Exploring Media Literacy in the Age of Misinformation

Abenaitwe Jackline

Department of Business Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

In an era characterized by rapid information exchange and the proliferation of misinformation, media literacy has become a crucial skill for individuals navigating digital landscapes. This paper examines the role of media literacy in combating misinformation, emphasizing its impact on society, education, and democratic processes. The study investigates how misinformation influences public trust, decision-making, and societal stability, particularly during major events like elections and public health crises. Key concepts in media literacy, including analysis, evaluation, and creation of media content, are discussed alongside strategies for fostering critical thinking and responsible information consumption. The paper also presents case studies highlighting successful media literacy initiatives worldwide. Ultimately, the research underscores the necessity of equipping individuals with media literacy skills to mitigate the adverse effects of misinformation and enhance informed civic engagement in the digital age.

Keywords: Media literacy, misinformation, fake news, digital literacy, critical thinking, social media, news consumption.

INTRODUCTION

All around us, all of the time is media. The 24-hour news cycle, Instagram models, and even the layout of alarm clocks are intentional; these things piece together a depiction of common social attitudes, political landscapes, historical events, and, above all else, what is appreciated and, in contrast, what is not. We imitate those who overimpose their opinions upon homosexuals, people of color, and women not because we necessarily feel that way, but because we are so entangled in the mediated experience of what it would be like to be the suppressor that the media itself, and the way it satirically sells an unjust society, is often confused with the reality we inhabit. There is important work, then, in engaging the material as a pedagogical tool to illustrate this. Not only does it help us to unplug a Westernized approach to truth, lifestyles, and the nature of news, but it also gives students an essential skill set necessary to navigate the hullabaloo that is the contemporary social landscape [1, 2]. This paper emphasizes the role of media educators in a world obfuscated by "fake news" and the post-truth era. However, it should be viewed against the current political climate that has occasioned this informative push. Certain recent events belie an apparent inability to encourage press skepticism and hold the media up as a pivotal component of journalism, a clue of transparency, and a gatekeeper of information. The decision and ability to print the leaked document illustrate some of these uncouplings, as does the running gag that presidential press secretaries have turned into because they insist on the political "alternative facts" [3, 4, 5].

The Impact of Misinformation on Society

Misinformation has become one of the key threats to societies worldwide, with severe consequences for public trust and peaceful relations. The harmful effects of misinformation on public health - vaccines versus homeopathy; the electoral process - Britain's decision to leave the European Union, the last American presidential elections; and the well-founded fear that misinformation is exacerbated during election years. The proliferation of false news during the COVID-19 pandemic is intimately linked to our research. The economic impact of misinformation states that it creates unnecessary fear and leads to panic

and chaos, generating additional costs for companies, distribution chains, and society in general. Psychological consequences of misinformation underline the stress generated by the discrepancies between the "official truth", the social networks, and the "real truth", which is especially available when policies with great citizen impact are outlined at the national or international level [6, 7]. Much research has been published to show how very negative consequences can occur when misinformation is multiplied and planted in echo chambers or newsrooms for a prolonged period of time or fiercely debated in legislative forums before reaching the population: stocks of LGBT bashing go from 1.3% to 9%; justice votes more severely; liberties of populations may deteriorate. An example of the exceptional cold wave in February 2021 in Texas can illustrate the undesirable social effects of misinformation. While almost 100 people died nationwide and 4.5 million lost electric power, being forced to face freezing winter temperatures for days in cold housing, one city population faced skyrocketing electricity bills, even tens of thousands of dollars for only a few days! Tens of millions of misinformation were spun into the media and on social networks to blame wind turbines, citing various sources, and casting aspersions upon the purity and quality of wind energy production. The background of this posting campaign was the profit sought by some of the fossil and gas shares of Texas! These reporters, who raised their concerns, did so from the theory that people were trying to leave the wind turbines aside and continue to use coal and gas for energy. Given these socio-economic and interpersonal realities, it can be legally and morally argued that all stakeholders have an interest and a duty to take part in discovering or preventing misinformation arising from populism that dominates today's social networks [8, 9].

Key Concepts in Media Literacy

Media literacy refers to the ability of an individual to analyze, understand, evaluate, and create messages across a wide variety of media. This extends beyond news media, for example, to other forms of information like social media or advertisements that influence public opinion in unique ways. People who have media literacy skills are typically better able to understand the full context of a news story or information they encounter online. There are a number of skills that are important for having good media literacy skills, including: [10, 11]. - Analysis: the ability to see how media messages affect our beliefs or behaviors. - Evaluation: the ability to judge a message's credibility, including detecting if it is biased. - Creation: knowing how to create a message using various media tools. Although media literacy emphasizes school-going children and young adults, it is designed for anyone who wants to figure out the best ways to separate good information from misinformation. An important element of being media literate encourages critical thinking about the messages we receive and to consider the perspective of the person who created the message [12, 13]. Most research on media literacy in the social media age is taught using the visual representation of three important components: sources, representations, and audiences. Sources refer to news organizations and citizens or groups like politicians who also create news and publish it; representations can include headlines, clips, captions, push notifications, and other visual and audio components that influence how readers and viewers interpret the information; audiences are the news consumers who are consuming the news [14, 15]. It is important for news consumers to understand a message or a story's context, or the background to and intentions behind a particular message. For instance, a headline in newspapers might read, 'Country arts need to pull its weight or lose out,' sparking curiosity about who wrote the story, the evidence that was included in the story to support the claim, and who is being affected by the information conveyed. When we understand context, we are better able to decipher the agendas and intentions of the people who created the information for us to make meaning of [16, 17].

Strategies For Developing Media Literacy Skills

Promoting media literacy is primarily achieved through education, and we will need to continue to learn in the years to come as issues change and as people work to develop new ways to exploit human nature. One key concern is to draw on the perspectives of all disciplines that have something relevant to say about media and society. This means working collaboratively with researchers, educators, and librarians from different specialties, as well as with journalists and community organizations to share what we have learned. A few strategies might be recommended for successfully entering the complex landscape of media [18, 19]. One tip that is often recommended is to be a smart consumer: be curious and evaluate the source of information, as well as the credibility of the information. This might also mean doing the work required to check facts and confirm the claims being made. Interestingly, a lot of work on news consumption suggests that people who are more nuanced readers or viewers, looking at stories from a wide variety of sources, can be more politically moderate than those who deliberately seek out content

they know they will agree with. Research suggests several ways to improve media literacy, especially in the schooling process. In terms of students, this often means helping them develop critical thinking and deep reading skills so that they can approach all texts critically, including those online. Researchers are also finding that it is possible and helpful to teach better analytics or smart evaluating skills to young people. Educators and parents can also enlist young people in doing real-world research about problems in the home, school, and communities and, in so doing, make them producers, not just consumers of media [20, 21].

Case Studies and Examples of Media Literacy in Action

- Authenticity Project's peer-led media literacy initiative in atheistic Black communities - Council of Europe's book on possible actions and methodologies for media and internet literacy against hate speech - Example of hoax debunking strategies that can be used by schools and community trainers - Example of media literacy critical thinking questions about ecology and sanitizer - RQMS museum exhibit on both evaluating quality of evidence and misinformation - Faktaburen's use of social media to share studies with 15 seconds of appropriate format for use or misuse, including a documentary video. - Poynter's International Fact-Checking Day campaign to support the work of independent fact-checkers. It is also global; in 2019, 115 organizations from 53 countries registered for International Fact-Checking Day. They had 35,050 website views, or nearly ten percent of those visiting the website, had their websites listed on the map of participants "to help draw visitors," and used social media hashtags on Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and LinkedIn by over 200 organizations with over 500 posts for a grand total of 86 million people reached. - CivicOnline's Right-Wise campaign to teach hundreds of Californians (particularly older non-Hispanic white men) through door-to-door outreach and social media that they are prime targets for online disinformation and how to spot it. They're also building digital literacy (fighting disinformation as an aspect of misinformation) into digital divide programs and working with libraries [22, 23]. All interviews, experiments, and interventions described in these case studies exemplify media literacy campaigns, which tell respondents that 'this is an information disorder, this is the process, this is what is true and what is false,' and then that's that. Notice the diversity of what counts as evidence of media literacy; many kinds of evidence might be needed to persuade skeptics: the civic value of skeptical engagement with information, factual infection rates, increased information movements within and across networks, as well as any of these translated to new behavior. Some focus on a critical ritual of any such activity, involving victims, stakeholders, and the general public [13, 24].

CONCLUSION

The rise of misinformation presents a significant challenge to individuals, institutions, and democratic societies. As digital platforms evolve, so do the tactics used to spread false or misleading information. Media literacy is a powerful tool in counteracting misinformation, enabling individuals to critically assess and interpret the vast amount of content they encounter daily. By fostering analytical skills, promoting responsible news consumption, and integrating media literacy education into curricula, societies can build resilience against the harmful effects of misinformation. Case studies illustrate that targeted media literacy initiatives have the potential to reshape public perceptions and behaviors. As such, continued investment in media literacy education, interdisciplinary collaboration, and policy interventions is essential for safeguarding truth, fostering informed decision-making, and promoting media accountability in the modern age.

REFERENCES

1. Haidt J. Why the past 10 years of American life have been uniquely stupid. The Atlantic. 2022 Apr 11;11:2022.
2. Hall S. Culture, the media and the 'ideological effect'. InNew Critical Writings in Political Sociology 2024 Nov 1 (pp. 341-374). Routledge.
3. Ushie CU, Ndoma RN. Social media literacy and cybercrime: a study of Calabar Metropolis, Cross River, Nigeria. LWATI: A Journal of Contemporary Research. 2024 Apr 9;21(1):75-105.
4. Hazzan MK. Deception in the era of digital technologies and the distortion of reality and facts: An X-Ray of Nigerian peculiarities. E-Learning and Digital Media. 2023 Nov 13;20(6):563-78.
5. Nwogwugwu NO. Digital literacy, creativity, knowledge sharing and dissemination in the 21st century. Digital Literacy, Inclusivity and Sustainable Development in Africa. 2022:191-202.
6. Muhammed T S, Mathew SK. The disaster of misinformation: a review of research in social media. International journal of data science and analytics. 2022 May;13(4):271-85. springer.com

7. West JD, Bergstrom CT. Misinformation in and about science. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2021 Apr 13;118(15):e1912444117. pnas.org

8. AlAashry MS. A critical analysis of journalists' freedom of expression and access to information while reporting on COVID-19 issues: a case of selected Arab countries. Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society. 2022 Apr 18;20(2):193-212. researchgate.net

9. Colomina C, Margalef HS, Youngs R, Jones K. The impact of disinformation on democratic processes and human rights in the world. Brussels: European Parliament. 2021 Apr:1-9. academia.edu

10. Al-Zou'bi R. The impact of media and information literacy on acquiring the critical thinking skill by the educational faculty's students. Thinking Skills and Creativity. 2021 Mar 1;39:100782.

11. Festl R. Social media literacy & adolescent social online behavior in Germany. Journal of Children and Media. 2021 Apr 3;15(2):249-71.

12. Cunliffe-Jones P, Gaye S, Gichunge W, Onumah C, Pretorius C, Schiffrin A. The state of media literacy in Sub-Saharan Africa 2020 and a theory of misinformation literacy. Misinformation policy in Sub-Saharan Africa: From laws and regulations to media literacy. 2021 Jun 1.

13. Schofield D, Kupiainen R, Frantzen V, Novak A. Show or tell? A systematic review of media and information literacy measurements. The journal of media literacy education. 2023;15(2):124-38.

14. Chelysheva I, Mikhaleva G. Media school "Media education and media literacy for all" as a system model of continuous mass media education. Медиаобразование. 2022(2):147-56.

15. Cannon M, Connolly S, Parry R. Media literacy, curriculum and the rights of the child. Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education. 2022 Mar 4;43(2):322-34. openrepository.com

16. Peifer JT, Meisinger J. The value of explaining the process: How journalistic transparency and perceptions of news media importance can (sometimes) foster message credibility and engagement intentions. Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly. 2021 Sep;98(3):828-53. [HTML]

17. Sharif A, Awan TM, Paracha OS. The fake news effect: what does it mean for consumer behavioral intentions towards brands?. Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society. 2022 Apr 18;20(2):291-307. [HTML]

18. Herrero-Curiel E, La-Rosa L. Secondary Education Students and Media Literacy in the Age of Disinformation. Comunicar: Media Education Research Journal. 2022 Oct;30(73):87-97.

19. Manca S, Bocconi S, Gleason B. "Think globally, act locally": A glocal approach to the development of social media literacy. Computers & Education. 2021 Jan 1;160:104025.

20. Balietti S, Getoor L, Goldstein DG, Watts DJ. Reducing opinion polarization: Effects of exposure to similar people with differing political views. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2021 Dec 28;118(52):e2112552118. pnas.org

21. Zhang Y, Rios K. Understanding perceptions of radical and liberal feminists: The nuanced roles of warmth and competence. Sex Roles. 2022 Feb;86(3):143-58.

22. Seelam A, Paul Choudhury A, Liu C, Goay M, Bali K, Vashistha A. " Fact-checks are for the Top 0.1%": Examining Reach, Awareness, and Relevance of Fact-Checking in Rural India. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction. 2024 Apr 23;8(CSCW1):1-34. adityavashistha.com

23. Hidalgo-Cobo P, López-Marcos C, Puebla-Martínez B. Debunking practices and topics: Fact-checking agencies from the United Kingdom, France and Spain. Catalan Journal of Communication & Cultural Studies. 2024 Oct 1;16(2):257-75.

24. Jones-Jang SM, Mortensen T, Liu J. Does media literacy help identification of fake news? Information literacy helps, but other literacies don't. American behavioral scientist. 2021 Feb;65(2):371-88. academia.edu