Open Access
Online ISSN: 2992-5487
Print ISSN: 2992-6068
NIJEP Publications
NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES (NIJEP)
Volume 6 Issue 1 Page 68-72, 2026

https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJEP/2026/616872

Point-of-Care Viral Load Monitoring Technologies for HIV Management in Resource-Limited Settings

Kato Jumba K.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Viral load monitoring was the cornerstone of effective HIV treatment, guiding antiretroviral therapy optimization, identifying treatment failure, and preventing the emergence of drug resistance. However, access to conventional laboratory-based viral load testing remains limited in many resource-constrained settings due to infrastructural, logistical, and economic barriers.This narrative review critically examined point-of-care viral load monitoring technologies as an alternative strategy for improving HIV management in resource-limited settings. A review of peer-reviewed scientific literature was conducted, synthesizing evidence on the biochemical principles, clinical performance, and implementation considerations of point-of-care viral load technologies. Point-of-care viral load platforms offered rapid turnaround times, reduced dependency on centralized laboratories, and improved linkage between diagnostic results and clinical decision-making. These technologies demonstrated acceptable analytical performance for routine HIV monitoring and have the potential to enhance treatment adherence assessment and early detection of virologic failure. Nevertheless, challenges related to cost, supply chains, quality assurance, and workforce capacity continue to influence their scalability and sustainability. Point-of-care viral load monitoring represented a transformative approach to HIV care in resource-limited settings, provided that implementation is supported by robust health systems integration and policy alignment.

Keywords: HIV viral load, Point-of-care diagnostics, Resource-limited settings, Antiretroviral therapy, Global health.

INTRODUCTION

The measurement of plasma HIV viral load is universally recognized as the most reliable indicator of antiretroviral therapy effectiveness and long-term treatment success [1, 2]. Sustained viral suppression is associated with reduced morbidity, prevention of HIV transmission, and improved survival. Despite its central role in HIV management, routine viral load monitoring remains inaccessible to many individuals living with HIV in resource-limited settings, where healthcare systems often rely on centralized laboratory infrastructure with limited geographic reach. These constraints result in delayed testing, prolonged result turnaround times, and missed opportunities for timely clinical intervention.

Resource-limited settings, particularly in low- and middle-income countries, face persistent challenges related to laboratory capacity, specimen transport, reagent availability, and trained personnel [3]. As a consequence, treatment failure may go undetected for extended periods, increasing the risk of disease progression and antiretroviral drug resistance. The reliance on centralized viral load testing also places a significant burden on healthcare systems, often compromising the continuity of patient-centered HIV care.

Point-of-care viral load monitoring technologies have emerged as a promising solution to these challenges by enabling decentralized testing closer to the patient [4, 5]. These technologies aim to provide timely, actionable results at or near the site of care, thereby strengthening clinical decision-making and improving treatment outcomes. This review examines the principles underlying viral load monitoring, evaluates available point-of-care viral load technologies, compares their performance with conventional laboratory methods, and explores implementation challenges in resource-limited settings. The purpose of this narrative review is to synthesize current evidence to inform clinical practice, programmatic planning, and policy development related to point-of-care viral load monitoring in HIV management.

Principles and Clinical Significance of Viral Load Monitoring in HIV Management

Viral load monitoring occupies a central position in the clinical management of HIV infection because it directly reflects the level of viral replication within the host. Suppression of viral replication through effective antiretroviral therapy reduces immune system damage, limits systemic inflammation, and prevents onward transmission [6, 7]. Unlike immunological markers such as CD4 cell counts, viral load measurements provide a more immediate and sensitive assessment of treatment efficacy and adherence.

Clinically, viral load testing is used to confirm treatment success, detect early virologic failure, and guide regimen modifications [8]. Persistent viremia despite reported adherence may signal drug resistance, inadequate drug exposure, or treatment interruption. Early identification of such issues is critical for preventing disease progression and preserving future treatment options. In many international HIV treatment guidelines, viral load testing is prioritized as the preferred monitoring tool for individuals receiving antiretroviral therapy.

In resource-limited settings, however, the clinical utility of viral load monitoring is often undermined by delayed access to results. Long turnaround times reduce the relevance of viral load data at the point of clinical decision-making [9]. Patients may be lost to follow-up before results are communicated, diminishing the potential benefits of testing. These realities highlight the need for diagnostic approaches that align with the operational constraints of decentralized healthcare systems. Point-of-care viral load technologies seek to preserve the clinical significance of viral load monitoring by delivering results within a clinically actionable timeframe. By enabling same-day or near–real-time decision-making, these technologies have the potential to strengthen adherence counseling, accelerate regimen changes when necessary, and improve overall quality of HIV care in underserved settings.

Overview of Point-of-Care Viral Load Technologies

Point-of-care viral load technologies are designed to perform nucleic acid detection and quantification outside of conventional laboratory environments [10, 11]. These platforms typically integrate sample preparation, amplification, and detection into compact, user-friendly systems that can be operated with minimal laboratory infrastructure. Most point-of-care viral load assays are based on molecular techniques such as polymerase chain reaction or isothermal amplification, adapted for simplified workflows.

A defining feature of point-of-care viral load platforms is rapid turnaround time, often delivering results within one to two hours [12]. This contrasts sharply with centralized laboratory testing, where sample transport and batching may delay results for weeks. Some point-of-care systems are fully automated, reducing operator variability and the need for extensive technical training, while others are semi-automated and require basic laboratory competencies.

Analytical performance remains a critical consideration for point-of-care viral load technologies [13]. While these platforms may not always match the sensitivity of high-throughput laboratory instruments, many demonstrate sufficient accuracy for routine clinical monitoring, particularly for identifying clinically significant viremia. The ability to detect viral loads above treatment failure thresholds is especially relevant in resource-limited settings where the primary goal is to distinguish suppressed from unsuppressed patients [14]. The adaptability of point-of-care viral load technologies to decentralized healthcare environments represents a major advancement in HIV diagnostics. However, successful deployment depends not only on technical performance but also on system-level integration, affordability, and long-term sustainability.

Comparative Performance of Point-of-Care Versus Centralized Viral Load Testing

Comparisons between point-of-care and centralized viral load testing have focused on analytical accuracy, clinical utility, and operational feasibility. Centralized laboratory platforms remain the reference standard for viral load quantification, offering high sensitivity, scalability, and standardized quality assurance [15, 16]. However, their effectiveness in resource-limited settings is often constrained by logistical inefficiencies rather than analytical limitations.

Point-of-care viral load technologies generally demonstrate strong correlation with centralized testing at clinically relevant viral load ranges [17]. While low-level viremia detection may be less precise, the ability to reliably identify treatment failure thresholds supports their use in routine HIV care. Importantly, the clinical value of rapid result availability often outweighs minor differences in analytical sensitivity.

Operational considerations further differentiate these approaches. Point-of-care testing eliminates the need for complex specimen transport networks and reduces patient attrition associated with delayed results. Decentralized testing may also lower indirect costs related to patient travel and clinic visits. Nevertheless, point-of-care platforms typically process fewer samples per day than centralized systems, limiting their suitability for high-volume settings [18]. Rather than viewing point-of-care and centralized viral load testing as competing modalities, many experts emphasize their complementary roles. Strategic integration of both approaches may optimize coverage, efficiency, and quality of HIV viral load monitoring across diverse healthcare contexts.

Implementation Challenges in Resource-Limited Settings

Despite their promise, the implementation of point-of-care viral load technologies in resource-limited settings faces several challenges. Financial constraints remain a major barrier, as initial equipment costs, consumables, and maintenance may strain limited healthcare budgets [19, 20]. Sustainable financing mechanisms are essential to ensure long-term program viability [21].

Supply chain reliability is another critical concern. Interruptions in reagent availability or equipment servicing can compromise testing continuity. In decentralized settings, maintaining consistent quality assurance and external quality assessment systems is particularly challenging. Training and retaining skilled healthcare workers capable of operating and troubleshooting point-of-care platforms also requires sustained investment.

Infrastructure limitations, including unreliable electricity and environmental conditions, may affect platform performance. Additionally, integrating point-of-care testing into existing health information systems is necessary to ensure accurate data reporting and program monitoring [22]. Without effective integration, the benefits of rapid testing may not translate into improved clinical outcomes.

Addressing these challenges requires coordinated efforts involving policymakers, healthcare providers, manufacturers, and international partners. Context-specific implementation strategies are essential to maximize the impact of point-of-care viral load monitoring in resource-limited settings.

Programmatic, Clinical, and Policy Implications

The adoption of point-of-care viral load monitoring has significant implications for HIV treatment programs [23]. From a clinical perspective, immediate access to viral load results supports timely adherence interventions and regimen adjustments, enhancing patient-centered care. Programmatically, decentralized testing can improve retention in care and reduce delays in achieving viral suppression.

At the policy level, integrating point-of-care viral load technologies into national HIV strategies requires careful planning. Clear guidelines on testing algorithms, quality assurance, and data management are essential. Policymakers must also consider equity, ensuring that decentralized testing reaches underserved populations rather than exacerbating disparities.

In resource-limited settings, point-of-care viral load monitoring aligns with broader goals of health system strengthening and decentralization. When implemented thoughtfully, these technologies can contribute to more resilient, responsive HIV care models that support long-term treatment success.

CONCLUSION

Point-of-care viral load monitoring technologies represent a critical advancement in the delivery of effective HIV care in resource-limited settings, where reliance on centralized laboratory systems has historically constrained timely clinical decision-making. By enabling decentralized testing with rapid turnaround times, these technologies address key gaps in access, adherence monitoring, and early detection of treatment failure. Evidence indicates that point-of-care viral load platforms provide clinically meaningful performance for routine HIV management, particularly when integrated into well-supported health systems. However, their impact is shaped by broader implementation factors, including cost, supply chain stability, workforce capacity, and quality assurance mechanisms. Sustainable integration of point-of-care viral load testing requires alignment with national policies, investment in infrastructure, and ongoing evaluation of programmatic outcomes. As HIV treatment programs continue to evolve toward differentiated and patient-centered care models, point-of-care diagnostics offer an opportunity to enhance equity and effectiveness across diverse settings. National HIV programs should adopt context-appropriate point-of-care viral load monitoring strategies as a complement to centralized testing to improve timely treatment decisions and long-term virologic outcomes in resource-limited settings.

REFERENCES

1. Obeagu, E., Ayogu, E., Obeagu, G.: Impact on Viral Load Dynamics: Understanding the Interplay between Blood Transfusion and Antiretroviral Therapy in HIV Management. 2, 5–15 (2024)
2. Qin, S., Lai, J., Zhang, H., Wei, D., Lv, Q., Pan, X., Huang, L., Lan, K., Meng, Z., Liang, H., Ning, C.: Predictive factors of viral load high-risk events for virological failure in HIV/AIDS patients receiving long-term antiviral therapy. BMC Infect Dis. 21, 448 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-021-06162-z
3. Ezenwaji, C.O., Alum, E.U., Ugwu, O.P.-C.: Bridging the gap: telemedicine as a solution for HIV care inequities in rural and vulnerable communities. International Journal for Equity in Health. 24, 205 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-025-02584-2
4. Rosen, J.G., Ddaaki, W.G., Nakyanjo, N., Chang, L.W., Vo, A.V., Zhao, T., Nakigozi, G., Nalugoda, F., Kigozi, G., Kagaayi, J., Quinn, T.C., Grabowski, M.K., Reynolds, S.J., Kennedy, C.E., Galiwango, R.M.: The potential promise and pitfalls of point-of-care viral load monitoring to expedite HIV treatment decision-making in rural

Uganda: a qualitative study. BMC Health Serv Res. 24, 1265 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-11747-w
5. Drain, P.K., Dorward, J., Bender, A., Lillis, L., Marinucci, F., Sacks, J., Bershteyn, A., Boyle, D.S., Posner, J.D., Garrett, N.: Point-of-Care HIV Viral Load Testing: an Essential Tool for a Sustainable Global HIV/AIDS Response. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 32, 10.1128/cmr.00097-18 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00097-18
6. Obeagu, E.I.: Influence of cytokines on the recovery trajectory of HIV patients on antiretroviral therapy: A review. Medicine. 104, e41222 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000041222
7. Alum, E.U., Uti, D.E., Ugwu, O.P.-C., Alum, B.N.: Toward a cure - Advancing HIV/AIDs treatment modalities beyond antiretroviral therapy: A Review. Medicine (Baltimore). 103, e38768 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000038768
8. Drain, P.K., Dorward, J., Bender, A., Lillis, L., Marinucci, F., Sacks, J., Bershteyn, A., Boyle, D.S., Posner, J.D., Garrett, N.: Point-of-Care HIV Viral Load Testing: an Essential Tool for a Sustainable Global HIV/AIDS Response. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 32, 10.1128/cmr.00097-18 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00097-18
9. Rosen, J.G., Ddaaki, W.G., Nakyanjo, N., Chang, L.W., Vo, A.V., Zhao, T., Nakigozi, G., Nalugoda, F., Kigozi, G., Kagaayi, J., Quinn, T.C., Grabowski, M.K., Reynolds, S.J., Kennedy, C.E., Galiwango, R.M.: The potential promise and pitfalls of point-of-care viral load monitoring to expedite HIV treatment decision-making in rural Uganda: a qualitative study. BMC Health Serv Res. 24, 1265 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-11747-w
10. Chakraborty, S.: Democratizing nucleic acid-based molecular diagnostic tests for infectious diseases at resource-limited settings – from point of care to extreme point of care. Sensors & Diagnostics. 3, 536–561 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1039/D3SD00304C
11. Trickey, A., Fajardo, E., Alemu, D., Artenie, A.A., Easterbrook, P.: Impact of hepatitis C virus point-of-care RNA viral load testing compared with laboratory-based testing on uptake of RNA testing and treatment, and turnaround times: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 8, 253–270 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(22)00346-6
12. Trickey, A., Fajardo, E., Alemu, D., Artenie, A.A., Easterbrook, P.: Impact of hepatitis C virus point-of-care RNA viral load testing compared with laboratory-based testing on uptake of RNA testing and treatment, and turnaround times: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 8, 253–270 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(22)00346-6
13. Drain, P.K., Dorward, J., Bender, A., Lillis, L., Marinucci, F., Sacks, J., Bershteyn, A., Boyle, D.S., Posner, J.D., Garrett, N.: Point-of-Care HIV Viral Load Testing: an Essential Tool for a Sustainable Global HIV/AIDS Response. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 32, 10.1128/cmr.00097-18 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00097-18
14. Drain, P.K., Dorward, J., Bender, A., Lillis, L., Marinucci, F., Sacks, J., Bershteyn, A., Boyle, D.S., Posner, J.D., Garrett, N.: Point-of-Care HIV Viral Load Testing: an Essential Tool for a Sustainable Global HIV/AIDS Response. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 32, 10.1128/cmr.00097-18 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00097-18
15. Drain, P.K., Dorward, J., Bender, A., Lillis, L., Marinucci, F., Sacks, J., Bershteyn, A., Boyle, D.S., Posner, J.D., Garrett, N.: Point-of-Care HIV Viral Load Testing: an Essential Tool for a Sustainable Global HIV/AIDS Response. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 32, 10.1128/cmr.00097-18 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00097-18
16. Rosen, J.G., Ddaaki, W.G., Nakyanjo, N., Chang, L.W., Vo, A.V., Zhao, T., Nakigozi, G., Nalugoda, F., Kigozi, G., Kagaayi, J., Quinn, T.C., Grabowski, M.K., Reynolds, S.J., Kennedy, C.E., Galiwango, R.M.: The potential promise and pitfalls of point-of-care viral load monitoring to expedite HIV treatment decision-making in rural Uganda: a qualitative study. BMC Health Serv Res. 24, 1265 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-11747-w
17. Trickey, A., Fajardo, E., Alemu, D., Artenie, A.A., Easterbrook, P.: Impact of hepatitis C virus point-of-care RNA viral load testing compared with laboratory-based testing on uptake of RNA testing and treatment, and turnaround times: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 8, 253–270 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(22)00346-6
18. Jani, I.V., Peter, T.F.: Nucleic Acid Point-of-Care Testing to Improve Diagnostic Preparedness. Clin Infect Dis. 75, 723–728 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciac013
19. Rosen, J.G., Ddaaki, W.G., Nakyanjo, N., Chang, L.W., Vo, A.V., Zhao, T., Nakigozi, G., Nalugoda, F., Kigozi, G., Kagaayi, J., Quinn, T.C., Grabowski, M.K., Reynolds, S.J., Kennedy, C.E., Galiwango, R.M.: The potential

promise and pitfalls of point-of-care viral load monitoring to expedite HIV treatment decision-making in rural Uganda: a qualitative study. BMC Health Serv Res. 24, 1265 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-11747-w
20. Roberts, T., Cohn, J., Bonner, K., Hargreaves, S.: Scale-up of Routine Viral Load Testing in Resource-Poor Settings: Current and Future Implementation Challenges. Clin Infect Dis. 62, 1043–1048 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw001
21. Madu, C.V., Aloh, H.E., Egba, S.I., Ukaidi, C.U.A., Alum, N.B.: The price of progress: Assessing the financial costs of HIV/AIDS management in East Africa. Medicine (Baltimore). 104, e42300 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000042300
22. Park, K.S., Heo, H., Choi, Y.K.: Design and Realization of Integrated Management System for Data Interoperability between Point-of-Care Testing Equipment and Hospital Information System. Healthc Inform Res. 19, 222–228 (2013). https://doi.org/10.4258/hir.2013.19.3.222
23. Drain, P.K., Dorward, J., Bender, A., Lillis, L., Marinucci, F., Sacks, J., Bershteyn, A., Boyle, D.S., Posner, J.D., Garrett, N.: Point-of-Care HIV Viral Load Testing: an Essential Tool for a Sustainable Global HIV/AIDS Response. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 32, 10.1128/cmr.00097-18 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00097-18

CITE AS: Kato Jumba K. (2026). Point-of-Care Viral Load Monitoring Technologies for HIV Management in Resource-Limited Settings. NEWPORT INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES,6(1): 68-72.
https://doi.org/10.59298/NIJEP/2026/616872