INOSR APPLIED SCIENCES 13(2):58-62, 2025 ISSN: 2705-165X
©INOSR PUBLICATIONS INOSR13.2.000
International Network Organization for Scientific Research
https://doi.org/10.59298/INOSRAS/2025/13.2.5862

Environmental Neurotoxins and Synaptic Dysregulation: A Systems Biology Perspective on Cognitive Decline

Ivan Mutebi

Department of Pharmacognosy Kampala International University Uganda
Email: ivan.mutebi@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Environmental neurotoxins, ranging from heavy metals and pesticides to industrial solvents and air pollutants are increasingly recognized as critical contributors to synaptic dysfunction and cognitive decline. These toxins disrupt synaptic architecture, neurotransmitter balance, and neural plasticity through oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, mitochondrial damage, and epigenetic alterations. Traditional toxicology approaches often focus on isolated pathways; however, systems biology offers a holistic framework to understand the multifactorial interactions between environmental exposures and synaptic regulation. This review synthesizes current knowledge on how neurotoxins affect synaptic function, highlights the utility of multi-omics and network modeling in unraveling complex neurotoxic responses, and proposes integrative biomarkers for early detection of neurotoxin-induced cognitive impairment. By adopting a systems biology lens, we uncover mechanistic insights that can inform public health policies, guide therapeutic interventions, and enhance environmental risk assessment strategies aimed at preserving cognitive health.

Keywords: Environmental neurotoxins, Synaptic dysfunction, Cognitive decline, Systems biology, Neuroinflammation

INTRODUCTION

Cognitive decline is an escalating global health concern, especially as populations age and environmental exposures become more complex and pervasive [1]. While genetic predisposition and aging are well-established contributors, increasing evidence implicates environmental neurotoxins as significant, yet often overlooked, factors in the pathogenesis of cognitive disorders [2]. Neurotoxins such as lead, mercury, pesticides, industrial solvents, and airborne particulate matter have been linked to a broad spectrum of neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative conditions, including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and other forms of cognitive impairment [3]. These environmental agents compromise central nervous system (CNS) integrity through multiple overlapping pathways, including oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, chronic inflammation, synaptic degradation, and alterations in epigenetic regulation [4]. Synaptic structures, which are essential for neuronal communication and cognitive processes like memory consolidation, are particularly vulnerable to environmental insults due to their high metabolic demand, complex architecture, and plastic nature [5]. Conventional approaches in toxicology have often focused on single-agent exposures and linear dose-response models. However, the reality of environmental exposure is far more intricate, involving mixtures of agents, varying exposure durations, and individual genetic and epigenetic susceptibilities. This necessitates a systems-level approach that can integrate multi-dimensional biological data and uncover the dynamic interactions that underlie neurotoxin-induced synaptic dysfunction. This review aims to bridge the gap between environmental neurotoxicology and systems biology by exploring how various neurotoxicants disrupt synaptic function and how integrative modeling techniques can provide new insights into their mechanisms of action. A particular focus is placed on identifying shared molecular pathways and potential biomarkers that could inform public health strategies and therapeutic interventions.

Synaptic Architecture and Function: An Overview

Synapses are specialized structures that facilitate communication between neurons, enabling the transmission of electrical and chemical signals throughout the brain. They are broadly classified into excitatory and inhibitory synapses, depending on the nature of the neurotransmitter released [6]. Excitatory synapses primarily use glutamate, while inhibitory synapses rely on gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) [7]. The balance between these two types of synapses is crucial for proper brain function and cognition. Each synapse comprises a presynaptic terminal, synaptic cleft, and postsynaptic membrane [6]. The presynaptic terminal contains synaptic vesicles loaded with neurotransmitters, which are released into the synaptic cleft upon neuronal activation [8]. These neurotransmitters bind to specific receptors on the postsynaptic membrane, triggering downstream signaling cascades that modulate neuronal excitability and plasticity. Synaptic function is regulated by a host of proteins, including synaptophysin, synapsin, PSD-95, and others that maintain structural stability and signaling efficiency [9].

Synaptic plasticity, the ability of synapses to strengthen or weaken over time, underlies learning and memory processes. Long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) are key mechanisms of synaptic plasticity, dependent on calcium signaling, receptor trafficking, and transcriptional changes [10]. Any disturbance in these tightly regulated processes can impair information processing, memory formation, and cognitive performance. Environmental neurotoxins can interfere with synaptic architecture and function at multiple levels. For example, they may alter the expression or function of synaptic proteins, disrupt neurotransmitter release and reuptake, impair receptor signaling, or destabilize the cytoskeleton [11]. Moreover, because synaptic transmission is energy-dependent, disruptions in mitochondrial function—often induced by environmental toxins—can further impair synaptic efficacy and lead to neurodegeneration [12].

Environmental Neurotoxins and Synaptic Disruption

Environmental neurotoxins encompass a wide range of naturally occurring and synthetic chemicals that can adversely affect brain function. These substances may enter the human body through inhalation, ingestion, dermal absorption, or transplacental transfer, accumulating in neural tissues where they exert toxic effects over time [13]. Heavy metals like lead and mercury are among the most extensively studied neurotoxins. Lead exposure, particularly in children, has been associated with reduced IQ, learning disabilities, and behavioral problems [14]. At the synaptic level, lead impairs calcium signaling, disrupts synaptic vesicle cycling, and reduces the expression of NMDA receptors essential for LTP [15]. Mercury, particularly in the form of methylmercury, crosses the blood-brain barrier and affects glutamate homeostasis, leading to excitotoxicity and neuronal death [16].

Pesticides, especially organophosphates such as chlorpyrifos, inhibit acetylcholinesterase and cause an accumulation of acetylcholine in the synaptic cleft, resulting in overstimulation of cholinergic pathways [17]. Chronic exposure to such compounds disrupts synaptic integrity, impairs memory, and increases the risk of neurodegenerative diseases [18]. Pyrethroids, though considered safer, have been shown to alter GABAergic signaling and affect neuronal excitability [19] Industrial pollutants, including polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and dioxins, can alter synaptic protein expression, mitochondrial function, and neurotrophic signaling pathways [20]. PCBs have been shown to reduce dendritic spine density and interfere with the development of functional neural circuits [21]. Furthermore, air pollution, particularly fine (PM2.5) and ultrafine particles (PM0.1), has gained attention as a significant neurotoxic agent [22]. These particles can induce systemic inflammation, cross the blood-brain barrier, and trigger microglial activation, leading to synaptic pruning and cognitive decline. These findings collectively highlight the vulnerability of synapses to environmental toxins and underscore the need for comprehensive frameworks—like systems biology—to understand their cumulative impact on brain health.

Mechanistic Pathways Linking Neurotoxins to Synaptic Dysfunction

The impact of environmental neurotoxins on synaptic function is mediated through a convergence of molecular and cellular disruptions. Among the most prominent mechanistic pathways are oxidative stress, mitochondrial impairment, neuroinflammation, and epigenetic alterations. Oxidative stress is a central mechanism by which neurotoxins exert their harmful effects [23]. Many environmental agents, including heavy metals like lead and mercury, generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS), overwhelming the antioxidant defense systems [24]. This oxidative imbalance leads to lipid peroxidation, DNA damage, and protein oxidation. Since synapses are rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids and metabolically active, they are particularly susceptible to oxidative damage, resulting in impaired neurotransmitter release, receptor sensitivity, and synaptic plasticity [25].

Mitochondrial dysfunction is another key mechanism linked to synaptic failure. Mitochondria are vital for maintaining calcium homeostasis and ATP production, both of which are essential for synaptic transmission and vesicle recycling [26]. Environmental toxins such as rotenone, a pesticide, impair mitochondrial complex I activity, leading to ATP depletion, reduced synaptic function, and eventual neuronal apoptosis. Mitochondrial damage also exacerbates ROS production, amplifying neurotoxic effects [27]. Neuroinflammation is commonly induced by environmental exposures and plays a crucial role in mediating synaptic disruption. Neurotoxins activate microglia

and astrocytes, leading to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 [28]. These cytokines can downregulate synaptic proteins, reduce synaptic density, and impair LTP. Chronic inflammation also leads to aberrant synaptic pruning, particularly during development, resulting in lasting cognitive impairments [29].

Epigenetic modifications induced by environmental exposures contribute to long-term changes in gene expression [30]. DNA methylation, histone modification, and non-coding RNAs can alter the transcription of genes involved in synaptic function, neuroplasticity, and cell survival [31]. These changes are often persistent and may even be transmitted transgenerationally, highlighting the far-reaching effects of environmental neurotoxicity.

Systems Biology Approach: A New Lens for Neurotoxicology

Systems biology provides a holistic and integrative approach to understanding how environmental neurotoxins affect brain function at multiple levels [32]. Unlike reductionist models that examine isolated pathways, systems biology incorporates genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and computational modeling to build comprehensive interaction networks and identify emergent properties of biological systems [33]. Using transcriptomic data, researchers can identify gene expression changes in response to specific neurotoxins and map them to signaling pathways and functional modules [34]. Proteomics enables the profiling of synaptic proteins and post-translational modifications, revealing disruptions in synaptic architecture [35]. Metabolomics helps to identify alterations in energy metabolism, neurotransmitter precursors, and oxidative stress markers [36]. Integration of these omics platforms can reveal shared and toxin-specific signatures of synaptic impairment. Network-based modeling tools such as weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA), Bayesian networks, and machine learning algorithms facilitate the identification of key driver genes and regulatory hubs affected by environmental exposures [37]. These methods allow for the prediction of outcomes from multi-toxicant exposures and help prioritize intervention targets.

Furthermore, systems biology supports the development of predictive toxicology platforms. In silico simulations, coupled with high-throughput screening and data from human cohorts, can identify early biomarkers of neurotoxic exposure and stratify individuals based on susceptibility profiles [38]. These tools are especially valuable in understanding the effects of low-dose, chronic exposures and gene-environment interactions that are difficult to capture with traditional methods.

Translational Implications and Public Health Significance

Understanding the systems-level effects of environmental neurotoxins on synaptic function has significant translational and public health implications. At the clinical level, integrative biomarkers identified through systems biology approaches can serve as early indicators of neurotoxin-induced cognitive decline [39]. These may include specific blood-based markers, epigenetic signatures, or panels of synaptic proteins detectable in cerebrospinal fluid. Therapeutically, mechanistic insights into oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and inflammatory pathways offer new targets for drug development [40]. Antioxidants, anti-inflammatory agents, and mitochondrial stabilizers are being investigated to counteract neurotoxic damage [42]. Personalized medicine approaches, informed by individual exposure history and genetic makeup, could improve intervention outcomes and reduce the burden of cognitive disorders [41].

From a policy perspective, findings from systems toxicology can guide regulatory decisions by identifying high-risk chemicals and setting exposure limits [43]. It also supports environmental monitoring programs and helps policymakers prioritize areas for remediation. Public health strategies, including education, surveillance, and dietary interventions, can be informed by this integrated knowledge to protect vulnerable populations, especially children and the elderly. In summary, systems biology provides a powerful framework for translating complex neurotoxicology findings into actionable health outcomes, driving both preventive and therapeutic innovations in the fight against environmental contributors to cognitive decline.

CONCLUSION

Environmental neurotoxins represent a pervasive and modifiable risk factor for synaptic dysfunction and cognitive impairment. The integration of systems biology into neurotoxicology provides a comprehensive platform to decode complex exposure-response relationships, identify early biomarkers of damage, and design precision interventions. Protecting brain health in the face of increasing environmental threats requires not only individual-level strategies but also systemic public health policies anchored in translational science.

REFERENCES

1. Colita E, Mateescu VO, Olaru DG, Popa-Wagner A. Cognitive decline in ageing and disease: risk factors, genetics and treatments. PubMed. 2024;50(2):170–80. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39371061
2. Chin-Chan M, Navarro-Yepes J, Quintanilla-Vega B. Environmental pollutants as risk factors for neurodegenerative disorders: Alzheimer and Parkinson diseases. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience. 2015;9. doi:10.3389/fncel.2015.00124

3. Egba, S Ikechukwu, Okonkwo C Onyinye, Ogbodo J Onyebuchi and Ezeh V Nzubechukwu Neuroprotective Potentials of *Alstonia boonei* extracts on Biochemical Markers of Brain Integrity in Experimental Rats, Trop J Nat Prod Res, 2021; 5(6): 1106-1109. doi.org/10.26538/tjnpr/v5i6.21
4. Ashwani N, Sharma A, Choudhary MK, Gugulothu D, Pandita D, Verma S, et al. Epigenetic and mitochondrial metabolic dysfunction in multiple sclerosis: a review of herbal drug approaches and current clinical trials. Molecular Neurobiology. 2025. doi:10.1007/s12035-025-04868-8
5. Shonkoff JP, Phillips DA. The developing brain. From Neurons to Neighborhoods – NCBI Bookshelf. 2000. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK225562/
6. Caire MJ, Reddy V, Varacallo MA. Physiology, synapse. StatPearls – NCBI Bookshelf. 2023. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK526047/
7. Chen RJ, Sharma S. GABA receptor. StatPearls – NCBI Bookshelf. 2025. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK526124/
8. Yan D, Noma K, Jin Y. Expanding views of presynaptic terminals: new findings from Caenorhabditis elegans. Current Opinion in Neurobiology. 2011;22(3):431–7. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2011.10.002
9. Levin-Jakobsen AM, Nilsson O. 18 vesicle proteins in nonendocrine and nonendocrine tumors of the gastrointestinal tract. In: Handbook of immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization of human carcinomas. 2005. p. 235–45. doi:10.1016/S1874-5784(05)80080-7
10. Citri A, Malenka RC. Synaptic plasticity: multiple forms, functions, and mechanisms. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2007;33(1):18–41. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301559
11. Zhou K, Luo W, Liu T, Ni Y, Qin Z. Neurotoxins acting at synaptic sites: a brief review on mechanisms and clinical applications. Toxins. 2022;15(1):18. doi:10.3390/toxins15010018
12. Bustamante-Barrientos FA, Luque-Campos N, Araya MJ, Lara-Barba E, De Solminihac J, Pradenas C, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction in neurodegenerative disorders: potential therapeutic application of mitochondrial transfer to central nervous system-residing cells. Journal of Translational Medicine. 2023;21(1). doi:10.1186/s12967-023-04493-w
13. National Academies Press (US). Introduction: defining the problem of neurotoxicity. Environmental Neurotoxicology – NCBI Bookshelf. 1992. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK234243/
14. Heng YY, Asad I, Coleman B, Menard L, Benki-Nugent S, Were FH, et al. Heavy metals and neurodevelopment of children in low and middle-income countries: a systematic review. PLoS ONE. 2022;17(3):e0265536. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0265536
15. Vieira M, Yong XLH, Roche KW, Anggono V. Regulation of NMDA glutamate receptor functions by the GluN2 subunits. Journal of Neurochemistry. 2020;154(2):121–43. doi:10.1111/jnc.14970
16. Egba, S.I., Ademola C F and Omoruyi L E (2021) Bucholzia coriacea seed extract attenuates mercury induced cerebral and cerebellar oxidative neurotoxicity via NO signalling and suppression of oxidative stress, adenosine deaminase and acetylcholinesterase activities in rats. Avicenna J Phytomed. 2022 Jan-Feb;12(1):42-53. doi: 10.22038/AJP.2021.18262. PMID: 35145894; PMCID: PMC8801217.
17. Robb EL, Regina AC, Baker MB. Organophosphate toxicity. StatPearls – NCBI Bookshelf. 2023. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470430/
18. Cannon JR, Greenamyre JT. The role of environmental exposures in neurodegeneration and neurodegenerative diseases. Toxicological Sciences. 2011;124(2):225–50. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfr239
19. Pitzer EM, Williams MT, Vorhees CV. Effects of pyrethroids on brain development and behavior: Deltamethrin. Neurotoxicology and Teratology. 2021;87:106983. doi:10.1016/j.ntt.2021.106983
20. Blanc M, Alfonso S, Bégout ML, Barrachina C, Hyötyläinen T, Keiter SH, et al. An environmentally relevant mixture of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polybrominated diphenylethers (PBDEs) disrupts mitochondrial function, lipid metabolism and neurotransmission in the brain of exposed zebrafish and their unexposed F2 offspring. The Science of the Total Environment. 2020;754:142097. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142097
21. Pessah IN, Lein PJ, Seegal RF, Sagiv SK. Neurotoxicity of polychlorinated biphenyls and related organohalogens. Acta Neuropathologica. 2019;138(3):363–87. doi:10.1007/s00401-019-01978-1
22. Costa LG, Cole TB, Coburn J, Chang YC, Dao K, Roqué PJ. Neurotoxicity of traffic-related air pollution. NeuroToxicology. 2015;59:133–9. doi:10.1016/j.neuro.2015.11.008
23. Picca A, Calvani R, Coelho-Junior HJ, Landi F, Bernabei R, Marzetti E. Mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and neuroinflammation: intertwined roads to neurodegeneration. Antioxidants. 2020;9(8):647. doi:10.3390/antiox9080647
24. Mitra S, Chakraborty AJ, Tareq AM, Emran TB, Nainu F, Khusro A, et al. Impact of heavy metals on the environment and human health: novel therapeutic insights to counter the toxicity. Journal of King Saud University – Science. 2022;34(3):101865. doi:10.1016/j.jksus.2022.101865

25. Cobley JN, Fiorello ML, Bailey DM. 13 reasons why the brain is susceptible to oxidative stress. Redox Biology. 2018;15:490–503. doi:10.1016/j.redox.2018.01.008
26. Duarte FV, Ciampi D, Duarte CB. Mitochondria as central hubs in synaptic modulation. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2023;80(6). doi:10.1007/s00018-023-04814-8
27. Huang M, Bargues-Carot A, Riaz Z, Wickham H, Zenitsky G, Jin H, et al. Impact of environmental risk factors on mitochondrial dysfunction, neuroinflammation, protein misfolding, and oxidative stress in the etiopathogenesis of Parkinson's disease. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2022;23(18):10808. doi:10.3390/ijms231810808
28. Kwon HS, Koh SH. Neuroinflammation in neurodegenerative disorders: the roles of microglia and astrocytes. Translational Neurodegeneration. 2020;9(1). doi:10.1186/s40035-020-00221-2
29. Speers LJ, Bilkey DK. Inflammation in schizophrenia: the role of disordered oscillatory mechanisms. Cells. 2025;14(9):650. doi:10.3390/cells14090650
30. Klibaner-Schiff E, Simonin EM, Akdis CA, Cheong A, Johnson MM, Karagas MR, et al. Environmental exposures influence multigenerational epigenetic transmission. Clinical Epigenetics. 2024;16(1). doi:10.1186/s13148-024-01762-3
31. Rasmi Y, Shokati A, Hassan A, Aziz SGG, Bastani S, Jalali L, et al. The role of DNA methylation in progression of neurological disorders and neurodegenerative diseases as well as the prospect of using DNA methylation inhibitors as therapeutic agents for such disorders. IBRO Neuroscience Reports. 2022;14:28–37. doi:10.1016/j.ibneur.2022.12.002
32. Amorim MJB, Peijnenburg W, Greco D, Saarimäki LA, Dumit VI, Bahl A, et al. Systems toxicology to advance human and environmental hazard assessment: a roadmap for advanced materials. Nano Today. 2022;48:101735. doi:10.1016/j.nantod.2022.101735
33. Najafi A, Bidkhori G, Bozorgmehr J, Koch I, Masoudi-Nejad A. Genome scale modeling in systems biology: algorithms and resources. Current Genomics. 2014;15(2):130–59. doi:10.2174/1389202915666140319002221
34. Thomas JT, Huerlimann R, Schunter C, Watson SA, Munday PL, Ravasi T. Transcriptomic responses in the nervous system and correlated behavioural changes of a cephalopod exposed to ocean acidification. BMC Genomics. 2024;25(1). doi:10.1186/s12864-024-10542-5
35. Xu Y, Song X, Wang D, Wang Y, Li P, Li J. Proteomic insights into synaptic signaling in the brain: the past, present and future. Molecular Brain. 2021;14(1). doi:10.1186/s13041-021-00750-5
36. Fox BM, Gil HW, Kirkbride-Romeo L, Bagchi RA, Wennersten SA, Haefner KR, et al. Metabolomics assessment reveals oxidative stress and altered energy production in the heart after ischemic acute kidney injury in mice. Kidney International. 2019;95(3):590–610. doi:10.1016/j.kint.2018.10.020
37. Alum EU. AI-driven biomarker discovery: enhancing precision in cancer diagnosis and prognosis. *Discov Onc* **16**, 313 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12672-025-02064-7
38. Gadaleta D, Spînu N, Roncaglioni A, Cronin MTD, Benfenati E. Prediction of the neurotoxic potential of chemicals based on modelling of molecular initiating events upstream of the adverse outcome pathways of (Developmental) neurotoxicity. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2022;23(6):3053. doi:10.3390/ijms23063053
39. Kerminen H, Marzetti E, D'Angelo E. Biological and physical performance markers for early detection of cognitive impairment in older adults. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2024;13(3):806. doi:10.3390/jcm13030806
40. Chen S, Li Q, Shi H, Li F, Duan Y, Guo Q. New insights into the role of mitochondrial dynamics in oxidative stress-induced diseases. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy. 2024;178:117084. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2024.117084
41. Strianese O, Rizzo F, Ciccarelli M, Galasso G, D'Agostino Y, Salvati A, et al. Precision and personalized medicine: how genomic approach improves the management of cardiovascular and neurodegenerative disease. Genes. 2020;11(7):747. doi:10.3390/genes11070747
42. Apostolova N, Victor VM. Molecular strategies for targeting antioxidants to mitochondria: therapeutic implications. Antioxidants and Redox Signaling. 2014;22(8):686–729. doi:10.1089/ars.2014.5952
43. Amorim MJB, Peijnenburg W, Greco D, Saarimäki LA, Dumit VI, Bahl A, et al. Systems toxicology to advance human and environmental hazard assessment: a roadmap for advanced materials. Nano Today. 2022;48:101735. doi:10.1016/j.nantod.2022.101735

CITE AS: Ivan Mutebi (2025). Environmental Neurotoxins and Synaptic Dysregulation: A Systems Biology Perspective on Cognitive Decline. INOSR APPLIED SCIENCES 13(2):58-62. https://doi.org/10.59298/INOSRAS/2025/13.2.5862