

The Impact of Water Quality on Public Health

Irakoze Mukamana S.

School of Applied Health Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Water quality is an important factor of public health, with inadequate water sanitation and safety resulting in millions of preventable deaths worldwide each year. This study investigates the complex relationship between water quality and public health, with an emphasis on the variables that jeopardize water safety, such as pollution, industrialization, and insufficient sanitation. The review examines the prevalence of waterborne infections, particularly in developing nations, to show how a lack of access to clean water exacerbates health inequities, poverty, and environmental degradation. This study also covers existing methods for monitoring water quality, the importance of regulatory frameworks, and the worldwide problems of ensuring safe water standards in the face of climate change. The report concludes with recommendations for improving water quality and guaranteeing equitable access to safe water as a fundamental human right.

Keywords: Water quality, Public health, Waterborne diseases, Sanitation, Water pollution.

INTRODUCTION

Water acts as the foundation of life, serving as an intrinsic resource required for sustaining human life. The supply of water is not only environmental but also has psycho-social implications for individuals and communities. A safe, adequate, and easily accessible water supply is therefore considered a basic human right. Although advances in water purification and distribution technology have helped alleviate water-related pathogens, waterborne diseases are still major threats to life, especially in developing countries [1, 2]. Even in today's advanced world, millions of people, mostly children, die each year due to several infectious diseases related to poor water and inadequate sanitation. The right to health and water is intimately related, and social and economic disparities often restrict access to safe drinking water. Inadequate water quality and sanitation are also indicators of poverty, leading to a vicious cycle of disease and suffering. Globally, variations in water availability and access are reflected in disparities in public health. The three big interlinked topics water, environment, and health form a web of understanding. This essay critically reviews water quality as a global public health issue. Several different aspects are explored with a main emphasis on the quality dimensions of water and their implications for public health [3, 4]. Thematic areas are broadly categorized into four categories: description of water quality, dimensions of water quality in terms of its surrounding ecology, water quality, and aquatic/ecological resources, populations' water quality and public health, effects of poor water quality, and approaches to tackle water quality problems. Thousands of years ago, when the human population was relatively small, water quality and quantity were not major issues. But presently, most of the world suffers from diminished availability of clean and adequate water. As the population grows and agricultural and industrial activities expand and urbanize, pollution levels of natural resources, mainly water resources, have increased enormously. People have become increasingly concerned about the impact of pollution on human health and the wider environment, and the sense of expression reached audiences when irreversible events took place. Often, such instances also suggested the limits of human knowledge and insight into predicting and curing human health problems [5, 6].

Importance Of Water Quality for Public Health

The development and application of sanitation and water technologies are very closely linked, and the increase of human settlements and agriculture has always been very closely tied to water quality. The importance of water quality is often considered a mere survival variable and, to a large extent, is

overlooked, as water quality plays a leading role in epidemiology and public health. Waterborne, as well as water-washed, diseases causing illness and death, are significant contributors to the global public health burden, particularly in the developing world where existing health systems are inadequate to deal with the multiple non-specific effects of diarrheal illnesses and other related environmentally induced health concerns [7, 8]. Drinking water standards tell us when water is not safe but give no indicator of whether it is necessary to use the water for drudgery and suggest no limit at which a community might find the water unacceptable for such purposes. In the last two decades, there have also been developments in socio-economic research showing a direct correlation between poverty and limited access to clean water and health services. There is now a growing body of research literature that attempts to describe the connection between poor water quality, illness, and less-than-optimal performance in school. There is very little research on the direct or indirect effects of water on nutritional health or environmental sustainability. The relationship between poor water quality and illness is poorly understood. The psychological aspects of diarrhea and the fear of contracting diarrhea by drinking unsafe water are largely ignored. Development practitioners would greatly benefit from more research on the struggles poor people face to get enough water just to live. This would help in including water in the larger and much-needed look at the marginalization of poor populations. It would also prove useful in calculating the cost of 'getting enough water to live with' for policy advocacy. Finally, research on positive associations with well-motivated people coping with scarcity would be helpful to those seeking to promote or assist small local development projects [9, 10].

Common Waterborne Diseases

Giardiasis is the world's most frequently occurring intestinal parasite infection, responsible for diarrhea, abdominal cramps, and occasional decreased appetite, flatulence, bloating, and weight loss. Infections are typically spread through contaminated water, but there have also been instances of transmission via food, person-to-person contact, and sexual activity. Once inside the body, Giardia can cause infection beginning in the small intestine with symptoms manifesting as early as two days post-swallow [11, 12]. Many of these waterborne diseases have historically caused large epidemics, sometimes spreading as pandemics, resulting in millions of infections and numerous deaths. Although sanitation and hygiene improvements can help prevent the spread of water-contaminated diseases, in some places where access to safe water is scarce, the most effective prevention measure is to treat the contaminated drinking water. Of even greater concern is the projected impact climate change will have on water quality and the spread of waterborne diseases. As global warming continues, the world has seen increased flooding, which often results in the spread of water-contaminated diseases. Additionally, less predictable rainfall patterns have led to mucosal runoff events that can contaminate local water with dangerous pathogens. To minimize the negative impacts of water quality on public health, public health practitioners and researchers continue to monitor disease trends, improve monitoring processes and technologies, conduct surveillance and outbreak investigations, and design interventions to more effectively combat these harmful conditions [13, 14].

Methods For Assessing Water Quality

Many methodologies are used by researchers, professionals, and agencies to assess the quality of water at different scales and when addressing different concerns. The primary methods used in water analysis fall into two categories: physical and chemical testing. Physical tests are designed to measure various properties of water, including its appearance, odor, and taste. Chemical tests, however, are more detailed and identify yielding characteristics and specific contaminants in a water sample. This can be used to assess safety for drinking, irrigation, and other domestic purposes, as the many types of organic and inorganic compounds in water can indeed impair human health and ecosystems. The methods described above are generally adequate to assess the state of larger bodies of water, but researchers and managers increasingly combine the results of other, more advanced approaches for more detailed information about water quality in an area. Some of these include using geographic information systems, remote sensing information, and water quality modeling to get a detailed view of the water quality within the designated area. These can be useful planning, management, and pollution tracking tools [15, 16]. Routine and systematic data collection provides public health decision-makers with information about trends and changes in water quality as well as the naturally occurring contaminants. Detailed instructions and guidelines for sampling water, testing for contaminants, and interpreting the results from individual water mouths, water sources, and/or water supplies are provided by various professional and government organizations. Additionally, national and international databases of water quality analysis data are maintained. The use of such well-documented and standardized procedures for sampling and analysis guarantees that collected water quality information is accurate and usable and that results are comparable between regions, countries, and over time. Problems in data collection can arise in remote or low-resource areas where there is no logistic or financial capacity to perform the tests specified by such standardized

guidelines. In these cases, managers generally stress simplified methods and kits, local use of more advanced methods, as well as a strong surveillance and monitoring program. With significant data collected by the appropriate authorities, analysis systems and dynamic cumulative options are very efficient in highlighting water quality. These can also be precious decision-making tools. The location of usable water in a region can be emphasized using an analysis of multiple criteria. This method operates on an integration of biophysical parameters, socioeconomic data, and water sampling findings. In many cases, remote information will be effectively used to generate several of the mentioned parameters. A participatory approach is useful for highlighting not only the usable water but also points out the potential zones for usable water in the regions. This approach engages local managers in order to take into account their very local knowledge and technical capabilities [17, 18].

Regulatory Frameworks for Ensuring Safe Water Supply

National and international regulations have been established to ensure water that meets public health standards. The responsible agency is tasked with drinking water quality standards, which can be more stringent than other regulatory limits established in other divisions of the same agency. To maintain a high standard of water quality, any water provider must follow those limits and any new contaminants that have been identified under the Safe Drinking Water Act. Within communities, the state government has the responsibility for recognizing public water supplies, enforcing federal regulations, and regulating private wells as appropriate. It is important to highlight the geographical and political systems in certain regions where several agencies may be responsible for water quality laws [19, 20]. Defining strict legal standards can foster compliance, and the law plays a key role in the governance of public health risks. This is particularly important because many contaminants and water issues are not recognizable to the naked eye. It may be difficult to blame the water provider for changes if independent well water samples have been tested. Through challenging laws, our society has driven and pushed our current providers to ensure safe water. The legal constraints reinforce the public claim. Enforcement has caused households to increase their sampling of lead in water, which in turn reduced blood pressure in children and improved health. Some regulatory issues are still unresolved, raising concerns about existing regulations. First, regulation of water providers may not be applied to alternative decentralized institutions, NGOs, and members. Second, different self-consumer management can present conflicting regulations; ozone administration, for example, is regulated in the air, water, and discharge federal domains. More importantly, strict regulation in the region can encourage the managers of the region to exploit it and allow polluters to operate in areas where their dangerous waste is less regulated. Thus, water laws must work with different management systems and the ability of the NGO community, in cooperation, to establish alternative regulations. In essence, the range of rules regarding the requirements of drinking water provides a certain framework for the physical quality of water quality monitoring [21, 22].

CONCLUSION

Water quality is intricately linked to public health, with inadequate sanitation and unsafe water sources disproportionately affecting vulnerable populations, particularly in developing regions. The persistence of waterborne diseases, despite advances in technology and sanitation, underscores the need for stronger governance, stricter regulatory frameworks, and innovative solutions to ensure equitable access to clean water. Global efforts to mitigate water pollution, adapt to climate change, and address socio-economic disparities must be intensified to break the vicious cycle of poor water quality, disease, and poverty. Investing in water infrastructure, public health education, and community-level interventions will be critical in achieving global health and water security.

REFERENCES

1. Kombo Mpindou GO, Escuder Bueno I, Chorda Ramon E. Review on emerging waterborne pathogens in Africa: the case of *Cryptosporidium*. *Water*. 2021 Oct 21;13(21):2966. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/w13212966)
2. Islam MS, Hassan-uz-Zaman M, Islam MS, Clemens JD, Ahmed N. Waterborne pathogens: Review of outbreaks in developing nations. *Waterborne Pathogens*. 2020 Jan 1:43-56. [\[HTML\]](#)
3. Alvarez CH, Calasanti A, Evans CR, Ard K. Intersectional inequalities in industrial air toxics exposure in the United States. *Health & Place*. 2022 Aug 21; 77:102886-.
4. Andrés L, Joseph G, Rana S. The economic and health impacts of inadequate sanitation. *InOxford research encyclopedia of environmental science* 2021 Aug 31.
5. Howard G, Bartram J, Williams A, Overbo A, Geere JA, World Health Organization. Domestic water quantity, service level and health. World Health Organization; 2020. ISBN 9789240015241 [who.int](https://www.who.int)
6. Nagar A, Pradeep T. Clean water through nanotechnology: needs, gaps, and fulfillment. *ACS nano*. 2020 May 20;14(6):6420-35.

7. Leonard AF, Singer A, Ukoumunne OC, Gaze WH, Garside R. Is it safe to go back into the water? A systematic review and meta-analysis of the risk of acquiring infections from recreational exposure to seawater. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2018 Apr 1;47(2):572-86.
8. Mao K, Zhang H, Pan Y, Yang Z. Biosensors for wastewater-based epidemiology for monitoring public health. *Water research*. 2021 Mar 1; 191:116787.
9. Banerjee R, Mishra V, Maruta AA. Energy poverty, health, and education outcomes: evidence from the developing world. *Energy economics*. 2021 Sep 1; 101:105447.
10. Fonseca LM, Domingues JP, Dima AM. Mapping the sustainable development goals relationships. *Sustainability*. 2020 Apr 20;12(8):3359.
11. Dixon BR. *Giardia duodenalis* in humans and animals—Transmission and disease. *Research in veterinary science*. 2021 Mar 1; 135:283-9.
12. Malik YS, Arun Prince Milton A, Ghatak S, Ghosh S, Malik YS, Arun Prince Milton A, Ghatak S, Ghosh S. Cryptosporidiosis. Role of Birds in Transmitting Zoonotic Pathogens. 2021:209-20.
13. Mercer A. Protection against severe infectious disease in the past. *Pathogens and global health*. 2021 Apr 3;115(3):151-67.
14. Vögele J, Rittershaus L, Schuler K. Epidemics and Pandemics—the Historical Perspective. Introduction. *Historical Social Research/Historische Sozialforschung*. Supplement. 2021 Jan 1(33):7-33. [ssoar.info](https://www.ssoar.info)
15. Akhtar N, Syakir Ishak MI, Bhawani SA, Umar K. Various natural and anthropogenic factors responsible for water quality degradation: A review. *Water*. 2021 Sep 27;13(19):2660.
16. Borah P, Kumar M, Devi P. Types of inorganic pollutants: metals/metalloids, acids, and organic forms. In *Inorganic pollutants in water 2020* Jan 1 (pp. 17-31). Elsevier.
17. Capdevila AS, Kokimova A, Ray SS, Avellán T, Kim J, Kirschke S. Success factors for citizen science projects in water quality monitoring. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2020 Aug 1; 728:137843.
18. Tung TM, Yaseen ZM. A survey on river water quality modelling using artificial intelligence models: 2000–2020. *Journal of Hydrology*. 2020 Jun 1; 585:124670.
19. Shoushtarian F, Negahban-Azar M. Worldwide regulations and guidelines for agricultural water reuse: a critical review. *Water*. 2020 Mar 29;12(4):971.
20. Wen X, Chen F, Lin Y, Zhu H, Yuan F, Kuang D, Jia Z, Yuan Z. Microbial indicators and their use for monitoring drinking water quality—A review. *Sustainability*. 2020 Mar 13;12(6):2249. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
21. Jarvis P, Fawell J. Lead in drinking water—an ongoing public health concern? *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health*. 2021 Apr 1; 20:100239.
22. Fisher MB, Guo AZ, Tracy JW, Prasad SK, Cronk RD, Browning EG, Liang KR, Kelly ER, Bartram JK. Occurrence of lead and other toxic metals derived from drinking-water systems in three West African countries. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2021 Apr 20;129(4):047012. [nih.gov](https://www.nih.gov)