EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (EEJHSS) ISSN: 2992-4111

©EEJHSS Publications Volume 7 Issue 1 2025

Addressing Health Impacts of Income Inequality

Asiimwe Kyomugisha T.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Income inequality has profound implications for public health, exacerbating disparities in healthcare access, disease prevalence, and life expectancy. This paper examines the link between income distribution and health outcomes, emphasizing the role of social determinants such as neighborhood environments, employment structures, and governmental policies. The paper highlights how income inequality contributes to chronic illnesses, mental health disorders, and overall well-being, particularly in low-income populations. Case studies from the United States and the United Kingdom illustrate the effects of different policy approaches, including public healthcare systems and progressive taxation. The paper also discusses community interventions, policy responses, and structural barriers that hinder equitable health outcomes. Ultimately, addressing income inequality through targeted policies and grassroots initiatives is essential to fostering a healthier and more equitable society.

Keywords: Income Inequality, Health Disparities, Social Determinants of Health, Public Health, Socioeconomic Status, Healthcare Access, Policy Interventions.

INTRODUCTION

Research examining the determinants of health was traditionally focused on individual differences in socioeconomic status (SES) and the health outcomes that are associated with it. There is a vast body of literature that demonstrates a positive relationship between individual-level SES and health. This research shows that there is a graded association between SES and health and that morbidity and mortality differ across all levels of SES. These differences are seen across a range of health outcomes, including chronic disease incidence and prevalence, life expectancy, and self-rated health. More recent research is focused on how socioeconomic factors at higher levels influence health. Ideally, people would be ranked perfectly on a scale of SES and health outcomes, such that each step up the ladder would bring better health outcomes and reduced contact with the health care system. The reality of this model is anything but perfect as people move up and down the ladder over the course. One of the least understood processes in the SES-health literature is how health outcomes are affected by neighborhood-level factors. Neighborhoods are viewed as a potentially important level of stratification because they can affect the distribution of resources. The background community characteristics can combine with those individual characteristics to shape "socio-ecological zones of similarity" or neighborhoods. There were two reasons why they focused on neighborhoods as opposed to living in a food desert or other contextual units - the first was an interest in the social processes through which environmental inequality might function, and the second was data limitations. Most existing studies operationalize this macro-social stratification using relatively low-resolution geographic units [1, 2].

Understanding Income Inequality

Income inequality has been a major social and economic issue globally over the past decade, especially in the US, where the wealthiest 1% reached a 22% share of national income in 2015. This trend mirrors patterns seen in many countries, indicating a growing disparity in income distribution. Various structural changes in advanced capitalist economies, especially the globalization of production and finance, are often cited as key drivers behind this widening income gap. Since the late 1970s, global capitalism has experienced remarkable growth in high-tech industries, finance, and communications, which have contributed to significant employment shifts. The demand for skills has created a division among

workers, intensifying the income inequality issue. Deregulation and financial liberalization have further stimulated financial integration and led to the formation of global value chains, benefiting low-wage workers in developing economies, particularly with the advent of automation and robotics. New industries increasingly rely on less labor-intensive production, resulting in the displacement of blue-collar workers by white-collar jobs. Income distribution shapes social stratification, which in turn affects health outcomes – this healthcare accessibility is intertwined with income inequality. The adverse conditions stemming from inequality contribute to various health disparities, such as stress, anxiety, and living in unhealthy environments. Moreover, income inequality intersects with access to healthcare, compounded by social norms and discrimination. These factors suggest that income distribution may have a more crucial role in addressing health disparities than education alone. Research indicates that perceptions of social status correlate with health, highlighting the interplay between social inequality and health outcomes. Recent studies have explored how income distribution influences social capital and neighborhood opportunities, revealing significant links between high-income shares and poorer health outcomes, such as increased stroke mortality. Public health consequences can stem from neighborhood conditions, such as inadequate infrastructure and diet, further highlighting the implications of income inequality. Effective policies could reshape resource distribution and address these disparities, emphasizing the need for strategies that consider social determinants of health within various environments [3, 4].

Health Outcomes Related to Income Inequality

The welfare state model is essential for implementing policies and resources that ensure public health. Income significantly influences overall health, and recent studies link income inequality to poor health outcomes. Research indicates that individuals in poverty face higher mortality risks, with economic inequality also correlating with negative health effects. Health issues, particularly chronic ailments and premature death, are prevalent in countries with high income inequality. This relationship is crucial in the U.S., where discussions about income distribution are ongoing. Studies analyze various metrics of income distribution's impact on health, finding that higher GDP and income inequality result in decreased life expectancy for men. Income inequality is associated with increased psychological health issues, doubling the impact in some cases. It fuels stress and enhances the likelihood of depression, fostering a sense of relative deprivation, where even those not in acute hardship feel disadvantaged due to notable income disparities. As income inequality rises, so does the prevalence of relative deprivation, leading to a decline in overall population well-being. The evidence consistently shows that higher relative income inequality correlates with preventable poor health outcomes. While media highlights income inequality, academic research often emphasizes natural sciences, neglecting socioeconomic factors and access disparities in healthcare. This paper aims to explore the connection between income inequality and health while focusing on healthcare access issues. Health inequalities often manifest early in life, particularly affecting children in low-income families who face poor educational opportunities and health conditions. Disparities can lead to significant health outcomes, such as malnutrition and increased infectious disease rates. Notably, children in impoverished regions are much more likely to suffer from health issues like diarrhea due to economic inequality. Health and social welfare are critical features of successful nations, revealing striking disparities in health status between and within countries. The paper collectively provides a detailed understanding of social determinants influencing population health, helping form supportive policies. Recognizing and addressing these health disparities can aid in improving quality of life across different demographics [5, 6].

Social Determinants of Health

The article outlines a range of potential mechanisms through which income inequality could influence health. Interactions among income inequality and individual relative income in affecting ill health and interactions of income inequality with other individual social class characteristics were explored. Using data on those aged 16–64 years from the health section of the 1994 General Household Survey, associations between self-reported ill health and different measures of income inequality were tested. Family affluence and area deprivation may moderate the individual-level relationship between relative income and self-reported ill health status such that people with low income in more unequal areas suffer worse health. All relationships were found more consistently in females. Many of the most significant social causes investigate how poor health is systematically reproduced across generations and the lifespan. Such causes include the social patterning of smoking, health-damaging consumption, and stress. However, at least as strong a case can be made that social inequalities in health arise through the

dynamics of income distributions and inequities in the social and material living conditions to which individuals and communities are exposed. In the past, this argument has been made principally because narrower, less equitable income distributions may also reduce levels of economic development and impair the circumstances in which every individual in that society lives. Operationally and conceptually, little distinction is made between the different components of this cluster of exposures, nor the routes of their likely effect. These causes are, however, clearly distinct and can be disaggregated, both theoretically and empirically [7, 8].

Case Studies

The following case studies are designed to provide concrete examples demonstrating the key points raised in the paper of this work and to elucidate the real-world impacts of income inequality on health in different contexts. While there is an extensive and ever-growing body of research examining income disparity and health outcomes in a range of countries, two with very different policy responses and societal structures have been chosen to illustrate this issue: the United States and the United Kingdom. Case studies are primarily drawn from research based in the U.S. and U.K. The reasons for this are twofold. First, as the two countries with which the author is most familiar, they provide concrete examples on which the necessary data could be readily acquired. Second, by comparing the U.S. and U.K., countries that have differing healthcare and welfare protections, it can be more effectively shown how these policies (or lack thereof) influence health outcomes. The intent, however, is to look beyond these locations. By examining two high-income countries, the focus is not on the relationship between poverty and health per se but on how relative income disparity shapes health outcomes. While the U.S. and U.K. may epitomize the wage inequality problem, countries across the globe are all plagued by similar issues. Beyond economic studies – and in some ways, rather startling given the breadth of research dealing with income inequality and health outcomes – geographic proximity seems to play a significant role in the choice to study this issue. Combating wage inequality and its downstream health effects is frequently cited as a key goal for public health policy, yet it remains to be widely addressed. In part, this may be due to a lack of understanding of the spatial extent or the etiology of the problem, an issue that will be addressed more fully later [9, 10].

United States

Addressing The Health Impacts of Income Inequality

In the U.S., income inequality significantly affects health outcomes, particularly in chronic illnesses and uninsured rates among lower-income populations. Data illustrate that this group experiences higher chronic illness rates, and relying on emergency rooms for primary care is problematic. Long-standing racial and ethnic health disparities persist in response to inadequate policies addressing them. Historical income distribution in the U.S. correlates with the health metrics discussed. Government efforts to address income inequality's health impact have been insufficient, leading to record-high income inequality over 30 years. The literature presents contrasting views on whether relative or absolute income plays a more significant role in health disparities. While it's acknowledged that income inequality influences health, the exact causal relationships remain debated. Most research highlights that the poorest populations suffer the worst health outcomes rather than examining overall shifts in income distribution. Studies indicate that higher income inequality leads to lower healthcare service utilization and poorer self-reported physical health. This trend results in a large segment of the population avoiding necessary treatment or preventive care. Consequently, the significant income inequality in the U.S. contributes to stark health outcome disparities when compared to similarly wealthy Western European nations [11, 12].

United Kingdom

Studies have found that communities with higher rates of income inequality also have higher rates of crime, high school dropouts, and divorce rates and receive bills with higher interest. Income inequality has a public cost as well as personal ones, such as lower life expectancy rates in lower income classes. Research involving the United Kingdom will be conducted to determine if the same is true for the United Kingdom and how the National Health Service (NHS) may be affecting such trends. Since 1948, the NHS's goal has been to create a public healthcare service that treats everyone fairly. The UK's healthcare is publicly funded, meaning that individuals do not receive health bills for services granted. However, the delivery of healthcare is a mixing pot between public and private sectors, allowing private enterprises to take on many of the non-critical health services such as eye glasses and optional surgeries. Income has become the leading factor in determining the type, amount, and quality of services rendered. Simply being

ill does not entitle an individual to healthcare. Income and class, or socio-economic standing (SES), are leading factors in predicting health outcomes. Since 1942, when the Beveridge report was released, the UK sought to combat inequalities with the inclusion of healthcare and other public resources [13, 14].

Policy Responses

Income significantly impacts health outcomes, yet government interventions vary widely in their effectiveness and focus due to political culture and public health infrastructure. Key questions arise about who policies target, how they're implemented, and their anticipated impacts. Effective interventions include structural social safety nets and progressive health systems like the UK's NHS, which aim to reduce income-related health disparities. However, some policies may fail to address inequalities or might even exacerbate them. This highlights the need for robust indicators and reliable international comparisons to inform policy design and evaluation, particularly in diverse regions like Eurasia. Examples from Russia, such as mixed results from Ulyanovsk's poverty reduction efforts and ineffective subsidies in Saratov, illustrate varying impacts on health outcomes. China's notable economic growth juxtaposed with rising provincial inequality and mixed healthcare reform effectiveness provides further insight. These cases underscore the necessity for evidence-based policy-making, emphasizing the integration of scientific and experiential knowledge to tackle complex health inequities, ultimately guiding the development of comprehensive policies aimed at improving health outcomes across different populations [15, 16].

Progressive Taxation

In addressing the health effects of income inequality, two general strategies are discussed: focusing on health determinants and recommending political solutions, such as redistribution policies. An example is progressive taxation, which aims to redistribute wealth from the rich to the poor and create a more equitable society. Higher tax revenues can be used to enhance public health services and social programs, crucial for monitoring and improving overall health. Historical evidence indicates that favorable health outcomes stem primarily from social factors rather than medical ones. A more equitable wealth distribution allows everyone to access necessary public services, leading to better health outcomes for all. There is a notable connection between progressive tax systems and positive health outcomes; countries with progressive taxation often report improved health, regardless of their Gini index. Solidarity, defined as the equitable allocation of resources to benefit all, particularly the disadvantaged, plays a role in fostering good health outcomes. Advocates argue that the most disadvantaged individuals should gain the most from income redistribution due to their substantial societal contributions, making their betterment essential. Despite its advantages, implementing a progressive tax system can be politically challenging, especially if low-income populations feel it adversely affects them. Nevertheless, arguments for equity suggest that adopting such a system is necessary, and evidence supports its benefits. Examples from various countries illustrate the potential advantages of enacting a progressive tax system to promote health equity effectively [17, 18].

Community Interventions

Community interventions are increasingly recognized as vital for promoting population health and wellness. Such interventions are important for several reasons. From a rights-based perspective, empowering communities is essential for creating environments that facilitate the right to health. Processes like self-discovery and personal growth occur collectively at the community level. Health outcomes and their determinants are closely tied to social, economic, political, and environmental contexts. Evidence shows that community interventions are effective across diverse topics and operate on multiple levels of the social-ecological model. There is a need for empirical research on community-level interventions, including experimental designs where communities are the main unit of study. Existing programs aiming to link program fidelity with health outcomes show mixed results. Successful community interventions encompass a wide range of initiatives, from international lay health workers to local parenting programs and national policies aimed at reducing homelessness among those with severe mental illness. The relationship between community interventions and social determinants of health raises the potential for large-scale health outcomes that can mitigate the limitations of smaller outcomes. These interventions should focus both on health outcomes and broader social issues related to structural determinants of health and social inequities, particularly income inequality. Moreover, there is a pressing need to define best practices in community engagement, identify types of health and social challenges that community-focused behavioral interventions can address, explore sustainability strategies, and chart pathways for sustainable changes. There is also an interest in applying results from randomized

controlled trials and other studies to improve current programs or develop new community initiatives that prioritize health and promote substantial social outcomes [19, 20].

Local Health Initiatives

Community initiatives allow individuals to effect change and tackle the health impacts of income inequality. Creative strategies have arisen locally to address health disparities due to unequal income distribution. A significant aspect of these interventions includes local health initiatives. In Jos, Nigeria, Gida Girka involves older women gathering daily for blood pressure checks while local musicians deliver health messages. This project, initiated by two NGOs in collaboration with the city government, aims to prevent the 10,000 annual deaths from non-communicable diseases. Such innovative, community-based programs are examples of successful local health initiatives globally, engaging residents in research and management of health solutions. These efforts rely on the active involvement of various local organizations, including NGOs and community-based organizations (CBOs). Local governments are key in understanding and addressing community development challenges, facilitating sustainable solutions. Partnerships among these groups ensure that health interventions are practical, politically viable, and locally relevant, garnering broad support. Effective health interventions must be part of comprehensive programs addressing root causes affecting poor people and marginalized groups. For instance, in San Salvador, where inadequate housing, overcrowding, and violence contribute to health issues, the organization Kuskatan supports community education, basic services, and job creation. These health initiatives also aim to enhance income opportunities for the urban poor. For success, such programs require ongoing government backing and must secure reliable funding sources, as benefits may take time to materialize [21, 22].

Challenges and Barriers

Securing better health for all community members is a shared objective for policymakers, yet achieving equality in health outcomes remains a challenge due to systemic socioeconomic inequalities. These inequalities create barriers that impede effective policy implementation, which are often not physical but can manifest as bureaucratic obstacles or abstract resource allocation. Stigma and misunderstanding of poverty further complicate the situation. Resistance from various stakeholders, especially during discussions about resource redistribution, highlights the importance of public advocacy in driving change. Maintaining the status quo benefits public officials and large organizations, making concerted action against income inequality a threat to their interests. Nonetheless, public pressure has spurred changes, seen in efforts to raise the minimum wage and calls for universal healthcare. However, there are risks involved. Bob Geldof's Food for the Poor initiative in 1980s Ireland exemplifies this, as it raised awareness about the Ethiopian famine but overlooked other affected nations like Mali and Burkina Faso. These grand charitable events can inadvertently normalize poverty and create a narrative that portrays the poor as lazy. The lack of urgent political reform can lead to harmful misconceptions and a cycle of pity rather than accountability. To effectively address these issues, advocates must cultivate a deep understanding of the challenges, acknowledge the involved stakeholders, and plan for potential barriers. With growing public awareness of the health impacts of income inequality in the United States, it is essential to develop strategies that effectively confront these pressing concerns [23, 24].

Future Directions

A burgeoning literature demonstrates the pervasive health impacts of income inequality. While exploring potential causes to these correlations, a special focus has been on health-care disparities. Using a novel model of the health sector that considers patient health status, mortality, insurance coverage rate, medical cost, and hospital congestion cost, this paper addresses how income inequality affects patients' health and hospital congestion. By delineating possible health-care disparities, as income is distributed more unequally, the technological progress of treating critical patients and enhancement of the healthcare sector's mitigation policies is explored. Numerical analysis provides various characteristic features on the effects of income inequality, viewing China as a fundamental example. The results reveal that rapid growth of income inequality leads to significant losses in population health. Critical patients encounter increasing hospital congestion. Rising hospital congestion cost makes both normal and critical patients' health worse. Nevertheless, raising insurance coverage rate is the most effective way to alleviate such adverse effects. Moving beyond bad behaviours, this paper argues there is too much emphasis on targeting individuals and communities and too little attention to underlying causes, particularly to policies that involve multiple sectors that fall outside the traditional domain of public health. After discussing the rationale for this argument, potential fruitful areas for broadening the efforts to reduce

health inequalities are proposed. Substantive progress in measuring progress has illuminated both a deepening understanding of the mechanisms that give rise to health inequalities and areas of minimal progress thus far. There are several areas where progress would likely lead to insights that could accelerate the reduction of health inequalities [25, 26].

CONCLUSION

Income inequality remains a significant determinant of health disparities worldwide. The evidence presented underscores how unequal income distribution correlates with adverse health outcomes, particularly in communities with limited access to healthcare and social resources. Case studies from the U.S. and the U.K. demonstrate the varying effects of government interventions, reinforcing the need for robust policies to mitigate health disparities. Addressing these inequalities requires a multifaceted approach, including progressive taxation, expanded social safety nets, and localized community interventions. While systemic barriers persist, informed policy decisions and grassroots advocacy can drive meaningful change. Tackling income inequality is not just an economic issue but a public health imperative—ensuring that all individuals, regardless of socioeconomic status, have the opportunity to achieve optimal health and well-being.

REFERENCES

1. Munir J, Faiza M, Jamal B, Daud S, Iqbal K. The impact of socio-economic status on academic achievement. Journal of Social Sciences Review. 2023 Jun 30;3(2):695-705. jssr.org.pk
2. Dong X, Yang K, Zhang R, Lv Y. [Retracted] The mental health and grade point average among college students from lower socioeconomic status based on healthcare data analysis. Journal of Healthcare Engineering. 2021;2021(1):2378202. wiley.com
3. De Maio FG, Linetzky B, Ferrante D, Fleischer NL. Extending the income inequality hypothesis: ecological results from the 2005 and 2009 Argentine National Risk Factor Surveys. InLatin American Perspectives on the Sociology of Health and Illness 2020 May 21 (pp. 112-124). Routledge.
4. Kondo N. Socioeconomic disparities and health: impacts and pathways. Journal of epidemiology. 2012 Jan 5;22(1):2-6.
5. Thomson RM, Igelström E, Purba AK, Shimonovich M, Thomson H, McCartney G, Reeves A, Leyland A, Pearce A, Katikireddi SV. How do income changes impact on mental health and wellbeing for working-age adults? A systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Public Health. 2022 Jun 1;7(6):e515-28. thelancet.com
6. Carpenter BD, Gatz M, Smyer MA. Mental health and aging in the 2020s. American Psychologist. 2022 May;77(4):538.
7. Jilani MH, Javed Z, Yahya T, Valero-Elizondo J, Khan SU, Kash B, Blankstein R, Virani SS, Blaha MJ, Dubey P, Hyder AA. Social determinants of health and cardiovascular disease: current state and future directions towards healthcare equity. Current atherosclerosis reports. 2021 Sep;23:1-1. [HTML]
8. Thomeer MB, Moody MD, Yahirun J. Racial and ethnic disparities in mental health and mental health care during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of racial and ethnic health disparities. 2023 Apr;10(2):961-76. springer.com
9. Kawachi I, Subramanian SV, Almeida-Filho N. A glossary for health inequalities. Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health. 2002 Sep 1;56(9):647-52.
10. Jetten J, Peters K, Álvarez B, Casara BG, Dare M, Kirkland K, Sánchez-Rodríguez Á, Selvanathan HP, Sprong S, Tanjitpiyanond P, Wang Z. Consequences of economic inequality for the social and political vitality of society: A social identity analysis. Political Psychology. 2021 Dec;42:241-66. wiley.com
11. Anderson KF, Bjorklund E, Rambotti S. Income inequality and chronic health conditions: A multilevel analysis of the US States. Sociological Focus. 2019 Jan 2;52(1):65-85.
12. Mellor JM, Milyo J. Income inequality and health status in the United States: evidence from the current population survey. Journal of Human Resources. 2002 Jul 1:510-39.
13. Barakat C, Konstantinidis T. A review of the relationship between socioeconomic status change and health. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2023 Jun 29;20(13):6249. mdpi.com
14. Westbury S, Ghosh I, Jones HM, Mensah D, Samuel F, Irache A, Azhar N, Al-Khudairy L, Iqbal R, Oyebode O. The influence of the urban food environment on diet, nutrition and health

outcomes in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review. BMJ Global Health. 2021 Oct 1;6(10):e006358. bmj.com

15. Clark EC, Cranston E, Polin T, Ndumbe-Eyoh S, MacDonald D, Betker C, Dobbins M. Structural interventions that affect racial inequities and their impact on population health outcomes: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2022 Nov 24;22(1):2162. springer.com
16. Feng GF, Yang HC, Gong Q, Chang CP. What is the exchange rate volatility response to COVID-19 and government interventions?. Economic Analysis and Policy. 2021 Mar 1;69:705-19.
17. Rice T, Vrangbæk K, Saunes IS, Bouckaert N, Bryndová L, Cascini F, Võrk A, Dimova A, Kocot E, Murauskiene L, Bricard D. Can revenue collection for public funding in health care be progressive? An assessment of 29 Countries. Health Policy. 2024 Oct 1;148:105147. [HTML]
18. Gerber C, Klemm A, Liu L, Mylonas V. Income tax progressivity: trends and implications. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics. 2020 Apr;82(2):365-86.
19. Mezzina R, Gopikumar V, Jenkins J, Saraceno B, Sashidharan SP. Social vulnerability and mental health inequalities in the "Syndemic": Call for action. Frontiers in psychiatry. 2022 May 30;13:894370. frontiersin.org
20. Kirkbride JB, Anglin DM, Colman I, Dykxhoorn J, Jones PB, Patalay P, Pitman A, Soneson E, Steare T, Wright T, Griffiths SL. The social determinants of mental health and disorder: evidence, prevention and recommendations. World psychiatry. 2024 Feb;23(1):58-90. wiley.com
21. Obohwemu KO. "ALL ANIMALS ARE EQUAL, BUT SOME ANIMALS ARE MORE EQUAL THAN OTHERS": EQUITY AS A FUNDAMENTAL DIMENSION OF HEALTH. International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health Research. 2024 Nov 30;5(11):56-69. ijmsphr.com
22. Divan G, Bhavnani S, Leadbitter K, Ellis C, Dasgupta J, Abubakar A, Elsabbagh M, Hamdani SU, Servili C, Patel V, Green J. Annual Research Review: Achieving universal health coverage for young children with autism spectrum disorder in low-and middle-income countries: A review of reviews. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2021 May;62(5):514-35. wiley.com
23. Katikireddi SV, Higgins M, Smith KE, Williams G. Health inequalities: the need to move beyond bad behaviours. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2013 Sep 1;67(9):715-6.
24. Shankardass K, Lofters A, Kirst M, Quiñonez C. Public awareness of income-related health inequalities in Ontario, Canada. International Journal for Equity in Health. 2012 Dec;11:1-0.
25. Hossain MJ, Al-Mamun M, Islam MR. Diabetes mellitus, the fastest growing global public health concern: Early detection should be focused. Health Science Reports. 2024 Mar;7(3):e2004.
26. Beech BM, Ford C, Thorpe Jr RJ, Bruce MA, Norris KC. Poverty, racism, and the public health crisis in America. Frontiers in public health. 2021 Sep 6;9:699049. frontiersin.org

CITE AS: Asiimwe Kyomugisha T. (2025). Addressing Health Impacts of Income Inequality. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, 7(1):34-40