

# The Role of Technology in Enhancing Legal Accessibility

**Okwerede Emmanuel**

**College of Economics, Kampala International University, Uganda**

## **ABSTRACT**

Legal accessibility is fundamental to the rule of law, yet numerous barriers from economic constraints to complex legal language limit individuals' ability to understand and exercise their legal rights. This paper examines the impact of technological advancements in bridging these gaps, focusing on digital tools such as online dispute resolution platforms, AI-powered legal assistance, and mobile legal aid applications. While technology offers significant potential for democratizing legal access, challenges such as digital literacy and systemic bias must be addressed. This study highlights both the benefits and limitations of integrating technology into legal accessibility initiatives and emphasizes the need for user-centered solutions to ensure equitable legal access for all.

**Keywords:** Legal accessibility, technology and law, digital justice, online dispute resolution, AI in legal services, access to justice, legal aid technology

## **INTRODUCTION**

This paper seeks to examine the concept of "legal accessibility" and to answer some key questions, such as what it is, how a complex legal system affects people's ability to access actionable legal information and adequate resources, how it affects people's understanding of their legal remedies and rights, and who is most affected by this. The paper will advocate for how technology can enhance a user's ability to access and utilize legal resources and available services. It will discuss in what ways technology can mobilize action and provide new insights that could help people understand the legal system, their rights, and their remedies, with special reference to online dispute resolution platforms. There is a widely held and long-accepted norm that everyone should be able to rely on some level of accessible justice when faced with a problem. However, as our laws continue to grow and strengthen in response to the many challenges we face in the modern era, our legal systems are becoming significantly more complex than ever before. Some barriers are legal, such as the increasing number of statutes, case law, and codes, which can make the law very hard to comprehend and apply even for highly educated lawyers. Given a complex legal system, not only do fewer people understand their legal rights, but also very few can seek a reliable and actionable solution with the assistance of a trusted advisor. Further, even if correct legal aid options are found, only a small fraction of the population can afford available attorneys, thus causing real worry [1, 2].

### **The Importance of Legal Accessibility**

Legal accessibility describes the extent to which legal information and legal services are within reach for the people who need them. There are several reasons why we might care about the legal accessibility of a given society. First, legal accessibility is foundational to the rule of law. Systems that proclaim equality before the law yet veer into elite cabals render their claims about fairness and justice suspect. Second, legal accessibility is foundational to an effective scheme for the assertion of rights and obtaining relief. Governments can adopt a bill of rights conventions and other legalistic proclamations, but they are empty gestures if individuals are somehow foreclosed from obtaining the benefit of those provisions. It is an attribute of a just society that individuals should be able to access legal resources in furtherance of their interests and grievances. Third, there is a social equity dimension to consider. Marginalized social groups that experience any combination of social, economic, and political disadvantages will experience constraints on legal accessibility [3, 4, 5]. Individuals with 'diminished access to information and

decision-making processes' are likely to be vulnerable, particularly when 'statutory benefits are to be received.' Fourth, legal access has implications for citizen development. Informed citizens can participate more fully in the legal and civic life of the community. It follows that lack of access, particularly in the learning stages of their personal and professional lives, limits citizens' philosophically interesting right to an informed existence. Fifth, legal accessibility has implications for governance in a state that imprisons and civilly detains. Reducing access to legal advice could 'shore up public confidence in the robustness of the immigration system.' This sort of institutional relationship to legal access looks quite different but underscores a concern with the governance implications of choices about legal accessibility. When seen through this lens, the choice of legal accessibility might be about bolstering public trust in something or some institution [6, 7, 8]. In light of these foundational reasons, actions designed to enhance legal accessibility are frequently warranted. These can include a focus on barriers to access (cost, experience, information, culture, competency, etc.). Eradicating legal illiteracy is a virtue in its own right, even where legal information and assistance are broadly accessible should individuals need it. That said, private and public law regimes should always strive for more and better ways to communicate the law to the general population, assist with frustrations when they arise, and explain privileges in a way that is not reliant on individuals possessing advanced legal capability. In this advanced legal regime, all citizens would be responsible holders of the law regardless of their status as mainstream or marginalized [9, 10, 11].

### **Challenges In Legal Accessibility**

Individuals often experience many challenges in accessing legal services while technology further complicates such limitations. Despite the constitutional guarantees of the right to attorney assistance, low-income individuals struggle to afford the high costs associated with hiring a lawyer. Even if they can afford such costs, the complex legal vocabulary of statutes, case law, legal textbooks, judicial concerns, and legal documents arises far removed from the plain communicative terms of everyday human dialogue and neutrally impedes comprehension and understanding. Another obstacle to legal accessibility is technology itself. A lack of technological access in many of these areas of greatest need further complicates this disconnect. A national, multiphase broadband initiative aimed at improving the technological infrastructure across Indian Country has already met with dramatic results after its first phase and hopes to foster Indian Country legal accessibility as it continues [12, 13, 14]. Legally available resources will often vary depending on where one resides. The South generally suffers from a minimal number of legal aid attorneys per one thousand people, and Mississippi is particularly bereft of civil legal aid services. Geographic limitations further compound legal disadvantage. Poor areas tend to have fewer practicing lawyers and thus less representation as practicing attorneys tend to reside near their offices. Also, the poor and homeless often experience more overt discrimination than the less impoverished when seeking such free legal services. Race and social class can further distance the poor from possible representation. Due to stereotypes of greater violence, less intelligence, lack of credit from the public, and increased criminality, the poor do not fare well in issues of family law. These problems are further compounded by a lack of legal education, resulting in poor rights awareness [15, 16, 17].

### **Technological Solutions for Legal Accessibility**

Digital tools empower individuals and make them independent. Anyone from anywhere, irrespective of their constraints, can use digital tools to enjoy their rights and access the benefits provided by our legal system. Such individuals could be transgender people, people with disabilities, the elderly, women, children, individuals in backward areas, and underserved communities. A few of these tools include various websites that provide not only up-to-date legal information but also analyses, opinions, and judgments. Many more have made it easier for users to gain better knowledge and understanding of various legal subjects and issues, as well as the latest happenings in the legal field. Additionally, some websites provide a database of most judgments, which can be accessed by lawyers through paid subscriptions, while others provide the same for free [18, 19, 20]. Some websites provide platforms where free legal aid is offered either through law students, practicing advocates, or legal aid professionals. Many websites also provide starting points for understanding particular legal problems. For instance, a few websites provide not only an understanding of the rights of domestic workers but also solutions for their legal problems. Question and Answer forums answer legal queries posted online in a Q&A format; this serves as a platform for individuals to post their questions for lawyers to answer. There are also mobile applications available that serve as platforms that contain all recent updates and judgments, which are useful for professionals in the legal field. They also arrange internships and courses to educate people about cybercrime, which remains the most unreported crime in India [21, 22, 23].

### **Case Studies of Successful Implementation**

A Case Study: Mencap: The Royal Mencap Society's "Mencap Law Service"

Legal Assistance: The Law Centre, Just Right People, and Landau Business Training provided support for Mencap service users struggling with “unfair treatment” in work and personal life, primarily around the benefits system and Council Tax. Work trials: The service has been extended across the North East and Cumbria and resulted in 24 further requests for legal advice. Benefits help was the most commonly identified need, although five people also wanted to sort out incidences of identity theft. A Case Study: Association of Greater Manchester Authorities: The Trouble: The 10 charitable members of the Greater Manchester Memorandum of Understanding group reported multiple calls/referrals and highlighted the burden of gathering information and taking victims through basic legal remedies processes. The Solution: The GM Portal is an online self-help resource. As of January 2008, it has been visited by 473 unique individuals, who have made a total of 1,081 page views. 72 of them received an average of 50 points of advice. 184 email referrals were made to appropriate advice providers. Sixty registered users have been trained to use the system and have downloaded 500 pages of information. More than 200 pieces of legal advice have been provided as a direct result of information on the website. At least ten of these were from around the UK and not from the 10 individual charities in the GM Group.

### **Computerized Legal Services for Low-Income People: New Tools for Tomorrow**

The Policy Unit defines an “access to justice” problem as one that denies a segment of the clientele of the civil justice system the opportunity to have an enforceable legal right. There is outside expertise in the development of systems for providing computerized legal services for low-income individuals. These outside experts, employed to help evaluate computerized technology in the dispensation of legal services to clients, have considerable experience in providing services to poor persons. Their work has confirmed the initial reason for investigating this new technology. Legal services delivery is failing to meet the civil law which programs the legal needs of many of our clients. New tools are needed to deal with an increasingly intractable problem. New technology can pave the way toward providing clients with the access to justice we, so far, have been unable to provide [24, 25, 26].

### **Future Directions**

This paper has sought to make three arguments. First, technology has an important role to play in legal accessibility, but it is not a silver bullet. There are many ways in which the use of technology in the law can reproduce – intentionally or not – inequities and disadvantages. Still, there is potential for technological developments to address some of the biggest challenges in extending digital access to legal tools and systems if care is taken to ensure that users help guide the design of this technology. Second, an asset-based perspective is key to building technological tools for legal accessibility. We should start by understanding how laypeople – and the market – already design and use technologies to get the law done and work to inform and support these kinds of practices [27, 28, 29]. Finally, legal accessibility research and practice should be committed to actually achieving legal accessibility. Rather than holding onto idealized conceptions of the law, legal professionals and scholars should be open to the broader range of norms that accompany lay legal tech and digital legal practice. Doing so is not a compromise but opens the path to real transformations in the law. In conclusion, the work presented in this paper identifies complex and overlapping issues in the design and curation of technological tools that strive to enhance legal accessibility. The research questions and approach provided suggest a multifaceted future for legal accessibility research that requires a commitment across an unusually wide range of stakeholders. Developers and designers must continue to investigate and address the social and ethical guidelines through which we can mitigate the challenges presented in coalescing and upholding user-centered technological legal accessibility [30].

### **CONCLUSION**

Technology has a transformative role in improving legal accessibility and providing cost-effective and user-friendly alternatives to traditional legal services. While technological solutions can help overcome economic and geographical barriers, they are not without challenges, including issues of the digital divide, bias in automated systems, and concerns about data privacy. To maximize the potential of digital legal tools, policymakers, developers, and legal professionals must adopt an inclusive, user-centered approach that prioritizes accessibility and equity. Future research and practical applications should focus on refining these technologies to ensure they serve as effective instruments for justice rather than perpetuating existing disparities.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Tampi PP, Nabella SD, Sari DP. The influence of information technology users, employee empowerment, and work culture on employee performance at the Ministry of Law and Human Rights Regional Office of Riau Islands. *Enrichment: Journal of Management*. 2022 Aug 9;12(3):1620-8.

2. Chen Y, Kumara EK, Sivakumar V. Investigation of finance industry on risk awareness model and digital economic growth. *Annals of Operations Research*. 2021 Oct 29;1-22.
3. Gora A, De Wilde P. The essence of democratic backsliding in the European Union: deliberation and rule of law. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2022 Mar 4;29(3):342-62.
4. Pech L, Wachowiec P, Mazur D. Poland's rule of law breakdown: a five-year assessment of EU's (in) action. *Hague Journal on the Rule of Law*. 2021 Apr;13(1):1-43.
5. Pech L, Kochenov D. Respect for the rule of law in the case law of the European Court of Justice: a casebook overview of key judgments since the Portuguese judges case. SIEPS, Stockholm. 2021 May 20;3.
6. Brustin SL. A civil shame: The failure to protect due process in discretionary immigration custody & bond redetermination hearings. *Brook. L. Rev.*. 2022;88:163.
7. Tosh S. Mandatory detention for criminal convictions: The reproduction of racial inequality through US immigration law. *Law & Policy*. 2022 Jan;44(1):70-97.
8. Diaz C, Nwadiuko J, Saadi A, Patler C. Advancing Research To Address The Health Impacts Of Structural Racism In US Immigration Prisons: Commentary examines health impacts of structural racism in US immigration prisons. *Health Affairs*. 2023 Oct 1;42(10):1448-55.
9. Sander B. Democratic disruption in the age of social media: Between marketized and structural conceptions of human rights law. *European Journal of International Law*. 2021 Feb 1;32(1):159-93.
10. Waldron J. The rule of law as an essentially contested concept. NYU School of Law, Public Law Research Paper. 2021 Mar 19(21-15).
11. Steininger S. Creating loyalty: Communication practices in the European and Inter-American human rights regimes. *Global Constitutionalism*. 2022 Jul;11(2):161-96.
12. Glass V, Tardiff T. Reforming funding of universal access to telecommunications and broadband services: Approaches for the new decade. *Telecommunications Policy*. 2021 Mar 1;45(2):102037.
13. Faturoti B. Online learning during COVID19 and beyond: A human right based approach to internet access in Africa. *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology*. 2022 Jan 2;36(1):68-90.
14. Graves JM, Abshire DA, Amiri S, Mackelprang JL. Disparities in technology and broadband internet access across rurality: implications for health and education. *Family & community health*. 2021 Oct 1;44(4):257-65. [nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
15. Brescia RH, McCarthy W, McDonald A, Potts K, Rivaïs C. Embracing disruption: How technological change in the delivery of legal services can improve access to justice. *Alb. L. Rev.*. 2014;78:553.
16. Perlman A. The implications of ChatGPT for legal services and society. *Mich. Tech. L. Rev.*. 2023;30:1.
17. Green BA. Why state courts should authorize nonlawyers to practice law. *Fordham L. Rev.*. 2022;91:1249.
18. Tang CS. Innovative technology and operations for alleviating poverty through women's economic empowerment. *Production and Operations Management*. 2022 Jan;31(1):32-45.
19. Zhanbayev RA, Irfan M, Shutaleva AV, Maksimov DG, Abdykadyrkyzy R, Filiz Ş. Demoethical model of sustainable development of society: A roadmap towards digital transformation. *Sustainability*. 2023 Aug 16;15(16):12478. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com/)
20. Kang HS, Exworthy M. Wearing the future—wearables to empower users to take greater responsibility for their health and care: scoping review. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*. 2022 Jul 13;10(7):e35684.
21. Williams P, McDonald P, Mayes R. Recruitment in the gig economy: Attraction and selection on digital platforms. In *Technologically Mediated Human Resource Management 2023* May 8 (pp. 142-168). Routledge. [qut.edu.au](https://www.qut.edu.au/)
22. Bhagwat A. Do Platforms Have Editorial Rights?. *J. Free Speech L.*. 2021;1:97.
23. Keller D. Amplification and its discontents: Why regulating the reach of online content is hard. *J. Free Speech L.*. 2021;1:227.
24. Rehman Khan SA, Ahmad Z, Sheikh AA, Yu Z. Digital transformation, smart technologies, and eco-innovation are paving the way toward sustainable supply chain performance. *Science Progress*. 2022 Oct;105(4):00368504221145648. [sagepub.com](https://www.sagepub.com/)
25. Rane N. Roles and challenges of ChatGPT and similar generative artificial intelligence for achieving the sustainable development goals (SDGs). Available at SSRN 4603244. 2023 Aug 4.

26. Parra MA, Baez S, Sedeño L, Gonzalez Campo C, Santamaría-García H, Aprahamian I, Bertolucci PH, Bustin J, Camargos Bicalho MA, Cano-Gutierrez C, Caramelli P. Dementia in Latin America: paving the way toward a regional action plan. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*. 2021 Feb;17(2):295-313. [wiley.com](https://www.wiley.com)
27. Macchiavello E, Siri M. Sustainable finance and fintech: Can technology contribute to achieving environmental goals? A preliminary assessment of 'green fintech' and 'sustainable digital finance'. *European Company and Financial Law Review*. 2022 May 12;19(1):128-74. [ssrn.com](https://www.ssrn.com)
28. Smith M, Miller S. The ethical application of biometric facial recognition technology. *Ai & Society*. 2022 Mar;37(1):167-75.
29. Bollaert H, Lopez-de-Silanes F, Schwienbacher A. Fintech and access to finance. *Journal of corporate finance*. 2021 Jun 1;68:101941.
30. Pilecki B, Luoma JB, Bathje GJ, Rhea J, Narloch VF. Ethical and legal issues in psychedelic harm reduction and integration therapy. *Harm Reduction Journal*. 2021 Apr 7;18(1):40. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)

<b>CITE AS: Okwerede Emmanuel. (2025). The Role of Technology in Enhancing Legal Accessibility. Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management 7(3):102-106</b>
---