IAA Journal of Biological Sciences 13(2):190-194, 2025
©IAAJOURNALS
https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJB/2025/132190194

www.iaajournals.org
ISSN:2636-7254
IAAJB132

Group-Based Versus Individual Diabetes Education on HbA1c Reduction in Adolescents with Type 2 Diabetes: A Comparative Review

Nabuuma Ruth Nambi

Department of Pharmacy Kampala International University Uganda
Email: nambi.nabuuma@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

The increasing incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in adolescents necessitates effective educational interventions to achieve optimal glycemic control and prevent long-term complications. This comparative review examined the impact of group-based versus individual-based diabetes education on glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) reduction among adolescents diagnosed with T2DM. Adolescents face unique developmental, psychosocial, and behavioral challenges that influence adherence to diabetes management protocols. Group-based education leverages peer interaction, shared experiences, and collective motivation, which can enhance self-efficacy and engagement. Conversely, individual-based education offers personalized instruction and one-on-one support, addressing specific barriers and fostering privacy and trust. Both modalities have demonstrated clinically significant HbA1c reductions, with differences in effectiveness shaped by intervention structure, patient characteristics, and contextual implementation. A hybrid approach, integrating personalized content with group reinforcement, appears promising for optimizing outcomes. This article was developed using a narrative literature review methodology, synthesizing evidence from peer-reviewed studies focused on adolescents with T2DM. Implementation challenges, such as resource allocation, cultural sensitivity, and adolescent engagement, are also discussed. Emerging technologies, including telehealth and mobile platforms, may further enhance the scalability and accessibility of education programs. Overall, tailored, developmentally appropriate, and context-sensitive educational strategies remain critical to improving glycemic outcomes and quality of life for adolescents with T2DM.

Keywords: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Adolescents, Diabetes Education, HbA1c Reduction, Group-Based vs Individual-Based Interventions

INTRODUCTION

The global prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in adolescents has risen dramatically in the past two decades, primarily driven by the increasing incidence of obesity, sedentary lifestyles, and poor dietary habits [1–3]. Adolescents diagnosed with T2DM face a lifetime risk of complications such as cardiovascular disease, nephropathy, retinopathy, and neuropathy. Central to mitigating these risks is the effective management of glycemic control, commonly assessed through glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels [4, 5]. One critical yet modifiable component of diabetes management in youth is education, which empowers patients to understand their condition, adhere to therapeutic regimens, and make informed lifestyle decisions.

Diabetes self-management education (DSME) is a cornerstone intervention, but the format in which it is delivered can significantly influence its efficacy [6, 7]. Two common formats are group-based education and individual-based education. Group-based programs offer peer interaction, shared experiences, and social support, which may foster engagement and motivation. Conversely, individual-based education allows for tailored interventions, privacy, and one-on-one attention, which may enhance comprehension and compliance. Given the psychosocial complexity of adolescence, identifying the most effective education delivery method is critical to optimizing long-term outcomes.

While both formats are widely implemented, comparative analyses specifically focusing on adolescents with T2DM are limited. Existing literature tends to either aggregate age groups or focus disproportionately on adults. The adolescent population presents unique challenges and opportunities, necessitating a tailored review. This comparative review aims to synthesize current evidence on the impact of group-based versus individual-based diabetes education on HbA1c outcomes in adolescents with T2DM. By examining intervention characteristics, educational strategies, behavioral outcomes, and clinical endpoints, this review intends to inform best practices in adolescent diabetes education and provide guidance for healthcare providers, educators, and policymakers.

Background and Epidemiological Context

Type 2 diabetes was historically regarded as an adult-onset condition, but it is now increasingly diagnosed in children and adolescents, particularly in low-income and minority populations [8]. Adolescents with T2DM typically present with a more aggressive disease progression than adults, leading to earlier onset of complications. As glycemic control is fundamental to diabetes management, educational interventions that improve self-care behaviors can have profound clinical implications.

Adolescents experience numerous biological, psychological, and social transitions, all of which can interfere with chronic disease management [9]. Factors such as peer pressure, body image concerns, autonomy struggles, and inconsistent routines complicate adherence to medical regimens. Therefore, educational interventions in this age group must go beyond biomedical information to include motivational and psychosocial support.

The goal of diabetes education in adolescents is to equip them with the skills and motivation needed to manage their condition independently. HbA1c serves as a reliable surrogate marker of glycemic control over the preceding two to three months and is a standard outcome metric in intervention studies. The effectiveness of educational modalities on HbA1c reduction thus offers a quantifiable measure of their clinical utility.

Group-Based Education: Structure and Impact

Group-based diabetes education typically involves structured sessions delivered to small groups of adolescents by a multidisciplinary team [10]. The curriculum usually covers dietary management, insulin or oral medication adherence, physical activity, glucose monitoring, and psychosocial coping strategies. Importantly, the group dynamic facilitates shared experiences, mutual support, and peer modeling, which can be particularly impactful in adolescent cohorts.

The social nature of group settings may enhance motivation through social reinforcement, reduce feelings of isolation, and build a sense of community among participants. Adolescents may find it easier to relate to peers who face similar challenges, thereby increasing engagement and adherence [11]. Group interventions may also be more resource-efficient, allowing one educator to reach multiple individuals simultaneously.

Several studies have demonstrated the efficacy of group-based education in improving HbA1c levels among adolescents. Improvements are often attributed to increased self-efficacy, better emotional regulation, and higher treatment satisfaction. However, the heterogeneity in group composition, duration, and educational strategies can affect outcomes. Groups that are too large or poorly facilitated may dilute the intervention's impact.

Furthermore, cultural competence and age-appropriate content are crucial. Adolescents are more likely to engage with interventions that resonate with their lived experiences. Programs that incorporate interactive methods such as games, role-play, and peer-led discussions tend to be more successful in sustaining behavioral changes.

Individual-Based Education: Structure and Impact

Individual-based education involves one-on-one sessions between a patient and a healthcare provider, typically a diabetes educator or nurse. These sessions allow for personalized instruction tailored to the adolescent's developmental level, lifestyle, and psychosocial context. Individualized education can address specific barriers to adherence, such as misconceptions about diabetes, fear of hypoglycemia, or family dynamics.

This personalized approach may be particularly beneficial for adolescents who require additional support due to cognitive challenges, mental health issues, or low health literacy. Individual sessions provide a safe environment to discuss sensitive topics without fear of judgment or embarrassment, fostering trust and openness.

Evidence suggests that individual-based education can result in meaningful HbA1c reductions, particularly when interventions are frequent, intensive, and include behavioral goal setting [12, 13]. Adolescents who receive tailored education often report higher satisfaction, better understanding of their condition, and improved self-management skills. Moreover, family involvement during individual sessions can enhance adherence by aligning home routines with treatment goals.

However, the high resource demand of individual sessions both in terms of time and personnel can limit their scalability and cost-effectiveness. Additionally, the absence of peer support may reduce the intervention's motivational impact, especially in adolescents who benefit from social interaction.

Comparative Outcomes: HbA1c Reduction and Beyond

Both group-based and individual-based education have demonstrated efficacy in reducing HbA1c levels among adolescents with T2DM [14, 15]. Comparative studies, although limited in number and scope, suggest that the magnitude of HbA1c reduction may be similar across formats when interventions are well-designed and implemented. However, the pathways to these outcomes differ, reflecting the unique strengths of each modality.

Group-based education tends to excel in enhancing social support, self-esteem, and collective accountability, which are critical motivators in adolescent populations. It may be particularly effective for those who thrive in social settings and benefit from peer validation. Conversely, individual education excels in targeting specific needs, correcting misconceptions, and addressing psychosocial barriers to adherence on a personalized level.

A hybrid approach that combines elements of both models such as initiating with individual sessions followed by group reinforcement has shown promise in some studies. This method can capitalize on the strengths of both approaches, providing tailored education while fostering peer support. Moreover, digital tools such as tele-education and mobile health platforms offer innovative ways to blend individual customization with group interactivity.

Beyond HbA1c, both formats influence secondary outcomes including diabetes knowledge, self-efficacy, quality of life, and healthcare utilization [16, 17]. These parameters, though less frequently reported, are essential to comprehensive diabetes care. Improvements in these domains often correlate with sustained glycemic control and reduced risk of complications.

Implementation Challenges and Future Directions

Implementing effective diabetes education programs in adolescents poses several challenges. Program adherence may be hindered by scheduling conflicts, low motivation, and logistical barriers such as transportation [18]. Cultural and socioeconomic disparities can further limit access and engagement. Therefore, flexibility in program design and delivery is essential.

Healthcare systems must consider resource availability when choosing an educational format. While group sessions are cost-effective, they may not be suitable for all adolescents [19]. Conversely, individual education, though more intensive, may offer better outcomes for high-risk individuals. Incorporating family education and behavioral therapy can also enhance program effectiveness.

Technology presents a promising avenue for enhancing both formats. Mobile apps, virtual support groups, and tele-education platforms can improve accessibility and engagement diabetes patients [20, 21]. These tools can deliver personalized content, facilitate peer interaction, and enable continuous monitoring, thereby augmenting traditional education methods.

Future research should focus on high-quality randomized controlled trials comparing both formats in diverse adolescent populations. Studies should include long-term follow-up to assess sustainability and explore patient preferences to inform patient-centered care models. Integration of mental health support within education programs is also a critical area for development.

CONCLUSION

Effective diabetes education is pivotal in managing type 2 diabetes in adolescents, with both group-based and individual-based approaches offering unique advantages. While group-based education fosters peer support, social learning, and cost-efficiency, individual-based education excels in personalization, confidentiality, and targeted behavioral change. The evidence indicates that both formats can lead to significant HbA1c reductions when interventions are contextually appropriate, developmentally tailored, and delivered with fidelity. Given the psychosocial complexities of adolescence and the chronic nature of T2DM, education programs must be adaptable and holistic. A hybrid model that integrates the strengths of both educational formats may offer the most promise, particularly when supported by digital innovations and family involvement. Healthcare providers must remain sensitive to individual patient needs, preferences, and barriers while ensuring equitable access to education. Ultimately, the goal is to empower adolescents with the knowledge, skills, and motivation necessary to manage their condition effectively, minimize complications, and improve quality of life. Continued research and innovation in educational strategies will be essential in addressing the growing burden of type 2 diabetes in this vulnerable population.

REFERENCES

1. Xie, J., Wang, M., Long, Z., Ning, H., Li, J., Cao, Y., Liao, Y., Liu, G., Wang, F., Pan, A.: Global burden of type 2 diabetes in adolescents and young adults, 1990-2019: systematic analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2022-072385

2. Ugwu, O. P. C., Obeagu, E. I., Aja, P. M., Ugwu, C. N., Okon, M.B. Nutritional Care in Diabetes Mellitus: A Comprehensive Guide. *International Journal of Innovative and Applied Research*. 2023; 11(12):16-25. DOI URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.58538/IJIAR/2057
3. Serbis, A., Giapros, V., Kotanidou, E.P., Galli-Tsinopoulou, A., Siomou, E.: Diagnosis, treatment and prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus in children and adolescents. World J Diabetes. 12, 344–365 (2021). https://doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v12.i4.344
4. Alum, E.U. Optimizing patient education for sustainable self-management in type 2 diabetes. *Discov Public Health* **22**, 44 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12982-025-00445-5
5. Obeagu, E. I., Ugwu, O. P. C., & Alum, E. U. (2023). Poor glycaemic control among diabetic patients; A review on associated factors. *Newport International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences (NIJRMS)*, *3*(1), 30-33.
6. Camargo-Plazas, P., Robertson, M., Alvarado, B., Paré, G.C., Costa, I.G., Duhn, L.: Diabetes self-management education (DSME) for older persons in Western countries: A scoping review. PLOS ONE. 18, e0288797 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0288797
7. Chowdhury, H.A., Harrison, C.L., Siddiquea, B.N., Tissera, S., Afroz, A., Ali, L., Joham, A.E., Billah, B.: The effectiveness of diabetes self-management education intervention on glycaemic control and cardiometabolic risk in adults with type 2 diabetes in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLOS ONE. 19, e0297328 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0297328
8. Perng, W., Conway, R., Mayer-Davis, E., Dabelea, D.: Youth-Onset Type 2 Diabetes: The Epidemiology of an Awakening Epidemic. Diabetes Care. 46, 490–499 (2023). https://doi.org/10.2337/dci22-0046
9. Lino, T.B., Jacob, L.R., Galheigo, S.M.: Chronic disease and treatment by the adolescent's view: considerations from a life story. Cad. Bras. Ter. Ocup. 29, e2813 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1590/2526-8910.ctoAO2128
10. Sawtell, M., Jamieson, L., Wiggins, M., Smith, F., Ingold, A., Hargreaves, K., Khatwa, M., Brooks, L., Thompson, R., Christie, D.: Implementing a structured education program for children with diabetes: lessons learnt from an integrated process evaluation. BMJ Open Diab Res Care. 3, (2015). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdrc-2014-000065
11. Ridgeway, K., Dulli, L.S., Murray, K.R., Silverstein, H., Santo, L.D., Olsen, P., Mora, D.D. de, McCarraher, D.R.: Interventions to improve antiretroviral therapy adherence among adolescents in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review of the literature. PLOS ONE. 13, e0189770 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189770
12. Ikpozu, E.N., Offor, C.E., Igwenyi, I.O., Ibiam, U.A. Obaroh, I.O. RNA-based diagnostic innovations: A new frontier in diabetes diagnosis and management. Diabetes & Vascular Disease Research. 2025;22(2). doi:10.1177/14791641251334726
13. Mannucci, E., Giaccari, A., Gallo, M., Bonifazi, A., Belén, Á.D.P., Masini, M.L., Trento, M., Monami, M.: Self-management in patients with type 2 diabetes: Group-based versus individual education. A systematic review with meta-analysis of randomized trails. Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases. 32, 330–336 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2021.10.005
14. Mannucci, E., Giaccari, A., Gallo, M., Bonifazi, A., Belén, Á.D.P., Masini, M.L., Trento, M., Monami, M.: Self-management in patients with type 2 diabetes: Group-based versus individual education. A systematic review with meta-analysis of randomized trails. Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases. 32, 330–336 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2021.10.005
15. Samudera, W.S., Efendi, F., Indarwati, R.: Effect of community and peer support based healthy lifestyle program (CP-HELP) on self care behavior and fasting blood glucose in patient with type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 20, 193–199 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40200-021-00729-y
16. Ory, M.G., Han, G., Nsobundu, C., Carpenter, K., Towne, S.D., Smith, M.L.: Comparative effectiveness of diabetes self-management education and support intervention strategies among adults with type 2 diabetes in Texas. Front. Public Health. 13, (2025). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1543298
17. Nagpal, J., Rawat, S., Gupta, L., Negi, A., Oraon, D.S.: A stepped wedge cluster randomized trial to evaluate the effectiveness of a community leader-driven kit-based diabetes self-management education approach in improving diabetes control and care: study protocol for the DElhi Diabetes INTervention Trial (DEDINTT). Trials. 24, 673 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-023-07712-3
18. Audi, C., Jahanpour, O., Antelman, G., Guay, L., Rutaihwa, M., van de Ven, R., Woelk, G., Baird, S.J.: Facilitators and barriers to antiretroviral therapy adherence among HIV-positive adolescents living in Tanzania. BMC Public Health. 21, 2274 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-12323-1

19. Christie, D., Thompson, R., Sawtell, M., Allen, E., Cairns, J., Smith, F., Jamieson, E., Hargreaves, K., Ingold, A., Brooks, L., Wiggins, M., Oliver, S., Jones, R., Elbourne, D., Santos, A., Wong, I.C.K., O'Neil, S., Strange, V., Hindmarsh, P., Annan, F., Viner, R.M.: Effectiveness of a structured educational intervention using psychological delivery methods in children and adolescents with poorly controlled type 1 diabetes: a cluster-randomized controlled trial of the CASCADE intervention. BMJ Open Diab Res Care. 4, (2016). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdrc-2015-000165
20. Molavynejad, S., Miladinia, M., Jahangiri, M.: A randomized trial of comparing video telecare education vs. in-person education on dietary regimen compliance in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a support for clinical telehealth Providers. BMC Endocr Disord. 22, 116 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12902-022-01032-4
21. Ezenwaji, C.O., Alum, E.U., Ugwu, O.P. The role of digital health in pandemic preparedness and response: securing global health?. Global Health Action. 2024 Oct 22;17(1):2419694. doi: 10.1080/16549716.2024.2419694. Epub 2024 Oct 22. PMID: 39435565; PMCID: PMC11497569.

CITE AS: Nabuuma Ruth Nambi (2025). Group-Based Versus Individual Diabetes Education on HbA1c Reduction in Adolescents with Type 2 Diabetes: A Comparative Review. IAA Journal of Biological Sciences 13(2):190-194. https://doi.org/10.59298/IAAJB/2025/132190194