

# The Future of Autonomous Vehicles: Challenges and Opportunities

Chrispus Owunyesiga

Department of Electrical Engineering Kampala International University Uganda  
chrisounyesiga@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

Autonomous Vehicles (AVs) represent a transformative leap in mobility, with implications extending across technological, legal, ethical, economic, and societal domains. This paper examines the historical trajectory, current developments, and future prospects of AVs, focusing on the complex interplay between innovation and real-world constraints. While SAE Level 3 and 4 vehicles are actively being tested, widespread adoption is hindered by unresolved issues such as regulatory ambiguity, liability dilemmas, safety concerns, inconsistent public acceptance, and infrastructure readiness. Using scenario methodology and systems analysis, the study projects a near-future (2025–2030) landscape of AV integration, underscoring the importance of addressing public trust, ethical decision-making in AV algorithms, environmental impacts, and socio-economic disruptions. By examining these interconnected facets, the paper argues that the successful transition to autonomous transportation hinges on collaborative efforts between industry, policymakers, researchers, and the public. It advocates for transparent regulation, inclusive urban planning, equitable access, and continuous reassessment of societal values in AV design and deployment.

**Keywords:** Autonomous Vehicles, Self-Driving Cars, SAE Levels, Smart Cities, AV Regulation, Ethical Algorithms, Transportation Safety.

## INTRODUCTION

The development of autonomous vehicles (AVs) is advancing rapidly in terms of software, hardware, and regulations, motivated by a wide range of opportunities. Many projects of fully autonomous vehicles (SAE level 4 in private conditions) and partially autonomous vehicles (SAE level 3 in public roads) are currently being developed and test-driven in multiple regions around the world. However, despite the great opportunities brought by this technology, many ethical, legal, social, and economic problems arise to challenge with. In addition, there is social resistance to the mass deployment of SAEs, similar to the resistance to mobile payment or cashless society decades ago. A degree of understanding is needed from society and industry to eliminate moral panic. Researchers have conducted analyses on possible positive and negative AV impacts, but existing analyses are limited to either detailed evaluation of associated societal impacts of AVs or outlooks on key facilitators and inhibitors to AVs. A hypothetical scenario of SAEs 2025 is constructed to provide a big-picture overview of the potential scenario of AVs in the year of 2025 using scenario methodology, which involves viewing the future through multiple lenses to consider what is possible, plausible, probable, preferable, or what should be done. This analysis can be seen as landscape analysis and fresh start to the future of AV research. The analysis insists on a broad view to consider not only technical dilemmas including moral algorithm and engineering safety, but socio-economic and legal implications that have not been well-studied or widely accepted by society. The future 3 to 6 years are the right time to start this work as the first batch of AVs and accompanying market change are expected around this period. It is important to have an understanding of the issues during this transition period so that more efforts can be made to tackle the key issues and ensure the smooth takeover of the new technology [1, 2].

### Historical Context of Autonomous Vehicles

The idea of an autonomous vehicle is almost as old as the automobile itself. A parade of modern self-driving cars began following the same route as the 1903 Long Island Motor Parkway Parade of steered driverless vehicles. They began in 1956 when General Motors and the New York World's Fair showcased several driverless vehicles, ranging from the Sonic Cruiser to the Futurama's own families floating down a suspended track, all guided by invisible electromagnetic or pneumatic beacons embedded in the road. In 1982, a collaborative research effort funded by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) began. The goal was to create driverless vehicles for battlefield reconnaissance and other military roles. A range of technologies for Autonomous Ground Vehicles is under development. Reminiscent of the older screen and many of the constraints introduced, the deployment of such vehicles could also be limited by contractual agreements. In the present day, most AV research is carried out by companies or consortia eager to profit from it. Research and development are poorly funded, and the personal economic incentives to achieve near-term business monetization outweigh the potential long-term global benefits of technical knowledge sharing. Governmental oversight varies dramatically. AV research is highly concentrated around fewer entities than in aviation or space, and the greater share of laboratory capabilities is proprietary or tightly restricted. The present circumstances allow technology developments to largely ignore social and ethical considerations protect loosely defined proprietary interests. The Global Positioning System (GPS) was launched to ensure the dominance of the U. S. in satellite-based navigation. The vehicle networking and software provisioning environments in which AVs will operate and there will be severe economic consequences for slowing down. Data and communications monopolies could be reverted to avoid these risks. Full sharing of AVs technology or substantial control over multi-faceted international collaborative developments could generate major indirect health, economic, or social benefits. With the avowed goal of overall vehicle safety, the intended ready goal or nearby system designs would also be collaterally beneficial for manually driven vehicles and their users [3, 4].

### Current State of Technology

An autonomous vehicle (AV) can be defined as a vehicle equipped with systems that allow it to operate without any actions or decisions by humans or drivers on-board. Road vehicles can be highly automated, meaning that they have a high prospective level of automation (LOA) or driving automation. Although there is no universal model, within ISO 26262 and all terms of transportation systems, SAE has defined six levels of automated driving from 0 to 5, where level 0 (manual driving) represents no driving automation and level 5 represents vehicles being fully capable, unconditionally, of driving by themselves. Future vehicles will be connected, shared, electric, and fully autonomous. AVs will navigate the smart roads of Smart Cities with no traffic lights and interact with intelligent road and vehicle systems for efficiency and safety. They should create a completely different driving environment. AVs are already a commercial reality. In the last years, some automotive OEMs have introduced highly automated systems. These are commercially available and have reached mass production in some cases. It is likely that, in the next future, mass production of high SAE level vehicles will be achieved, and just like in the second half of the 20th century with the real vehicle revolution, people will experience a new generation with vehicles that are very different. Society is still far from widespread adoption of fully autonomous vehicles. After more than a decade of aggressive investment and robust development, technical challenges still hinder commercial adoption of vehicles with full SAE level software. Higher levels of automation imply a consistently more complex scenario. The driving environment of such vehicles is unpredictable and difficult, extremely variable across time and each context, and must be handled with caution frameworks and certain safety measures never reached before. Global processes have to be considered with AVs and an Internet of Vehicles. Time frames need to completely rethink new transportation and urban scenarios. Moreover, with the latest initiatives from important stakeholders, the socio-political uncertainty is still huge. There are few regulated uses of the software for AVs at a wide national level. Each city and state have designed different local regulations, which leads to important inconsistencies in the application of regulations. The global paradigm is transforming rapidly. Vehicles have always had a language that society understood, and with this entity (the AV) it is really difficult to communicate with lawmakers and users. The AV will certainly change the way mankind travels; the question is how much and how long will it take [5, 6].

### Regulatory Framework

Though companies are racing to be the first to the market with fully autonomous vehicles (AVs), they will likely face challenges in varying regulations springing up across the country. While many regulations are straightforward, such as vehicle performance standards and driver licensing and testing,

the challenge of liability and insurance regulations remains ambiguous. States currently regulate vehicle insurance and liability, which would need adjustments before driverless technology trickles down to the consumer level. Under the traditional liability model in the case of an accident, the manufacturer may be liable for design defect analysis, while the driver may be liable for negligent performance analysis. These rules would need to be redone because, when a vehicle is fully automated and operating autonomously, there may be no driver. Thus liability falls on the manufacturer alone. Additionally, laws regarding car insurance would need to change under this model. In a 100% AV market, vehicle ownership would not be the goal. Instead, it may make more sense for passengers to subscribe to an automated vehicle service, similar to how rideshare companies operate today. This raises questions about how liability and insurance standards would work in such circumstances. Liability changes significantly depending on who insures the AV. Many manufacturers of AVs may insert strict liability waiver clauses into their contracts, meaning that legal liability transfers 100% to the consumer, allowing them to take full responsibility for their vehicle. At the state level, the implications of self-driving vehicles will need to be explored further. Just as states have zones for ride-sharing pickup and drop-off spots, AV services will require similar zones. How infrastructure facilities that supply energy, maintain, and service vehicles can be used under the on-demand model is another unknown. Though the biggest regulatory hurdles may lie ahead, many states are already beginning to allow on-demand self-driving vehicle service areas in zones away from busy roadways. As technology continues to develop, with many states testing vehicles and cities and companies vying to be the first to market with an AV brand, regulation will likely mirror that of rideshare companies. As AVs arrive, regulation will be adjusted to meet safety and health concerns while allowing ample space for innovation [7, 8].

#### **Safety and Risk Management**

The emergence of fully autonomous vehicles (AVs) brings about issues of safety and risk that have yet to be adequately addressed. AVs should provide safety far greater than that of human drivers. However, many people are concerned about the safety of AVs, particularly the fully autonomous vehicles that have yet to enter mainstream use. Many believe that it would be hard to teach an AV all the general knowledge about driving acquired over years by humans. AVs generally operate according to tiny probabilities of event occurrence, using algorithms to weigh the utility of various actions. However, safety cannot be probabilistic but must be thoroughly communicated in a binary state. Companies have therefore chosen to oppose the sharing of the information to the public that is necessary to support the sitting of AVs. To clarify the assumed driving conditions and the scope of a fully autonomous vehicle's operational testing, many companies employ the SAE levels of driving automation. At SAE Level 2 (partial automation), both the AV and the driver monitor the environment (control the vehicle). At Level 2, the AV should have more complex and costly system redundancies for safe operation, but human drivers still have to conduct the drive and monitor the driving environment. Any safety-related notifications, including those concerning a failure in system functions, can originate from the AV or the environment. However, people often control the system incorrectly, particularly at Level 2. Autonomous driving cannot be achieved until human assistance to driving and monitoring are no longer needed. At Levels 3 and 4, the fully autonomous vehicle becomes a legal driver. List can be broken into items to identify positive and negative perceptions; fully AVs will provide adequate safety to obtain wide public acceptance in the near future in most places globally. At Level 5, the AV must perform successfully and at no risk of accident across the universe of conditions; it must be able to communicate its status and reasoning to all road users in real time [9, 10].

#### **Public Perception and Acceptance**

Public acceptance is vital for the widespread adoption of autonomous vehicles (AVs); without it, the implementation of this technology is unlikely. Media coverage plays a crucial role in shaping public perceptions, with both positive and negative portrayals affecting attitudes toward AVs. Proactive coverage by manufacturers and industry stakeholders can help shape public opinion, while studies utilizing social media to predict the rise or fall of technology encourage stakeholder participation. Investigating AV news articles through the lens of institutions creates a better understanding of public concern and spurs improvement in public outreach efforts. Public acceptance of AV technology is critical to its successful implementation. AVs can improve efficiency and road safety, but AV road testing increases vibration and trouble spots, causing queues to grow over public crash tolerance levels. Authorities devise new methods and rules based on public perception and expectations. VISSIM- embedded roadway visibility is simulated with inadequately designed left turn and right turn intersections. Furthermore, a concept system for evaluating average per-road-user level is presented to analyse roadway visibility, while a novel self-driving taxi level swarm system is developed to approach real driving and traffic environments, simulating a region with users needing transport on random roads. Links between

intersection design, fluctuation, and user behaviour are probed and emphasized, facilitating recognition of trouble spots and maintaining a full understanding of AV and user response characteristics through real-time measurement. Research suggests that AV perceptions, travelling behaviour, and end-user applications differ across countries and contribute to public acceptance. Such differences are paramount to understanding the influences on attitudes toward AVs across nations and, consequently, how to rise acceptance. New measurement scales on the theory of planned behaviour framework are specified and validated, focusing on the model's robustness. Notable differences highlight the influence of data privacy concern on intention, while various social norms shape AV acceptance in different countries. IT firms and local governments extensively promote AVs, while AV manufacturers are key actors in the US and China, and consumers support the broader scope of AVs [11, 12].

#### **Economic Implications**

Autonomous vehicles (AVs) promise significant economic impacts across various sectors. At this early stage, many variables are unknown, but estimates suggest AVs could increase GDP by \$7 trillion by 2050. The impact will not be immediate as AVs are put into use incrementally over the next 20 years. AVs will undergo gradual adoption, as regulation and policy will have to keep pace with technological growth and maturity. A successful transition will yield many positive economic impacts. Emission levels could be reduced as journeys become more efficient, and by reducing congestion, as AVs communicate with one another to adjust speed or may allow one to exit quicker as ramp congestion reduces on a freeway, allowing all cars to speed up. The transition to AVs will not be instantaneous or easy. Current car manufacturer business models, as well as insurance and infrastructure sector models, are radically different from those needed to successfully integrate AVs. Costs will need to be absorbed while a user-based fee structure, subscription service or other opportunities are developed. In this time of uncertainty, investment should be focused on improved driver systems as well as steering-wheel- and pedal-less vehicles both. Other electric vehicle and rideshare efforts may see broader margins or less-competitive markets, and investments here could help rebalance portfolios while AV markets mature. While AVs promise significant benefits, there are several economic implications and limitations that will need addressing before they can be successfully integrated into the existing status quo. Roadbots, roadbuilding robots, will need to stand up next to AIs for AVs to understand what the other generally ignores. Slower buses last. Will they be forced onto express bus lanes? How much bus mileage is urban-transit-specific, and how much bus mileage is directly replishable by others? A passenger car at 120 mph exits at a 30-degree curve, but AV bus speeds at curves and ratios of road networks become limiting variables. What will bus metrics for size, weight, speed, weight ratios, travel times, distances, visual fields, timing and routing characteristics look like next to vehicles that scan for even minor variations in edge curvature? It does not yet exist, yet it may help define impossible-to-trust vehicle vehicle-to-vehicle models bordering on fantasy [13, 14].

#### **Environmental Impact**

The deployment of Connected and Automated Vehicles (CAVs) is set to transform transportation, yet assessing their environmental and sustainability impacts poses challenges. This study provides a systematic review of CAV sustainability literature, detailing vehicle categorization and exploring energy, GHG emissions, land use, and other environmental effects throughout their lifecycle. It also reviews relevant policies and research needs. Over the last decade, numerous studies have investigated the potential environmental impacts of CAVs, examining their sustainability and connectivity. Despite some research on systems-level implications, understanding the broader environmental effects and forecasting production, post-consumption, and energy implications remains limited. Emerging frameworks for high-occupancy Shared Automated Vehicles (SAVs) offer insights into sustainable management and operations but lack substantial quantitative analyses. CAV-related sustainability can be viewed through direct and untapped energy uses, corrective policies, and land use consequences, with trip data analysis and ride-matching approaches addressed. Cybersecurity and privacy issues are critical for large-scale cooperation, necessitating further data protection measures. This study initiates an evaluation of high-occupancy SAV fleets in cities likely to adopt these operational models. There's a demand for research on policy advantages, scalability, and behavioral implications of CAVs. Consideration of how AVs affect travel patterns, prevalence, and distributional impacts is vital, alongside a discussion on the effects of AV conveniences on vehicle-kilometer travel numbers (VKT) [15, 16].

#### **Ethical Considerations**

Ethical issues regarding automated vehicles are increasingly significant due to notable accidents, necessitating public action and government policies. The Federal Automated Vehicles Policy prioritizes roadway safety and emphasizes system safety across five domains. This safety is based on a safe state

concept, sparking ethical questions about decision-making algorithms in automated vehicles (AVs). Concerns focus on the choices AVs can make, the values influencing these choices, and fairness in their application. Public concern includes the trolley problem, where an AV must choose between harming different individuals; while it could avoid harming others by swerving off the road, this poses greater risk to its occupants. Ethical evaluations may involve utilizing virtual reality to address these dilemmas. The impact of AVs extends beyond traffic safety and energy consumption to potential changes in personal and social life with their widespread adoption. Such changes may affect social systems through technical or regulatory processes, leading to value-laden decisions regarding distribution and regulation that inherently carry ethical implications. Immediate explorations include the ethical and safety standards AV manufacturers should implement. Addressing these ethical dilemmas helps clarify the decision-making role of AVs and the necessity for ethical deliberation [17, 18].

#### **Future Trends**

The transportation sector is poised for a revolution characterized by fully autonomous, connected, shared, and electric vehicles. Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) must partner with tech firms and universities, as mass production of SAE level 4 autonomous vehicles is anticipated soon. The rollout of Autonomous Vehicles (AVs) is expected to improve road safety by eliminating human drivers, employing advanced tech for dynamics, perception, and control, though safety concepts still face limitations. A contingency network will be crucial as AV use increases. While optimally operating AVs could significantly reduce traffic accidents, they might also lead to a more uniform and complex distribution of accidents. Anticipated improvements in road traffic efficiency will likely raise vehicle-kilometers traveled, encouraging more households to consider vehicle ownership and fleet expansions. Government policies should endorse shared AV systems and Mobility as a Service to curb congestion and pollution while effectively managing parking. The traditional auto industry faces a shift in responsibility due to automation, impacting manufacturers and startups involved in data cloud management. Job losses are expected in the mobility sector, though new roles may emerge in data processing and communication. Addressing safety, liability, and privacy issues is essential. Urban sprawl risks increase with lower transportation costs; thus, land use policies must protect green spaces. Tax strategies should optimize revenue, including incentives for eco-friendly vehicles. Ethical issues concerning AV behavior in safety scenarios must be clarified, and legislation will be critical for broader acceptance. Improved infrastructure and ethical guidelines will enhance mobility and manage congestion. Traditional data analysis methods are inadequate; updated frameworks for data handling are essential. Furthermore, AVs will influence not just ground traffic but data traffic, signifying a global paradigm shift [19, 20].

#### **Challenges Ahead**

The commercialization of fully autonomous vehicles (AVs) is just around the corner and they could begin to appear in the cities of Europe by 2025. Certain features of AVs are becoming available today, including lane-centering and self-parking features. Nevertheless, the leaps that AV technology and related services will bring imply not only challenges and opportunities for the transport and automotive industries, technology firms, and society as a whole, but also a temporary bubble where overhype and inflated expectations will probably arise. Many of the changes on the horizon are not new, and firms across the mobility ecosystem are developing various degrees of strategies to address them. A fleet of fully autonomous level 5 personal vehicles owned by city residents is one possible representation of the AV future. Individuals can use their vehicles to complete carrying out other activities by programming them to drive children to school or go shopping. The AV will select the best route given pedestrians, roads, congestion, and traffic systems, communicate with them via vehicle-to-everything technology, and choose a car-sharing service. The likelihood of vehicular accidents would become very low with the concurrent implementation of V2X technology in a context of fully autonomous driving. However, it is estimated that at least one serious accident per mile driven will still occur due to system failures, for instance, related to internet lockups or sensor problems. Multiple AV interconnections will also alter traffic flows, making them smoother and allowing a more effective and efficient use of infrastructures. Systematic guarantees of human-centred safety are requested for their deployment in close HD, because they implicate major changes in current traffic and transport systems [21, 22].

#### **Opportunities for Growth**

Self-driving vehicles provide opportunities to improve safety, lower transportation costs, reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gases, and enable new mobility options. By safely can drive themselves in taxi, bus or personal modes, AVs are expected to increase the use of public transportation. AV technologies can more efficiently and safely operate logistics vehicles such as buses, trucks and delivery vans, enabling mobility services for all abilities and addressing the last mile problem. The trucking

industry is struggling to find enough drivers to deliver goods and AV trucks could help fill gaps in urban and traditionally more difficult areas. Several states are trucking AV testing. This focus will only get stronger as the results of AV trucking fleets are realized and regulations can be put in place with safety required standards. As these programs become operational and pilot programs moving parcels grow, regulatory attention, both external and governmental, is critical. Representing a larger systemic shift of automobility, AVs vehicles provided opportunities for cross-agency cooperation and regulation. Providing the definition of robotization, AVs are a use case that can fundamentally change mobility and mobility-related markets. By reconstructing market assumptions from merely technological development to institutional norms, robotization is not simply a technological change but the emergence of a whole new economic, political and social order. During this transition, where mobility-as-a-service is an issue that fundamentally changes existing regulatory regimes and some business models; where safety regulation suffocates new business models as well. There is a potential opportunity to foster a new market, as automation extends to low-density suburban and per-urban areas where ownership, accessibility and regulation become new issues [23, 24].

#### Case Studies

The essay discusses a recent collection of case studies on automated driving aimed at researchers, government officials, and civil society. It examines the societal and policy implications of automated vehicles, with a focus on mobility impacts. Key questions include the desirability of shared automated vehicles, how policymakers can manage offline neighborhoods, the conversion of mobile data from these vehicles into public goods, and the long-term adoption of this technology in Europe. The second essay highlights a report on the future of transportation, warning of the disruptive nature of self-driving vehicles by 2025, along with security risks related to hacking of autonomous vehicles. Legal, ethical, and equity challenges will affect public perception, particularly regarding the potential biases in autonomous vehicle behavior. The report notes concerns over cybersecurity and the risks from connected vehicles, as well as challenges in the transport sector. It mentions the potential for autonomous vehicles to disproportionately affect minority populations and legal implications surrounding reckless driving in autonomous scenarios. Additionally, manufacturers are planning to launch vehicles without traditional controls, aiming for levels of autonomy above 4-5. The trucking industry faces fears of driver shortages amid the increasing capability of autonomous systems [25, 26].

#### CONCLUSION

Autonomous vehicles stand at the intersection of cutting-edge technology and societal transformation, offering both immense promise and formidable challenges. Their integration into modern transport systems is not merely a matter of engineering, but one of policy, ethics, public trust, and infrastructural evolution. This paper has illustrated that while the technical roadmap for AVs is rapidly progressing, success will ultimately depend on resolving pressing issues ranging from legal liability and regulatory consistency to safety assurance, data governance, and social equity. Public acceptance remains a critical factor, shaped by cultural values, media influence, and trust in institutions. To fully realize the benefits of AVs including improved road safety, environmental sustainability, and enhanced urban mobility a multidisciplinary, collaborative approach is essential. Policymakers must act proactively to build adaptive legal frameworks; industries must prioritize transparency and inclusivity in design; and societies must engage in continuous ethical discourse. The next 5–10 years represent a pivotal window to shape the AV revolution into one that is not only innovative but also equitable, safe, and socially responsive.

#### REFERENCES

1. Bachorek A, Jung J. Establishing virtual test-driven development environments in the automotive domain: A continuous engineering approach. In 2023 IEEE/ACM 11th International Workshop on Software Engineering for Systems-of-Systems and Software Ecosystems (SESoS) 2023 May 14 (pp. 54–57). IEEE. [HTML]
2. Szalay Z. Next generation X-in-the-loop validation methodology for automated vehicle systems. IEEE Access. 2021 Feb 24;9:35616–32.
3. Zandiatashbar A, Hamidi S. Transportation amenities and high-tech firm location: An empirical study of high-tech clusters. Transportation Research Record. 2021 Dec;2675(12):820–31. [HTML]
4. Maheshwari A, Sells BE, Harrington S, DeLaurentis D, Crossley W. Evaluating impact of operational limits by estimating potential uam trips in an urban area. In AIAA Aviation 2021 Forum 2021 (p. 3174). [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)

5. Toghi B, Valiente R, Sadigh D, Pedarsani R, Fallah YP. Cooperative autonomous vehicles that sympathize with human drivers. In 2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS) 2021 Sep 27 (pp. 4517-4524). IEEE. [\[PDF\]](#)
6. Wu J, Huang Z, Huang C, Hu Z, Hang P, Xing Y, Lv C. Human-in-the-loop deep reinforcement learning with application to autonomous driving. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.07246. 2021 Apr 15.
7. Schepis D, Purchase S, Olaru D, Smith B, Ellis N. How governments influence autonomous vehicle (AV) innovation. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*. 2023 Dec 1;178:103874. [sciencedirect.com](#)
8. Koopman P, Widen WH. A reasonable driver standard for automated vehicle safety. In International Conference on Computer Safety, Reliability, and Security 2023 Sep 14 (pp. 355-361). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. [ssrn.com](#)
9. Giannaros A, Karras A, Theodorakopoulos L, Karras C, Kranias P, Schizas N, Kalogeratos G, Tsolis D. Autonomous vehicles: Sophisticated attacks, safety issues, challenges, open topics, blockchain, and future directions. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*. 2023 Aug 5;3(3):493-543. [mdpi.com](#)
10. Ahmed HU, Huang Y, Lu P, Bridgelall R. Technology developments and impacts of connected and autonomous vehicles: An overview. *Smart Cities*. 2022 Mar 17;5(1):382-404.
11. Nordhoff S. Resistance towards autonomous vehicles (AVs). *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives*. 2024 Jul 1;26:101117.
12. Yuen KF, Chua G, Wang X, Ma F, Li KX. Understanding public acceptance of autonomous vehicles using the theory of planned behaviour. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2020 Jun;17(12):4419.
13. Ansariyar A. A Comprehensive Literature Review of Connected and Autonomous Vehicles (Cavs) Impacts On Mobility and Environment. Available at SSRN 4433637. 2023. [ssrn.com](#)
14. Razavi N, Sierpinski G. An attempt to determine the impact of the implementation of autonomous vehicles on a larger scale on the planning of city transport systems. *Journal of Sustainable Development of Transport and Logistics*. 2024;9. [icm.edu.pl](#)
15. Taiebat M, Brown AL, Safford HR, Qu S, Xu M. A review on energy, environmental, and sustainability implications of connected and automated vehicles. *Environmental science & technology*. 2018 Sep 7;52(20):11449-65.
16. Martínez-Díaz M, Soriguera F, Pérez I. Autonomous driving: a bird's eye view. *IET intelligent transport systems*. 2019 Apr;13(4):563-79.
17. Holstein T, Dodig-Crnkovic G. Avoiding the intrinsic unfairness of the trolley problem. In Proceedings of the international workshop on software fairness 2018 May 29 (pp. 32-37).
18. Epting S. Transportation planning for automated vehicles—or automated vehicles for transportation planning?. *Essays in Philosophy*. 2019 Oct 1;20(2):189-205.
19. Zhang L, Peng Z, Li Q, Zhou B. Cat: Closed-loop adversarial training for safe end-to-end driving. In Conference on Robot Learning 2023 Dec 2 (pp. 2357-2372). PMLR. [mlr.press](#)
20. Khan SK, Shiwakoti N, Stasinopoulos P, Warren M. Cybersecurity regulatory challenges for connected and automated vehicles—State-of-the-art and future directions. *Transport policy*. 2023 Nov 1;143:58-71.
21. Li X, Chen Z, Zhang JM, Sarro F, Zhang Y, Liu X. Bias behind the wheel: Fairness testing of autonomous driving systems. *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology*. 2025 Mar 1;34(3):1-24. [acm.org](#)
22. Aasvik O, Hagenzieker M, Ulleberg P, Bjørnskau T. How testing impacts willingness to use and share autonomous shuttles with strangers: the mediating effects of trust and optimism. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*. 2025 Mar 19;41(6):3783-98. [tandfonline.com](#)
23. Hansson SO, Belin MÅ, Lundgren B. Self-driving vehicles—an ethical overview. *Philosophy & Technology*. 2021 Dec;34(4):1383-408.
24. Freemark Y, Stacy C, Fiol O, Morales-Burnett J, Weng S. Regulations to respond to the potential benefits and perils of self-driving cars. *Research Report of Urban Institute*. 2022 Sep 22:1-78. [issuelab.org](#)
25. Carpanzano E, Knüttel D. Advances in artificial intelligence methods applications in industrial control systems: Towards cognitive self-optimizing manufacturing systems. *Applied sciences*. 2022 Oct 29;12(21):10962.

26. Parekh D, Poddar N, Rajpurkar A, Chahal M, Kumar N, Joshi GP, Cho W. A review on autonomous vehicles: Progress, methods and challenges. *Electronics*. 2022 Jul 11;11(14):2162. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics11142162)

**CITE AS: Chrispus Owunyesiga (2025). The Future of Autonomous Vehicles: Challenges and Opportunities. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING, 5(2):38-45**