

Legal Bots: Communicating with Clients in the Digital Age

Maria Edet Umo

Faculty of Law Kampala International University Uganda

Email: umomaria@kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

The rise of digital communication tools has transformed various industries, including the legal sector. Legal bots, powered by artificial intelligence and automation, have emerged as a solution to enhance client-lawyer interactions, streamline legal processes, and provide round-the-clock assistance. This paper investigates the role of legal bots in modern legal practice, assessing their benefits, challenges, ethical considerations, and future potential. While these automated tools offer efficiency, accessibility, and cost reduction, they also raise concerns regarding data privacy, professional responsibility, and limitations in providing nuanced legal advice. By evaluating the integration of legal bots in law firms, this paper highlights how they can improve client communication while ensuring ethical compliance and human oversight.

Keywords: Legal bots, artificial intelligence, legal automation, digital communication, client-lawyer interaction, legal technology, chatbots.

INTRODUCTION

With technological innovation, communication is going digital in all spheres. The legal profession is not immune to the digital transition, and it would not be counterintuitive to assume that clients expect to communicate with their lawyers using digital tools. But have lawyers embraced this line of reasoning? It is doubtful. The purpose of this paper is to analyze legal bots. While the focus is on legal practice, legal bots are essential in the automation of legal services. The paper aims to assess the impact of legal bots and whether they can improve client-lawyer communication in the digital era [1, 2]. The online provision of legal services has increased in recent years. The use of communication tools such as chatbots to interact with clients is also on the rise, even in the legal sector. This trend commenced before the pandemic, but the lockdown measures resulted in the widespread use of innovative systems to provide services. Chatbots are tailored to simulate conversations with human users over the internet. There are no strict definitions for chatbots, but they have been referred to as automated assistants, automated message systems, or programs designed to simulate conversation over the internet. Some regard chatbots as virtual or internet robots that automatically follow pre-established procedures. Some bots combine telecommunication and online assistance or telepresence, while others may be purely conversational. There are several types of chatbots [3, 4].

Overview of Legal Bots

Generically, legal bots are systems that allow for the automated performance of a variety of communication functions within a legal context, either between lawyers and their clients or between clients and legal institutions. They are online programs that help people solve legal problems and are used as part of a web-based platform. Most crucially, legal bots are not just that: they are the next step in the software's attempts to offer affordances for language-mediated communication. Unlike other modes of communication between lawyers or courts and clients, these tools are designed to provide self-service and automated answers. They leave the user in charge of queries or tasks and act as a kind of IT assistant.

With continuous improvements in software, bots now have more capability to handle questions rather than simply help file applications or locate documents [5, 6]. Legal bots have a rich history in legal informatics and legal expert systems. Early legal bots handled simple textual representations of legal concepts, such as eligibility for a benefit or a simple do-it-yourself problem solver. With the use of expert systems in the 1990s, however, these systems grew increasingly complex and incorporated theories of case-based reasoning, adversarial negotiation, and law's ontological turn. Featuring the potential to handle relevant questions at this stage of evolution are legal chatbots and virtual assistants. Powered by AI, legal chatbots can handle computation using elaborate ontological or reasoning mechanisms, while virtual assistants specialize in extracting relevant information in a short time, executing an AI decision of modest magnitude and complexity, finding useful assistance or resources, and helping arrive at the correct contact as quickly as possible. Going forward, the AI-driven legal virtual assistant can potentially help law firms craft valuable strategies and business development opportunities by proposing business intelligence [7, 8].

The Role of Legal Bots in Client Communication

Legal bots are a means to an end. If that end is improved communication between legal professionals and clients, then those bots are serving one of their most important roles. When legal bots were implemented as part of the client and file management process, the most precise statement of their effect was that the bot "80 percent of the time...predicts the partner's reply with 85 percent confidence" [9, 10]. A smooth client management system is particularly important in the legal sector where services are often confined to 9 to 5 hours. So that clients are not disenchanted by having to wait hours or days for a response, it is critical to utilize automated responses to keep clients in the loop. Such "frequent, repetitive messages" no longer demand a human touch when a legal bot can reply in a timely and personal manner. The 24/7 availability offered by legal bots is particularly valuable as firms work to improve their client service and offer more to their clientele. This selling point is no longer an added service but rather a market necessity given the consumer trends in modern services. Legal bots are especially beneficial to trade professionals whose consumers often seek out their services after hours or on weekends [11, 12]. Legal bots do not communicate along a strict script; they are trained to reply in emotionally sensitive ways, which may help allay the client's concerns to an extent. One firm's bot could fluctuate in the intensity of reply, such as "fully supportively", "caringly", and so on, over a continuing thread of email communication with a client who was wary of an impending court case. Legal bots can adjust on the fly as the client's feedback comes in. At this early stage, when legal bots are interacting with existing clients of the business, these early steps of legal bots' unfurling potential "If you think about it, it's about 80 percent of what we do, over and over again" [13, 14].

Benefits of Legal Bots

The operational benefits of implementing chatbots in contemporary law firms are innumerable. Primarily, chatbot or legal bot technology could reduce the average cost of handling a client query. With more transactions processed and resolved more quickly using automation, firms could look to reduce their expenditure on human resources. Moreover, excellence in operational delivery is now expected as contingency service providers helped law firms achieve consistent and professional automated telephone service delivery before employing them in law [11, 15]. The other benefits of legal bots for the law firm will, as touched upon above, pertain to the client. Human conversation onboarding is slow; chatbots that automate FAQ transfers to the firm will help clients refine their inquiry specifications and 'qualify' themselves as consumers of legal services. The client benefits of using this technology are: speed: bots respond immediately, 24 hours a day; support: clients who access immediate information become better informed; receive immediate emotional support from the bot while waiting for legal advice from the firm; services: legal bots ask the same compliant questions, helping onboarding case processing; scalability: can manage an infinite volume of basic compliant FAQ responses at equally great speed; knowledge transfer: delivering data and reports of client-acquired knowledge longitudinally; interface with existing systems: manipulate legal pad product service to perform new billing and CRM tasks through data search, manipulation, transfer, and intelligent document automation service task handling. More comprehensive recommendations will follow as to how the debtor and the law firm services may be market-tested in mutually worthwhile partner satisfaction surveys [16, 12].

Implementing Legal Bots in Law Firms

Introducing a new bot solution to your law firm starts with assessing its strategic direction, the financial capacity to invest, and understanding how it is currently addressing changing client needs. Once that has

been categorized, your next step is clearly defining what you aim to achieve with your legal bot by aligning its functionalities with a specific business goal or client expectation. Legal bots are part of client service design, even though they are working behind the scenes. A lot of staff decisions impact their working logic, so when moving forward, training employees on how to work with law firm bots and any use case to augment their work should be a priority rather than an afterthought. The value of legal bots also lies in the possibility of integrating them with different business capabilities, so a combo-deployment strategy can be considered as well [17, 18]. Real solutions to real-life challenges: all your scripts, mock-ups, and chat logs are close to being a full-fledged deployment roadmap for your bots. Once technical integration with tools and daily applications is considered, the technology roadmap pilots how the bots learn and improve with real-life client use. On one hand, it is crucial for measuring new value-added from a legal bot, and on the other, measuring the feasibility, cost, and value of scaling up the use case of the law firm bot across the firm. Feedback from the on-the-ground deployment tests the bot's strategy, the client journey, and communications and technology in practice. This feedback loop of continuous improvement should be built into the scaling and sustainability of your bid as well. Often not well prepared or trained to understand and integrate bots, developing the pilots, challenges experienced with the integration of one bot can often be a beneficial test of other capabilities to complement a bot. Configure your own bot training workflow model emphasizing issues identified with adapting the bot to integrate into attorneys and staff that are coming on board [19, 20].

Choosing the Right Legal Bot Software

A number of vendors and options now exist for law firms interested in providing potential clients with more than basic informational intelligence from a Boolean-searchable website. While this will change, today's solutions most typically give a client who accesses the bot the ability to select from a number of canned answers presented in dropdown boxes or radio buttons, as well as the ability to provide a short narrative description of their legal matter. The bot assembles this information and runs the query or conducts the legal analysis on the back end and then produces a response for the client, whether that is text or a custom-built webpage with the information curated by the bot uniquely for this client [15]. In evaluating a piece of software to use as the legal bot the firm eventually purchases, a vendor's pre-prepared analyses of cases and statutes is important, if that is the sort of bot the firm wants to offer. The quality of the intelligence provided by the bot will flow from the quality of the data that runs the bot. Vendors' data—the intelligence that runs their bots—is either supplied by the vendor, the law firm, or by the public. Here are some key factors to consider when evaluating whether a legal bot provides its legal intelligence from the vendor only, the firm that uses it only, or both. Additionally, good vendor support is a key consideration. A good bot will provide regular updates to the firms that purchase it. The bot should have new cases added on a regular basis, and older cases should be re-parsed, the technology should be refined, and the seeding should be re-processed. New data released by the vendor will further improve the value of the bot. If that is not happening, the firm has purchased a static piece of software that will no longer be worth as much. Users' ability to find and learn key information from the bot should become easier with the adoption of the technology. Look for simple, user-researched interfaces. Make sure the UI is easy to learn and fun to use. Lastly, does the software integrate easily into the firm's existing technological infrastructure? Also, scalability is key. The bot should be able to grow with the firm and its needs over time. The process for evaluating your choice of a legal bot program includes making certain that the bot is providing value for their client, supporting the mission and the strategy of the law firm, including compliance with data privacy and security guidelines, and growing the bot to provide expanded services for the client over time. Look at the satisfaction of the people who are represented by law firms that are using these bots. Has it primarily been tested and used with the largest firms that can afford full rollouts and entire rooms full of tech people? Does your bot run identical responses for thousands each day and receive negligible complaints? The evaluation guides potential users in assessing different legal bot software and provides comparative analysis, discussing end-user interface and experience, the firm interface and experience, and costs. Comparisons offer a good understanding of the flexibility of the various niche software solutions as well as broad industry software that exists [21].

Ethical Considerations in Using Legal Bots

When talking about building legal bots and chatbots, or academic and other people involved in automated communication with clients, you hear several questions again and again: "How do I ensure that it is clearly communicated to clients that they are being communicated with by a bot and not a person?", "Isn't it unethical to portray the bot as a person?", "How much help can a legal bot really provide/should it

provide?", "Can it replace lawyers?" Below, we discuss how we have thought about and dealt with these questions. Please let us know if you can see any other ethics-related issue accompanying the development of legal bots. When developing or using a chatbot to communicate with clients, legal professionals and the proponents of legal tech systems, such as law firms and tech companies, should keep several ethical considerations in mind [22]. First of all, it is important to strictly follow any rules or guidelines set out by regulators and bar associations regarding the use of technology in legal practice. Furthermore, there should never be any doubt, on the clients' part, that they are engaging with a bot instead of a human professional. Clients' sensitive information should be kept private and secure. When drafting the questions, setting the parameters, or accepting information entered by the client, security systems should be in place to encrypt and protect personal data. Additionally, unless explicit consent has been obtained by the client, it should also not be stored. Clients should be made explicitly aware that the legal bot might not cover all the possibilities and potential outcomes of their case. Moreover, in no way should the answers or advice given by the legal bot be interpreted as legally professional advice. Furthermore, the information clients provide to the legal bots should not be interpreted as a mandate in the engagement of legal services. If clients wish to engage in the services of a legal professional, a separate agreement should be made [23].

Future Trends and Innovations in Legal Bots

The future for legal bots is bright, especially as technology keeps improving. Many experts predict that machine learning will soon be integrated with bots to help them develop more compelling conversations that resemble human interactions. As a result, the advanced bots may use machine learning to remember previous conversations and share the typical next steps or possible outcomes of cases. These advanced bots could add more value by integrating natural language processing to increase their conversations' humanity. Through natural language processing, bots become better listeners and have a better ability to understand and even simulate human conversation. Then, as a further step towards making bots more valuable, we can start to create highly customized bots tailored to specific practice areas or client segments. For example, you could offer downloadable bots exclusively for commercial clients and family law clients. Each bot can have available for download the bases of various forms and documents, partially filled out, all the preliminary advice clients receive, and automated task lists relevant to that specific area. Bot Mona Lisa has sophisticated risk analysis and prediction functionality that can help your clients with personalization [24, 25]. This trend towards advanced bots forces you to reconsider how your legal practice works, for, "Anything you do more than twice should be automated." As advanced bots become more sophisticated and can manage more aspects of client engagement, there is less need for a traditional lawyer or legal executive. Instead, your most valuable employee in fifteen years' time may well be a bot, not a lawyer. However, what will not decrease by that time is client demand for a greater client experience. From observations of legal bots, it seems that more intelligent natural language bots that can hold a conversation will become more common. One of the next major steps is natural language bots that can refer directly to policy documents to give personalized advice. Despite this trend, the demand for a "higher touch" more personal client experience is likely to increase. Therefore, combining the two in a higher human value-added, lower time frame process is likely to be a successful way to future-proof a legal practice or legal department [26, 27].

CONCLUSION

Legal bots are reshaping the legal landscape by offering innovative solutions to enhance client communication and service delivery. Their ability to provide instant responses, automate repetitive tasks, and assist with legal inquiries makes them a valuable asset for law firms and clients alike. However, while they improve accessibility and efficiency, they cannot replace human lawyers in handling complex legal matters that require critical thinking, empathy, and professional judgment. Ethical considerations, including transparency, data security, and regulatory compliance, must be addressed to ensure responsible implementation. As technology continues to advance, the integration of AI-driven legal bots will likely expand, requiring law firms to balance automation with human expertise to provide high-quality legal services in the digital age.

REFERENCES

1. Björkdahl J, Kronblad C. Getting on track for digital work: Digital transformation in an administrative court before and during COVID-19. *Journal of professions and organization*. 2021 Oct 1;8(3):374-93. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.1080/23747188.2021.1988888)

2. Noesgaard MS, Nielsen JA, Jensen TB, Mathiassen L. Same but different: Variations in reactions to digital transformation within an organizational field. *Journal of the Association of Information Systems (JAIS)*. 2023 Jan;24(1):12-34. [aau.dk](#)
3. Misischia CV, Poecze F, Strauss C. Chatbots in customer service: Their relevance and impact on service quality. *Procedia Computer Science*. 2022 Jan 1;201:421-8.
4. Aslam F. The impact of artificial intelligence on chatbot technology: A study on the current advancements and leading innovations. *European Journal of Technology*. 2023 Aug 15;7(3):62-72.
5. Odilla F. Bots against corruption: Exploring the benefits and limitations of AI-based anti-corruption technology. *Crime, Law and Social Change*. 2023 Nov;80(4):353-96.
6. Kavitha L. Copyright Challenges in the Artificial Intelligence Revolution: Transforming the Film Industry from Script to Screen. *Trinity Law Review*. 2023;4(1):1-8.
7. Oltz TP. Educating Robot-Proof Attorneys. *NDL Rev.* 2022;97:185.
8. Schmitz AJ, Zeleznikow J. Intelligent legal tech to empower self-represented litigants. *Colum. Sci. & Tech. L. Rev.* 2021;23:142.
9. Xu N, Wang KJ. Adopting robot lawyer? The extending artificial intelligence robot lawyer technology acceptance model for legal industry by an exploratory study. *Journal of Management & Organization*. 2021 Sep;27(5):867-85.
10. Perlman A. The implications of ChatGPT for legal services and society. *Mich. Tech. L. Rev.* 2023;30:1.
11. Hasal M, Nowaková J, Ahmed Saghair K, Abdulla H, Snášel V, Ogiela L. Chatbots: Security, privacy, data protection, and social aspects. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*. 2021 Oct 10;33(19):e6426. [wiley.com](#)
12. Schanke S, Burtch G, Ray G. Estimating the impact of “humanizing” customer service chatbots. *Information Systems Research*. 2021 Sep;32(3):736-51. [acm.org](#)
13. Eisenstadt L. # MeTooBots and the AI Workplace. *U. Pa. J. Bus. L.* 2021;24:350.
14. Maeng W, Lee J. Designing and evaluating a chatbot for survivors of image-based sexual abuse. In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* 2022 Apr 29 (pp. 1-21). [umbc.edu](#)
15. Nirala KK, Singh NK, Purani VS. A survey on providing customer and public administration based services using AI: chatbot. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*. 2022 Jul;81(16):22215-46.
16. Fernando ZJ, Kristanto K, Anditya AW, Hartati SY, Baskara A. Robot Lawyer in Indonesian Criminal Justice System: Problems and Challenges for Future Law Enforcement. *Lex Scientia Law Review*. 2023 Nov 14;7(2):489-528. [unnes.ac.id](#)
17. Behera RK, Bala PK, Ray A. Cognitive Chatbot for personalised contextual customer service: Behind the scene and beyond the hype. *Information Systems Frontiers*. 2024 Jun;26(3):899-919.
18. Lee JJ, Yap CE, Roto V. How HCI Adopts Service Design: Unpacking current perceptions and scopes of service design in HCI and identifying future opportunities. In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* 2022 Apr 29 (pp. 1-14). [acm.org](#)
19. Asthana S, Sajjani H, Voyloshnikova E, Acharya B, Herzig K. A case study of developer bots: motivations, perceptions, and challenges. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM Joint European Software Engineering Conference and Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering* 2023 Nov 30 (pp. 1268-1280). [HTML](#)
20. Deepalakshmi R, Arunasakthi K, Chakkaravarthy GV, Suresh G. MineBot: Intelligent Mining Query Assistant. In *2024 11th International Conference on Reliability, Infocom Technologies and Optimization (Trends and Future Directions)(ICRITO)* 2024 Mar 14 (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
21. Panda S, Chakravarty R. Adapting intelligent information services in libraries: A case of smart AI chatbots. *Library Hi Tech News*. 2022 Feb 15;39(1):12-5.
22. Murtarelli G, Gregory A, Romenti S. A conversation-based perspective for shaping ethical human-machine interactions: The particular challenge of chatbots. *Journal of Business Research*. 2021 May 1;129:927-35.
23. Brescia RH. Robots vs. Predators: Can Generative Artificial Intelligence Help to Address the Justice Gap in Consumer Debt Litigation?. *Fordham Urb. LJ*. 2023;51:1553.
24. Nama P. Integrating AI in testing automation: Enhancing test coverage and predictive analysis for improved software quality. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences*. 2024(13):01. [researchgate.net](#)

25. Henry KE, Kornfield R, Sridharan A, Linton RC, Groh C, Wang T, Wu A, Mutlu B, Saria S. Human-machine teaming is key to AI adoption: clinicians' experiences with a deployed machine learning system. NPJ digital medicine. 2022 Jul 21;5(1):97. [nature.com](https://www.nature.com)
26. Ekechi CC, Chukwurah EG, Oyeniyi LD, Okeke CD. AI-infused chatbots for customer support: a cross-country evaluation of user satisfaction in the USA and the UK. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research. 2024 Apr 25;6(4):1259-72. [fepbl.com](https://www.fepbl.com)
27. Babatunde SO, Odejide OA, Edunjobi TE, Ogundipe DO. The role of AI in marketing personalization: A theoretical exploration of consumer engagement strategies. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research. 2024 Mar 28;6(3):936-49. [fepbl.com](https://www.fepbl.com)

CITE AS: Maria Edet Umo. (2025). Legal Bots: Communicating with Clients in the Digital Age. Eurasian Experiment Journal of Arts and Management 7(3):24-29
--