

Cultural Identity in the Age of Globalization

Kakembo Aisha Annet

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

In the current era of globalization, cultural identity faces both challenges and opportunities as global forces influence local traditions and identities. This paper examines how cultural identity, traditionally seen as rooted in sameness and difference, interacts with the dynamic processes of globalization. The study investigates how globalization affects cultural identity through commodification, cultural hegemony, and shifts in social positioning. It further examines how cultural identity can be preserved, adapted, or transformed in response to global pressures. The paper draws on case studies to illustrate the complex relationship between globalization and cultural identity, highlighting both the risks of cultural homogenization and the potential for cultural diversity to thrive in a global context.

Keywords: Cultural Identity, Globalization, Cultural Hegemony, Cultural Diversity, Social Positioning.

INTRODUCTION

Without exaggerating too much, one can argue that cultures have become the currency of history. In our time, the notion of "culture" is socially extremely successful, which can be seen on different societal levels and through the diverse phenomena of everyday life. Go to the tourist center on an average day. Along the street, countless signs vie for your attention: movie titles, T-shirts, and snippets of songs; shop signs, restaurant names, posters for TV shows, and soda billboards of the urban outdoors are a barrage of references to the global entertainment and consumption industries. They mingle the global and the local by way of reproductive and ingestive references to world culture [1, 2]. Increasing public attention to the notions of culture is easily documented, of course, but the increasingly frequent association of "culture" with "global" also indicates a qualitative shift. Not only have many governmental, non-governmental, and economic organizations recently recognized the need for cultural articulation, interaction, and support; the notion of "culture" is conceived as a popular resource for enhancing local identity in a globalizing world. Here, we focus on this latter aspect of the politico-cultural significance of "culture". Particularly, we are interested in its use as a cultural identity in capitalizing on the globalization process. Based on advertising of consumer-fulfilling services for tourists, it introduces social-positioning practices among members of both origin and destination cultures [3, 4].

Defining Cultural Identity

Defining identity can be complex, but for an understanding of the essential characteristic of cultural identity, it has been defined as a matter of "sameness" or "unity" and "difference". The idea of a common identity is the basis for those things that are generated, constructed, expressed, or recognized as a group. As multicultural and complex immigrant countries, both America and Europe have difficulties analyzing themselves. These societies are uncomfortable discussing how identities are established and are not aware of how cultural changes have molded them over time. As a result, former proposals like "melting pot" and "assimilation" in the United States have been abandoned for theories such as "cultural mosaic" or "salad bowl" to describe that country, and "multiculturalism" to describe Europe. No other place in the world, such as the United States, deals with the issue of identity or asks the so-called "strangers" to share the same beliefs and symbols as primary components for its democratic structure. The process of globalization hit identity when "the West found itself realizing itself through the eyes of non-Europeans or based on the exclusion and murder of the non-European" [5, 3]. Initially, the non-European who wanted access to the life of the Western model conceived of himself as a person forced to be converted, to

assume even intellectual servility before the values and evaluations produced by the Western world. However, this acceptance meant denying their own identities, their characters, their capabilities, and their own civilization. Consequently, those non-Europeans affected lost what was theirs; they were condemned to downgrade themselves. They had to change, to adjust to Western sensibility, to meet, accept, develop, and endure the change. Over time, conquest evokes violence; servility is replaced by freedom, and then revulsion, in concrete terms revolt, reform, and revolution. In the process in which the Other was not a Western man, the acculturating subject had to face discontinuities and contradictions of thought of a liberated culture from the Western model. It had to analyze the forms and transitions of these countless identities and retrieve the signs of their spirituality, rekindle them to encompass them once again in the primordial sequence. Original meaning; within his character, his personality, the identity of all the non-Europeans able to convey a truth, a value, their knowledge [6, 7]. In such a narrative and in such a questioning, which takes account of the real possibilities and specific potentials of every culture other than the West, we are dealing with the identity of society, personality, and the individual. In the global model, in which the non-European non-subject makes a religious point of making trades himself into a cultural variety while failing to individualize the uniqueness and specific differences of those cultures, it gradually killed and seized its own character. Furthermore, "the sameness" or "unity" of global identity stems from the interests of institutions, economic classes, majorities, individuals, and other groups, while the "difference" is closely linked to the "interests of minorities, subalterns, otherness, diversity, and multiplicity". Therefore, the creation of a global culture is nothing other than the transnational or global hegemony of the culture of some ethnicity with large political, military, and economic powers. However, since this is not a cultural clash between ethnic groups on the global level, the chance of winning in this battle for hegemony is not given. The hegemony of a culture extended globally does not represent the equivalence or authenticity recognized and absorbed among the members of ethnic groups associated with that culture. Some differences should be present in the balance of power to ensure that the characteristics of all the members of a society's identity coexist and persist [8, 9].

Globalization and Its Impact on Cultural Identity

In the past decade or so, many people from various countries have been perplexed by a series of talks about globalization in newspapers, on television, and in all kinds of conferences. Without exception, all the education officials, students, and scholars attending these gatherings have been debating the hot issue that globalization would endanger the nation-state system and assimilate their culture because it could result in dominance in the world film market. It could accelerate environmental degradation, unequal international distribution of income, and create a tendency toward universal mediocrity in products as a result of commodification caused by widespread means of marketing and receiving the items in question, such as network broadcasting and software for laser discs, CD-ROMs, and the already globalized networking computers [3, 10]. They have been worrying that the unchecked globalization process could ruin all kinds of cultures and disarm the indigenous identity of each country, especially small and weak ones. These fears and worries have been well-founded. When I expedite this unavoidable historic development of an ever-closer world society, I and many others who herald globalization without paying attention to its impact on cultural identity are not doing responsible reflections and theories [11, 12].

Challenges and Opportunities for Cultural Identity in A Globalized World

Globalization is often described as a process that leaves no sphere of human activity untouched. Rather, the advocates of globalization argue that individuals in the contemporary world are placed between the forces of globalization, which create opportunities for human development, wealth, knowledge, liberties, and productive relationships, and social institutions and systems, which cling to outdated methods and do not allow creativity and change. Modern cultural conflicts show societies that the time when happiness and knowledge can only be gained by the change of social order has not come yet. Although globalization has the potential to create common cultures and social order, it still entails differences. Its most underdeveloped forms are characteristics of the least developed societies, while developed countries gain only a small influence in terms of contributing to the development of the processes and products of globalization [13, 14]. Global society is not likely to reduce its cultural diversity shortly. Public anxiety is concerned with the premise that gradually modern economies and societies are turning into a less diverse form. This feeling, derived from the representations of the global economy and global culture, is combined with the terror of ubiquitous local traditions and knowledge being replaced with products and forms that are perceived to be uniform and created in other places. The underlying thought is to resent another city with skyscrapers, shopping malls, and fast food replacing the green countryside. The only thing we are certain of is that it is in its first steps and depends on people's choices of interaction in the cultural and economic sphere. The basic premise is that people with the means to choose have met in preference to go global [15, 16].

Case Studies of Cultural Identity Preservation and Adaptation

The cases have been chosen to show the variety of options available, and the fact that decisions concerning adaptations to the process of globalization are not made on a necessarily purely national level. These case studies illustrate how the concept of cultural goods is actually much more circumscribed. The category of cultural goods refers to a much narrower range of products and processes particularly related to cultural identity. These are goods that enable groups to continue to reproduce their essential 'sameness' rather than their 'differences'. Although the economic capital generated from these goods can be vital to the social well-being of many cultural groups, the primary motivation is the maintenance and regeneration of the cultural identity of the group. In many cases, this is a function of social rather than purely economic capital [17, 18]. Since a defining attribute of a cultural good is its role in the maintenance of cultural identity, many elements derive their value from the fact that they do not change or, more specifically, do not change in relation to each other. These goods function to reproduce the sameness that enables a group to continue to recognize itself as the same group. Consequently, it is often associated with the ways in which groups define their essential difference from the outside, as well as the ways in which they relate to each other [19, 20].

CONCLUSION

Globalization poses significant challenges to cultural identity, as the global exchange of goods, media, and ideas can often lead to the commodification and erosion of local cultures. However, it also provides opportunities for cultural diversity to be celebrated and preserved, with local identities finding new ways to assert themselves in a global context. The interaction between global and local forces suggests that while cultural identities may be transformed by globalization, they also have the resilience to adapt and maintain their distinctiveness. The future of cultural identity in a globalized world will depend on the choices made by societies, institutions, and individuals in balancing the forces of sameness and difference, ensuring that global integration does not come at the expense of cultural diversity.

REFERENCES

1. Zeuske M. Slavery and Slaves as “Global and Globalizing”?. *Comparative and Global Framing of Enslavement*. 2023 Sep 5;9:7.
2. Papanikos GT. The future of globalization. *Athens journal of business & economics*. 2024;10(2):87-108.
3. Oko AE, Ogbodo CN. Rediscovering and Preserving African Identity in the Globalization Process. *NIU Journal of Humanities*. 2022 Jun 30;7(2):19-27.
4. Peng J, Strijker D, Wu Q. Place identity: How far have we come in exploring its meanings?. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2020 Mar 10;11:294.
5. Modood T. Multiculturalism as a new form of nationalism?. *Nations and Nationalism*. 2020 Apr;26(2):308-13.
6. Azhari D. From Secularism to Islamism: A Portrait of Minority Dynamics in Turkey's Changing Political Landscape. *Potret Pemikiran*. 2024 Jun 30;28(1):23-41.
7. Ma M. Canada's Façade of Equality: Austin Clarke's More. *Mount Royal Undergraduate Humanities Review (MRUHR)*. 2021 Mar 26;6.
8. Young C. Ethnicity and the colonial and post-colonial state in Africa. In *Ethnic groups and the state* 2023 Feb 28 (pp. 57-93). Routledge.
9. Werbner P. Introduction: Towards a New Cosmopolitan Anthropology 1. In *Anthropology and the new cosmopolitanism* 2020 May 21 (pp. 1-29). Routledge.
10. Verovšek PJ. 'The nation has conquered the state': Arendtian insights on the internal contradictions of the nation-state. *Review of International Studies*. 2024 Jul;50(4):682-99.
11. Gezgin UB. Sociology of artificial intelligence: how AI will transform work, unemployment and our future. In *Current Approaches in Social Sciences* 2023 (pp. 45-60). Özgür Yayın Dağıtım Ltd. Şti..
12. Oyelana AA, Jakoet-Salie A. Reforming the African System of Governance to Ensure Sustainability. *Journal of Public Administration*. 2023 Jun 1;58(2):399-412.
13. Yoganandham G. Making decisions for the future in a globalized society with erratic circumstances, collective action and transformational paths to enhance human development: an assessment. *Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies*. 2022 Oct 21;10(4):853-74.
14. Amah E, Tende FB. Globalization and Nigeria: Consequences and opportunities for national development. *Noble International Journal of Social Sciences Research*. 2020;5(12):171-81.

15. Talhelm T, English AS. Historically rice-farming societies have tighter social norms in China and worldwide. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2020 Aug 18;117(33):19816-24. [pnas.org](https://www.pnas.org)
16. Cooke FL, Schuler R, Varma A. Human resource management research and practice in Asia: Past, present and future. *Human Resource Management Review*. 2020 Dec 1;30(4):100778.
17. Zeng M, Wang F, Xiang S, Lin B, Gao C, Li J. Inheritance or variation? Spatial regeneration and acculturation via implantation of cultural and creative industries in Beijing's traditional compounds. *Habitat International*. 2020 Jan 1;95:102071.
18. Izadi A, Mohammadi M, Nasekhian S, Memar S. Structural functionalism, social sustainability and the historic environment: a role for theory in urban regeneration. *The Historic Environment: Policy & Practice*. 2020 Jul 2;11(2-3):158-80.
19. Stuckey ME. *Defining Americans: The presidency and national identity*. University Press of Kansas; 2023 Mar 17.
20. Fox JE. The edges of the nation: A research agenda for uncovering the taken-for-granted foundations of everyday nationhood. *Nations and nationalism*. 2017 Jan;23(1):26-47.

CITE AS: Kakembo Aisha Annet (2024). Cultural Identity in the Age of Globalization. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, 5(3):45-48