

<b>Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management (EEJAM)</b>	<b>ISSN: 2992-412X</b>
<b>©EEJAM Publications</b>	<b>Volume 6 Issue 1 2024</b>

# Memory and Trauma in Historical Narratives

Amwiine Hassans

Education Foundation Kampala International University Uganda

## ABSTRACT

This paper examines the connection between memory and trauma within historical narratives and how individual and collective memory contributes to the formation of cultural identity. By drawing on trauma theory and collective memory, the paper investigates the tension between personal and collective experiences of trauma and the ways these memories are transmitted across generations. Collective memory often serves as a mechanism for identity preservation, particularly in societies that have endured cultural or historical traumas such as war or genocide. Through theoretical exploration and case studies, this paper considers how traumatic events are remembered, forgotten, or transformed within historical discourse and how these memories shape narratives that reinforce or challenge cultural identity. Ultimately, this work contributes to a broader understanding of how memory acts as both a vessel for cultural continuity and a potential source of social division.

**Keywords:** Collective memory, trauma theory, historical identity, cultural trauma, narrative identity.

## INTRODUCTION

To understand how individuals or cultures develop a historical identity, one way is to examine how individual or collective memory is constructed. Memory is a reconstruction of an experience, and trauma is a tension among the mind, body, and environment associated with life-threatening events in which there is a connection to social and emotional processes. Much of what disturbs the mind may involve unassimilated psychic material that is inconsolable in relation to one's overwhelming concerns. Yearning for what is lost and recognition of what is lost points us in the direction of fuller integration, moving from complex amplification to resistance and reintegration, coasting off of painful memories, encrusted isolation, and desensitization that embodies undeveloped potential. The gift of liberty and democracy should protect people from these cruelties and provide them a friendly space for integration, yet such cruelties dominate history in the form of war, famine, poverty, crime, racism, and antisemitism [1, 2]. Unhappiness may come in many and various forms, such as being divided from one's living conditions, from one's own feelings, from going away, losing attention, and from being deprived of time and people. When these fractures merge into memory, they may become the weighing down, rejection, and loneliness that the conscience exerts when it tries to destabilize reality so it can take a closer look, enrich itself, and allow us a greater understanding of the world and ourselves. Instead of playing a function of confirmation, memory should act as a power generator to enhance cultural identities. We carry the past within ourselves even though this 'load' often remains unidentified and unmanaged, even as we shape our future by refusing to recognize destructive psychic processes and denying recognition. The ghosts highlight the material and paralyzing side of memory, and when that happens, the only way not to be suffocated by a painful past is to externalize it through writing and narration by assigning the story a unique and invisible quality. Each individual's personality is blocked by its own 'vegetative' tendencies [3, 4].

### Theoretical Frameworks for Understanding Memory and Trauma

How do we as a people choose to collectively remember the traumas of our society's past, and why? To begin this examination, two theoretical dimensions are paramount. The first, collective memory, describes the ways that society draws upon the transgenerational remembrance of antecedent society's experiences

in defining group identity and patronymic narratives. Second, trauma theory illuminates the peculiar, fragmented, and often elided ways in which people remember, or simply forget, events or times of extreme distress. The constellation of these two frameworks implies that understanding how we, as a collective and as individuals, remember the traumas of our past also involves understanding how our narratives of trauma reflect or obscure the reality of the lived experience of that trauma in psychological and social senses [5, 6]. The concept of collective memory undergirds a large subset of historical studies focused on the ways in which group memories or trauma are preserved, changed, transmuted, or forgotten. "On the most general level," suggests, "I would define collective memory as the shared construction that constitutes the identity of a group." By these lights, memory is both the means by which a group defines itself in narrative form, explaining "not only who they are but also where they came from." Several suppositions derive from this basic point: that a primarily face-to-face community or tradition perpetuates this understanding of the past; that collective memory allows group members to understand and orientations in time and space; and that something coherently approximating a narrative arc underwrites the process of reshaping the past into functioning "memory." The remembering of trauma is thus enmeshed in a collective process of the construction of narrative identity that can reflect individual "memory for recovery" or "trauma narrative," rather than veridically representing the specific historical fact of trauma [7, 8].

### **Collective Memory**

Memory occurs on different levels; of interest here is collective memory which consists of the shared memories within a community, affecting a society's image of its own history. This identity is influenced by collective memory, and collective memory is shaped by those who pass the memories down. If successful, it has the potential to live on for millennia, shaping the future with those 'sacred' memories. Research into myths and legends may also provide an understanding of how events are remembered years later. Studies on collective remembrance have found that, although the rituals and narratives of a community are sacred, this memory can be partially forgotten over time. It is possible that forgetting is a way to escape from negative memories that are considered too traumatic or that are simply not in the interest of the community at that particular time [9, 10]. When collective trauma is too much to bear, collective attempts are made to annul or ignore it. Indeed, they often do this by employing the amnesiac technique of silence or euphemism, banishing the past or isolating it. Yet, memories of trauma are told and remembered through various socially significant media of oral history, memorials, photographs, and other documentary resources. These memories educate public and private speech, historical writing, and other means of social expression, often serving to create healing and resilience in a community. Yet, memory can be a double-edged sword, as it has also been used in the specific interests of a group, shaping a collective remembrance or an agreed-upon account of the past. Studies have shown that rather than being a common unity, stories, and narratives can also divide societies. This subjective remembering poses challenges within the realm of historical accountability and justice, such as when it seeks reparation or the changing of national borders and citizenship related to a people's trauma [11, 12]. Such memory often faces the challenges of preservation when exposed to modernization, integration, globalization, security lapses, and climate change. The practices of rituals and quality of life change, and people may soon die out along with their memory on one hand, and on the other, members may be scattered across other groupings through assimilation, integration, or marriage and quickly forget the painful past. Yet, some can continue remembering and reconstructing that social memory they had shared with the extinct community, which shapes their hybrid or adoptive identity. Furthermore, collective memories can change from historical to myth, in addition to their strategic use. However, collective memory is important in helping to form the identity of a collective [13, 14].

### **Trauma Theory**

Trauma theory attempts to use psychological insight to define and understand the gap between reality and actual lived experiences on the one hand, and the construction and narration of those events on the other. At its most fundamental, it posits that trauma distorts linear forms of memory, creating a series of gaps and 'lacunae', or even changing memories and impressions from one moment to another in those who experience acute trauma, or who have been exposed to chronic forms of traumatic stimuli. Trauma's common effects on both cognitive and psychic processes have given rise to diverse disciplines of thought, which have also taken different approaches to the lasting effects of trauma on the construction of personal and group memories and the codification of histories. While physiology and the physical evidence of the brain preoccupied scientists and psychologists from the 1980s and 1990s at the latest, the social dimension of trauma, and its effect on narrative, was posited in literary analysis much earlier [15, 16]. From the 1990s, psychologists began to examine the relationship between personal trauma and cultural

narratives more and more seriously, especially in the psychoanalytic tradition. It was found that the construction and narration of a traumatic event, within even the confessional mode of a diary or a one-on-one meeting with a therapist, is a process of gradual and highly partisan selection to suit certain accepted generic codes of retelling or to fit the positive autobiographic self-narration of the victim. Likewise, constructivist trauma theorists posited that 'a memory must be narrativized – placed in terms of the ongoing cultural and everyday life narratives to have meaning and validity. These early psychological and psychoanalytic insights into the relationship between personal trauma, cultural narrative, and the acting out of previously repressed experiences formed the foundations of current theories in the same area and influenced the first engagements with trauma in the field of historical and cultural trauma studies [17, 18]. Year after year, there is a classification of historical events as 'traumatic', which, as long as they last, have a decisively negative effect on cultural memory by reawakening old traumas and fostering a culture of fear, and the 'dejected' in those who actually experienced them. In addition, the work of historians begins to be considered a type of 'public therapy'. Furthermore, it is considered that silence about traumatic events serves to deepen the damage caused and that the official history must be revised in order to prefix events with the classification of 'traumas'. Once they can be clearly diagnosed in this way, society can begin the healing process. Therapeutic as it may be, it is on the basis of trauma theory that literary fiction set against the background of Lebanon's civil war was written, but neither the authors nor their public are historians. It is noted that 'a number of international human rights tribunals allow victims to speak in court. The judges believe that this might have healing value, helping the process of coming to terms with the past. Such engagement of historians and courts in trauma-telling, or the writing of reassuring (or, rather, unsparing) history, has been called a 'public pedagogy of loss'. One well-known activist and author has wryly suggested calling Holocaust museums and the like 'preventative surgery' for America because they help to 'prevent' the 'same thing' from happening again [19, 20].

#### **The Role of Memory in Shaping Historical Narratives**

To better understand the role of memory in historical reconstruction, it is helpful to turn to a theory of narrative, which proceeds from a discussion of narrative as an imitation of life to further investigate how life is understood through the art of storytelling. It identifies three moments that are necessary for narrative: prefiguration, configuration, and refiguration. In prefiguration, human intentionality presents a set of motivations, and these motivations are then connected into a sequence to create a plan of action. In the moment of a completed action the action of a human life, say there is a burgeoning complexity of relations. And so, the first question of the narrative, "what," arises: in a singular and contingent sense, what is this? The response of configuration is to tell what is, or what happened [21, 22]. Normal historical discourse attends to what happened with others and uses "applicative knowledge" the kind of knowledge that answers questions about who did what. But there is a moment in the narrative that goes beyond merely telling the story, and this is called "pre-theoretical understanding." In the structure of the narrative as a whole, the question of "how?" arises, and we respond with an "explanation." We come to understand how a plot evolves, and that plot then "is qualified as strict necessity with respect to which the arrangements of 'what' are entirely without power" [23, 24].

#### **The Impact of Trauma on Historical Interpretations**

Trauma has a determinate impact on the appearance of historical interpretations as well as on representations of the past. As opposed to standard historians, who generally agreed upon the opposition between history and memory, between facts and events, and the impossibility of including trauma in a rational historical discourse, contemporary historians rethink history, history writing, and the very notion of the event with the impact of trauma. The camps, the atrocities, the attacks, the criminal acts of totalitarian periods, or any other kind of oppression leave a mark on the way human beings look at themselves and others. Memory and history are biased with an obsession with various national traumas [25]. The relationship between trauma and memory is much more complex if we accept the idea that personal trauma cannot be easily reduced to the level of collectivity and, vice versa, that collective trauma suffers from a radical reduction when it comes to the level of personal experience. Nonetheless, in a certain environment like a community, it is not difficult to notice that individuals like to open up and reveal their pains, sorrows, and scars as if they were haunting some collective memory. Communities that went through traumatic experiences show an entirely distinct psychological attitude toward the past and toward memory. Traumatic experiences seem to be connected to an impossible or intolerable representation. Arenas of personal or collective violence occupy a huge and unsolvable part of our memory. What is most important for us, historians are to be able to underline the idea that beyond individual psychological and post-trauma healing and elaboration, such painful experiences do require a new interpretation. Historical phenomena rarely show such a radical change of reinterpretation as

traumatic events. The rush to go beyond would be almost desperate, finally creating an approach that puts into doubt the very idea of the efficiency and relevance of historical interpretations. When we talk about historical trauma, we surely ask a question about our own. In our world, historians rarely face events that come to be salvaged as traumatic for whole communities. It is not a personal choice. The very nature of historical trauma is to be somewhat unspeakable. That happens probably for one single reason: the “day after” historical trauma is characterized by a different kind of speech concerning public events. It is suddenly confronted with an excess of sense. It touches the unrepresentable. It somehow crosses the limits between private and collective, individual and generally valid, absurdly meaningful in what merely presents itself as inexplicable. These are events that horribly go beyond analogical reasoning and narrative intelligibility, putting in doubt the cohesion of language, yet carrying it to the breaking point. Each attempt to name them fails, yet they are there. The representation does not match reality; it transcends it and sometimes contradicts it. Thus, the event is placed outside the memory continuum. Trauma appears at this level of failure. It imposes itself and transgresses its limits. It calls for a new commitment and for the urgent necessity to salvage historical time. The necessity of meaning finds no translation in words; it appears as an irreversible crisis of interpretation. Trauma weaves society. In the effort to face and elaborate, the community is threatened and symbolically broken [26, 15].

### **Case Studies: Memory And Trauma in Specific Historical Events**

In his study of dialogic memory, the memory of trauma in the Berlin Republic is examined. The afterwords of a Dutch historian and their account of the survival of homosexuals during the Holocaust are also studied. A dialogue model of memory focuses on how individual memories are historically intertwined and how they differ from each other. Thus, the exploration of how the collective memory of the Holocaust became a part of the dialogue on memories is undertaken. Shared memory reflects our feeling of being a part of a larger social community and identity. This is especially important for marginalized people who feel a lack of social solidarity. The study of individual and collective memory contributes to the discussion of the collective memories of war and trauma. The history of Israel is referred to, as where collective memories of trauma brought together the memories of survivors, as well as genocide survivors in the state of Israel. It is suggested that memories of the Holocaust are stimulated by the emotional dynamics of modern society. This is connected to the belief that traumatic memories lead to the evocation of the soul, and that traumatic places such as concentration camps, the Auschwitz Museum, or the Berlin Memorial represent this characteristic [27]. During an investigation of memory, a book about the creation of cultural memory is encountered. The notion of collective memory is applied to research on the Yugoslav wars and the consequences of conflicting looting in 1992. In this paper, the sphere of research is expanded by examining exemplary cases where physical evidence serves as a corrective on shared memory and where national memorial narratives are challenged by evidence from elsewhere. The issues of animats and the collective memory of the Holocaust are discussed in important inscriptions of traumatic experience in a stimulating discussion that went from the experience of being the daughter of Holocaust survivors. Not available to a convincing essay raised is the claim that the sort of momentousness provided by shared and cherished memories is precisely what or who is thereby taken to be just not present. Rather, the Holocaust is argued not to be that which is missing here, but the opposite, it is argued to be who or what is present at moments like these, displayed in the collective wear [28].

### **CONCLUSION**

The study of memory and trauma in historical narratives reveals the critical role that collective memory plays in shaping societal identity. The coexistence of personal and collective experiences of trauma reflects the complex relationship between individual narratives and larger cultural frameworks, challenging historians and societies to confront and integrate difficult pasts. Analyzing historical events through the lenses of memory and trauma reveals that trauma not only persists in the past but also actively influences present perceptions and reactions. This interaction emphasizes the necessity of creating historical narratives that both respect individual and collective experiences and promote resilience and enhanced comprehension across communities. As cultures endeavor to reconcile with painful legacies, memory functions as a potent instrument for both preservation and transformation, facilitating the development of a historical identity that acknowledges past suffering while remaining receptive to healing and growth.

### **REFERENCES**

1. King RA. Visual memories of living loved ones during life-threatening incidents. *Mortality*. 2024 Apr 19:1-5.

2. de Muijnck D. Narrative, memory and PTSD. A case study of autobiographical narration after trauma. *European Journal of Life Writing*. 2022 Apr 21;11:AN75-95.
3. Karjalainen H. Cultural identity and its impact on today's multicultural organizations. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*. 2020 Aug;20(2):249-62. [\[HTML\]](#)
4. Liu JH, Szpunar KK. Structure and dynamics of personal and national event cognition. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 2023 Mar;12(1):1.
5. Pomeroy E, Herrmann L. Social fields: Knowing the water we swim in. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*. 2023 May 15:00218863231174957.
6. Vakulik V, Sheviakov O, Slavskaya Y, Vakulik S. TEACHING HISTORY IN HIGH SCHOOL AS A WAY TO BUILD A COMPLEX OF SELF-IDENTIFICATIONS OF HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS. *Pedagogy and education management review*. 2024 Jun 30(2 (16)):56-67.
7. Berman SA. Collective memory, criminal law, and the trial of Derek Chauvin. *Duke LJ*. 2022;72:481.
8. Davis-Delano LR, Galliher RV, Keene AJ, Small-Rodriguez D, Gone JP. White American historical memory and support for native appropriation. *Race and Social Problems*. 2024 Sep;16(3):307-21. [gonetowar.com](http://gonetowar.com)
9. Del Valle MA. PAUL RICOEUR AND MAURICE HALBWACHS, AN APPROACH TO THEIR HISTORICAL FORMATION. *Almanaque*. 2023 Jun 1(41):17-34.
10. Ferguson N, Halliday D. Collective Memory and the Legacy of the Troubles. *The social psychology of collective victimhood*. 2020 Apr 23:56.
11. Hunter AC. 'To tell the story': cultural trauma and holocaust metanarrative. In *Trauma & Memory* 2021 Mar 30 (pp. 14-30). Routledge.
12. Kwan YY. Time-image episodes and the construction of transgenerational trauma narratives. *Journal of Asian American Studies*. 2020;23(1):29-59.
13. Erll A. Afterword: Memory worlds in times of Corona. *Memory Studies*. 2020 Oct;13(5):861-74.
14. Ebbrecht-Hartmann T. Commemorating from a distance: The digital transformation of Holocaust memory in times of COVID-19. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2021 Sep;43(6):1095-112.
15. Bradley D. We are all haunted: Cultural understanding and the paradox of trauma. *Philosophy of Music Education Review*. 2020 Apr 1;28(1):4-23.
16. Damis LF. The role of implicit memory in the development and recovery from trauma-related disorders. *NeuroSci*. 2022 Jan 18;3(1):63-88.
17. Scrine E. The limits of resilience and the need for resistance: Articulating the role of music therapy with young people within a shifting trauma paradigm. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2021 Jan 27;12:600245.
18. Tian L, Jiang Y, Yang Y. CEO childhood trauma, social networks, and strategic risk taking. *The Leadership Quarterly*. 2023 Apr 1;34(2):101618.
19. Lederach JP, Appleby RS. Strategic peacebuilding: An overview. *Strategies of peace: Transforming conflict in a violent world*. 2010 Mar 24:19-44.
20. Good BJ. Afterword: haunted histories and the silences of everyday life. In *Tracing Silences* 2023 Jun 6 (pp. 100-110). Routledge.
21. Class M. Literary Configurations of Illness and the Refiguration of Health and Well-being. *Gesundheit erzählen: Ästhetik-Performanz-Ideologie*. 2021 Oct 11;78:75.
22. Reijers W, Coeckelbergh M, Reijers W, Coeckelbergh M. A narrative theory of technology. *Narrative and Technology Ethics*. 2020:79-111. [\[HTML\]](#)
23. Allchin D. Pseudohistory and pseudoscience. *Science & Education*. 2004 Apr;13:179-95.
24. Edele M. Fighting Russia's history wars: Vladimir Putin and the codification of World War II. *History and Memory*. 2017 Dec 1;29(2):90-124.
25. Ogden P. The different impact of trauma and relational stress on physiology, posture, and movement: Implications for treatment. *European Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*. 2021 Nov 1;5(4):100172.
26. Chioneso NA, Hunter CD, Gobin RL, McNeil Smith S, Mendenhall R, Neville HA. Community healing and resistance through storytelling: A framework to address racial trauma in African communities. *Journal of black psychology*. 2020 Mar;46(2-3):95-121. [sagepub.com](http://sagepub.com)
27. Bauer K. Herta Müller's reshaping of German cultural memory. *German Life and Letters*. 2020 Jan;73(1):10-33.
28. Koh E. The healing of historical collective trauma. *Genocide Studies and Prevention: An International Journal*. 2021;15(1):10. [usf.edu](http://usf.edu)

**CITE AS: Amwiine Hassans. (2024). Memory and Trauma in Historical Narratives. Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management, 6(1):11-16**