

Synthetic Biology: Engineering Organisms for Health Applications

Katu Amina H.

School of Natural and Applied Sciences Kampala International Uganda

ABSTRACT

Synthetic biology represents a transformative convergence of biology and engineering, enabling the design, modification, and construction of biological systems to perform novel and useful functions. In health and medicine, synthetic biology holds tremendous promise for developing next-generation diagnostics, therapeutics, vaccines, and biosensors. This paper examines the evolution, core principles, and tools of synthetic biology, highlighting its interdisciplinary roots and its application to microbial engineering, genetic circuit design, metabolic pathway optimization, and computational modeling. Recent advances are discussed through key case studies, including engineered probiotic bacteria for gut health, one-shot synthetic vaccines, and tumor-targeting bacteria. The review also addresses ethical concerns, biosafety, and emerging regulatory frameworks crucial for the responsible deployment of synthetic biology. The integration of cell engineering, software-aided biological design, and metabolic rewiring is forging new pathways for precision medicine, offering safer, more effective, and programmable medical interventions. While the future is promising, the field must carefully navigate biological complexity, potential ecological risks, and public trust.

Keywords: Synthetic biology, genetic engineering, metabolic engineering, cell-based therapy, CRISPR, biosensors, synthetic circuits, biocontainment.

INTRODUCTION

The current success of synthetic biology sets it apart from traditional biological engineering perspectives. Despite theoretical advancements, synthetic biology has not yet achieved the foundational understanding or capabilities found in electronic or software engineering. Unlike these fields, synthetic biology lacks rapid prototyping, reliable operation, and modular construction. The focus is on the metabolic systems of individual cells, whether prokaryotic or eukaryotic, which are highly complex. Simple unicellular organisms function with around a million components, while multicellular entities exhibit even greater complexity, with up to ten billion components. The interactions of cellular components give rise to behaviors like cognition and decision-making. These biological systems showcase capabilities that outstrip current engineered systems, posing significant scientific questions about their underlying mechanisms and design principles. Understanding these phenomena is crucial, and synthetic biology techniques could greatly aid in this exploration [1, 2].

Historical Context and Development

Modern synthetic biology finds its origins in the history of physiology from the mid-19th century to the mid-20th century. An important milestone was the advent of Mendelian genetics, the rediscovery of which in 1900 marked the birth of the science of genetics. The analyses of inheritance patterns through the crossbreeding of living forms explained a wide variety of biological phenomena. The July 1953 issue of *Nature* featured an article entitled "Molecular Structure of Nucleic Acids: A Structure for Deoxyribonucleic Acid," which reported on the elucidation of the DNA helix by James Watson and Francis Crick. Since the mid-20th century, a trove of information concerning genetic heredity and the machinery for DNA/RNA/peptide/ protein metabolism has become available as a result of a concerted effort by biologists. The existence of the genetic code containing triplet codon/anti-codon matching rules to explain the sequential translation of mRNA into peptides was proposed and experimentally verified.

Engineering approaches to understanding life had been initiated in laboratories around the same time. The term “synthesis” as a means to understand biological systems was adopted generally. Jacques Loeb, a US-German biologist who investigated the mechanisms of parthenogenesis and negative tropism in marine animals, had begun using “synthesis” in his work by the late 1890s. “The coming of the synthetic biologist” was a headline in the Boston Herald in June 1899, referring to Loeb’s work. The term “creation of life” was popularized. An experiment employing a mixture of chemical reagents capable of exerting the physiological activities prevalent in living organisms in a living-free test-tube system became known in his later work, elaborating a new mechanical basis for the first principles toward a cell mechanism. In these early years of the 20th century, engineering approaches relied on taking advantage of the laws of physics and chemistry to explain life phenomena in terms of engineering equations [3, 4].

Core Principles of Synthetic Biology

Though synthetic biology is a new term, many of the ideas behind it have been explored long before it came into formal existence in 2000. The word “synthetic” implies constructing something new, while “biology” implies understanding existing systems. Synthetic biology combines these two concepts to engineer organisms as systems. Biology largely focuses on sequencing genomes or characterizing biological systems, whereas synthetic biology is dedicated to the engineering of systems. A wide search is conducted by many research communities for novel chemical, metabolic, or anatomical parts to be integrated into future engineered circuits. The basic goal of synthetic biology is to design and construct an organism to enact a programmed behavior. To meet this grand ambition, engineering methodologies utilized to design and construct non-biological systems must also be employed in the biological domain. Some engineering elements are already present in parts that perform natural functions. So far, these components have sold themselves in nucleotide databases. Yet, the diversity present in nature is insufficient. Whole systems, whether engineered or not, are far too complex, even for the simplest organisms. Standard parts, components, and devices must be modularized to build larger systems. Hence, some design rules will need to be modified significantly. Theory development will need to start anew, devising fundamentally new principles and mechanisms to capture biological function dynamics. These are not at odds with control, information, or communication theories now in use but are utterly different ways of abstraction at various levels of resolution. Fundamental questions, such as whether biological systems can be engineered and why engineering principles in the biological domain appear fundamentally different, remain fascinating challenges for the younger scientific community. Primary elements associated with engineered biological systems, including parts, devices, and systems, are now under discussion. Similarly, how they are implemented in tandem will be addressed, first at the interface between the host and engineered circuits, devices, and systems, and then, if needed, at the systems level. On the micro-engineered components, their ongoing developments are described, which bring versatile and unprecedented possibilities [5, 6].

Genetic Engineering Techniques

To design genetic engineering tools for bacteria and yeast, a rigorous framework of rules for genetic regulatory circuits in higher organisms must be established first. Techniques for *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae*, such as RNA-based switches and CRISPR-based methods, can detect and respond to various molecules and integrate transgenes precisely. CRISPR RNA-guided techniques manipulate biochemical reactions in these organisms and control targeted cell fate and proliferation. The commercial impact of metabolic engineering on fine chemicals and fuel production has led to a second wave of synthetic biology based on building blocks and Evolution, inspired by natural modularity and cellular systems. This view examines modularized molecules and compartments, integrating evolutionary achievements and triggering nanostructured probes with controllable dynamics. Microbiota, an assemblage of microbes and genes in specific environments, differs from the microbiome. Human microbiota, found from the skin to the gastrointestinal tract, play essential roles in health. However, genetic engineering tools for studying non-model gut microbes are limited. Engineered gut bacteria can be delivered live to perform programmed functions and distinguish between healthy and diseased states. This could aid in phenotyping poorly understood microbes and developing a synthetic biology toolbox to enhance the probing and alteration of diverse non-model gut microbes, potentially creating synthetic consortia of gut bacteria to prevent or cure diseases [7, 8].

Metabolic Engineering

Metabolic engineering focuses on the design and implementation of engineered biological systems with predetermined properties and functions that can increase chemical production. The production of chemicals and compounds of interest using engineered microbes instead of extracts or bulky

chemocatalysts ensures better cost-effectiveness and reduction of environmental impacts. The methods that provide reconstructive, constructive, or destructive genome modifications with fine-tuned precision at the nucleotide level are employed. These techniques usually depend on DNA parasites or nucleic acid enzymes, commonly referred to as ribozymes or deoxyribozymes. Bioconversion of renewable carbon sources (ideally organic wastes) into high-value products allied with renewable energy is a topic of great environmental importance. Metabolic engineering of recombinant microorganisms offers an efficient alternative for the chemical production of fuel and other valuable compounds from low-cost lipids. The important pathways of microbial lipogenesis and beta-oxidation have been unraveled, and as a strategy for the construction of a synthetic biofuel production strain, their key enzymes have recently been successfully overexpressed or engineered in bacteria or yeast models. Besides energy, bioethanol production is also a topic of great interest, and several strains have been engineered to efficiently ferment depleted plant biomass in aqueous or ionic liquids. For the polymerization of sugar/nucleotides and amino acids, as well as for de novo biosynthesis of pyrimidine and purine, unprecedented metabolic fluxes in the lower half of central metabolic pathways have been redirected in bacteria, thus globally increasing the intracellular pool levels of desired precursors. Several principles governing the wide acceptance of microorganisms as cell factories have been summarized. Bacterial and yeast strains as cell factories accommodate large plasmids replicating in the high copy number, and gram-negative bacteria are more amenable to heterologous gene expression, thereby offering a wider base of codon-optimizing options for highly GC- or AT-rich genes for better expression efficiency [9, 10].

Synthetic Biology Tools and Software

Synthetic biology combines disparate disciplines, including molecular biology, mathematics, engineering, physics, bioinformatics, and computer science. Scientists across disciplines exploit the accessibility of biotechnological techniques to systematically engineer, redesign, and recreate biological organisms for diverse applications in medicine and energy. Early efforts in synthetic biology focused on assembling short DNA fragments or oligonucleotides and inserting them into plasmids for recombinant protein expression. New computational and experimental techniques now enable the generation of large synthetic or semi-synthetic biologically active arrays made of nucleic acids, proteins, RNA nanostructures, and metabolic pathways with possible therapeutic or bioenergetic applications. Synthetic biologists use various computerized and experimental tools to validate mathematical models, record, and visualize physiological states, and generate synthetic DNA and protein constructs. DNA plasmids, code-encoding sequences, gene synthesis, and in-house or commercial biofoundries design DNA constructs de novo. Several open-sourced and commercial software and algorithms systematically design large numbers of synthetic DNA constructs encoding diverse or complementary variations of biological systems. New assembly and cloning techniques facilitate rapid, high-throughput assembly of synthetic fragments and their cloning into plasmids. Experimental techniques exist to characterize, record, and visualize physiological states such as fluorescence, gene expression data, cellular morphology, and behavior. New synthetic devices sense states and selectively regulate large numbers of endogenous genes in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. New bioreporters and methods limit and visualize the biosynthesis of diverse metabolites in label-free real-time assays. Novel methods exist to precisely cut and light-activate multiple large DNA fragments, e.g., ~40 kb long, genes and synthetic circuits, and append DNA or protein tags to large synthetic constructs or whole cells. New computer programs generate visual static and dynamic circuits using distributed-chip photolithography methods or transistor-transistor logic circuits. Innovative chip designs distribute DNA on the chip surface using an origami-based three-dimensional design, as opposed to ubiquitous two-dimensional designs. Scientists can progressively and simultaneously create synthetic devices, systems, and circuits of diverse variations and complexities from entry to industrial scales by linking several of these computational and experimental tools [11, 12].

Applications in Health and Medicine

Synthetic biology (SB) applies a variety of engineering principles and techniques to the assembly of biologically functional parts. As a new interdisciplinary research discipline, SB integrates components from various biological and non-biological sources, such as computer science, electronics, mathematics, chemistry, and biology, and at various organizations, from DNA sequence control with computer-aided design software to cell metabolism control with fully characterized synthetic switches, to design engineered cells or living organisms that can carry out desired functions. Health and medicine a broad and important fields aiming to improve human health with more effective and safer medical diagnostics, treatments, and drug development. With a unique advantage as a well-established and fast-growing research discipline, SB can apply a variety of rational designing and engineering strategies to facilitate the

development of next-generation diagnosis, treatment, and drug development. Cell engineering plays an increasingly important role in SB-oriented health and medical applications. Engineered cells have shown great potential to become powerful and flexible medical devices for effective diagnoses and therapies with low or no side effects. Various SB-inspired innovations have been developed to modify cell metabolism to produce a range of biocompatible, biodegradable, and bioresponsive nanomaterials. The synthetic gene circuits are designed through rational engineering approaches to regulate the expression of transgenes and thus cellular behaviors. Cell engineering can be used to develop cell-based treatment platforms that can be modified, expanded, and programmed to regulate treatment performance. The exogenous genes inserted and/or pathways reprogrammed in such cells can be adjusted to produce therapeutic proteins and small molecules. Cell engineering-based innovative tools can be utilized to design smart cell-based sensors and therapies. However, challenges remain to be solved, such as delivering engineered cells into the human body. This review summarizes representative SB-driven devices in each category of health and medical applications, including the recent advances, benefits, limitations, and prospects of SB-inspired cell engineering in diagnosis, treatment, and drug development [13, 14].

Ethical Considerations

Synthetic biology (SynBio) will affect human life in various ways. The basic premise of SynBio is to design, construct, and modify a biological molecule, cell, or organism to perform certain pre-determined tasks. Some of the major objectives of SynBio research include the production of biofuels, food, pharmaceuticals, and biomedical technologies. Thus, applications of engineered organisms will address the global challenges of food, energy, environment, and health. SynBio is expected to have a large impact on human health in the next decade by providing inexpensive vaccines, biosensors for disease diagnosis, and engineered probiotics that improve enteric health. Many health applications using engineered microbes for live biotherapeutic and early detection of diseases are already under development and have reached animal testing stages. Although several products are awaiting entry into clinical trials, guidelines for their regulation are still being elaborated. Seen as advantageous when compared to traditional approaches, these innovations in engineering organisms nevertheless raise concerns relative to environmental and public safety. In particular, the use of genetically modified (GM) organisms in open settings or humans in uncontrolled conditions poses many questions about their containment: four biosafety levels exist depending on the pathogen's virulence, and new engineered mechanisms could be developed. The dilemma is whether it is better to design an organism able to survive and perform a determined task in a given environment or to expect to use and discard it. Indeed, containment might always be imperfect, programming an organism to die after some hours of exposure to a specific signal might be a solution; however, what if the signal is not reaching the organism? It has already been proposed in the case of environmental bioremediation that engineered microbes could give rise to new uncontrollable superweeds by undergoing lateral gene transfer with other organisms. It is too early to conduct a foresight analysis of future illnesses due to engineered organisms, however, and many experts warn against expecting doom scenarios similar to those raised by environmental GMOs. On the other hand, engineered organisms may have been part of human health improvement for many years. Informal Codex Guidelines were developed to ease their commercialization; however, they cannot contend with formal codes of conduct for advanced and more restrained biotechnology developments [15, 16].

Regulatory Framework

Biotechnology and synthetic biology (SynBio) have raised concerns of uncertainty and risks to health and the environment. We focus on engineered microorganisms in industrial biotechnology (IB), which promises decreasing environmental impacts, positive socioeconomic effects, competitive processes and products, and development in rural areas. The last decade has seen impressive technological achievements, including the synthesis of bacterial genomes, the development of computer-aided systems for logic circuit construction in bacteria, the CRISPR genome editing revolution, and the use of non-natural building blocks and non-canonical chemistry leading to xenobiology. These developments have introduced concepts of trophic and semantic containment of engineered microorganisms, enabling safety as an inherent feature. The idea of genetic safeguard technologies for biosafety has re-emerged. Since the beginning of synthetic biology, synthetic biologists have embedded bio-containment mechanisms in organisms engineered to live in industrial settings. This desire for engineered organisms not to survive outside industrial settings has inspired much research into bio-containment technologies. Some biological mechanisms have already entered industry today as safety mechanisms, but are still simple ones, such as scaffold-based ones. There is a host of complex bio-containment systems that require research effort in parts production, parts characterization, and organism engineering to be operational. Therefore, these

systems will likely be available in industrial settings in the coming decade. This allows societal engagement about the risks associated with complex bio-containment systems. In addition, bio-containment technologies will only be effective based on certain preconditions [17, 18].

Case Studies

Each of these synthetic biology applications will be explored, focusing on engineering strategies to create designer biology and disease targets. Case studies will illustrate how synthetic biology, systems biology, and microorganism engineering contribute to cell-based therapies. A revolutionary one-shot vaccine for anthrax has been developed using synthetic biology and visualized by scanning electron microscopy. This vaccine could substitute conventional vaccines and is a designer two-part anthrax vaccine based on eNanobodies and ePseudo carriers, constructed entirely in silico using a sequence-based technique. The synthetic vaccine features a multilayered nanoparticle structure for anthrax toxin adsorption and delivery via cellular uptake. Monocytes are effectively recruited to the site, resulting in enhanced humoral immunity with high titers of neutralizing antibodies, improving survival in animal models. This vaccine shows resilience against complex cellular machinery, presenting a robust synthetic design for practical applications despite immunity not being a typical priority in synthetic biology. The rising prevalence of malignant tumors requires improved delivery of therapeutic agents. A synthetic biology approach engineered an *E. coli* bacterium for organ-specific migration to solid tumors. By sensing hypoxia in the tumor microenvironment, regulators and coding genes were integrated into the bacterium, enhancing kynurenine pathway metabolite biosynthesis, affecting tryptophan catabolism, and promoting tumor growth. The bacteria sequester tryptophan by producing indole through tryptophanase, while derivatives transport to elevate tumor ROS levels. This method offers insight into inhibiting tumor growth and provides an efficient avenue for specialized tumor therapy through microbial symbiosis [19, 20].

Future Trends in Synthetic Biology

The re-emergence of synthetic biology is leading to the engineering of organisms for performance, safety, and accessibility. In the next decade, living technology will become robust and affordable, becoming mainstream in homes, schools, and workplaces. Engineered organisms will produce consumer goods, offer living therapeutics to treat diseases safely, and grow food at home. Synthetic organisms will recycle waste into new products, bringing science fiction concepts to reality, alongside ethical and safety discussions. The rise of synthetic logic devices similar to current electronic logic gates will allow for the design of networks with integrated parts. Advances in computing and algorithms will enable the modeling of complex systems. Synthetic devices in cells will facilitate cellular circuitry for decision-making processes. The construction of organisms capable of navigating varied environmental conditions will utilize XOR gate strategies, managing multiple inputs. Devices will enhance signal propagation in cells, modulating responses to environmental signals and releasing synthesized outputs, such as RNA or proteins, paving the way for synthetic biology's future by 2030 and beyond [21, 22].

Collaboration between Disciplines

The discovery of DNA's double helical structure, though celebrated, exposed longstanding limitations of this macromolecule. The nucleotide sequence isn't a decipherable code akin to software. Molecular interactions remain complex and elusive to scientists. Simply transcribing known templates can lead to chaos. Synthetic biology emerged as a solution, requiring a chemical mathematics framework to control chemistry and prevent unpredictable reactions. It combines molecular biology, genetic engineering, computer science, and more. Phylogenetic studies suggest the last universal common ancestor existed over 3.8 billion years ago, but whether life as understood today was present remains debatable. Life creation demands not just self-replicating molecules but also understanding their interactions in primordial conditions, imposing high computational demands on genomes. Current capabilities fall short. Interestingly, a ring of ten small ribozymes may stabilize pricing cycles and magnify changes, offering insights into historical molecular evolutions and errors post-LUCA formation. Dramatic replication errors hindered pre-programmed genetic selections. Engineering genomes showcases our limitations in understanding various pathways and formations, with viral genome types estimated in the billions. This complexity indicates that cellular regulation and programming are more intricate than anticipated. Man-made DNA and RNA synthesis has yet to identify candidates fitting these high-level metabolic domains. Chemists and engineers must determine how biology originated and how chemistries evolved into genomes and organisms [23, 24].

Funding and Investment in Synthetic Biology

Over \$2.6 Billion Was Invested in Synthetic Biology Within the Last Four Years. The Unprecedented Growth of Synthetic Biology Is Attracting Interest From Government Funders and Venture Capitalists

Alike. Together, They Are Banking on a Future Where Synthetic Biology Will Play an Industrial and Societal Role. Many Aren't Fully Comprehending the Request. As the Synthetic Biology Industry Grows Up, an Ecosystem Is Beginning to Emerge. The Early Investors Considered Synthetic Biology Too Precocious and Pulling It Out of the Shallows Too Early Destabilised the Industry. In the UK, This Hampered the First Move in Addressing the World's Grand Challenges Using Synthetic Biology and Almost Crippled the UK's Academic Centers of Excellence. Something Different Is Now Appearing. The 'Picks and Shovels' Mindset Is Now Respected, and the Equipment Manufacturers, Platform Companies, Off-the-shelf Technologies Are Broader Than Just Foundry Services Companies Will Win. It's Time for Vivo and in Situ Applications. The Last Four to Five Years Have Changed the Game Perhaps More Than in the Previous 15. Synthetic Biology Is Slow to Gather Momentum and Even Slower to Attract Real Money. Two Decades of Investment in Equipment and Development of Investment Infrastructure—the Pie Is Not That Much Bigger. Data and Data Ownership Are King; Companies With the Most Data on a Given Biome Will Win. Somebody Will Need a Terminator Strategy and Horizon Scanning on Biohacking and What Happens When a Part Gets Out! [25, 26].

Public Engagement and Education

Public education and engagement in synthetic biology may be driven by both the scientific community and NGOs. Reports on the ethical aspects of synthetic biology and discussions across disciplines and with various stakeholders were presented. The symposium included two outreach talks to the general public and a “Breakfast with the Professors” event at which symposium speakers interacted with high-school students (e.g., answering questions about science, technology, bioethics, and career paths). The same considerations apply to mass media. Science journalists, newspaper columnists, and, more recently, blogs or podcasts, and science activists like various NGOs all play an important role in the dissemination and examination of scientific developments. Novel biotechnologies range from routine applications of standardized bioprocesses to much more sophisticated applications using GMOs. These developments are followed by the mass media, sometimes leading to excessive expectations or public fears. Ethical issues intrinsic to synthetic biology are its potential for misuse and the possible development of bio-weapons. Synthetic biology raises other ethical questions that are more similar to those raised by GMOs' technology, such as designer organisms, commercial versus social exploitation, and ownership of bio-patents. These issues need to be taken into consideration in media outreach as well. The media reflect the dialectic nature of science and society, which becomes clear again when the HGP and first synthetic microorganisms are considered [27, 28].

CONCLUSION

Synthetic biology is revolutionizing the way we understand, manipulate, and utilize living systems for human health applications. From designing programmable cells to creating synthetic vaccines and disease-targeting bacteria, this interdisciplinary field enables precision interventions that were once unimaginable. However, as the power of synthetic biology grows, so too does the need for stringent ethical, safety, and regulatory considerations. Balancing innovation with responsible stewardship will be critical in ensuring that engineered organisms contribute positively to health systems and society at large. With continued advancement in tools, frameworks, and public engagement, synthetic biology has the potential to redefine the future of medicine, making it more precise, proactive, and personalized.

REFERENCES

1. Fritz BR, Timmerman LE, Daringer NM, Leonard JN, Jewett MC. Biology by design: from top to bottom and back. *BioMed Research International*. 2010;2010(1):232016.
2. Andrianantoandro E, Basu S, Karig DK, Weiss R. Synthetic biology: new engineering rules for an emerging discipline. *Molecular systems biology*. 2006 May 16;2(1):2006-0028.
3. Zhang X, Zhu X, Bo S, Chen C, Cheng K, Zheng J, Li S, Tu X, Chen W, Xie C, Wei X. Electrocatalytic urea synthesis with 63.5% Faradaic efficiency and 100% N-selectivity via one-step C-N coupling. *Angewandte Chemie*. 2023 Aug 14;135(33):e202305447. [HTML]
4. Emiliani V, Entcheva E, Hedrich R, Hegemann P, Konrad KR, Lüscher C, Mahn M, Pan ZH, Sims RR, Vierock J, Yizhar O. Optogenetics for light control of biological systems. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers*. 2022 Jul 21;2(1):55. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43785-022-0005-5)
5. Garner KL. Principles of synthetic biology. *Essays in biochemistry*. 2021 Nov;65(5):791-811.
6. Cubillos-Ruiz A, Guo T, Sokolovska A, Miller PF, Collins JJ, Lu TK, Lora JM. Engineering living therapeutics with synthetic biology. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*. 2021 Dec;20(12):941-60. [mit.edu](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-021-0041-1)

7. Tanna T, Ramachandran R, Platt RJ. Engineered bacteria to report gut function: technologies and implementation. *Current opinion in microbiology*. 2021 Feb 1;59:24-33.
8. Heavey MK, Durmusoglu D, Crook N, Anselmo AC. Discovery and delivery strategies for engineered live biotherapeutic products. *Trends in biotechnology*. 2022 Mar 1;40(3):354-69. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
9. Volk MJ, Tran VG, Tan SI, Mishra S, Fatma Z, Boob A, Li H, Xue P, Martin TA, Zhao H. Metabolic engineering: methodologies and applications. *Chemical reviews*. 2022 Dec 30;123(9):5521-70. [google.com](https://www.google.com)
10. Liu J, Wang X, Dai G, Zhang Y, Bian X. Microbial chassis engineering drives heterologous production of complex secondary metabolites. *Biotechnology Advances*. 2022 Oct 1;59:107966.
11. Liu AP, Appel EA, Ashby PD, Baker BM, Franco E, Gu L, Haynes K, Joshi NS, Kloxin AM, Kouwer PH, Mittal J. The living interface between synthetic biology and biomaterial design. *Nature materials*. 2022 Apr;21(4):390-7. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)
12. English MA, Gayet RV, Collins JJ. Designing biological circuits: synthetic biology within the operon model and beyond. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*. 2021 Jun 20;90(1):221-44. [mit.edu](https://www.mit.edu)
13. Leggieri PA, Liu Y, Hayes M, Connors B, Seppälä S, O'Malley MA, Venturelli OS. Integrating systems and synthetic biology to understand and engineer microbiomes. *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering*. 2021 Jul 13;23(1):169-201. [annualreviews.org](https://www.annualreviews.org)
14. Burgos-Morales O, Gueye M, Lacombe L, Nowak C, Schmachtenberg R, Hörner M, Jerez-Longres C, Mohsenin H, Wagner HJ, Weber W. Synthetic biology as driver for the biologization of materials sciences. *Materials Today Bio*. 2021 Jun 1;11:100115. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
15. Brooks SM, Alper HS. Applications, challenges, and needs for employing synthetic biology beyond the lab. *Nature Communications*. 2021 Mar 2;12(1):1390.
16. Manduva VC. Unlocking Growth Potential at the Intersection of AI, Robotics, and Synthetic Biology. *International Journal of Modern Computing*. 2023 Dec 14;6(1):53-63.
17. Schmidt JC. Prospective technology assessment of synthetic biology: Fundamental and propaedeutic reflections to enable an early assessment. *Science and Engineering Ethics*. 2016 Aug;22:1151-70.
18. Fellizar A, Adalem BM, Vitor RJ, Gibson A, Cena-Navarro R. Engineering Designs for Biological Laboratories. In *Biosafety and Biosecurity 2024* Jul 15 (pp. 320-346). CRC Press. [\[HTML\]](#)
19. Vella G, Rescigno M. Cancer microbiota: a focus on tumor-resident bacteria. *EMBO reports*. 2025 May 28;1-7.
20. Shin YC, Than N, Min S, Shin W, Kim HJ. Modelling host-microbiome interactions in organ-on-a-chip platforms. *Nature Reviews Bioengineering*. 2024 Feb;2(2):175-91. [\[HTML\]](#)
21. Rodrigo-Navarro A, Sankaran S, Dalby MJ, del Campo A, Salmeron-Sanchez M. Engineered living biomaterials. *Nature Reviews Materials*. 2021 Dec;6(12):1175-90. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
22. Ratiner K, Ciocan D, Abdeen SK, Elinav E. Utilization of the microbiome in personalized medicine. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2024 May;22(5):291-308. [\[HTML\]](#)
23. Baiardi A, Christandl M, Reiher M. Quantum computing for molecular biology. *ChemBioChem*. 2023 Jul 3;24(13):e202300120.
24. Tan C, Xu P, Tao F. Carbon-negative synthetic biology: challenges and emerging trends of cyanobacterial technology. *Trends in Biotechnology*. 2022 Dec 1;40(12):1488-502.
25. Zhang X, Zhao C, Shao MW, Chen YL, Liu P, Chen GQ. The roadmap of bioeconomy in China. *Engineering Biology*. 2022 Dec;6(4):71-81. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
26. Irwin A, Nkengasong J. What it will take to vaccinate the world against COVID-19. *Nature*. 2021 Mar;592(7853):176-8.
27. Poli L. Chemical and Biological Weapons' Disarmament. In *International Law and Chemical, Biological, Radio-Nuclear (CBRN) Events Towards an All-Hazards Approach 2022* (pp. 396-416). BRILL. [oapen.org](https://www.oapen.org)
28. Scrivner S. Regulations & Resolutions: Does The Bwc Prevent Terrorists From Accessing Bioweapons?. *Journal of Biosecurity, Biosafety, and Biodefense Law*. 2018 Jun 26;9(1):20180006.

CITE AS: Katu Amina H. (2025). Synthetic Biology: Engineering Organisms for Health Applications. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES 6(3):100-107