©NIJPP
Publications 2026

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5479
PRINT ISSN: 2992-605X

NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND PHARMACY (NIJPP)

Volume 7 Issue 2 Page 75-80, 2026

https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJPP/2026/727580

Oxidative Stress as a Central Driver of Organ Toxicity: A Unified Toxicology Framework

Chelimo Faith Rebecca

Department of Clinical Medicine and Dentistry Kampala International University Uganda
Email: rebecca.chelimo@studwc.kiu.ac.u

ABSTRACT

Oxidative stress is a unifying biological process that underlies the pathogenesis of toxic injury across multiple organ systems. Whether triggered by environmental pollutants, pharmaceuticals, xenobiotics, radiation, chronic metabolic disorders, or endogenous metabolic dysregulation, the imbalance between pro-oxidant generation and antioxidant defense promotes macromolecular damage, cellular dysfunction, and irreversible tissue pathology. This review presents a unified toxicology framework that positions oxidative stress as the central node linking upstream toxicant exposure with downstream patterns of organ-specific and systemic injury. Mechanistic facets such as mitochondrial dysfunction, redox dysregulation, lipid peroxidation, DNA oxidation, protein misfolding, ferroptosis, and maladaptive inflammatory signaling are examined in detail. Organ-level susceptibilities in the liver, kidney, cardiovascular system, nervous system, lung, and reproductive tissues are explored, emphasizing shared pathways and unique vulnerabilities. The review further discusses translational implications, including the development of oxidative stress biomarkers, systems-toxicology approaches, redox-targeted therapies, and predictive safety testing. Understanding oxidative stress through an integrated lens provides clarity on cross-cutting toxicological mechanisms and creates opportunities for unified management strategies, early detection, and risk reduction in clinical, environmental, and industrial settings.

Keywords: Oxidative stress; organ toxicity; redox biology; toxicology framework; mitochondrial dysfunction

INTRODUCTION

Oxidative stress is one of the most pervasive concepts in modern toxicology [1]. It describes the disturbance of redox balance that occurs when reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) overwhelm the capacity of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defenses [2]. Although low levels of ROS are essential for normal signaling, differentiation, immune defense, and metabolic regulation, excessive or sustained ROS generation becomes detrimental [3]. Toxic exposures from pharmaceuticals, industrial chemicals, environmental pollutants, heavy metals, and lifestyle factors frequently converge on oxidative pathways, making oxidative stress a core pathophysiological bridge between exposure and organ injury [4]. The centrality of oxidative stress arises from several features: its ubiquitous generation across biological systems, its ability to damage all classes of macromolecules, its capacity to amplify inflammation, and its close integration with mitochondrial integrity and metabolic status [5]. As toxicology increasingly shifts toward systems-level interpretation, oxidative stress serves as an important integrating concept capable of unifying disparate toxic mechanisms into a coherent framework [6]. This review aims to synthesize current understanding of oxidative stress mechanisms, map them onto organ-specific toxicity patterns, and outline avenues for therapeutic intervention and research advancement.

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5479
PRINT ISSN: 2992-605X

2. Mechanistic Foundations of Oxidative Stress–Driven Toxicity

2.1 Sources of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species

Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species arise from tightly regulated endogenous processes but can be markedly amplified by exogenous stressors [7]. Mitochondria are the dominant physiological source, where electron leakage from complexes I and III generates superoxide as a by-product of oxidative phosphorylation. Under healthy conditions, this leakage is minimal and participates in redox signaling [8]. However, toxicants, metabolic overload, hypoxia–reoxygenation, or mitochondrial DNA mutations greatly increase electron slippage. Other major enzymatic sources include NADPH oxidases, which produce ROS during immune responses and in vascular signaling but are easily overactivated by xenobiotics; xanthine oxidase during ischemia–reperfusion; inducible nitric oxide synthase, which produces high levels of nitric oxide that reacts with superoxide to form peroxynitrite; and cytochrome P450 enzymes, which generate ROS during xenobiotic metabolism [9]. Environmental and pharmaceutical toxicants-such as pesticides, solvents, heavy metals, particulate pollutants, certain anticancer drugs, and ionizing radiation-either stimulate ROS production directly or impair antioxidant enzymes, resulting in exaggerated oxidant flux [10].

2.2 Antioxidant defense networks

To counterbalance oxidant formation, cells maintain multilayered antioxidant defenses [11]. Enzymatic systems include superoxide dismutases that convert superoxide to hydrogen peroxide; catalase and glutathione peroxidases that detoxify hydrogen peroxide; and thioredoxin and peroxiredoxin systems that regulate protein thiol redox states [12]. Non-enzymatic antioxidants such as glutathione, vitamin C, vitamin E, carotenoids, and uric acid provide additional buffering. Central to this network is the Nrf2 transcriptional program, which induces detoxifying enzymes, phase II conjugation systems, glutathione biosynthesis enzymes, and transporters that export oxidized metabolites [13]. Under acute stress, Nrf2 activation restores homeostasis, but chronic toxic exposure can overwhelm or dysregulate these systems, leading to sustained oxidative burden and impaired cellular recovery [14].

2.3 Damage to lipids, proteins, and DNA

Excessive ROS and RNS attack all major macromolecules. Polyunsaturated fatty acids in membranes undergo lipid peroxidation, generating reactive aldehydes such as malondialdehyde and 4-hydroxynonenal that diffuse through cells and form adducts with proteins and DNA [15]. Protein oxidation modifies amino acid side chains, promotes carbonyl formation, and alters tertiary structure, resulting in aggregation or loss of enzymatic function. DNA oxidation produces lesions including 8-oxoguanine, strand breaks, and crosslinks, compromising replication fidelity, transcriptional accuracy, and genomic stability [16]. Accumulation of such damage underlies mutagenesis, carcinogenesis, and organ dysfunction.

2.4 Mitochondrial dysfunction

Mitochondria are uniquely vulnerable because their membranes, DNA, and respiratory complexes are directly exposed to ROS generated within the organelle [17]. Oxidative damage reduces ATP synthesis, disrupts membrane potential, and promotes further ROS leakage, creating a vicious cycle. Severe injury triggers opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore, release of pro-death factors, and activation of apoptotic or necrotic pathways [18]. Over time, mitochondrial fragmentation, defective mitophagy, and loss of biogenesis contribute to organ failure.

2.5 Ferroptosis and regulated necrosis

Ferroptosis has emerged as a key oxidative death pathway characterized by iron-dependent lipid peroxidation and inactivation of glutathione peroxidase 4 [19]. Iron overload, impaired cystine uptake, GPX4 inhibition, and depletion of glutathione sensitize cells to ferroptosis. Multiple toxicants, from heavy metals to chemotherapeutic agents and environmental pollutants, activate this pathway [20]. Other regulated necrotic pathways, such as necroptosis and pyroptosis, are tightly intertwined with oxidative stress because ROS amplify death-receptor signaling, inflammasome activation, and membrane rupture.

2.6 Crosstalk with inflammation

Oxidative stress and inflammation are mutually reinforcing. ROS activate NF-κB and MAPK pathways, driving transcription of cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules [21]. Inflammasomes such as NLRP3 are highly sensitive to redox imbalance, promoting caspase-1 activation and release of interleukin-1 family cytokines. These inflammatory mediators recruit immune cells that produce additional ROS, perpetuating tissue injury [22]. This bidirectional amplification loop explains why chronic exposure to metabolic stressors, pollutants, or chemicals often progresses to sustained inflammation and long-term organ toxicity.

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5479
PRINT ISSN: 2992-605X

3. Organ-Specific Vulnerabilities in Oxidative Toxicity

Although oxidative stress is a systemic phenomenon, the degree of vulnerability varies widely across organs as a result of differences in metabolic rate, detoxification pathways, antioxidant reserves, and patterns of environmental or pharmacologic exposure [23]. These distinctions shape not only the severity of oxidative injury but also the characteristic clinical manifestations associated with specific toxicants.

3.1 Liver

The liver's central role in xenobiotic metabolism renders it one of the most susceptible organs to oxidative damage [24]. Phase I cytochrome P450 reactions frequently generate ROS as metabolic intermediates, and when detoxification capacity is exceeded, these oxidants accumulate in hepatocytes. This promotes steatosis through lipid peroxidation, activates stellate cells to drive fibrosis, and disrupts bile transport, contributing to cholestatic injury [25]. In drug-induced liver injury, mitochondrial dysfunction is a unifying mechanism: toxicant-induced impairment of respiratory complexes leads to excessive electron leakage, glutathione depletion, and collapse of redox homeostasis [26]. The resulting ATP deficit and permeability transition trigger necrosis or apoptosis.

3.2 Kidney

The kidney's high metabolic demand and continuous exposure to filtered toxicants create a substantial oxidative burden [27]. Proximal tubular epithelial cells, rich in mitochondria and transporters, are particularly vulnerable. Nephrotoxicants such as cisplatin, aminoglycosides, mycotoxins, and metals induce persistent ROS production that damages membranes, disrupts ion transport, and activates proapoptotic signaling [28]. The renal microvasculature also suffers oxidative injury, contributing to ischemia, inflammation, and long-term decline in glomerular filtration.

3.3 Cardiovascular system

The heart is exceptionally oxygen-dependent, and even modest increases in ROS can destabilize contractile function [29]. Oxidative modification of membrane lipids and sarcomeric proteins impairs excitation–contraction coupling, while endothelial ROS generation reduces nitric oxide bioavailability and promotes vascular stiffness. Oxidative stress accelerates atherosclerotic plaque development by oxidizing LDL and activating inflammatory pathways [30]. Anthracyclines exemplify oxidative cardiotoxicity: these agents generate iron-catalyzed ROS that disrupt mitochondrial DNA and respiratory chain activity, culminating in dilated cardiomyopathy.

3.4 Nervous system

Neurons consume large amounts of oxygen yet possess relatively weak antioxidant defenses, making the nervous system highly sensitive to oxidative stress. Lipid-rich myelin and neuronal membranes are prone to peroxidation, impairing axonal conduction and synaptic signaling [31]. Neurotoxicants such as organophosphate pesticides, solvents, and misfolded proteins associated with neurodegenerative disease provoke chronic ROS elevation that damages mitochondrial dynamics and accelerates neuronal loss. Oxidative stress contributes centrally to the pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.

3.5 Lung

Continuously exposed to inhaled oxygen and pollutants, the lung faces a uniquely high oxidant burden. Cigarette smoke, ozone, industrial particulates, and diesel exhaust contain or generate ROS that injure epithelial cells, disrupt barrier function, and impair macrophage activity [32]. Chronic oxidative stress drives airway remodeling and inflammation, contributing to asthma, COPD, and pulmonary fibrosis. Surfactant components are also oxidized, reducing alveolar stability.

3.6 Reproductive and endocrine systems

Reproductive tissues are highly redox-sensitive, with oxidative stress impairing spermatogenesis, oocyte quality, steroid hormone synthesis, and placental vascular development. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals often exert their toxicity by generating ROS that damage DNA, destabilize hormone receptors, and interfere with signaling pathways crucial for development. Oxidative insults during pregnancy can disrupt fetal organogenesis and increase susceptibility to disease later in life.

4. Future Directions and Research Opportunities

Advancing the field of redox toxicology requires a coordinated research strategy that captures mechanistic complexity while remaining applicable to regulatory and clinical settings. A major priority is the development of organ-specific and whole-organism ROS and RNS sensors capable of distinguishing physiological redox signaling from pathological oxidative damage [33]. Emerging nanomaterial probes, genetically encoded fluorescent reporters, and real-time metabolomic tracers hold promise for quantifying spatiotemporal redox dynamics in ways that traditional assays cannot.

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5479
PRINT ISSN: 2992-605X

Another key frontier involves unraveling the crosstalk between oxidative stress and immune, metabolic, and epigenetic networks. Oxidative injury rarely occurs in isolation; it intersects with inflammatory cytokine cascades, metabolic rewiring, DNA methylation patterns, and histone modifications [34]. Understanding these multidirectional interactions will enable more accurate prediction of toxicant-induced phenotypes and more effective strategies to disrupt harmful feed-forward loops. High-throughput toxicity screening methods must also evolve to incorporate redox-sensitive endpoints, including mitochondrial function, lipid peroxidation signatures, ferroptosis markers, and antioxidant pathway activation [35]. Integrating these with computational toxicology and machine learning will enhance early hazard identification. Inter-individual susceptibility remains a major knowledge gap. Genomic, epigenomic, and exposomic profiling combined with biomonitoring of pollutants, diet, and co-exposures can help map population subgroups at elevated risk for oxidative injury [36]. Finally, there is an urgent need for targeted therapeutic interventions that correct pathological redox imbalance while preserving beneficial signaling. These may include precision antioxidants, Nrf2 modulators, ferroptosis inhibitors, mitochondrial protectants, and microbiome-informed therapies.

CONCLUSION

Oxidative stress represents a foundational, unifying mechanism that links diverse chemical exposures, environmental pollutants, metabolic overload, and therapeutic toxicities to organ dysfunction. Its ability to damage lipids, proteins, nucleic acids, and mitochondria places it at the nexus of acute and chronic disease processes. Adopting a unified toxicology framework grounded in redox biology enables researchers and clinicians to interpret exposure–response relationships with greater nuance, anticipate organ-specific vulnerabilities, and identify points of intervention before irreversible injury occurs. Integrating mechanistic insights with emerging technologies, predictive analytics, and personalized risk assessment will strengthen safety evaluation, support the design of safer drugs and chemicals, and ultimately improve public health resilience in the face of rising environmental and pharmacological challenges.

REFERENCES

1. Robert I U., Amarachi A., Egba S I., Sangodare RSA.,Oluomachi N U., Nwuke CP and Osisioma K N (2020) Heavy Metal contents in commercial fishes consumed in Umuahia and their associated human health risk, EQA-International Journal of Environmental Quality 39(2020): 11-19.
2. Ibiam U. A., Uti, D. E., Ejeogo, C.C., Orji, O. U. Aja, P. M., Ezeani, N. N., Chukwu, C., Aloke, C., Itodo, M. O., Agada, S. A., Umoru, G. U., Obeten, U. N., Nwobodo, V. O. G., Nwadum, S. K., Udoudoh, M. P. Xylopia aethiopica Attenuates Oxidative Stress and Hepatorenal Damage in Testosterone Propionate-Induced Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia in Rats. Journal of Health and Allied Sciences. 2024, 01: 1-148. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-1777836.
3. Uroko RI., Egba SI., Uchenna ON., Ojiakor CA., Agbafor A., and Alaribe, CA (2018) Therapeutic effects of methalonic extracts of Funtumia Africana leaves on antioxidants and hematological indices of carbon tetrachloride-induced oxidative stress on rats. Drug Invention Today 12(1)
4. Ogbonna OA., Egba, SI., Uhuo EN., Omeoga HC., Obeagu EI. Toxic outcomes of ciprofloxacin and gentamicin co-administration and possible ameliorating role for antioxidant vitamins C and E in Wistar Rats. Elite Journal of Medicine, 2024; 2(3): 1-14.
5. Reddy SR, Bangeppagari M, Lee SJ. Immune–Epigenetic Effects of Environmental Pollutants: Mechanisms, Biomarkers, and Transgenerational Impact. Current Issues in Molecular Biology. 2025; 47(9):703. https://doi.org/10.3390/cimb47090703
6. Ochulor Okechukwu C., Njoku Obioma U., Uroko Robert I and Egba Simeon I**.** Nutritional composition of *Jatropha tanjorensis* leaves and effects of its aqueous extract on carbon tetrachloride induced oxidative stress in male Wistar albino rats. Biomedical Research 2018; 29(19): 3569-3576
7. Ugwu, CE., Sure, SM., Dike, CC., Okpoga, NA and Egba, SI. Phytochemical and *in vitro* antioxidant activities of methanol leave extract of *Alternanthera basiliana*. Journal of Pharmacy Research, 2018; 12(6): 835-839
8. Uhuo E N, Egba S I, Nwuke P C, Obike C A and Kelechi G K. Antioxidative properties of Adansonia digitata L. (baobab) leaf extract exert protective effect on doxorubicin induced cardiac toxicity in Wistar rats. Clinical Nutrition Open Science 2022; 45:3-16
9. Famurewa, A. C., Orji, O. U., Aja, P. M., Nwite, F., Ohuche, S. E., Ukasoanya, S. C., Nnaji, L. O., Joshua, D., Igwe, K. U. and Chima, S. F. Nephroprotective effects of *Datura stramonium* leaves against methotrexate nephrotoxicity via attenuation of oxidative stress-mediated inflammation and apoptosis in rats. *Avicenna Journal of Phytomedicine*. 2023; 13(4): 377-387. doi: 10.22038/ ajp.2023.21903.

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5479
PRINT ISSN: 2992-605X

10. Aniokete, U. C., Emeruwa, A. P., Obasi, D. C., Okoroh, P. N. (2025). Gut microbiota at the crossroads of food additives, pollutants, and chronic disease risk. Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13530-025-00295-3

11. Garcia-Llorens G, El Ouardi M, Valls-Belles V. Oxidative Stress Fundamentals: Unraveling the Pathophysiological Role of Redox Imbalance in Non-Communicable Diseases. Applied Sciences. 2025; 15(18):10191. https://doi.org/10.3390/app151810191
12. Birben E, Sahiner UM, Sackesen C, Erzurum S, Kalayci O. Oxidative stress and antioxidant defense. World Allergy Organ J. 2012 Jan;5(1):9-19. doi: 10.1097/WOX.0b013e3182439613. Epub 2012 Jan 13. PMID: 23268465; PMCID: PMC3488923.
13. Ngo V, Duennwald ML. Nrf2 and Oxidative Stress: A General Overview of Mechanisms and Implications in Human Disease. Antioxidants (Basel). 2022 Nov 27;11(12):2345. doi: 10.3390/antiox11122345. PMID: 36552553; PMCID: PMC9774434.
14. Yan R, Lin B, Jin W, Tang L, Hu S, Cai R. NRF2, a Superstar of Ferroptosis. Antioxidants. 2023; 12(9):1739. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12091739
15. Alum, E.U., Uti, D.E. & Offor, C.E. Redox Signaling Disruption and Antioxidants in Toxicology: From Precision Therapy to Potential Hazards. *Cell Biochem Biophys* (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12013-025-01846-8.
16. Barrera G, Pizzimenti S, Daga M, Dianzani C, Arcaro A, Cetrangolo GP, Giordano G, Cucci MA, Graf M, Gentile F. Lipid Peroxidation-Derived Aldehydes, 4-Hydroxynonenal and Malondialdehyde in Aging-Related Disorders. Antioxidants (Basel). 2018 Jul 30;7(8):102. doi: 10.3390/antiox7080102. PMID: 30061536; PMCID: PMC6115986.
17. Nissanka N, Moraes CT. Mitochondrial DNA damage and reactive oxygen species in neurodegenerative disease. FEBS Lett. 2018 Mar;592(5):728-742. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.12956. Epub 2018 Jan 9. PMID: 29281123; PMCID: PMC6942696.
18. Aranda-Rivera AK, Cruz-Gregorio A, Arancibia-Hernández YL, Hernández-Cruz EY, Pedraza-Chaverri J. RONS and Oxidative Stress: An Overview of Basic Concepts. Oxygen. 2022; 2(4):437-478. https://doi.org/10.3390/oxygen2040030
19. Akwari, A.A., Okoroh, P.N., Aniokete, U.C., Abba, J.N. Phytochemicals as modulators of ferroptosis: a novel therapeutic avenue in cancer and neurodegeneration. *Mol Biol Rep* **52**, 636 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-025-10752-4
20. Artusi I, Rubin M, Cravin G, Cozza G. Ferroptosis in Human Diseases: Fundamental Roles and Emerging Therapeutic Perspectives. Antioxidants. 2025; 14(12):1411. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox14121411
21. Liu S, Liu J, Wang Y, Deng F, Deng Z. Oxidative Stress: Signaling Pathways, Biological Functions, and Disease. MedComm (2020). 2025 Jul 1;6(7):e70268. doi: 10.1002/mco2.70268. PMID: 40599237; PMCID: PMC12209598.
22. Wigner P, Grębowski R, Bijak M, Saluk-Bijak J, Szemraj J. The Interplay between Oxidative Stress, Inflammation and Angiogenesis in Bladder Cancer Development. Int J Mol Sci. 2021 Apr 25;22(9):4483. doi: 10.3390/ijms22094483. PMID: 33923108; PMCID: PMC8123426.
23. Pizzino G, Irrera N, Cucinotta M, Pallio G, Mannino F, Arcoraci V, Squadrito F, Altavilla D, Bitto A. Oxidative Stress: Harms and Benefits for Human Health. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2017;2017:8416763. doi: 10.1155/2017/8416763. Epub 2017 Jul 27. PMID: 28819546; PMCID: PMC5551541.
24. Gu X, Manautou JE. Molecular mechanisms underlying chemical liver injury. Expert Rev Mol Med. 2012 Feb 3;14:e4. doi: 10.1017/S1462399411002110. PMID: 22306029; PMCID: PMC3704158.
25. Kim M, Jee S-C, Sung J-S. Hepatoprotective Effects of Flavonoids against Benzo[a]Pyrene-Induced Oxidative Liver Damage along Its Metabolic Pathways. Antioxidants. 2024; 13(2):180. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox13020180
26. Klaunig JE, Li X, Wang Z. Role of xenobiotics in the induction and progression of fatty liver disease. Toxicol Res (Camb). 2018 May 18;7(4):664-680. doi: 10.1039/c7tx00326a. PMID: 30090613; PMCID: PMC6062016.
27. Gyurászová M, Gurecká R, Bábíčková J, Tóthová Ľ. Oxidative Stress in the Pathophysiology of Kidney Disease: Implications for Noninvasive Monitoring and Identification of Biomarkers. Oxid Med Cell

OPEN ACCESS
ONLINE ISSN: 2992-5479
PRINT ISSN: 2992-605X

Longev. 2020 Jan 23;2020:5478708. doi: 10.1155/2020/5478708. PMID: 32082479; PMCID: PMC7007944.

28. Rashid H, Jali A, Akhter MS, Abdi SAH. Molecular Mechanisms of Oxidative Stress in Acute Kidney Injury: Targeting the Loci by Resveratrol. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2024; 25(1):3. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25010003
29. Alum, E. U. (2025). Role of phytochemicals in cardiovascular disease management: Insights into mechanisms, efficacy, and clinical application. Phytomedicine Plus, 5(1),100695. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phyplu.2024.100695.
30. Dhalla NS, Ostadal P, Tappia PS. Involvement of Oxidative Stress in Mitochondrial Abnormalities During the Development of Heart Disease. Biomedicines. 2025; 13(6):1338. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines13061338
31. Krishnamoorthy R, Gatasheh MK, Famurewa AC, Subbarayan S, Vijayalakshmi P, Uti DE. Neuroprotective Potential of Jimson Weed in Methotrexate-Induced Neurotoxicity: Insights into Anti-Oxidative, Anti-Inflammatory, and Anti-Apoptotic Mechanisms via Modulation of Caspase-3, Interleukin-6, and Tumor Necrosis Factor-Alpha: In Silico. Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets. 2025 Aug 15. doi: 10.2174/0118715303350736241220090850.
32. Gangwar RS, Bevan GH, Palanivel R, Das L, Rajagopalan S. Oxidative stress pathways of air pollution mediated toxicity: Recent insights. Redox Biol. 2020 Jul;34:101545. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2020.101545. Epub 2020 May 23. PMID: 32505541; PMCID: PMC7327965.
33. Di Meo S, Reed TT, Venditti P, Victor VM. Role of ROS and RNS Sources in Physiological and Pathological Conditions. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2016;2016:1245049. doi: 10.1155/2016/1245049. Epub 2016 Jul 12. PMID: 27478531; PMCID: PMC4960346.
34. Chen YS, Tian HX, Rong DC, Wang L, Chen S, Zeng J, Xu H, Mei J, Wang LY, Liou YL, Zhou HH. ROS homeostasis in cell fate, pathophysiology, and therapeutic interventions. Mol Biomed. 2025 Oct 30;6(1):89. doi: 10.1186/s43556-025-00338-8. PMID: 41162811; PMCID: PMC12572517.
35. Berndt C, Alborzinia H, Amen VS, Ayton S, Barayeu U, Bartelt A, Bayir H, Bebber CM, Ferroptosis in health and disease. Redox Biol. 2024 Sep;75:103211. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2024.103211. Epub 2024 May 30. PMID: 38908072; PMCID: PMC11253697.
36. Aloh, H. E., Obasi, D. C., Okoroh, P. N., Aniokete, U. C., & Emeruwa, A. P. (2025). Maternal Nutrition, Toxicants, and Epigenetic Programming of Obesity Across Generations. *Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy, 18*, 4873–4911. https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S579409

CITE AS: Chelimo Faith Rebecca. (2026). Oxidative Stress as a Central Driver of Organ Toxicity: A Unified Toxicology Framework. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND PHARMACY, 7(2):75-80. https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJPP/2026/727580