, R., Smith, L.R.: He is the Same as Me: Key Populations' Acceptability and Experience of a Community-Based Peer Navigator Intervention to Support Engagement in HIV Care in Tijuana, Mexico. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 35, 449–456 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1089/APC.2021.0069;CTYPE:STRING:JOURNAL>
7. Holliday, R.C., Phillips, R., Akintobi, T.H.: A Community-Based Participatory Approach to the Development and Implementation of an HIV Health Behavior Intervention: Lessons Learned in Navigating Research and Practice Systems from Project HAPPY. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2020, Vol. 17, Page 399. 17, 399 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3390/IJERPH17020399>
8. Alum, E. U., Uti, D. E., Ugwu, O. P., Alum, B. N. Toward a cure - Advancing HIV/AIDS treatment modalities beyond antiretroviral therapy: A Review. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2024 Jul 5;103(27):e38768. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000038768. PMID: 38968496
9. Obeagu, E.I., Obeagu, G.U., Odo, E.O., Igwe, M.C., Ugwu, O.P.-C., Alum, E.U., Okwaja, P.R.: Combatting Stigma: Essential Steps in Halting HIV Spread. *IAA Journal of Applied Sciences*. 11, 22–29 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJAS/2024/3.5.78156>
10. Krulic, T., Brown, G., Bourne, A.: A Scoping Review of Peer Navigation Programs for People Living with HIV: Form, Function and Effects. *AIDS Behav.* 26, 4034–4054 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10461-022-03729-Y/TABLES/6>
11. Obeagu, E.I.: Unmasking the Truth: Addressing Stigma in the Fight Against HIV. (2024)

12. Mwase, C., Nkhoma, K., Allsop, M.J.: The role of digital health in palliative care for people living with HIV in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review. *Digit Health.* 8, (2022). [https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076221133707/SUPPL\\_FILE/SJ-XLSX-1-DHJ-10.1177\\_20552076221133707.XLSX](https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076221133707/SUPPL_FILE/SJ-XLSX-1-DHJ-10.1177_20552076221133707.XLSX)
13. Mark, D., Hrapcak, S., Ameyan, W., Lovich, R., Ronan, A., Schmitz, K., Hatane, L.: Peer Support for Adolescents and Young People Living with HIV in sub-Saharan Africa: Emerging Insights and a Methodological Agenda. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 16, 467–474 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11904-019-00470-5/METRICS>
14. Kennedy, C.E., Yeh, P.T., Verster, A., Luhmann, N., Nguyen, V.T.T., De Mello, M.B., Baggaley, R., Macdonald, V.: Do Peer Navigators Improve Initiation and Retention in HIV/VH/STI Treatment Programs for People from Key Populations? A Systematic Review of Effectiveness, Values and Preferences, and Cost. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* (1988). 95, 305–312 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0000000000003364>
15. Kokorelias, K.M., Gould, S., DasGupta, T., Cass, D., Hitzig, S.L.: Assessing readiness to implement patient navigator programs in Toronto, Canada. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 28, 550–557 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1111/JEP.13630>
16. Hopkins, K.L., Hlongwane, K.E., Otjombe, K., Dietrich, J., Jaffer, M., Cheyip, M., Olivier, J., Van Rooyen, H., Wade, A.N., Doherty, T., Gray, G.E.: Does peer-navigated linkage to care work? A cross-sectional study of active linkage to care within an integrated non-communicable disease-HIV testing centre for adults in Soweto, South Africa. *PLoS One.* 15, e0241014 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0241014>
17. Krulic, T., Brown, G., Bourne, A.: A Scoping Review of Peer Navigation Programs for People Living with HIV: Form, Function and Effects. *AIDS Behav.* 26, 4034–4054 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10461-022-03729-Y/TABLES/6>
18. Ezenwaji, C.O., Alum, E.U., Ugwu, O.P. The role of digital health in pandemic preparedness and response: securing global health?. *Global Health Action.* 2024 Oct 22;17(1):2419694. doi: 10.1080/16549716.2024.2419694. Epub 2024 Oct 22. PMID: 39435565; PMCID: PMC11497569.
19. Underwood, P., Brown, N., Te Riele, K., Shelley, B., Woodroffe, J., Bakhtiar, A., Crellin, T., Ellis, S., Grant, O., Iwanicki, V., Johnston, G., Koirala, S., Lang, M., Munday, D., Stewart, S., West, M.: Learning at home during COVID-19: Effects on vulnerable young Australians Independent Rapid Response Report. (2020)
20. Thomson, G., Balaam, M.C.: International insights into peer support in a neonatal context: A mixed-methods study. *PLoS One.* 14, e0219743 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0219743>
21. Castedo de Martell, S., Wilkerson, J.M., Ranjit, N., Holleran Steiker, L., McCurdy, S.A., Shelton Brown, H.: What We Know About the Peer Workforce and Economic Evaluation for Peer Recovery Support Services: A Systematic Review. *Substance Use and Addiction Journal.* 46, 90–102 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1177/29767342241281009;JOURNAL:JOURNAL:SAJA;WGROU:STRING:PUBLIC ATION>
22. Chauveron, L.M., Samtani, S., Groner, M.G., Urban, J.B., Linver, M.R.: Including Diverse Stakeholder Voices in Youth Character Program Evaluation. *American Journal of Evaluation.* 42, 221–236 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1098214020917218;WGROU:STRING:PUBLIC ATION>

**CITE AS: Mercy Latricia (2025). Community-Based Peer Navigation Compared to Standard Outreach in Improving Linkage to Care Among Recently Diagnosed HIV Youth: A Review. IAA Journal of Biological Sciences 13(2):62-66. <https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJB/2025/1326266>**