EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (EEJHSS) ISSN: 2992-4111

©EEJHSS Publications Volume 7 Issue 3 2025

Integrating Environmental Education into School Curricula

Kato Nabirye H.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Environmental education (EE) is increasingly recognized as a vital component of global efforts toward sustainability. This paper examines the integration of EE into school curricula through a multidisciplinary and holistic approach. Drawing from historical developments, current trends, case studies, and implementation frameworks, it highlights how environmental education transcends traditional subject boundaries to promote critical thinking, values education, and action-oriented learning. While infusion models and whole-school approaches have gained traction, implementation remains uneven due to challenges such as limited teacher training, inconsistent policy application, and structural barriers within traditional curricula. The paper advocates for strategic curriculum alignment, culturally responsive pedagogies, professional development for educators, and stakeholder engagement as essential strategies for embedding environmental consciousness into education systems. Ultimately, integrating environmental education holistically into schools is necessary for cultivating environmentally literate citizens capable of addressing complex global and local ecological challenges.

Keywords: Environmental Education, Curriculum Integration, Sustainable Development, Multidisciplinary Learning, Whole-School Approach, Teacher Training, Cultural Relevance, Educational Policy.

INTRODUCTION

Environmental education is crucial in the teaching-learning process as it connects knowledge to real-life situations, empowering individuals to tackle personal and community challenges while fostering a deep appreciation for the natural environment. Its significance has surged recently, attracting attention from scientists, media, and the public. Environmental education has evolved through various strategies, such as establishing it as a dedicated subject and integrating it into traditional subjects like math and science, known as the infusion approach. This multidisciplinary approach emphasizes teaching EE materials across multiple disciplines, focusing on their interrelations. The 1975 UNESCO-UNEP International Environmental Education Programme championed interdisciplinary approach at all educational levels. Key objectives of environmental education include developing knowledge and practical skills related to the environment, integrating it into educational systems, supporting governments in formulating relevant policies, stimulating innovative projects, and training EE personnel. The Tbilisi Conference of 1977 created a framework for defining the goals and principles of environmental education, which continues to influence its development globally today [1, 2].

The Importance of Environmental Education

In its literature and practice, environmental education is widely regarded as a key component of the global strategy for sustainable development. Twenty years after joint UNESCO/UNEP reports recommended it, many countries still face the challenge of defining and developing the role of environmental education in their school curricula. In science, the curriculum concentrates on elementary environmental concepts, such as the components of living and non-living things and the adaptations of plants and animals. Primary education encourages children to explore the nature of things based on their curiosity. Secondary-school curricula are organized along disciplinary lines, with environmental education infused into various subjects, often through teachers' initiatives. Over the past fifteen years,

there has been a shift towards environmental studies that emphasize the role of science in society, as well as its connections to everyday life, agriculture, industry, medicine, food, and health. Teachers' implementation of environmental education varies, and its effectiveness depends on how well the philosophical approach and issues are integrated into the curriculum. Currently, emphasis on environmental issues in schools tends to be superficial and subject to individual teachers' interpretations, thereby limiting its overall impact [3, 4].

Historical Context of Environmental Education

The concept of environmental education as a separate subject within the school curriculum has been abandoned in favour of an integrated approach responding to a shift in how both environment and education are viewed over the last sixty years. There has been a move from conservation and outdoor education to a focus on the total environment including social, political, cultural and ecological dimensions. Attempts to incorporate environmental education across the disciplines have been largely ineffective because it is holistic, encompassing attitudes, values, actions and knowledge. The idea of a whole school approach to environmental education has developed from an understanding of the role of the school in society. Schools are seen as microcosms of society which can model socially and environmentally sound attitudes and behaviours. A whole school approach involves shifting the culture or ethos of the school to incorporate environmentally sound values and attitudes [5, 6].

Current Trends in Environmental Education

Environmental education aims to cultivate environmentally literate citizens who can address environmental challenges. It employs a multidisciplinary approach, enriching traditional curricula with diverse subject areas and themes that cross conventional boundaries. Over the past 30 years, it has significantly evolved, focusing on a holistic view of environmental issues. Contemporary programs stress cooperative learning, critical thinking, and values integration. However, despite policies promoting environmental responsibility, most curricula prioritize knowledge and skills over affective aspects like beliefs and values, leaving these dimensions underexplored. Consequently, subject-specific instruction remains the primary means of achieving environmental education objectives. Information science curricula can naturally incorporate environmental themes, enhancing students' appreciation of environmental issues and empowering them to effect change. The ultimate goal is to develop citizens who recognize environmental problems and are skilled in problem-solving. A multidisciplinary approach allows for the integration of environmentally centered themes, whether local, global, conservation-focused, or action-oriented. Concerns arise regarding the neglect of cultural backgrounds in developing learning experiences, an aspect addressed by multicultural environmental education. This framework contextualizes environmental instruction culturally and includes urban neighborhoods, emphasizing community health alongside natural settings. Additionally, cultural frameworks shape learners' perceptions of the environment, and reflecting on these can deepen engagement. Acknowledging the evolution of environmental education and its potential to foster responsibility, the richness of diverse perspectives and cultural traditions in places like the U.S. serves as a vital asset for this educational pursuit [7, 8].

Frameworks for Integrating Environmental Education

The integration of environmental education (EE) into school curricula presents significant challenges for educators. Various models have emerged to assist in implementing EE, including creating distinct programs, infusing environmental topics into subjects, adopting themes across disciplines, and restructuring curricula around environmental instruction. A notable approach is the whole-school model, where environmental awareness is embedded into the educational ethos, aligning with societal roles and aspirations. This model extends beyond conservation, encompassing social, political, cultural, and ecological aspects to shape attitudes, values, and knowledge. Schools serve as microcosms of society and should reflect this environmental commitment in their management and culture. However, implementing this vision is complex, with EE often marginalized and requiring multidisciplinary engagement. The Theoretical Framework Model aids curriculum design by combining EE principles with critical multiculturalism. Its aim is to nurture environmentally literate citizens who understand both natural and urban environments and are prepared to tackle environmental issues. Educators strive to present topics objectively, fostering informed decision-making. The multicultural aspect promotes inclusive pedagogy, urging students to rethink their environmental relationships and develop diverse perspectives. Within the context of contemporary neoliberal education, this integration of EE connects environmental issues to a broader pedagogical framework that extends beyond traditional schooling [9, 10].

Curriculum Development Strategies

Embedding environmental education into existing school curricula requires strategic alignment of content with local environmental issues and available instructional resources. Enhancing student engagement involves integrating active environmental education not only within science but also across subjects such as mathematics, language arts, social studies, economics, and civics. Project-based learning and community service initiatives provide familiar pedagogical frameworks that extend and strengthen experiential learning opportunities linking well with environmental education's multidisciplinary emphasis. A variety of curricular approaches can support these aims. Educators may choose to incorporate environmental education as components within existing courses, develop dedicated courses (either full or partial), or engage students in specialized projects. Limited numbers of trained teachers make integration within existing courses the most immediately realistic option for many schools. Conversely, dedicated courses can elevate the visibility and perceived value of environmental education, and this model has been especially effective at postsecondary levels. When courses cannot be offered, project-based and service learning approaches offer flexible alternatives for integrating environmental topics within broader curricular structures [11, 12].

Teacher Training and Professional Development

Environmental education has not only been integrated into various official curricular documents and policy frameworks across many different departments of education but it is also important to recognize that coverage continues to be patchy and inconsistent across numerous subjects and grade levels. The way implementation is often approached frequently treats environmental education as merely an add-on to existing core curricular subjects. This attitude limits the potential for meaningful integration into the essential teaching and learning processes that educators engage with. Moreover, a significant number of educators express concerns about feeling ill-prepared to embed Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) effectively within their teaching practice. They cite a persistent lack of pedagogical guidance and supportive school structures as key barriers to successful implementation. While it is true that external support can play a valuable and important role in assisting educational institutions, sustainable change in the curriculum fundamentally hinges on the collective commitment of school leadership and staff members. They must work together to foster capacity building within the institution itself. The provision of effective teacher training and ongoing professional development should be viewed as the cornerstone or foundation of any successful programme aimed at curriculum reform. It is essential to establish a culture of continuous improvement in order to embed these critical sustainability principles within educational settings [13, 14].

Case Studies of Successful Integration

In the initial stage, the two-point and co-generative dialogue model is established within the schools. Subsequently, case studies are undertaken in three schools to evaluate the integration process. One case study, focused on instruction in ecosystems within environmental science education, demonstrates the application of the model. Co-teaching is conducted alongside teachers to generate student interest, while co-generative dialogue involves the students to foster engagement and collect feedback on their perspectives. Exposure to environmental education engenders a genuine appreciation for the environment among students. Programs involving environmental education stimulate interest across diverse ability levels, often by addressing real-world issues that possess personal significance. Such education promotes enthusiasm for the natural world and broadens students' knowledge of environmental phenomena. Case studies reveal an increase in students' interest in environmental issues and a sense of empowerment to pursue additional knowledge. Furthermore, environmental education enhances academic achievement, especially in science. The topic is embedded within the curriculum, encompassing subjects such as energy, climate change, pollution, ecosystems, and genetic engineering. The national science curriculum advocates meaningful science learning experiences designed to cultivate critical thinking and the ability to evaluate the role of science in society and daily life on an evidence-based foundation. The concept of environmental education as a discrete subject has been superseded by a holistic approach that addresses social, political, cultural, and ecological dimensions. Environmental education is regarded as encompassing attitudes, values, actions, and knowledge, necessitating an approach that transcends traditional disciplines. The whole-school approach recognizes that schools fulfil a societal function by shaping human behaviour and exemplifying social and environmental values. Successful integration requires a cultural transformation within the school, whereby environmentally sound attitudes and behaviours are accorded central importance [15, 16].

Challenges in Implementation

A whole school approach to environmental education faces challenges related to organizing the curriculum around discrete subjects, integrating value issues after student involvement, and establishing evidence for claims of environmental impact. Locating relevant actions concerning local and global issues, as well as assessing the qualities of active learning, further complicates implementation. This often contradicts established pedagogical practices and traditional school system assumptions. The critical and action-oriented perspectives in environmental education often clash with existing curricula, assessment frameworks, and school social dynamics, obstructing implementation. Policy interpretations and emphasis on development programs like health and rural development add to the challenges. Developing environmental education necessitates carefully selecting content suitable for students' cognitive and emotional stages while avoiding controversial issues that may conflict with traditional beliefs. This ensures a body of knowledge that is relevant and culturally appropriate. Establishing the aims of an environmental education program is integral to the selection of content and helps clarify the objectives, identifying what students should learn regarding knowledge, attitudes, values, skills, and strategies in teaching and learning social and environmental issues. The availability of accessible written information on environmental problems is also crucial for effective program development [17, 18].

Engaging Stakeholders

Environmental education involves the whole school ethos, ensuring that awareness is embedded in all operations and genuinely valued by the entire school community. Environmental education has become a global initiative and is a crucial part of building social capital within a community. Schools adopt strategies to educate the 'whole student,' and the environment constitutes one component in that developmental model. Schools engage in a number of strategies including embedding environmental education across all subjects and meeting Ontario Education requirements. The whole school approach has been embraced because attendance figures indicate that participation can impact the rate at which a school achieves respect in the community. In some cases, schools gravitate to a school as a community model in order to facilitate partnerships within the community over long term environmental projects [19, 20].

Assessment and Evaluation Methods

Assessment and evaluation constitute essential and fundamental components of the environmental education process, playing a significant role as an important curriculum strand. These elements are particularly necessary in the context of global warming and other pressing issues, as they call for substantial learning transfers from the classroom setting to the wider world outside. Assessment represents a variety of tasks and activities through which a specific purpose or outcome is effectively measured. In contrast, evaluation involves the thoughtful interpretation of these measurements and the decision-making processes that arise from such evaluations. In this regard, clearly defined objectives clarify what learners should reasonably expect to achieve and provide guidance on the criteria for such measurements. Bloom's taxonomy, a well-known educational framework, suggests that objectives be meticulously specified within the three primary domains: affective, psychomotor, or cognitive. The clear distinction among these domains enhances the effectiveness of educational goals and outcomes [21, 22].

Integrating Technology in Environmental Education

Without research, the use of technology in environmental education risks being for mere novelty, not affecting educational reform or changing values guiding students as citizens; a grounded framework is necessary to illustrate how components of environmental education, inquiry-based learning, and technology align. A framework designed to help educators develop site-specific programs will be offered, attending to pedagogical aspects and design considerations. Environmental education has evolved from a focus exclusively on natural science to a more holistic approach that incorporates social, political, and economic contexts along with civic engagement. A student-centered learning environment positions the learner at the focal point, emphasizing their active engagement and responsibility. Students commences by keeping a daily journal of personal reflections, documenting values, feelings, and expectations concerning the project; upon completion, a post-reflection captures shifts in these domains, and as a culminating exercise, each student composes an Environmental Community Service Learning report following a standard template. Within environmental education, technology is envisioned as a catalyst for learning that amplifies student interaction with the natural world and fosters connection to community; technologies central to data gathering and exploration are specifically considered, in contrast to generic classroom aids like projectors and laptops [23, 24].

Promoting Environmental Stewardship

The desired result of education concerning the environment is to enable students to become good citizens with a high regard for the environment, feel empowered to protect it, and take positive environmental action. Environmental education has the goal of creating a new stewardship based on the idea that the quality of life is dependent on the health of the planet and the wise stewardship of all our resources. When environmental education is integrated into the regular curriculum and made applicable at the local, state, national, and global levels, students become empowered to enact positive change in their environment at any scale. Schools must embed environmental education into the curriculum, considering the implicit and null curricula, which reflect societal values and influence students. A critical evaluation of the current educational and societal status quo is necessary for meaningful change. Holistic approaches, such as the whole school method, involve fostering environmental awareness across the school ethos and operations. School activities and operations serve as demonstrations for students and the community, emphasizing the importance of ensuring the school's environment and practices reflect environmental sensitivity. Teachers, management, and staff have the responsibility to convey the school's commitment to being environmentally conscious [25, 26].

Future Directions in Environmental Education

Environmental education faces significant challenges due to predetermined curricula that prioritize theoretical problems over local issues, focusing on uncontested knowledge and individual assessment. It demands holistic, cooperative learning, addressing complexities and interdependencies. Schools struggle to integrate values and critical thinking aligned with environmental rhetoric, often due to conflicting policies. Teachers express concerns about the broad scope of environmental education, risks of indoctrination, and the inadequacy of traditional assessments. Although environmental topics are present across curricula, subject teaching predominantly drives environmental education in secondary schools. For instance, the science curriculum aims to engage students with elementary environmental concepts through exploration connected to their experiences. Secondary programs are primarily organized along disciplinary lines, incorporating environmental education through teacher initiative. A shift toward environmental studies has emerged over the past fifteen years, with some elements intertwined within the curriculum, focusing on the application of science to everyday life covering areas like agriculture, industry, and health and understanding science's societal role. While teachers vary in their approach to introducing environmental education, merely including environmental philosophies in curricula does not ensure that goals are achieved. Clarity on subject contributions and adaptability in teaching strategies are essential. Consequently, attention to environmental issues often remains superficial, influenced by individual teachers' interpretations. Environmental education (EE) draws from international agreements like the Kyoto Protocol and the UN Decade of Education for Sustainable Development, and is shaped by contemporary research on quality education. Hutchison identifies three approaches: supplemental, infusionist, and immersive experiences. The infusionist method integrates environmental themes throughout curricula, helping students understand the impact of their actions on natural systems, ultimately promoting informed decision-making and attitude shifts through experiential learning [27, 28].

Policy Recommendations

The interdependence between humans and the environment highlights the necessity of providing early students the skills and understandings needed to participate as informed and responsible citizens. Consequentially, environmental education naturally belongs in the curriculum at all levels of schooling. Considerable evidence supports the efficacy of computer-assisted learning as a means of communicating course materials, as demonstrated by its use in various school subjects, including environmental education. To enhance the availability of environmental education materials, experts recommend increasing access to existing computer programs and facilitating the development of new ones. These strategies are viable and affordable means of addressing this pressing educational need. Demand for environmental education materials stems from the growing emphasis on integrating environmental topics into the curriculum and the expanding role of computers in classroom instruction. Rising interest among potential users, such as teachers, demands increased provision of materials to maximize their utility [29, 30].

CONCLUSION

The integration of environmental education into school curricula is not merely an educational reform but a societal necessity in the face of escalating environmental challenges. A shift from fragmented, teacher-

dependent practices to systemic, whole-school approaches is essential to ensure environmental education becomes foundational rather than supplementary. This transformation requires the alignment of policies, pedagogies, and cultural values within schools. Professional development and institutional commitment are key drivers of success, as is the engagement of the broader community. While implementation hurdles persist ranging from curriculum rigidity to teacher preparedness the benefits of embedding EE across disciplines are profound: students not only acquire knowledge but also develop the values, attitudes, and skills needed to act responsibly and effectively in the world. As schools mirror the societies they serve, fostering environmental literacy within them paves the way for a more sustainable and equitable future.

REFERENCES

1. Omoogun AC, Onnoghen UN, Ateb GA. Adequacy of knowledge of environmental concepts among junior secondary school teachers for the multidisciplinary approach of implementing environmental education curriculum. Journal of Education and Practice. 2014;5(36):106-12.
2. Walker K. The teaching and learning of environmental education in NSW primary schools: A case study. Australian Journal of Environmental Education. 1995 Jan;11:121-9.
3. Uralovich KS, Toshmamatovich TU, Kubayevich KF, Sapaev IB, Saylaubaevna SS, Beknazarova ZF, Khurramov A. A primary factor in sustainable development and environmental sustainability is environmental education. Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2023;21(4):965-75. tiiame.uz
4. Žalėnienė I, Pereira P. Higher education for sustainability: A global perspective. Geography and Sustainability. 2021 Jun 1;2(2):99-106.
5. Kolimenakis A, Heinz S, Wilson ML, Winkler V, Yakob L, Michaelakis A, Papachristos D, Richardson C, Horstick O. The role of urbanisation in the spread of Aedes mosquitoes and the diseases they transmit—A systematic review. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2021 Sep 9;15(9):e0009631. plos.org
6. Beery T, Stahl Olafsson A, Gentin S, Maurer M, Ståhammar S, Albert C, Bieling C, Buijs A, Fagerholm N, Garcia-Martin M, Plieninger T. Disconnection from nature: Expanding our understanding of human–nature relations. People and Nature. 2023 Apr;5(2):470-88. wiley.com
7. Taylor N, Littledyke M, Eames C, Coll RK. Environmental education in context: An overview and some observations. Environmental Education in Context. 2009 Jan 1:1-6.
8. Easton J, Monroe MC. Project learning tree teacher assessment survey. Applied Environmental Education and Communication: An International Journal. 2002 Oct 1;1(4):229-34.
9. Borg F, Winberg M, Gericke N, Borg J. Principals' views and reported actions on sustainability from a whole school approach perspective: a comparative study of eco-and non-eco-certified preschools in Sweden. Environmental Education Research. 2025 May 4;31(5):897-919. tandfonline.com
10. Torsdottir AE, Sinnes AT, Olsson D, Wals A. Do students have anything to say? Student participation in a whole school approach to sustainability. Environmental Education Research. 2024 Apr 2;30(4):519-43. tandfonline.com
11. Kelly O, Buckley K, Lieberman LJ, Arndt K. Universal Design for Learning-A framework for inclusion in Outdoor Learning. Journal of outdoor and environmental education. 2022 Apr;25(1):75-89. springer.com
12. Angelaki ME, Bersimis F, Karvounidis T, Douligeris C. Towards more sustainable higher education institutions: Implementing the sustainable development goals and embedding sustainability into the information and computer technology curricula. Education and Information Technologies. 2024 Mar;29(4):5079-113. springer.com
13. Tonetti V, Pena JC, Scarpelli MD, Sugai LS, Barros FM, Anunciação PR, Santos PM, Tavares AL, Ribeiro MC. Landscape heterogeneity: concepts, quantification, challenges and future perspectives. Environmental Conservation. 2023 Jun;50(2):83-92. researchgate.net
14. Smith K, Wells R, Hawkes C. How primary school curriculums in 11 countries around the world deliver food education and address food literacy: A policy analysis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022 Feb 11;19(4):2019. mdpi.com
15. Kalogiannakis M, Papadakis S, Zourmpakis AI. Gamification in science education. A systematic review of the literature. Education sciences. 2021 Jan 6;11(1):22.
16. Sasikala P, Ravichandran R. Journal of Digital Learning and Education. Journal of Digital Learning and Education. 2024;4(2):145-55. [HTML]

17. O'Farrell P, Wilson C, Shiel G. Teachers' perceptions of the barriers to assessment of mental health in schools with implications for educational policy: A systematic review. British Journal of Educational Psychology. 2023 Mar;93(1):262-82.
18. Zikargae MH, Woldearegay AG, Skjerdal T. Empowering rural society through non-formal environmental education: An empirical study of environment and forest development community projects in Ethiopia. Heliyon. 2022 Mar 1;8(3).
19. Berchin II, de Aguiar Dutra AR, Guerra JB. How do higher education institutions promote sustainable development? A literature review. Sustainable Development. 2021 Nov;29(6):1204-22. [HTML]
20. Kohl K, Hopkins C, Barth M, Michelsen G, Dlouhá J, Razak DA, Abidin Bin Sanusi Z, Toman I. A whole-institution approach towards sustainability: a crucial aspect of higher education's individual and collective engagement with the SDGs and beyond. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education. 2022 Feb 21;23(2):218-36. emerald.com
21. Uncumusaoğlu AA, Mutlu E. Water quality assessment in Karaboğaz Stream Basin (Turkey) from a multi-statistical perspective. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies. 2021 Sep 22;30(5):4747-59.
22. Alam A. Mapping a sustainable future through conceptualization of transformative learning framework, education for sustainable development, critical reflection, and responsible citizenship: an exploration of pedagogies for twenty-first century learning. ECS Transactions. 2022 Apr 24;107(1):9827.
23. Ali Q, Parveen S, Yaacob H, Zaini Z, Sarbini NA. COVID-19 and dynamics of environmental awareness, sustainable consumption and social responsibility in Malaysia. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2021 Oct;28(40):56199-218. springer.com
24. Mann J, Gray T, Truong S, Brymer E, Passy R, Ho S, Sahlberg P, Ward K, Bentsen P, Curry C, Cowper R. Getting out of the classroom and into nature: a systematic review of nature-specific outdoor learning on school children's learning and development. Frontiers in Public Health. 2022 May 16;10:877058. frontiersin.org
25. Del Rey R, Ojeda M, Mora-Merchán JA, Nieves Sánchez-Díaz M, Morgado B, Lasaga MJ. Environmental education: Effects on knowledge, attitudes and perceptions, and gender differences. International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education. 2022 Dec 8;31(4):282-303. [HTML]
26. Bezi A, Fakoori H, Bayani AA, Saemi H. Design and validation of an environmental education curriculum model for higher education based on the" Aker" approach. Iranian journal of educational sociology. 2024;7(1):79-90. qijes.com
27. Ke L, Friedrichsen P, Rawson R, Sadler TD. Teacher learning through collaborative curriculum design in the midst of a pandemic: A cultural historical activity theory investigation. Teaching and teacher education. 2023 Feb 1;122:103957. sciencedirect.com
28. Fortunato I, Mena J, Vatter C. From an intercultural approach to environmental education to 2030 Agenda: exploring teachers' role. Intercultural Education. 2025 Jul 21:1-7.
29. Mann J, Gray T, Truong S, Sahlberg P, Bentsen P, Passy R, Ho S, Ward K, Cowper R. A systematic review protocol to identify the key benefits and efficacy of nature-based learning in outdoor educational settings. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021 Feb;18(3):1199. mdpi.com
30. Begum A, Jingwei L, Marwat IU, Khan S, Han H, Ariza-Montes A. Evaluating the impact of environmental education on ecologically friendly behavior of university students in Pakistan: The roles of environmental responsibility and Islamic values. Sustainability. 2021 Sep 12;13(18):10188. mdpi.com

CITE AS: Kato Nabirye H. (2025). Integrating Environmental Education into School Curricula. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, 7(3):72-79