

<https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJRMS/2026/7.2.7276>

Assessing the Impact of Government Sanitation Programs on Diarrhea Reduction

Nagawa Jackline Irene

Department of Clinical Medicine and Dentistry Kampala International University Uganda
Email: irene.nagawa@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Diarrheal diseases are a leading cause of morbidity and mortality globally, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where inadequate sanitation and poor hygiene contribute to the persistence of these conditions. In response, governments in these regions have implemented various sanitation programs aimed at reducing the incidence of diarrhea by improving access to clean water, constructing sanitation facilities, and promoting hygiene education. Despite significant efforts, the effectiveness of these programs remains inconsistent, and their impact on diarrhea reduction is often unclear. This review assesses the effectiveness of government sanitation programs in reducing diarrhea, focusing on LMICs. By evaluating existing evidence, the study aims to identify key factors that contribute to the success or failure of these programs, such as infrastructure quality, community participation, and sustainability. Additionally, it examines the role of hygiene education in ensuring lasting public health improvements. The review also explores challenges such as inadequate funding, political instability, and cultural resistance, which hinder the full success of these initiatives. Findings from this study can guide future sanitation interventions, ensuring that they are more effectively tailored to the needs of vulnerable populations, leading to improved public health outcomes in the long term.

Keywords: Diarrheal Diseases, Sanitation Programs, Public Health, Hygiene Education, Low-Income Countries.

INTRODUCTION

Diarrheal diseases remain a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) [1]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), diarrhea accounts for a significant proportion of global deaths, with children under the age of five being particularly vulnerable. Inadequate sanitation, poor hygiene practices, and lack of access to clean water are among the primary risk factors contributing to the persistence and spread of diarrheal diseases [2]. Despite advancements in healthcare and sanitation, diarrhea continues to be a pressing public health challenge, especially in regions where sanitation infrastructure is underdeveloped or poorly maintained. In response to this crisis, governments in many LMICs have implemented a range of sanitation programs designed to address the root causes of diarrheal diseases. These programs typically focus on improving access to clean water, providing proper sanitation facilities, and promoting hygiene education in communities with high diarrheal disease burdens [3]. The goal of these programs is to reduce the incidence of diarrheal diseases and, in doing so, improve public health outcomes in affected populations. However, the effectiveness of these programs in achieving their objectives has been the subject of much debate, with varying levels of success reported across different regions. This study seeks to assess the impact of government sanitation programs on the reduction of diarrhea incidence, with a particular focus on LMICs [4]. By reviewing existing evidence, the study aims to determine the factors that contribute to the success or failure of sanitation programs in reducing the burden of diarrheal diseases. In doing so, it hopes to provide insights into how these programs can be improved and better tailored to the needs of the populations they aim to serve.

Diarrheal diseases are caused by a variety of pathogens, including bacteria, viruses, and parasites, which are transmitted through the ingestion of contaminated food or water. Poor sanitation and hygiene are key contributors

to the transmission of these pathogens. Inadequate sanitation, which includes the lack of proper waste disposal systems and clean water sources, increases the risk of exposure to harmful microorganisms that cause diarrheal diseases [5]. According to the WHO, approximately 2.2 billion people worldwide lack access to safe drinking water, and around 4.2 billion people lack access to adequate sanitation facilities. These deficiencies are particularly prevalent in rural and underserved urban areas of LMICs, where the majority of diarrheal diseases are concentrated. In recent decades, governments in LMICs have recognized the importance of improving sanitation infrastructure and hygiene practices as a means of preventing diarrhea and other waterborne diseases [6]. Sanitation programs typically involve the construction of latrines, wells, and water filtration systems, as well as the promotion of hygiene behaviors such as handwashing with soap. These programs often target communities with high rates of diarrheal disease, where poor sanitation conditions and limited access to clean water exacerbate the problem.

Despite the well-established link between poor sanitation and diarrheal disease, the effectiveness of government sanitation programs has been mixed. In some regions, improvements in sanitation infrastructure and hygiene education have led to significant reductions in diarrheal disease incidence, while in others, progress has been slow or inconsistent [7]. Factors such as inadequate funding, political instability, cultural barriers, and the sustainability of sanitation infrastructure can all influence the success of these programs. Furthermore, in some cases, sanitation programs have been poorly coordinated or have failed to address the underlying social determinants of health that contribute to diarrhea, such as poverty and inequality [8].

The persistence of diarrheal diseases despite the implementation of sanitation programs raises several important questions about the effectiveness of these initiatives. While many governments have invested heavily in sanitation infrastructure and hygiene promotion, the impact of these programs on diarrhea reduction remains unclear in many cases. Moreover, the lack of robust, evidence-based evaluations of these programs makes it difficult to draw definitive conclusions about their success or failure [9]. In particular, there is a need for a comprehensive assessment of the factors that contribute to the effectiveness of government sanitation programs in reducing diarrhea. Are these programs achieving their intended outcomes? What are the key challenges to their success, and how can these challenges be addressed? These are critical questions that need to be answered in order to ensure that sanitation programs are designed and implemented in ways that will have the greatest impact on public health [10]. The specific objectives of this study aim to provide a comprehensive evaluation of government sanitation programs in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) and their effectiveness in reducing the burden of diarrheal diseases. The first objective focuses on assessing the overall impact of these sanitation programs on the incidence of diarrheal diseases, determining whether they lead to a measurable decrease in disease transmission. Secondly, the study seeks to identify the key factors that contribute to the success or failure of sanitation programs. These factors could include program design, community involvement, and the quality of infrastructure, among others. Third, the research aims to evaluate the sustainability of sanitation interventions, considering their long-term effects on public health outcomes and their ability to endure beyond initial implementation. A fourth objective is to examine the role of hygiene education and behavioral change interventions, which are essential for ensuring that sanitation improvements translate into lasting reductions in disease. The fifth objective investigates the challenges and barriers that hinder the successful implementation of sanitation programs in resource-limited settings, such as inadequate funding, political instability, and cultural resistance. By addressing these objectives, the study will provide critical insights into how sanitation programs can be improved, scaled, and sustained in LMICs, ultimately leading to a healthier, more resilient public health environment.

Sanitation and Diarrhea: The Link

Sanitation and diarrhea are inextricably linked, as inadequate sanitation provides the perfect environment for the transmission of harmful pathogens that cause diarrheal diseases. Sanitation, in the context of public health, involves the safe disposal of human waste and the promotion of hygiene practices, particularly handwashing with soap. When sanitation systems are poorly designed or neglected, human waste can contaminate water sources, food supplies, and living environments, facilitating the spread of dangerous pathogens such as *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), *Shigella*, and rotavirus [11]. These pathogens are primarily transmitted through the fecal-oral route, a transmission pathway that is directly influenced by the availability of proper sanitation and hygiene practices. Studies consistently show that improving sanitation infrastructure—such as the installation of latrines, sewage systems, and waste treatment facilities—can significantly reduce the incidence of diarrheal diseases. The provision of clean, accessible sanitation services helps limit the exposure of communities to contaminated water and food, thereby reducing the transmission of pathogens [12]. However, sanitation improvements alone are often insufficient to fully address the issue. Hygiene education, which encourages practices like handwashing with soap, plays an equally important role in breaking the chain of infection. Ultimately, both infrastructure and behavior change are essential components in preventing diarrhea and improving public health outcomes.

Government Sanitation Programs: A Global Overview

Various government-led sanitation programs have been implemented worldwide, with notable examples in countries such as India, Kenya, and Brazil. These programs typically focus on building infrastructure (e.g., toilets, sewage systems), promoting hygienic practices, and encouraging community participation in sanitation efforts. One prominent example is the "Swachh Bharat Mission" (Clean India Mission), launched by the Indian government in 2014 [12]. This program aimed to eliminate open defecation and improve sanitation infrastructure across the country, particularly in rural areas. Evaluation studies have indicated that this program has had a positive impact on sanitation coverage and hygiene practices, with a marked reduction in diarrhea cases in certain regions. Similarly, the Kenyan government's "Kenya Sanitation and Hygiene Improvement Project" has focused on community-led total sanitation (CLTS) to encourage behavioral changes in sanitation practices, leading to reductions in diarrheal morbidity [13]. In Brazil, the "Sanitation for All" program targeted improvements in urban and rural sanitation infrastructure and provided subsidies for low-income households to access basic sanitation services. Research on the impact of this program shows a significant decline in diarrhea-related hospital admissions, particularly in regions that received substantial sanitation improvements.

Evidence of Impact on Diarrhea Reduction

Multiple studies and evaluations have sought to quantify the impact of sanitation programs on diarrhea reduction. A systematic review of sanitation interventions found that improvements in water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) practices were associated with a 32% reduction in the incidence of diarrhea. A large-scale study in rural Bangladesh, where a sanitation program involving latrine construction and hygiene education was implemented, found a 25% reduction in diarrhea prevalence after the program's rollout [14]. In a cohort study conducted in rural Uganda, the government's sanitation program led to a 15% reduction in the number of diarrhea cases in communities with improved sanitation infrastructure. Similarly, a randomized controlled trial in Haiti demonstrated that sanitation interventions, including improved latrines and household water treatment, were associated with a 21% decrease in diarrhea morbidity among children under five [15]. Despite these positive findings, the success of government sanitation programs is often contingent on a variety of factors, including the sustainability of the interventions, community engagement, and the adequacy of follow-up mechanisms. In some cases, sanitation infrastructure improvements alone have had limited effects on diarrhea rates due to gaps in hygiene education or insufficient maintenance of sanitation facilities [16].

Challenges and Limitations

Despite the significant progress made by government sanitation programs in improving public health outcomes, a range of challenges and limitations persist, hindering their long-term success. One of the primary obstacles is the limited funding and logistical constraints, particularly in rural and remote areas. The high costs associated with developing and maintaining sanitation infrastructure in these regions often outweigh available resources, leaving critical gaps in service coverage [17]. Moreover, the lack of local government capacity to properly manage and repair existing sanitation facilities exacerbates the problem, leading to the gradual deterioration of infrastructure over time. This issue is particularly evident in areas where the maintenance of sanitation systems is not prioritized or supported by sustainable financial and human resources. Cultural and behavioral factors also play a significant role in shaping the effectiveness of sanitation interventions. In many communities, there is resistance to adopting new sanitation technologies or hygiene practices, often due to entrenched traditional beliefs or insufficient awareness about the health risks associated with poor sanitation [18]. Even where modern sanitation infrastructure is in place, improper use, neglect, or a lack of regular maintenance can severely undermine the intended health benefits. As a result, sanitation improvements may not translate into sustained public health benefits, highlighting the need for more integrated, culturally-sensitive, and well-supported sanitation programs.

Recommendations

To effectively reduce diarrheal diseases and improve public health, several key recommendations must be implemented within sanitation programs. First, increasing investment in sanitation infrastructure is crucial, particularly in rural and underserved regions. Governments should prioritize the development of facilities that cater to the specific needs of these communities, ensuring that these infrastructures are designed with local input and cultural considerations to foster long-term sustainability [19]. Second, enhancing hygiene education is vital to achieving broader public health improvements. Hygiene promotion campaigns, particularly focusing on handwashing with soap, should be integrated into sanitation programs as a foundational component. These campaigns can significantly reduce the incidence of diarrheal diseases by educating communities on the importance of personal hygiene. Third, continuous monitoring and evaluation of sanitation initiatives are essential to track their effectiveness. Regular assessments allow for timely adjustments, ensuring that the programs remain relevant and impactful, thereby maximizing their success [20]. Lastly, fostering community ownership is critical for the long-term success of sanitation projects. Encouraging local participation in the planning, implementation, and maintenance of sanitation systems leads to greater accountability, stronger community engagement, and improved

health outcomes. By addressing these recommendations, sanitation programs will not only reduce the burden of diarrheal diseases but also enhance overall public health and well-being.

CONCLUSION

Government sanitation programs have proven to be a critical factor in reducing the incidence of diarrhea, particularly in low-income and developing countries where access to clean water and proper sanitation remains a significant challenge. These programs, through the enhancement of infrastructure, hygiene promotion, and active community participation, have led to substantial public health improvements by decreasing the spread of waterborne diseases and improving overall living conditions. Nevertheless, to achieve sustained progress, challenges such as ensuring long-term funding, fostering behavioral change, and addressing the sustainability of infrastructure must be tackled. A key barrier to the effectiveness of sanitation programs is the difficulty in changing entrenched hygiene habits, as many communities may not have the resources or knowledge to adopt long-term health behaviors. Moving forward, a more comprehensive approach is necessary, one that integrates sanitation improvements with robust education programs, community engagement, and continuous program evaluation. Long-term success will depend on continued investment in both physical infrastructure and strategies aimed at promoting hygiene behavior change to significantly reduce diarrhea-related morbidity and mortality worldwide.

REFERENCES

1. Alum, E. U., Obeagu, E. I., Ugwu, O. P. C. Curbing Diarrhea in Children below five years old: The sub-Saharan African Standpoint. *J. New Medical Innovations and Research*. 2024;5(1); DOI:10.31579/2767-7370/083
2. Sanitation, <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/sanitation>
3. Okesanya, O.J., Eshun, G., Ukoaka, B.M., Manirambona, E., Olabode, O.N., Adesola, R.O., et al.: Water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) practices in Africa: exploring the effects on public health and sustainable development plans. *Tropical Medicine and Health*. 52, 68 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-024-00614-3>
4. Ugwu, O. P. C., Alum, E. U., Uhama, K. C. (2024). Role of Phytochemical-Rich Foods in Mitigating Diarrhea among Diabetic Patients. *Research Invention Journal of Scientific and Experimental Sciences*. 3(1):45-55.
5. Bellido-Blasco, J.B., Arnedo-Pena, A.: Epidemiology of Infectious Diarrhea. *Encyclopedia of Environmental Health*. 569-581 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52272-6.00689-9>
6. Ugwu, O. P. C., Alum, E. U., Uhama, K. C. (2024). Phytochemicals and Vitamins as Adjunct Therapies for Diarrhea in Diabetic Patients. *Research Invention Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*. 3(2):27-37.
7. Owiredu, D., Chidwick, H., Kwagala, B., Mensah, D., Osei, L., Kapiriri, L.: Water, sanitation, and hygiene challenges in last-mile artisanal mining communities in Ghana and Uganda. *Dialogues in Health*. 7, 100233 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dialog.2025.100233>
8. Kyambade, M., Mwesigwa, R., Alinda, K., Tumwine, S., Lwanga, F.: Drivers of sustainability performance in health and sanitation projects: A systematic literature review. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*. 12, 102046 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.102046>
9. Awor P, Wamani H, Bwire G, Jagoe G, Peterson S (2012). Private sector drug shops in integrated community case management of malaria, pneumonia, and diarrhea in children in Uganda. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*, 87, (5), 92, doi: [10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0791](https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0791).
10. Kamara, J.K., Galukande, M., Maeda, F., Luboga, S., Renzaho, A.M.N.: Understanding the Challenges of Improving Sanitation and Hygiene Outcomes in a Community Based Intervention: A Cross-Sectional Study in Rural Tanzania. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 14, 602 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14060602>
11. Agwu E, Oming S, Moazzam M. L(2015). Prevalence of Cryptosporidiosis among diarrhea patients attending clinics in Bushenyi district of Uganda. *Spec parasite pathogens J*, 1, (1), 01-08.
12. Bauza, V., Ye, W., Liao, J., Majorin, F., Clasen, T.: Interventions to improve sanitation for preventing diarrhoea. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2023, CD013328 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD013328.pub2>
13. Critchley J. A, Ejemot-Nwadiaro R. I, Ehiri J. E, Arikpo D, Meremikwu M. M (2015). Hand washing promotion for preventing diarrhea. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 9, 9. Art. No.: CD004265. DOI: 10.1002.
14. Wolf, J., Hubbard, S., Brauer, M., Ambelu, A., Arnold, B.F., Bain, R., et al: Effectiveness of interventions to improve drinking water, sanitation, and handwashing with soap on risk of diarrhoeal disease in children in low-income and middle-income settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
15. Ogban G. I, Ndueso E. M, Iwuafor A. A, Emanghe U. E, Ushie S. N, Ejemot-Nwadiaro R. I(2020). Basic Knowledge of Childhood Diarrhea and Health-seeking Practices of Caregivers of Under-five Children in

- Calabar-South, Calabar, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Medicine and Health*, 18, (4), 12-23. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajmah/2020/v18i430195>.
16. Wit, S. de, Luseka, E., Bradley, D., Brown, J., Bhagwan, J., Evans, B., et al.: Water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH): the evolution of a global health and development sector. *BMJ Global Health*. (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2024-015367>
 17. Ogban G. I, Nduso E. M, Iwuafor A. A, Emanghe U. E, Ushie S. N, Ejemot-Nwadiaro R. I (2021). Availability and Affordability of Sanitation and Health Promoting Amenities: Driving the Impact of Knowledge of Childhood Diarrhea on Health-Seeking Practices of Under-five ... *Highlights on Medicine and Medical Research*, 3. *B P International*, 140-155. <https://doi.org/10.9734/bpi/hmmr/v3/2344E>.
 18. Byansi, J.Z., Semiyaga, S., Kansiime, F., Kulabako, R.N.: Factors enhancing operation and maintenance of sanitation facilities for improved service levels in Kampala Schools. *BMC Public Health*. 25, 562 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-025-21789-2>
 19. Sachdeva, A., Ahmed, N.: Improving Sanitation and Reducing Diarrheal Disease in Indian Children: Public Health Strategies. <https://doi.org/10.61336/medletter/25-01-01>
 20. Bauza, V., Ye, W., Liao, J., Majorin, F., Clasen, T.: Interventions to improve sanitation for preventing diarrhoea. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2023, CD013328 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD013328.pub2>

CITE AS: Nagawa Jackline Irene. (2026). Assessing the Impact of Government Sanitation Programs on Diarrhea Reduction. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN MEDICAL SCIENCES ,7(2):72-76 <https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJRMS/2026/7.2.7276>