

Gender Differences in Cancer-Related Stigma and Health-Seeking Behavior: Societal Expectations, Roles, and Disclosure Patterns

Abaho Areeba Fortunate

Department of Pharmacy Kampala International University Uganda
Email address: fortunate.abaho@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Cancer-related stigma remains a major barrier to early detection, timely treatment, and quality of life among patients. This review investigates how societal expectations and gender roles shape differences in cancer-related stigma and health-seeking behavior. Evidence suggests that men and women experience stigma differently due to cultural norms, expectations of masculinity and femininity, and variations in caregiving and disclosure practices. Men often delay seeking medical care due to ideals of toughness and self-reliance, while women may encounter heightened stigma related to reproductive and body-image concerns, particularly in breast and cervical cancers. Disclosure patterns also differ, with women more likely to seek social support but at times facing judgment, while men often conceal their diagnosis to maintain social status. Addressing these gendered differences is essential for designing culturally sensitive interventions, reducing stigma, and improving cancer outcomes globally.

Keywords: gender differences, cancer stigma, health-seeking behavior, disclosure, societal expectations, cultural norms

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, with millions of new cases diagnosed each year. Beyond its biomedical implications, cancer carries profound psychosocial consequences, particularly in the form of stigma [1]. Cancer-related stigma often manifests as fear, blame, discrimination, and social isolation, which collectively hinder early detection, discourage timely health-seeking, and compromise quality of life. Stigma may be rooted in misconceptions about the disease being contagious, fatalistic beliefs about its incurability, or moral judgments tied to lifestyle and reproductive health [2].

A growing body of research highlights that gender is a critical lens through which cancer-related stigma must be understood. Societal norms dictate distinct roles, expectations, and coping strategies for men and women, which in turn shape their cancer experiences. For men, ideals of toughness, stoicism, and self-reliance often discourage disclosure and delay medical help-seeking [3]. By contrast, women face stigma that is frequently intertwined with body image, sexuality, and reproductive roles, particularly in conditions such as breast and cervical cancer. Women may disclose more readily to seek social support, but disclosure may also expose them to judgment, blame, or diminished social value [4]. These gendered differences not only influence personal coping but also shape community perceptions and healthcare system engagement.

Despite growing recognition of cancer-related stigma as a barrier to effective care, limited attention has been paid to how such stigma is gendered. Global and regional cancer control strategies often adopt a uniform approach, neglecting the nuanced ways men and women experience stigma and navigate health systems [5]. This oversight perpetuates inequalities in health-seeking behavior, disclosure patterns, and psychosocial outcomes. Men frequently present with advanced-stage disease due to delayed care-seeking, while women's willingness to disclose may paradoxically expose them to greater psychosocial stress. Failure to address these gender-specific dynamics undermines the effectiveness of awareness campaigns, screening programs, and support interventions [6]. There is therefore a pressing need to explore how gender differences shape stigma and health-seeking behavior to inform more responsive cancer control policies. This study aims to investigate the influence of gender on cancer-related experiences, focusing on stigma, health-seeking behavior, disclosure patterns, and culturally sensitive interventions.

Specifically, it examines how societal expectations and gender roles shape stigma among men and women, explores differences in how male and female patients approach cancer diagnosis and treatment, and analyzes disclosure practices and their effects on social support networks. The research also seeks to identify strategies for designing gender-responsive interventions that can reduce stigma and improve cancer outcomes. Key questions guiding the study include understanding the role of societal norms in shaping stigma, the behavioral differences in health-seeking between men and women, the impact of disclosure practices on support systems, and effective approaches for gender-sensitive care. The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform policies and programs that address gender-specific barriers, enhance early diagnosis and treatment adherence, and create supportive healthcare environments. By highlighting gendered differences in stigma and disclosure, the research contributes to promoting equity and improving cancer care outcomes across diverse populations.

Understanding Cancer-Related Stigma

Understanding cancer-related stigma requires an appreciation of its complex social, cultural, and psychological dimensions. Stigma is a socially constructed phenomenon in which individuals with cancer are discredited, marginalized, or discriminated against based on their diagnosis, often resulting in profound personal and social consequences [7]. This form of stigma is frequently fueled by misconceptions about cancer, including beliefs that it is contagious, a form of divine punishment, or inevitably fatal. Cultural taboos surrounding illness and bodily changes further exacerbate negative perceptions, creating an environment in which patients may feel isolated or judged [5]. Cancer stigma manifests in multiple ways: self-stigma involves the internalization of shame, guilt, or fear, which can lead to withdrawal from social networks and reluctance to seek care; perceived stigma refers to the anticipation of negative judgment from others, influencing disclosure and health-seeking behaviors; and enacted stigma involves tangible experiences of discrimination, exclusion, or differential treatment in social, workplace, or healthcare settings [8]. Gender norms and roles further shape these experiences, with women often facing additional layers of stigma related to body image, fertility, and caregiving responsibilities, while men may encounter social pressures to conceal vulnerability. Addressing cancer-related stigma therefore requires culturally sensitive interventions, community education, and supportive healthcare practices that empower patients and reduce discrimination [9].

Gender Norms and Health-Seeking Behavior

Gender norms profoundly shape health-seeking behaviors and influence how individuals respond to illness, particularly cancer, across diverse cultural contexts. For men, socialization often emphasizes resilience, independence, and stoicism, creating psychological barriers to acknowledging vulnerability or seeking timely medical care [10]. This cultural expectation can result in delayed help-seeking, contributing to later-stage cancer diagnoses and poorer prognoses. Cancers specific to men, such as prostate and testicular cancer, are further stigmatized due to associations with sexual function, fertility, and traditional ideals of masculinity, discouraging disclosure and hindering early detection efforts. In contrast, women experience stigma that is often linked to their socially prescribed roles as caregivers and nurturers. Illness may be perceived as a failure to fulfill familial or societal responsibilities, and cancers such as breast and cervical cancer carry additional concerns related to body image, sexuality, and reproductive health [11]. These concerns can provoke fear of marital strain, abandonment, or social exclusion. Despite these pressures, women are generally more proactive in seeking medical care and more willing to disclose health concerns, though this openness can expose them to community judgment, gossip, or social scrutiny. Consequently, gender-sensitive interventions are essential to address these norms, reduce stigma, and promote equitable access to cancer prevention, screening, and treatment [12].

Disclosure Patterns and Social Support

Gender differences significantly influence disclosure patterns and the type and extent of social support individuals receive following a health diagnosis. Men often exhibit reluctance to disclose their condition, driven by concerns about appearing vulnerable, losing social or professional authority, or encountering stigma and discrimination in workplace settings [13]. This tendency toward concealment can limit their access to emotional support, practical assistance, and shared coping mechanisms, potentially exacerbating psychological stress and negatively affecting treatment adherence and overall well-being. Conversely, women are generally more inclined to share their health status with family members, friends, and close social networks [14]. This openness often facilitates emotional encouragement, practical caregiving support, and community engagement, which can enhance coping capacity and adherence to treatment regimens. However, disclosure for women is not without challenges; societal expectations regarding femininity, reproductive health, and social roles may subject them to judgment, gossip, or subtle discrimination, potentially undermining their confidence and mental health. Understanding these gendered disclosure patterns is essential for designing targeted interventions, fostering supportive environments, and developing counseling strategies that address the unique psychosocial needs of men and women, ultimately improving health outcomes and quality of life [15].

Cultural Context and Intersectionality

Cultural context plays a critical role in shaping the intersection between gender, cancer stigma, and health-seeking behavior, as societal norms and values influence how individuals perceive illness and navigate care. In collectivist societies, where family and community cohesion are highly valued, decisions about disclosure, treatment, and caregiving are often mediated by family dynamics and communal expectations. Women, in particular, may experience heightened stigma if a cancer diagnosis is perceived as reflecting on the family's honor or social standing, which can delay screening, diagnosis, and adherence to treatment [16]. In contrast, individualist cultures tend to emphasize personal autonomy and self-determination, allowing individuals especially women to make health decisions independently, though social support networks may be less pronounced. The interplay of intersectional factors further complicates these experiences: socioeconomic status affects access to healthcare and information, education shapes understanding of disease and available interventions, religious beliefs can either facilitate or hinder engagement with medical services, and rural-urban disparities influence availability of diagnostic and treatment facilities. Together, these intersecting factors create nuanced and highly context-specific experiences of gendered health inequities, highlighting the importance of culturally sensitive, equity-focused interventions that address both structural barriers and social norms in cancer care [17].

Implications for Cancer Control and Policy

Addressing gendered differences in cancer-related stigma has profound implications for cancer control and policy development. Awareness campaigns play a pivotal role in challenging harmful gender norms and dispelling myths that perpetuate fear, discrimination, and delayed healthcare-seeking behaviors [18]. These campaigns must be culturally sensitive and tailored to target both men and women, emphasizing the importance of early detection, treatment adherence, and supportive care. Equally important is the provision of gender-sensitive health services that create safe, non-judgmental spaces where individuals can seek care, ask questions, and receive treatment without fear of social reprisal or bias. Psycho-oncology support programs further enhance patient outcomes by addressing the emotional and psychological burdens associated with cancer, offering counseling, peer support, and coping strategies designed for gender-specific experiences [19]. Community-based interventions complement these efforts by engaging men and women differently, fostering dialogue, reducing stigma, and promoting disclosure in ways that respect cultural norms while encouraging proactive health behaviors. Finally, integrating gender analysis into policy ensures that cancer control strategies are equitable, comprehensive, and responsive to the unique needs of both sexes, ultimately improving access to care, treatment adherence, and overall health outcomes across diverse populations.

Future Directions and Research Gaps

Future directions in research on gendered stigma in healthcare must address several critical gaps to inform more effective and equitable interventions. Comparative studies across different regions are essential to capture cultural variations in how gender influences stigma, enabling context-specific strategies that are sensitive to local norms and social dynamics [20]. In addition, men's reluctance to disclose illness or seek care remains a substantially underexplored area, and focused research is needed to understand the psychological, social, and cultural factors contributing to this hesitancy. Investigating intersectional influences such as the interplay between gender, poverty, age, and religion can further illuminate how multiple social determinants compound stigma, allowing the design of nuanced interventions that consider the full spectrum of barriers individuals face. Moreover, the rapid expansion of digital health platforms and telemedicine presents a promising avenue for overcoming stigma-related obstacles. These technologies can provide confidential, accessible channels for seeking information, screening, and treatment, particularly in communities where in-person disclosure carries social risk [21]. Addressing these research gaps will strengthen evidence-based approaches, enhance inclusivity, and support the development of targeted, culturally competent strategies to reduce stigma and improve health outcomes across diverse populations.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this review underscores the profound influence of gender on cancer-related stigma and health-seeking behavior, highlighting the need for nuanced, culturally sensitive approaches to cancer care. Men and women experience stigma differently due to societal expectations, gender norms, and culturally prescribed roles, which shape disclosure patterns, access to social support, and engagement with healthcare services. Men's reluctance to seek care and disclose illness often delays diagnosis and treatment, while women, despite being more likely to disclose, may face heightened scrutiny and psychosocial stress. Intersectional factors such as socioeconomic status, age, religion, and geographic location further compound these disparities, emphasizing that one-size-fits-all interventions are insufficient. Future strategies must integrate gender-responsive policies, community-based programs, psycho-oncological support, and digital health innovations to address stigma, enhance access, and promote equitable outcomes. By recognizing and addressing these gendered differences, health systems can improve early detection, treatment adherence, and quality of life, ultimately fostering more inclusive and effective cancer control across diverse populations.

REFERENCES

1. Sharon, B.A., Nakaganda, A., Fadhil, G., June, M., Anecho, E., Aniku, G., et al: Cancer patterns in Arua district, Uganda: a hospital-based retrospective study, <http://ecancer.org/en/journal/article/1688-cancer-patterns-in-arua-district-uganda-a-hospital-based-retrospective-study>
2. Ugwu OPC, Anyanwu CN, Alum EU, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE, Awafung EA. (2024). CRISPR-Cas9 Mediated Gene Editing for Targeted Cancer Therapy: Mechanisms, Challenges, and Clinical Applications. *Newport International Journal Of Biological And Applied Sciences*, 5(1):97-102. <https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJBAS/2024/5.1.9297102>
3. Ongtengco, N., Thiam, H., Collins, Z., De Jesus, E.L., Peterson, C.E., Wang, T., et al.: Role of gender in perspectives of discrimination, stigma, and attitudes relative to cervical cancer in rural Sénégal. *PLoS One*. 15, e0232291 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232291>
4. Alum EU, Uti DE, Obeagu EI, Ugwu OPC, Alum BN. Cancer's Psychosocial Aspects: Impact on Patient Outcomes. *Elite Journal of Medicine*, 2024; 2(6): 32-42.
5. Oystacher, T., Blasco, D., He, E., Huang, D., Shear, R., McGoldrick, D., et al: Understanding stigma as a barrier to accessing cancer treatment in South Africa: implications for public health campaigns. *Pan Afr Med J*. 29, 73 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2018.29.73.14399>
6. Emmanuel, N. T., Yuwon, N B., Egba, S. I., Ndohnu, N. N. (2012) Prevalence, associated risk factors and methods of diagnosing cervical cancer in two hospitals in Yaounde, Cameroon. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Pharmaceutical and Biosciences*, 3(1): 55-59
7. Johnson, S.E., Samson, M.: Cancer stigma: the need for policy and programmatic action. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr*. 2024, 45–50 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jncimonographs/lgae014>
8. Zakari, S., Cleanclay, W.D., Bella-Omunagbe, M., Zakari, H., Ogbu, C.O., Uti, D.E., et al: The role of SRC-3 in prostate cancer progression and implications for therapeutic targeting: A systematic review. *J Pharm Pharmacogn Res*. 12, 994–1007 (2024). https://doi.org/10.56499/jppres23.1916_12.5.994
9. Ouahid, H., Sebbani, M., Cherkaoui, M., Amine, M., Adarmouch, L.: The influence of gender norms on women's sexual and reproductive health outcomes: a systematic review. *BMC Women's Health*. 25, 224 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-025-03768-2>
10. Cislighi, B., Heise, L.: Gender norms and social norms: differences, similarities and why they matter in prevention science. *Sociol Health Illn*. 42, 407–422 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.13008>
11. Mohite, P., Yadav, V., Pandhare, R., Maitra, S., Saleh, F. M., Saleem, R. M., Al-malky, H. S., Uti, D. E. (2024). Revolutionizing Cancer Treatment: Unleashing the Power of Viral Vaccines, Monoclonal Antibodies, and Proteolysis-Targeting Chimeras in the New Era of Immunotherapy. *ACS Omega*, 9(7), 7277–7295. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c06501>
12. Shawon, M.S.R., Hossain, F.B., Ahmed, R., Poly, I.J., Hasan, M., Rahman, M.R.: Role of women empowerment on mental health problems and care-seeking behavior among married women in Nepal: secondary analysis of nationally representative data. *Arch Womens Ment Health*. 27, 527–536 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-024-01433-5>
13. Manar M, Elnaem M. H, Ejaz C., Ismail I., Jamalludin Ab R, Ahlam N K, How S H (2021). Cancer-associated thrombosis: a clinical scoping review of the risk assessment models across solid tumours and haematological malignancies. *International Journal of General Medicine*, 3881-3897.
14. Mulisya O, Sikakulya F. K, Mastaki M, Gertrude T, Mathe J, (2020). The challenges of managing ovarian cancer in the developing world. *Case Reports in Oncological Medicine*, 2020, (1), 8379628. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8379628>.
15. Prizeman, K., McCabe, C., Weinstein, N.: Stigma and its impact on disclosure and mental health secrecy in young people with clinical depression symptoms: A qualitative analysis. *PLoS One*. 19, e0296221 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0296221>
16. Slamet W, Alkausyari A, Khayan K, Ahmad A (2024). A Cross-Sectional Study on the Knowledge and Awareness of Lung Cancer and Screening in Jazan Region, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 3975-3976. <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3936-1893>
17. Jia, J., Jia, C., Zhang, X., Ren, P., Chen, M., Xu, J.: The impact of internet medical service on rural gender inequality in health opportunity: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 24, 3093 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-20575-w>
18. Umana U. E, Ahunna C. O, Timbuak J. A, Ibegbu A. O, Musa S. A, Hamman W. O (2013). Dermatoglyphics and cheiloscopy patterns in cancer patients; A study in Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital (ABUTH), Zaria, Nigeria. *Current research journal of biology sciences*, 5, (5), 220-225.

19. Aisha Y. H., Marwa T. S., Moshira A E., Shaimaa I E. (2020). Anticancer Activity Screening of a Series of Imidazo [2, 1-c][1, 2, 4] triazolone and Imidazo [1, 2-b][1, 2, 4] triazolone Derivatives Synthesized Under Solvent Free Conditions. *ChemistrySelect*, 5, (15), 4755-4760. <https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201904624>
20. Kavira V, Archibong V, Ayuba J T, Ibe M U, Leevan T, Asiphas O, Joseph N (2023). Factors Associated with the Uptake OF Cervical Cancer Screening Among HIV-Positive Women Attending Immune Suppressed Syndrome Clinic at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital. *Reserach square*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3110544/v1>
21. Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Singh, R.P., Suman, R.: Telemedicine for healthcare: Capabilities, features, barriers, and applications. *Sens Int.* 2, 100117 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sintl.2021.100117>

CITE AS: Abaho Areeba Fortunate (2025). Gender Differences in Cancer-Related Stigma and Health-Seeking Behavior: Societal Expectations, Roles, and Disclosure Patterns.

IDOSR JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

10(3):138-142. <https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJSR/2024/10.3.138142>