

# The Role of Storytelling in Legal Communication

**Okwerede Emmanuel**

**College of Economics, Kampala International University, Uganda**

## ABSTRACT

Storytelling is a powerful tool in legal communication, serving as a means of making complex legal arguments more comprehensible and persuasive. This paper investigates how storytelling enhances advocacy by engaging the emotions and empathy of judges, jurors, and other legal audiences. It delves into the significance of narrative techniques in trial proceedings, legal writing, and negotiation, examining how storytelling can simplify intricate legal matters while maintaining accuracy and ethical integrity. The study further discusses strategies for integrating storytelling into legal practice, emphasizing the selection of appropriate narratives and their impact on legal decision-making. Through case studies and theoretical analysis, this paper highlights how effective storytelling contributes to successful legal advocacy while addressing the ethical considerations surrounding its use.

**Keywords:** Legal storytelling, advocacy, legal communication, persuasion, narrative techniques, emotional connection.

## INTRODUCTION

The process of storytelling goes hand in hand with advocacy and thus with legal communication. For the practice of law and the following judicial decision-making, particularly in common law systems, advocacy is a vital skill. It may be less evident in the civil law systems, where the primary duty of the judge is not seen as determining the facts of the case, but rather interpreting the law and applying those interpretations to the facts as determined by delegated fact-finders. Judges, uninfluenced by public relations and other interests that affect commercial lawyers, are more receptive to a mode of communication that prioritizes a straightforward and comprehensive account of events. The fundamental duty of a lawyer is to present their client's case, both facts and law, in a manner beneficial to their interests, so they must be able to communicate that case most effectively. Furthermore, when a case is decided by a jury, it is paramount that it is communicated in a manner that is straightforward and comprehensible to laypeople for them to make an informed decision [1, 2, 3]. There are, however, set methodologies and rules on how the law can be established. The professional agglomerates of lawyers and judges that developed in the medieval period established these methodologies and systems of proof so that laws could be understood and applied with regularity. These processes, with time, transformed into set itineraries of trial or suit, with resulting procedures that could be usefully followed in a set order. Unfortunately for modern legal professionals, such procedures have become encrusted and overly complex, and the brevity of the deadline and obligation to cover all relevant authorities and arguments in the written skeleton arguments makes the use of such set itineraries impossible or impractical. Consequentially, the complex legal argument commonly found in written legal submissions can appear trepidatious to retainers and evoke frustration in the judiciary. As such, legal reasoning and argument must be recast in a more comprehensible and eloquent manner [4, 5].

### The Power of Storytelling in Advocacy

Storytelling has been recognized as an effective method for conveying complex legal issues in an accessible manner, and an increasing number of law schools have workshops and courses on the subject. Storytelling goes beyond merely arguing the law and the facts; it involves telling the facts in such a way that the audience will feel as opposed to thinking. This is important when arguing a motion because the matter is so complex an advocate must simplify. Storytelling is a way of doing so. It is one way of engaging the judge's emotions, a way of engendering empathy for a client to persuade. It is a way of This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

making a subtle appeal that is disguised as understanding [6, 7]. Reading scripts of five successful motion arguments, one feature in all of them is how the complexity of the facts was broken down into smaller narratives. By crafting stories and explaining the psychological principles behind why those stories are persuasive, it is hoped that advocates will be better equipped to approach the bench and make a compelling argument [8, 9]. It is generally accepted among trial advocacy experts that a legal argument may be greatly enhanced by couching it in narrative form. Any lawyer worth her salt has heard countless times that her job comes down to “telling a good story.” At its most basic level, an argument is a story. There is a story the lawyer writes; it is the story from her client’s perspective. So that legal writers are not confused, however, there is no question that the law should be woven throughout the story. It is carried by the facts that are selected for inclusion, by how the facts are argued, and by the legal authorities presented in the story. A story the lawyer’s opponent will tell works as a map that the lawyer will draw, much like an ink blot map in a child’s coloring book. These story maps are the only way the judge will hear the client’s story and the only way it will appear to the judge through the pleading requirements [10, 11].

### **Emotional Connection and Empathy**

A focused examination of how empathy and emotional connection are major variables in legal storytelling reveals just how far more research is necessary. A psychological perspective highlights the effectiveness of narrative messages on the public as a way to deliver a concealed policy argument. People readily become absorbed in a message that is structured as a narrative. If a message is made in a story, then people tend to be transported into its images, sounds, and feelings and temporarily lose touch with the world around them. While absorbed in the message, an individual’s world changes and can be shaped by the conflict. Narratives that evoke empathy on the public’s side are more effective at transmitting their own concealed policy argument. People generally wish to help others who are in the same position they have formerly been in. Thus the type of empathy evoked by the narrative should be shared with a fictional character, despite the message coming from the other side of the issue. Understanding the emotions the public feels towards an audience can be of help in the development of persuasive narratives. Like in the case of the general narrative fall event given with jurors, these narratives must speak to the public’s values, emotions, and beliefs and thus refrain from limiting the account to legal procedures, declarations, or outlines, and instead, shed light on the human experience behind the issue. Several additional variables discovered as being important in the effectiveness of a narrative message are adduced. The public’s reaction to a narrative that has worn the public narrative seal is also put to the test to verify if there is a total agreement in the results on both sides [12, 13].

### **Strategies for Incorporating Storytelling in Legal Communication**

Law practitioners are exhorted to be effective storytellers, i.e., they must be able to tell others what happened in a way that is not only legally relevant but interesting and persuasive also. Indeed, any lawyer worth her salt knows that being able to translate a statement of facts into a story that is engaging and compelling is critical – for during pretrial practice to sway a court to see matters the client’s way. To say that a trial or an appeal concerns a ‘story’ is a cliché, but the entire legal field is woven with narrative tactics to secure wins for clients or to persuade the courts to embrace certain principles. Storytelling, therefore, is not only the handmaiden of delivering a clear picture for triers but a powerful mode of persuasion also. There are too many kinds of stories with too many purposes to tell in the practice of law [14, 15]. Consequently, being a good storyteller requires intentionality: it is essential that the narrative selected is not only vivid and coherent but that it serves to make a certain point as well. Unintentionally selected stories can have a neutral or even detrimental effect during these exchanges. Knowing what story to tell is as important as it is to practice the art. Storytelling should be seen as a mechanism of increasing clarity and persuasiveness in legal communication, as a set of strategies instead of a valence of story to be deployed. Three general guidelines are offered that stakeholders in the legal process might consider in addition to incorporating more storytelling into their advocacy repertoire. These guidelines provide a framework for being compassionate agents by listening and engaging more effectively with all stories shared during the legal encounter in the hope that this might bring about better and more ‘preferred’ outcomes [16, 17].

### **Choosing The Right Narrative**

Lawyers must be good storytellers. As a storytelling lawyer, there is a critical decision on which narrative will be most effective. Lawyers have a choice among many types of narratives; personal stories, case histories, hypothetical scenarios, and more can be presented. Narrative communication theory contends that the objectives of any particular narrative are often best realized through one narrative form, in one light, or within a single context. Legal history can yield clues on how narrative choices have traditionally

been made in legal communication, yet narrative theories offer far greater guidance on how to choose the right narrative. At the same time, the law has evolved to obscure facts in the adversarial system. As such, story topics are sometimes successfully kept away from the jury, the judge, and the general public. But what is inadmissible in court is often sharable in other realms. Literary and narrative theorists have much to say about how form relates to content and how a story is processed by an audience. Narrativity also explores the ever-changing relationship between subjects and observers of stories, often focusing on the unsettling implications of form and process for truth. Learning and then retelling a story is also a goal of advocacy pursued in this light, one that will be taken up here. Trial lawyers might take careful note of how stories should be told, or of the larger ethical implications that telling and retelling might entail. Teachers of trial advocacy will no doubt find an approach to witness roles and methods of interest. Ultimately storytelling within the legal profession is an issue. The goal here is to know how narrative choices affect perception and outcome, and to thus be able to make better decisions about how cases - and ourselves - are seen [18, 19, 20].

### **Case Studies: Successful Examples of Storytelling in Legal Advocacy**

"Our Stories", "But the Eyes are the Windows to their Stories", and "Storytelling and Oral Storytelling in American Deportation Defense" proficiently articulate dozens of varied stories, at least on the surface of things - they implicate the use of explicit "storytelling techniques": in "Our Stories", for instance, much is made of the thieves' offered help to hang the moon back up after it falls to the earth; "But the Eyes" concentrates on the specifics of Ali's wife's death; and "Oral Storytelling" is all about the construction of a "story bank" of emotions paired with narrative situations. With a closer look, however, it becomes plain that implicit in the collection of attested stories are involved diverse other "techniques", which reveal how these stories work to call to mind and stage various identification claims - several of which significantly elicited judge denials, leading to asylum refusal decisions. This paper analyzes these diverse other storytelling techniques in a random deportation case study [21, 22, 23].

### **Ethical Considerations in Storytelling and Advocacy**

Storytelling by lawyers plays an important role in the legal system, but it must be used responsibly, while ethical considerations for both sides and storytelling's role in negotiation and settlement. Time-honored legal conventions ground storytelling rules for lawyers in two basic precepts —accuracy and relevance. Accuracy demands that representations made to the court be absolutely and entirely true. Relevance demands that the story told be pertinent to the legal issues resolved by the trial. Anything can be the subject of a legal story, provided it avoids the legal no man's land of hearsay, the irrelevant, and the inflammatory. Legal advocates may deploy their talents at trial in narrow and specific circumstances, but in broader terms, most legal narratives are not crafted by the advocate at all [24, 25]. Effective storytelling can be a weapon that poses risks to both sides. In the hands of an armed and skilled practitioner, it can snuff out a client's case before it goes to trial. Analyze how narratives can be exploited in this manner and what risks they pose to lawyers and clients alike. Sound a cautionary note for trial attorneys tempted to deploy the narrative arts as weapons to be wielded without discrimination. Encourages other legal writers to join in this effort, however, asking how narratives might best be turned to protect and defend clients, pointing out the genuine risks posed by adversarial storytelling to both parties [26, 27].

### **CONCLUSION**

Storytelling is an essential skill in legal advocacy, enabling lawyers to present cases in a compelling and accessible manner. By framing legal arguments within engaging narratives, attorneys can foster empathy, enhance clarity, and persuade judges and juries effectively. However, the ethical use of storytelling must remain a priority, ensuring accuracy and fairness in legal proceedings. As legal education increasingly recognizes the value of narrative strategies, practitioners must refine their storytelling skills to balance persuasion with ethical responsibility. Ultimately, storytelling serves not only as a persuasive tool but also as a means to uphold justice by making the law more understandable and relatable.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Jacobs M, Maryns K. Managing narratives, managing identities: Language and credibility in legal consultations with asylum seekers. *Language in Society*. 2022 Jun;51(3):375-402.
2. Gelfgren S, Ineland J, Cocq C. Social media and disability advocacy organizations: caught between hopes and realities. *Disability & Society*. 2022 Aug 9;37(7):1085-106.
3. Korkea-Aho E. Legal lobbying: The evolving (but hidden) role of lawyers and law firms in the EU public affairs market. *German Law Journal*. 2021 Jan;22(1):65-84.

4. Wycichowski-Kuchta G. Argumentation and Discourse of Polish Constitutional Tribunal Case-Law on Reprivatisation of Warsaw Real-Estate. *International Journal for the Semiotics of Law- Revue internationale de Sémiotique juridique*. 2024 Dec 20;1-22. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
5. Arena A. De una factura de electricidad impagada a la primacía del derecho europeo: así nació la jurisprudencia" Costa/Enel". *Revista de Derecho Comunitario Europeo*. 2021;25(70):897-931.
6. Swann C, Jackman PC, Lawrence A, Hawkins RM, Goddard SG, Williamson O, Schweickel MJ, Vella SA, Rosenbaum S, Ekkekakis P. The (over) use of SMART goals for physical activity promotion: A narrative review and critique. *Health psychology review*. 2023 Apr 3;17(2):211-26. [tandfonline.com](https://www.tandfonline.com)
7. Rahman MM, Dana LP, Moral IH, Anjum N, Rahaman MS. Challenges of rural women entrepreneurs in Bangladesh to survive their family entrepreneurship: a narrative inquiry through storytelling. *Journal of Family Business Management*. 2023 Aug 24;13(3):645-64. [\[HTML\]](#)
8. Chang K, Lasyoud AA, Osman D. Management accounting system: Insights from the decision making theories. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*. 2023 Jan 1;8(1):100529.
9. Hellmich C. Al-Qaeda—terrorists, hypocrites, fundamentalists? The view from within. *In Terrorism and the Politics of Naming* 2013 Oct 18 (pp. 35-50). Routledge.
10. Raymond M, Welch DA. What's Really Going On in the South China Sea?. *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*. 2022 Aug;41(2):214-39. [sagepub.com](https://www.sagepub.com)
11. Audretsch DB, Lehmann EE. Narrative entrepreneurship: bringing (his) story back to entrepreneurship: Narrative entrepreneurship: bringing (his) story back to entrepreneurship. *Small Business Economics*. 2023 Apr;60(4):1593-612.
12. Cummings JJ, Tsay-Vogel M, Cahill TJ, Zhang L. Effects of immersive storytelling on affective, cognitive, and associative empathy: The mediating role of presence. *new media & society*. 2022 Sep;24(9):2003-26. [academia.edu](https://www.academia.edu)
13. Muris P, Otgaar H. Self-esteem and self-compassion: A narrative review and meta-analysis on their links to psychological problems and well-being. *Psychology research and behavior management*. 2023 Dec 31:2961-75. [tandfonline.com](https://www.tandfonline.com)
14. Watharow A, Wayland S. Different Ways of Being, Doing and Telling in Qualitative Research: Lessons From d/Deafblind Studies. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. 2024 Mar 25;23:16094069241244864. [sagepub.com](https://www.sagepub.com)
15. Clementson DE, Beatty MJ. Narratives as viable crisis response strategies: Attribution of crisis responsibility, organizational attitudes, reputation, and storytelling. *Communication studies*. 2021 Jan 2;72(1):52-67.
16. Bullock OM, Shulman HC, Huskey R. Narratives are persuasive because they are easier to understand: examining processing fluency as a mechanism of narrative persuasion. *Frontiers in Communication*. 2021 Sep 8;6:719615.
17. Chesler SM, Sneddon KJ. The Power of a Good Story: How Narrative Techniques Can Make Transactional Documents More Persuasive. *Nev. LJ*. 2021;22:649.
18. Valverde JNR. Under Narrative Therapy to Re-Author the Dominant Law Student Narrative, Foster Professional Identity Development, and Restore Hope. *Clinical L. Rev.*. 2021. [nyu.edu](https://www.nyu.edu)
19. Angermeyer PS. Beyond translation equivalence: Advocating pragmatic equality before the law. *Journal of Pragmatics*. 2021 Mar 1;174:157-67.
20. Varsava N. Professional irresponsibility and judicial opinions. *Hous. L. REv.*. 2021;59:103.
21. Siddiqui N, Pryor L, Dave R. User authentication schemes using machine learning methods—a review. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Communication and Computational Technologies: ICCCT 2021* 2021 (pp. 703-723). Springer Singapore. [academia.edu](https://www.academia.edu)
22. Zeng X, Zhang X, Yang S, Shi Z, Chi C. Gait-based implicit authentication using edge computing and deep learning for mobile devices. *Sensors*. 2021 Jul 5;21(13):4592.
23. Jaramillo C, Nohelty K. Guidance for behavior analysts in addressing racial implicit bias. *Behavior Analysis in Practice*. 2022 Dec;15(4):1170-83.
24. Jaramillo C, Nohelty K. Guidance for behavior analysts in addressing racial implicit bias. *Behavior Analysis in Practice*. 2022 Dec;15(4):1170-83.
25. Kagan RA. American lawyers, legal culture, and adversarial legalism. In *Legal culture and the legal profession* 2021 Oct 28 (pp. 7-51). Routledge.
26. Schwartz NS. On target: Gun culture, storytelling, and the NRA. University of Toronto Press; 2022 Aug 31.

27. Bengio Y, Hinton G, Yao A, Song D, Abbeel P, Darrell T, Harari YN, Zhang YQ, Xue L, Shalev-Shwartz S, Hadfield G. Managing extreme AI risks amid rapid progress. Science. 2024 May 24;384(6698):842-5.

<b>CITE AS: Okwerede Emmanuel. (2025). The Role of Storytelling in Legal Communication. Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management 7(3):97-101</b>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------