EEJOURNALS OPEN ACCESS

EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING

(EEJE) ISSN: 2992-409X

@EEJE PUBLICATIONS Volume 5 Issue 1 2025

Healthcare Access: Engineering Solutions through Policy Changes

Chelimo Faith Rebecca

Department of Clinical Medicine and Dentistry Kampala International University Uganda
Email: rebecca.chelimo@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Despite leading in healthcare innovations, the United States continues to struggle with equitable access to healthcare services. This paper examines engineering-based solutions to bridge gaps in healthcare access through data-driven, policy-aligned approaches. It frames healthcare access not just as a matter of service availability but as a multidimensional challenge involving affordability, infrastructure, stakeholder engagement, and data analytics. By investigating mobile health units, assistive technologies, and decentralized care models, the study identifies engineering solutions that offer scalable, low-disruption alternatives to traditional policy reforms. Drawing on case studies from the U.S. and India, and applying frameworks such as Levesque's Access Dimensions and WHO access principles, the study provides evidence-based recommendations for policy transformation. Key barriers technical, political, and social are also analyzed. The findings highlight that embedding engineering strategies within policy processes can address the root causes of healthcare inequities and yield sustainable improvements in access across diverse global contexts.

Keywords: Healthcare Access, Engineering Solutions, Health Policy Reform, Health Equity, Mobile Clinics, Public Health Infrastructure, Stakeholder Engagement.

INTRODUCTION

The United States leads in healthcare technologies but struggles with access. This work lays the groundwork for engineering solutions promoting policy changes to enhance global healthcare access. It thoroughly examines healthcare access and discusses engineered pathways as alternatives to traditional policy modifications, which often produce unintended results and overlook key services. The proposed approaches aim for systematic advancements with minimal disruption, supported by case studies from the U.S. and India that link engineering methods to policy frameworks. Healthy citizens contribute to productivity, making access to care crucial, yet many face substantial barriers. In the U.S., approximately 45,000 die yearly due to lack of insurance, and over one-third of the population goes decades without seeing a primary care physician. Worldwide, access shortfalls lead to an estimated 5 million premature deaths each year. Traditional engineering responses involve improving infrastructure, health tools, emerging technologies, and remote care, relying on healthcare regulators for implementation. Successful integration of engineering techniques into policy can result in innovative solutions for the healthcare sector, enhancing historical development through collaboration. This study builds on previous research to identify policy-change pathways for global healthcare access through engineering, introduces the concept of healthcare access, and outlines implementation steps for modifying policy statements accordingly [1, 2].

Understanding Healthcare Access

Healthcare access represents the timely use of health services to achieve the best possible outcomes. It plays a crucial role in society: ensuring a community's ability to manage chronic illnesses, receive medical attention for emergency health issues, and attend preventative health screenings. Despite these benefits,

many U.S. citizens do not receive essential health care. For example, some delay care due to high out-of-pocket costs. The U.S. healthcare system faces a variety of accessibility issues, including cost-related lack of access, limited availability of care, and transportation barriers. The Affordable Care Act sought to improve access but faced implementation challenges, and high healthcare expenditure in the U.S. persists despite less effective outcomes relative to European countries. Mobile clinics offered by government agencies and health centers can extend high-quality services to economically and socially vulnerable populations; expanding the number of such mobilized health units could simultaneously address the affordability and availability of care. Examining current mobile clinics provides a basis to explore design requirements for accessibility and cost-effectiveness. Potential facilitators and barriers to these initiatives such as advances in communication technology and the support of various stakeholders warrant further consideration [3, 4].

Definition and Importance

Access pertains to individuals' capability to obtain necessary healthcare services whenever they require them. On the other hand, accessibility focuses on the availability of these medical services and the convenience with which they can be accessed. Esteemed organizations, including the World Health Organization, delineate primary healthcare as the initial entry point into the overarching medical system. This category includes various specialties such as family practice, internal medicine, obstetrics, pediatrics, and psychiatry, in addition to services provided by mid-level providers. Unfortunately, in postconflict countries, there are significantly few electrical plants or fiber optic cables, which severely limits communication and operational efficiency. The urgent need for restructuring healthcare systems, the development of adequate infrastructure, and the reformation of societies to fulfill the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) in areas that are designed to be more accessible must be accelerated; however, promoting these agendas within conflict zones poses considerably greater challenges. The issues of fragility and the ability to effectively deliver positive outcomes, therefore, need to be tackled with a high degree of resolve and commitment. It is crucial to ensure that every individual, regardless of their circumstances, can access quality healthcare services without undue barriers or delays, emphasizing the importance of both access and accessibility in achieving health equity [5, 6].

Current Challenges

Providing satisfactory healthcare is a basic need; however, access to an affordable and secure health system remains an urgent global concern. Specific finite issues adversely influencing the quality of the healthcare sector include the lack of coverage among minorities, travel difficulty, communication gap between patients and healthcare services, and absence of desired locations. Despite the Equal Opportunity Act (1984) guaranteed by most governments across the world and large-scale healthcare systems, the distribution of services remains an issue. Currently, a household spends on average at least 17% of their income on the basic healthcare needs without achieving an adequate level of service. COVID-19 has brought unprecedented challenges to healthcare, including the deterioration of healthcare system, staggering emergence of misinformation, population of mistrust, and the overextension of healthcare sectors, especially for humanitarian aid, medicines, and medical staff. For example, about 80–90% of the world's countries are suffering to deliver humanitarian aid in a timely manner. The continuing lack of healthcare access gaps and challenges begs the following question: how to provide viable engineering solutions, at scale, to improve healthcare access and consequently improve the welfare of societies and the economy? An increase in mobilized healthcare units could address the lack of access and sustainability issues in the healthcare system while still providing quality care. The current US healthcare system is complex and costly, with high expenditure compared to European nations. Many Americans, especially the most vulnerable, face poor access to care within this system. The Affordable Care Act aimed to improve access, but the system's sustainability remains a challenge due to overreliance on high costs and a degrading population health [7, 8].

Policy Frameworks

Eligibility requirements, cost sharing, and the availability of services all affect access to health care. Community members who can recognize the need for health care and believe that it will help them achieve their desired health goals are more likely to seek care. Yet the increase in illness and death rates caused by COVID-19 among underserved populations testifies to the persistence of unequal access to high-quality care. During the July 2022 Health Equity Summit, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality engaged with experts to deepen its understanding of equity in access to health care and identify research priorities. The Levesque et al. framework which encompasses approachability, acceptability, availability, accommodation, affordability, and appropriateness was adopted to focus this effort, acknowledging that factors such as racism and intersectionality must be addressed to eliminate inequities.

Frameworks that support expert policy and practice decisions can provide efficient guidance by reducing the volume of information and by framing access problems at multiple levels of scale, from the individual to a larger population. At the practice level, vulnerable populations often require increased access to essential resources such as primary care facilities, fresh foods, or job opportunities. The COVID-19 pandemic revealed that analysis of data from and about vulnerable populations is frequently limited and over-aggregated, obscuring differences among, for example, rural versus urban and incarcerated versus community-based groups. Legislative efforts have historically emphasized piecemeal, population-specific measures focusing predominantly on cost and insurance issues rather than direct medical interventions and often amend prior acts instead of instituting comprehensive reform. Although reform targeted to a population with a clearly definable need (such as the elderly) tends to succeed, comprehensive healthcare reform faces significant challenges. Understanding the relationship among available data, existing policies, population needs, and current structures facilitates the development of analytically informed policy recommendations [9, 10].

Engineering Solutions

Applying an engineering perspective to healthcare access encompasses a diverse set of strategies that address identified impediments and inform associated policy proposals. Expanding the supply of healthcare personnel constitutes a widely applicable solution that faces implementation difficulties, including the requirement for prolonged, resource-intensive training and the persistent retention problems documented in various countries. Intermediate responses comprise strategies that extend the reach and enhance the effectiveness of existing services, involving differentiated delivery mechanisms that leverage local social networks and redistribute aspects of care across the conventional provider framework. Additional approaches involve the deployment of assistive technologies, the promotion of innovations in low-tech alternatives, and the excitation of local market supply. The academic literature offers a relatively low level of evidence supporting these alternatives Policies to improve the efficiency of access generally target reductions in the direct and indirect financial burdens associated with care-seeking, encompassing various forms of user-fee exemptions, community-based financing for remittances, and support for mechanisms that diminish the direct time costs associated with seeking and receiving treatment. Engineering emerges as the principal discipline capable of generating the specific technical alternatives requisite for tangible enhancements in healthcare access [11, 12].

Case Studies

Numerous case studies effectively illustrate how innovative engineering solutions can significantly enable essential policy interventions aimed at improving access to healthcare services. These studies highlight the various roles and barriers that shape the development of effective health policies. Design research plays a pivotal role in responding to the pressing challenges of delivering affordable, accessible, and personalized healthcare. This is achieved through active collaboration with diverse stakeholders and the strategic application of design tools that promote critical incentives. Additionally, such efforts focus on the establishment of distributed care models, the implementation of mobile clinics, and the utilization of portable medical devices. The experiences of low-income Arkansans under the recent Medicaid reforms reveal a complex landscape of access dimensions that extend far beyond mere affordability. These dimensions include aspects such as treatment efficacy, the quality of interactions with healthcare providers, and the overall patient experience. Understanding these interrelated factors is essential for fostering meaningful consumer engagement and effectively guiding policy strategies that aim to enhance healthcare access for all individuals in need [13, 14].

Stakeholder Engagement

Understanding the distinct needs and perspectives of stakeholders facilitates the design of policies and interventions that truly meet community health requirements. Notwithstanding the participatory intentions, both the process and theoretical underpinnings of stakeholder engagement require further elaboration. While a wide range of health policies has been developed, their appropriateness in addressing access challenges remains inadequately tested. Issues such as funding, governance, service delivery, and human resources predominate in the discourse. The intricacies surrounding the pattern of engagement who was involved, when, and how and theoretical frameworks that guide these processes demand more comprehensive clarification. Policy development often lacks systematic examination for legitimacy and aligns poorly with target health issues. Addressing limitations related to biomedical aspects and immigration law, for instance, could enhance the efficacy of policy responses to health vulnerabilities [15, 16].

Data and Analytics

Data and analytics are essential for assessing healthcare access issues and identifying solutions. Analysts examine healthcare data to evaluate access concerning cost, quality, and outcomes. Accurate estimates of access deficits help prioritize investments, while detailed simulations can design strategies to address these deficits. This article discusses empirical evidence on access, utilizing engineering principles like optimization, control, and machine learning to evaluate policy impacts and to create effective interventions. The framework includes how to implement, monitor, and manage policy changes. Initial steps involve characterizing access levels, identifying neediest populations, and linking these factors to costs and outcomes. Analyzing areas with limited access can help in understanding the current and potential provider networks, as well as transportation options. Various data sources and analytical methods are employed. Traditionally, administrative claims have served reimbursement purposes, though their analytical potential has expanded significantly in recent years. The rise of scalable data-processing platforms and specialized analytical initiatives has added value. Notably, patient-generated data from surveys, electronic sensors, and devices, including smartphones and fitness trackers, offer promising insights. Consumer-generated data, used effectively in retail, also have potential in healthcare access. Despite concerns about information overload from electronic health records and monitoring devices, the ability to extract relevant information for decision-makers is vital; it involves complex processes of abstraction and consolidation [17, 18].

Barriers to Implementation

Engineering paves pathways for accessible, equitable, and affordable healthcare. Yet, technical, political, and social hurdles obstruct the implementation of engineering-policy interventions, reducing their impact on access. Technically, constrained personnel slow EHR data-mining and feature-building, as requests outpace available capacity. Institutional processes and competitive demands inhibit redesigns. Extensive bureaucracy delays approvals and data access for months. Unanticipated budgetary costs accompany innovative care approaches, necessitating specialized rapid-approval processes. To maintain momentum, teams develop meeting agendas, monitor action items, and rapidly prototype feasibility-focused concepts. Politically, policy preferences shape the choice of model objectives and access indicators. Political capital determines how reform proposals traverse legislative procedures. Budgetary limitations frame the scope for policy interventions. The polarization caused by political cycles, interest groups, and the media fosters a dynamic and uncertain policy environment. These aspects, distinct from technical system capabilities, hinder policy adoption. Socially, cultural differences impede specific demographic groups from seeking care, even when financial and structural barriers are absent. Geographic factors enforce maximum travel distances to healthcare facilities. Patient compliance and provider acceptance of new policies and innovations cannot be assumed. The framework integrates policy parameters with engineering-system configurations to increase the probability of acceptance by stakeholders [19, 20].

Future Directions

Future-thinking research can accelerate innovation and support policymakers in keeping pace with science. As new policies emerge, empirical investigations and theoretical frameworks must facilitate their interpretation and guide diverse decision-makers in implementation. Policy innovations, identified through complementary scenario development and assessments, become worth tracking and integrating into portfolio processes as promising trajectories. Researchers and translators can perform these functions for near-term opportunities as well as longer-term explorations of potential emergent modalities. The approaching decades will witness disruption through genome sequencing, artificial intelligence (AI), and other tools far superior to current capabilities. Engineering solutions capable of flexibly adapting to increased complexity and addressing the support needs of large healthcare organizations stand to remain important avenues of inquiry. Moreover, organizational form factors can be devised to enable negotiations between settings that benefit access at the outset, beginning with interactions between the major categories of care providers, locations, healthcare stakeholders, and power centers in markets and networks [21, 22].

CONCLUSION

Engineering solutions offer a transformative lens for addressing longstanding healthcare access challenges. Rather than relying solely on incremental legislative changes, applying engineering methods such as mobile health units, telemedicine, low-cost devices, and smart data integration enables more responsive, adaptable, and scalable healthcare systems. The integration of engineering principles with policy frameworks can guide more effective reforms by quantifying barriers, simulating solutions, and aligning infrastructure with community needs. However, to ensure success, attention must also be paid to the political, technical, and cultural factors that affect policy implementation. Stakeholder collaboration,

context-specific designs, and proactive data governance will be critical for sustained change. This study concludes that policy transformation guided by engineering innovation is essential not only for expanding healthcare access but also for achieving equity, improving public health outcomes, and fostering societal resilience.

REFERENCES

1. Wicks-Lim J. To Contain Costs And Provide Universal, Quality Healthcare, We Need Medicare For All. Journal of Policy Analysis and Management. 2020 Sep;39(4):1262-5.
2. Yu SW, Hill C, Ricks ML, Bennet J, Oriol NE. The scope and impact of mobile health clinics in the United States: a literature review. International journal for equity in health. 2017 Oct 5;16(1):178.
3. Casto AB, Forrestal E. Principles of healthcare reimbursement. Springfield, IL: American Health Information Management Association; 2013.
4. Coombs NC, Meriwether WE, Caringi J, Newcomer SR. Barriers to healthcare access among US adults with mental health challenges: A population-based study. SSM-population health. 2021 Sep 1;15:100847. sciencedirect.com
5. Buttenwieser BJ. The consumer's role. Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine. 1965 Jan;41(1):54.
6. Hong I, Wilson B, Gross T, Conley J, Powers T. Challenging terrains: socio-spatial analysis of primary health care access disparities in West Virginia. Applied spatial analysis and policy. 2023 Mar;16(1):141-61.
7. Shafiq N, Pandey AK, Malhotra S, Holmes A, Mendelson M, Malpani R, Balasegaram M, Charani E. Shortage of essential antimicrobials: a major challenge to global health security. BMJ global health. 2021 Nov 1;6(11):e006961. bmj.com
8. Brown GW, Bridge G, Martini J, Um J, Williams OD, Choupe LB, Rhodes N, Ho ZJ, Chungong S, Kandel N. The role of health systems for health security: a scoping review revealing the need for improved conceptual and practical linkages. Globalization and health. 2022 May 15;18(1):51. springer.com
9. Adams LM, Gell NM, Hoffman EV, Gibbons LE, Phelan EA, Sturgeon JA, Turk DC, Patel KV. Impact of COVID-19 'stay home, stay healthy'orders on function among older adults participating in a community-based, behavioral intervention study. Journal of aging and health. 2021 Aug;33(7-8):458-68. nih.gov
10. Duran-Fernandez R, Bernal-Serrano D, Garcia-Huitron JA, Hutubessy R. Financing for pandemic preparedness and response measures: a systematic scoping review. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2024 Feb 22;102(5):314.
11. Winkelmann J, Webb E, Williams GA, Hernández-Quevedo C, Maier CB, Panteli D. European countries' responses in ensuring sufficient physical infrastructure and workforce capacity during the first COVID-19 wave. Health Policy. 2022 May 1;126(5):362-72. nih.gov
12. Kumar M, Kumar A, Verma S, Bhattacharya P, Ghimire D, Kim SH, Hosen AS. Healthcare Internet of Things (H-IoT): Current trends, future prospects, applications, challenges, and security issues. Electronics. 2023 Apr 28;12(9):2050. mdpi.com
13. Erku D, Khatri R, Endalamaw A, Wolka E, Nigatu F, Zewdie A, Assefa Y. Digital health interventions to improve access to and quality of primary health care services: a scoping review. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2023 Sep 28;20(19):6854. mdpi.com
14. Olatunji AO, Olaboye JA, Maha CC, Kolawole TO, Abdul S. Revolutionizing infectious disease management in low-resource settings: The impact of rapid diagnostic technologies and portable devices. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences. 2024;6(7):1417-32. researchgate.net
15. Mao JJ, Pillai GG, Andrade CJ, Ligibel JA, Basu P, Cohen L, Khan IA, Mustian KM, Puthiyedath R, Dhiman KS, Lao L. Integrative oncology: Addressing the global challenges of cancer prevention and treatment. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2022 Mar;72(2):144-64. wiley.com
16. Mahendradhata Y, Andayani NL, Hasri ET, Arifi MD, Siahaan RG, Solikha DA, Ali PB. The capacity of the Indonesian healthcare system to respond to COVID-19. Frontiers in public health. 2021 Jul 7;9:649819. frontiersin.org
17. Alowais SA, Alghamdi SS, Alsuhebany N, Alqahtani T, Alshaya AI, Almohareb SN, Aldairem A, Alrashed M, Bin Saleh K, Badreldin HA, Al Yami MS. Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of

artificial intelligence in clinical practice. BMC medical education. 2023 Sep 22;23(1):689. springer.com

18. Panahi O. Navigating the AI Landscape in Healthcare and Public Health. Mathews J Nurs. 2025;7(1):56.
19. Lee MK, Rich K. Who is included in human perceptions of AI?: Trust and perceived fairness around healthcare AI and cultural mistrust. InProceedings of the 2021 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems 2021 May 6 (pp. 1-14). acm.org
20. Eigenhuis E, Waumans RC, Muntingh AD, Westerman MJ, van Meijel M, Batelaan NM, van Balkom AJ. Facilitating factors and barriers in help-seeking behaviour in adolescents and young adults with depressive symptoms: A qualitative study. PloS one. 2021 Mar 8;16(3):e0247516. plos.org
21. Boda VV, Immaneni J. Optimizing CI/CD in Healthcare: Tried and True Techniques. International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering and Technology. 2022 Jun 30;3(2):28-38. ijeret.org
22. Li J, Carayon P. Health Care 4.0: A vision for smart and connected health care. IISE transactions on healthcare systems engineering. 2021 Jul 3;11(3):171-80. tandfonline.com

CITE AS: Chelimo Faith Rebecca. (2025). Healthcare Access: Engineering Solutions through Policy Changes. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING, 5(1):42-47.